

Greater Norwich Local Plan

Regulation 18 Stage B:

New, Revised and Small Sites

Summary of Comments and Responses

Record count: 2749

Broadland, Broadland - Acle, GNLP0421R**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding amount of housing proposed, Jarrolds sports site is a green lung in a densely populated area, next to an industrial/service site and loss of mature trees. Jolly's Lane is a rural setting therefore will likely increase traffic.

Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17029**Object**

Respondent: Judy Halls [14804]

17491**Object**

Respondent: Alan Browne [15742]

18056**Object**

Respondent: Prof Alan Whiteside [17985]

Comment**Summary of representations:**

Objects to the proposal due to the location of the site to the rest of the village, dangerous access via a national speed limit road, sewage system already at capacity, pedestrian access is poor and flooding on pedestrian routes means people have to walk on the road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18308

Comment

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Comment

Summary of representations:

No objection subject to satisfactory drainage and run off management and protection of existing and enhancement of habitat corridors to link to the nearby Broads habitats along with the provision of walking and cycling routes.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19208

Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Broadland, Broadland - Acle, GNLP2139

Object

Summary of representations:

Upton and Fishley Parish Councils object to proposal as the site is unsustainable and outside settlement link. Land nearest Acle should be used as land for a bypass.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16892

Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding density of proposal, traffic congestion, amenities are located too far away from site, impacts of the environments & wildlife and flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17877

Object

Respondent: Mr Terry Rees [15638]

17884

Object

Respondent: Mr Terry Rees [15638]

Object

Summary of representations:

Acle Parish Council objects to proposal. Would adversely effect services, every new property would have two or more cars, traffic would have to pass through narrow roads in village centre, sewage system at capacity, part of land should be made available for bypass.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18310

Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding density of proposal, traffic congestion, amenities are located too far away from site, impacts of the environments & wildlife and flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18536**Object**

Respondent: Mr Barry Bloor [18259]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments in favour of conserving the natural environment, provide recreation and biodiversity opportunity. Adequate green open space and green infrastructure should be designed into this scheme particularly pedestrian and cycle routes.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19213**Comment**

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Broadland, Broadland - Attlebridge, GNLP2129**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Taverham Parish Council object to site being proposed for residential use.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17659**Comment**

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (x Taverham Councillors x, x) [17789]

Broadland, Broadland - Aylsham, GNLP2059**Object****Summary of representations:**

Aylsham Town Council and Burgh and Tuttington Parish Council object to the proposed site as it is out of the settlement boundary. Burgh and Tuttington believe approval would set a precedent for in-fill development and a negative impact on regional tourism.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17911**Object**

Respondent: Aylsham Town Council (Ms Sue Lake, Clerk) [13265]

17926**Object**

Respondent: Burgh and Tuttington Parish Council (Mr Joe Winterbourne, Clerk) [14281]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site on the proviso S106 contributions are considered to support the development of an existing football facility situated in the Town, at Youngs Park. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18749**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Broadland, Broadland - Aylsham, GNLP2060

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding further direct access onto the A140 should be rejected. This is a fast road and adding extra exits can only add to safety issues on this road.

Also the Town Council have NOT nominated the site to the east in the draft Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17913**Comment**

Respondent: Aylsham Town Council (Ms Sue Lake, Clerk) [13265]

Comment

Summary of representations:

We believe there are three principal risks to the Aylsham area to over-development. The potential to alter the essential character of the historic market town of Aylsham itself. The likely deleterious effects of excessive expansion on Aylsham as a focus for tourism in North Norfolk as a whole. The consequences for undermining the special natural environment along the Bure valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17927

Comment

Respondent: Burgh and Tuttington Parish Council (Mr Joe Winterbourne, Clerk) [14281]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site on the proviso S106 contributions are considered to support the development of an existing football facility situated in the town, at Youngs Park.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18750

Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP2020**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18929**Object**

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP2024**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding proposals on arable farmland due to the scale of development. The smaller sites in the area would provide more than enough housing for the location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18452**Object**

Respondent: L.F Novak [18205]

Object

Summary of representations:

Blofield Parish Council objects to the proposed allocation of housing regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18930

Object

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP2080

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding flooding and water damage, increased traffic flow and local amenities being insufficient to cope.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16985

Comment

Respondent: 03004556 (Mr Rowland Cogman, Trustee) [17334]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised on the grounds of traffic, safety to pedestrians, unlit and unsafe access (single lane road), surface water drainage provision and flood risk (inadequate drainage), mains water pressure, misrepresentation on the building application plan of road space/design and actual space and housing type. Concerns for the high grade agricultural land being lost forever to housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16995

Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Monsey [17339]

17045

Object

Respondent: Mr. Daniel Mitchinson [17383]

17070

Object

Respondent: Mrs Dorothy Bowen [17394]

17145

Object

Respondent: Ms Lorraine Waters [17460]

17574

Object

Respondent: Miss Jacqueline Deans [17734]

18181

Object

Respondent: Dr Philip Moxon [18057]

18281

Object

Respondent: Mr Glen Coghlan [17395]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18931**Object**

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised on the grounds of traffic, safety to pedestrians, unlit and unsafe access (single lane road), surface water drainage provision and flood risk (inadequate drainage), mains water pressure, misrepresentation on the building application plan of road space/design and actual space and housing type. Concerns for the high grade agricultural land being lost forever to housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19136**Object**

Respondent: Mrs GAEL POSTLE [18505]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP2085**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding site access, traffic influx, duelling of A47, SHMA Evidence and buffer zone between existing housing and A47.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18092**Object**

Respondent: Mr Alan Dempsey [17658]

18756**Object**

Respondent: Diane Flynn [14546]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Blofield Parish Council raised objections regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18932**Object**

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP2149**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Objections due to the fact that the proposal has been rejected by Blofield Parish Council and Broadland Planning Department, therefore the settlement limit extensions should be returned to its previous boundaries.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17500**Comment****Respondent:** Mr Alan Dempsey [17658]**Support****Summary of representations:**

Support suggesting that the HELAA assessment shows access/transport/roads as 'amber', this should be green. NCC Highways confirms suitable access can be achieved with minor works.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

18561**Support****Respondent:** John Long Planning (Mr John Long, Owner) [13586]**Agent:** John Long Planning (Mr John Long, Owner) [13586]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18933**Object**

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP2161

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18934**Object**

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19327

Support

Respondent: Fusion 13 (Mr Lee Marsh, Managing Director) [18571]

Agent: Fusion 13 (Mr Lee Marsh, Managing Director) [18571]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP2172

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised against this site on the grounds it would create a significant risk of road accidents, the exit is already on hazardous stretch at high speed, congestion, area frequently floods, no cycle or footpaths available. Facilities are already at capacity. This site takes valuable farm land destroying the ecosystem.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17106

Object

Respondent: Mr Terry Norton [14703]

18461

Object

Respondent: Janice & Ian Mckellar [18214]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18935**Object**

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLPSL2003

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18936**Object**

Respondent: Blofield Parish Council (Blofield Parish Council) [18440]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP2069**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments made in support of site. In support of Brundall Parish Council application to have the land next to the memorial Hall allocated for recreation - we need more land for this in Brundall over the years there have been several attempts to get planning permission to build houses on the land to the east of the memorial hall - but this is the only space left in Brundall that could be used for informal recreation suitable for all ages groups - being next to the hall will also be convenient for toilets and other hall facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17498**Support****Respondent:** Mr Graham Abbott [14492]**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted state that most residents want the land to be used as recreational space due to the fact that several housing developments around the village have spoilt the character. The river valley should be preserved due to its natural importance and its hoped it is used for village use.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17683**Comment****Respondent:** Mrs Helen Casson [14530]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments made in support of site. In support of Brundall Parish Council application to have the land next to the memorial Hall allocated for recreation - we need more land for this in Brundall over the years there have been several attempts to get planning permission to build houses on the land to the east of the memorial hall - but this is the only space left in Brundall that could be used for informal recreation suitable for all ages groups - being next to the hall will also be convenient for toilets and other hall facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17698

Support

Respondent: mr roger parsons [17807]

17705

Support

Respondent: Miss Clare Perkins [17810]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding lack of infrastructure for scale of development, road safety, traffic congestion, allowance of green space and lack of facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17736

Object

Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments made in support of site. In support of Brundall Parish Council application to have the land next to the memorial Hall allocated for recreation - we need more land for this in Brundall over the years there have been several attempts to get planning permission to build houses on the land to the east of the memorial hall - but this is the only space left in Brundall that could be used for informal recreation suitable for all ages groups - being next to the hall will also be convenient for toilets and other hall facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17846**Support**

Respondent: Mr Robert Stevenson [17876]

Support

Summary of representations:

Parish Council supports application as there is a severe lack of recreation land for size of village. By having the whole field Brundall can have formal recreation space and retain an important view, providing much needed green space for a growing village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17912**Support**

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments made in support of site. In support of Brundall Parish Council application to have the land next to the memorial Hall allocated for recreation - we need more land for this in Brundall over the years there have been several attempts to get planning permission to build houses on the land to the east of the memorial hall - but this is the only space left in Brundall that could be used for informal recreation suitable for all ages groups - being next to the hall will also be convenient for toilets and other hall facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17979**Support**

Respondent: Mrs Carole Noakes [17947]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding lack of infrastructure for scale of development, road safety, traffic congestion, allowance of green space and lack of facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17980**Object**

Respondent: John and Wendy Saville [17948]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments made in support of site. In support of Brundall Parish Council application to have the land next to the memorial Hall allocated for recreation - we need more land for this in Brundall over the years there have been several attempts to get planning permission to build houses on the land to the east of the memorial hall - but this is the only space left in Brundall that could be used for informal recreation suitable for all ages groups - being next to the hall will also be convenient for toilets and other hall facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18176**Support**

Respondent: Mr Jason Masala [16610]

18309**Support**

Respondent: Mrs Marianne Gibbs [14470]

Support

Summary of representations:

We support the provision of this site for recreation and leisure. This site has significant opportunities to provide important green infrastructure and open space, which we would be happy to comment on further during the further development of the plan.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18989**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP2177**Object****Summary of representations:**

Broads Authority objects proposal as the landscape is farmed and semi-rural to railway, beyond which a more typical Broads character develops. Extension and intensification of development up to Broads boundary to be resisted on grounds of encroachment, cumulative erosion of boundary character and impact on biodiversity, water flows and drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19221**Object**

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Broadland, Broadland - Cawston, GNLP2134**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding concerns over traffic congestion, large vehicles using roads, village lacks infrastructure, village already has a care home not in use, overload village services and dangerous roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16826**Object**

Respondent: Mr James Livingstone [15519]

16893**Object**

Respondent: Mr. Brian Schuil [14596]

17211**Object**

Respondent: Phil Daniels [17502]**Broadland, Broadland - Coltishall, GNLP2019****Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding insufficient infrastructure, services already stretched, traffic congestion & road safety, safety around schools, access, scale of development, change the dynamic on the village, parking, ruin tourism attraction, vital wildlife corridor and the number of dwellings exceeds the Joint Core Strategy policy totals for a service village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16859**Object**

Respondent: Miss Sarah Smith [14808]

16944**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Elly Miller [17293]

16955**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Alison Haynes [13400]

16982**Object**

Respondent: Ms Michelle Thackham [17332]

17074**Object**

Respondent: Ms Vicky Tovell [15513]

17100**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Bell [17426]

17257	Object
<hr/> Respondent: mr Anthony Tovell [17504]	
17410	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Mr Bill Musson [14397]	
17490	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Alan Browne [15742]	
17492	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Alan Browne [15742]	
17590	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Miss Alison Gardner [17752]	
17973	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Miss Caroline Trinder [17938]	
18120	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Mr Andy Broadbent [18012]	
18187	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Miss Amanda Holland [18061]	
18279	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Mrs Barbara Hall [15221]	
18390	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Mrs Karen Parkerson [18165]	
18411	Object
<hr/> Respondent: Miss Lisa Thacker [18177]	

18836

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Clark [18406]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Concerns raised regarding road safety issues and access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18923

Comment

Respondent: JOHN RATLEDGE [18142]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding insufficient infrastructure, services already stretched, traffic congestion & road safety, safety around schools, access, scale of development, change the dynamic on the village, parking, ruin tourism attraction, vital wildlife corridor and the number of dwellings exceeds the Joint Core Strategy policy totals for a service village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19082

Object

Respondent: Mr Stewart Life [18507]

19083

Object

Respondent: Coltishall Primary School (Robert Grindrod, Chairman of Governing Board) [14769]

19096**Object****Respondent:** MR NICHOLAS DICKETY [18513]**19105****Object****Respondent:** Mr Andrew Stephenson [18517]**19124****Object****Respondent:** Dr Harriet Foster [15152]**19235****Object****Respondent:** Ms Anita Yeomans [18559]**19408****Object****Respondent:** Mr Colin Dean [16455]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, traffic and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Horstead with Stanninghall Parish Council are not in favour of any of the sites in Coltishall but it does support a development at GNLP1056 in Horstead.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19490**Comment****Respondent:** Horstead with Stanninghall Parish Council (Ms Suzanne Hall, Clerk) [13339]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the site being outside the settlement limit, traffic congestion and road safety, bus routes and is unfair burden on elderly. The council goes into depth.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19493**Object**

Respondent: Coltishall Parish Council (Mrs Rebecca Furr, Parish Clerk) [14396]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding school concerns centred on two primary issues: safety and capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19517**Object**

Respondent: Colin Dean [18669]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding insufficient infrastructure, services already stretched, traffic congestion & road safety, safety around schools, access, scale of development, change the dynamic on the village, parking, ruin tourism attraction, vital wildlife corridor and the number of dwellings exceeds the Joint Core Strategy policy totals for a service village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19592**Object**

Respondent: D.E.J Sayer [18684]

19598**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Anne Cryer [18689]

Broadland, Broadland - Coltishall, GNLP2072

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the wildlife and natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16843**Object**

Respondent: Mr Mark Annison [17189]

16860	Object
Respondent: Miss Sarah Smith [14808]	
16896	Object
Respondent: Mr Ben Beazley [17245]	
16940	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amy Beck [14950]	
16941	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Beck [17289]	
16945	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elly Miller [17293]	
17048	Object
Respondent: MR Jonathan Brown [15117]	
17049	Object
Respondent: MR Jonathan Brown [15117]	
17072	Object
Respondent: MR Simon Beck [15110]	
17073	Object
Respondent: Ms Vicky Tovell [15513]	
17099	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Bell [17426]	
17217	Object
Respondent: mr Anthony Tovell [17504]	

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Coltishall Parish Council include unsuitable strain on local infrastructure, number of dwellings exceeds JCS Policy, increase in noise and air pollution and dwelling type will not meet local need.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17415**Object**

Respondent: Mr Bill Musson [14397]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the wildlife and natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17593**Object**

Respondent: Miss Alison Gardner [17752]

17597**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rachel Bell [17279]

17643	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alison Haynes [13400]	
17972	Object
Respondent: Miss Caroline Trinder [17938]	
18121	Object
Respondent: Mr Andy Broadbent [18012]	
18188	Object
Respondent: Miss Amanda Holland [18061]	
18280	Object
Respondent: Mrs Barbara Hall [15221]	
18396	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Parkerson [18165]	
18412	Object
Respondent: Miss Lisa Thacker [18177]	
18415	Object
Respondent: Miss Celia Smith [18179]	
18577	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Margree [18289]	
18676	Object
Respondent: mr Terry Holt [18264]	
18694	Object
Respondent: Mr KENNETH SAVILLE [18342]	

18837

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Clark [18406]

18865

Object

Respondent: Mrs Michelle Margree [18418]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Support for site due to it being an infill site and access is from a decent section of main road, it is in the village and is on a piece of land not used for agriculture, people would walk/cycle to get buses from here.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18922

Comment

Respondent: JOHN RATLEDGE [18142]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the wildlife and natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19011

Object

Respondent: Mr James Matthews [18396]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the wildlife and natural environment, road safety issues, access and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19085

Comment

Respondent: Mr Stewart Life [18507]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the wildlife and natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19095

Object

Respondent: MR NICHOLAS DICKETY [18513]

19120

Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Stephenson [18517]

19126

Object

Respondent: Dr Harriet Foster [15152]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised that the site is some distance from Broads and screened from Broads area by existing development and tree line to rear of Church Close. Unlikely to impact adversely on Broads in terms of heritage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19214

Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the wildlife and natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19233**Object**

Respondent: Ms Anita Yeomans [18559]

19411**Object**

Respondent: Mr Colin Dean [16455]

19415**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Maxine Matthews [18624]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Representation submitted with regard to access and road safety in Coltishall, GNLP2072 settlement, the categorisation of the site in terms of road safety needs to be revisited. Horstead with Stanninghall Parish Council are not in favour of any of the sites in Coltishall but it does support a development at GNLP1056 in Horstead.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

19491**Comment**

Respondent: Horstead with Stanninghall Parish Council (Ms Suzanne Hall, Clerk) [13339]

Object

Summary of representations:

Representation submitted with regard to the road safety in Coltishall, the categorisation of the site regards to this and in terms of accessibility to services and conservation needs to be revisited.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19492**Object**

Respondent: Coltishall Parish Council (Mrs Rebecca Furr, Parish Clerk) [14396]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised on behalf of Governing Board of Coltishall Primary School regarding greenfield land being developed on and school concerns centred on two primary issues: safety and capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19518**Object**

Respondent: Colin Dean [18669]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the wildlife and natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19591

Object

Respondent: D.E.J Sayer [18684]

19599

Object

Respondent: Mrs Anne Cryer [18689]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP2027

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, wildlife and green space. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17361

Object

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment - wildlife, ecological impact and biodiversity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18999**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, wildlife and green space. Concern that the form and character would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19653**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Broadland, Broadland - Felthorpe, GNLP2009**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding traffic congestion, road safety, NDR has already increase traffic, lack of footpaths, no safety parking, views destroyed, poor infrastructure, limited employment with only two buses running to Norwich, surface water flood risks, environmental risks and Felthorpe has no shops, school or doctors, just a pub.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16857**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Lynn Dicker [17208]

17795**Object**

Respondent: Mr Keith Kruppa [17855]

17826**Object**

Respondent: Shirley Calleja Vassallo [17232]

17839**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jean Kemp [17881]

17914**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Lynn Dicker [17208]

17928**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jean Kemp [17881]

18510**Object**

Respondent: Mr Robert Barber [14737]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments from Felthorpe Parish Council suggest the council agrees with most of the suitability assessment for the Swannington Lane site, we believe that the Market Attractiveness criteria should be rated as red. Mitigation for the other six amber criteria, including site access, local road network, waste water infrastructure and surface water flooding would be costly, rendering this site economically unviable. We therefore request that this site is not progressed further and is excluded from the Greater Norwich Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

18920

Comment

Respondent: Felthorpe Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12472]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding traffic congestion, road safety, NDR has already increase traffic, lack of footpaths, no safety parking, views destroyed, poor infrastructure, limited employment with only two buses running to Norwich, surface water flood risks, environmental risks and Felthorpe has no shops, school or doctors, just a pub.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19406

Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Buckley [17202]

19545

Object

Respondent: Lindy Platten-Jarvis [18674]

Broadland, Broadland - Felthorpe, GNLP2012**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding damage to the local landscape, loss of open green space, damage to wildlife habitat and further intrusion into and despoliation of the countryside in and around the existing settlement. Felthorpe has no shops, school or doctors, just a pub. It has an inadequate bus service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18402**Object**

Respondent: Mr Graham Buckley [17202]

18592**Object**

Respondent: Shirley Calleja Vassallo [17232]

Comment**Summary of representations:**

The council agrees with the suitability assessment that the Brands Lane site is unsuitable for development due to its lack of access to facilities. We request that this site is not progressed further and is excluded from the Greater Norwich Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18921**Comment**

Respondent: Felthorpe Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12472]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding damage to the local landscape, loss of open green space, damage to wildlife habitat and further intrusion into and despoliation of the countryside in and around the existing settlement. Felthorpe has no shops, school or doctors, just a pub. It has an inadequate bus service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19651

Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Broadland, Broadland - Frettenham, GNLP2076

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site as it has 'excellent' access and good visibility in both direction from proposed entrance. It will also compliment the units already in situ. GNLP2078 and GNLP2076 would add to the village as opposed to GNLP0492 which with the poor access onto Post Office Road and five-road junction has already drawn criticism from Highways stating that the layout would need to be changed before any development could be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18556

Support

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Robert and Cynthia Forster [18275]

19359

Support

Respondent: Keith Robertson [18604]

19522

Support

Respondent: R and S Bulley [18671]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional documents have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19571

Support

Respondent: Mr Kian Saedi [14837]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site as it has 'excellent' access and good visibility in both direction from proposed entrance. It will also compliment the units already in situ. GNLP2078 and GNLP2076 would add to the village as opposed to GNLP0492 which with the poor access onto Post Office Road and five-road junction has already drawn criticism from Highways stating that the layout would need to be changed before any development could be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19688

Support

Respondent: Mr and Mrs K Gowing [18682]

Broadland, Broadland - Frettenham, GNLP2078**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site as it has 'excellent' access and good visibility in both direction from proposed entrance. It will also compliment the units already in situ. GNLP2078 and GNLP2076 would add to the village as opposed to GNLP0492 which with the poor access onto Post Office Road and five-road junction has already drawn criticism from Highways stating that the layout would need to be changed before any development could be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18557**Support**

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Robert and Cynthia Forster [18275]

19360**Support**

Respondent: Keith Robertson [18604]

19521**Support**

Respondent: R and S Bulley [18671]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional documents have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19572

Support

Respondent: Mr Kian Saedi [14837]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site as it has 'excellent' access and good visibility in both direction from proposed entrance. It will also compliment the units already in situ. GNLP2078 and GNLP2076 would add to the village as opposed to GNLP0492 which with the poor access onto Post Office Road and five-road junction has already drawn criticism from Highways stating that the layout would need to be changed before any development could be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19583

Support

Respondent: Mr and Mrs K Gowing [18682]**Broadland, Broadland - Great & Little Plumstead, GNLP0420R**

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving agricultural land, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18116

Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Cawdron [12806]

18192**Object****Respondent:** Mr Ben Bullen [16405]**18194****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Michelle Bullen [16408]**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. Development on the site would provide CIL payments for infrastructure, and these contributions could be utilised to benefit the local community, in achieving the objectives set out in the Great Plumstead, Little Plumstead, and Thorpe End Garden Village Neighbourhood Plan (2014-2034).

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19287**Support****Respondent:** Mr Derek Jones [16834]**Agent:** Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]**Object****Summary of representations:**

The parish council objects due to the service village designation, the land is Grade 1 Agricultural land, has poor infrastructure and public transport, surface water flooding, capacity, flood risk, outside settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19589**Object**

Respondent: Great & Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Clerk) [14154]**Broadland, Broadland - Great & Little Plumstead, GNLP0441R****Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving agricultural land, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18115**Object**

Respondent: Mr Andrew Cawdron [12806]

18191**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ben Bullen [16405]

18195**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Michelle Bullen [16408]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as residential development in this location as it would represent an extension to the existing settlement in accordance with the objectives of the Great Plumstead, Little Plumstead, and Thorpe End Garden Village Neighbourhood Plan (2014-2034). The allocation of this site for approximately 30 dwellings could satisfy Great and Little Plumstead's entire housing need in the Plan period to 2036. This would focus development in Great Plumstead to one site. Suitable access can be achieved to serve a residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19288

Support

Respondent: Mr Derek Jones [16834]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Object

Summary of representations:

The parish council objects due to the service village designation, the land is Grade 1 Agricultural land, has poor infrastructure and public transport, surface water flooding, capacity, flood risk, outside settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19590

Object

Respondent: Great & Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Clerk) [14154]

Broadland, Broadland - Great & Little Plumstead, GNLP2040**Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning many sites already allocated with GNPD and growth triangle. Lack of service provision and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18117**Object**

Respondent: Mr Andrew Cawdron [12806]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections relating to Great Plumstead already absorbing more than Service Village Allocation, development is outside settlement boundary, removing agricultural land, poor infrastructure, sewer overload and buried in Growth Triangle which is not working.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19587**Object**

Respondent: Great & Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Clerk) [14154]

Object

Summary of representations:

Development of these sites would conflict with Policy 2 of the JCS and Broadland Policy EN 2 as it would fail to maintain the strategic gap between the communities of Sprowston and Rackheath and Salhouse and Rackheath respectively, and would damage the landscape setting. It also conflicts with Policy GT 2 Green Infrastructure of the Broadland North East Growth Triangle AAP which seeks to protect an area either side of the NDR from inappropriate development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19791

Object

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Broadland, Broadland - Great & Little Plumstead, GNLP2107

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding economic, environmental and social gains are worth the loss of a further green field site. Employment close to village of residence is to be encouraged. The road network remains an issue for any expansion.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18146

Comment

Respondent: Mr Andrew Cawdron [12806]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding absorbing service village allocations, little infrastructure, removing agricultural land, poor foul/surface water drainage, buried in Growth Triangle and not suitable as need to use car to get to shops.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19588**Object**

Respondent: Great & Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Clerk) [14154]

Broadland, Broadland - Great Witchingham, GNLP2184

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site suggest it must contain a significant proportion of social housing/affordable housing and must be on a mains sewage system in order to protect the River Wensum and ground water. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16825**Support**

Respondent: Ms Jane Wisbey [14541]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised on the grounds of access, inadequate road system, no footpaths on both Hall Road (north or east) and Porters lane and no possibility of providing them. At Lenwade junction with Fakenham road there is dangerous traffic bottleneck. Lack of access to services and no existing connectivity to mains water/sewerage and no natural gas.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16849 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Helen Rodway [17201]

16851 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Tim Praill [14696]

16853 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Tim Praill [14696]

17657 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Fielding [17209]

17715 **Object**

Respondent: Swannington with Alderford and Little Witchingham Parish Council (Mrs Patricia Kirby, Clerk) [14327]

17818 **Object**

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Brain & Sue Robinson [17868]

19157 **Object**

Respondent: Great Witchingham Parish Council (Mrs Patricia Kirby, Clerk) [14399]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and documents to support this have been produced.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19544

Support

Respondent: Amber REI [18470]

Agent: Pegasus Group (Mr Luke Thorpe) [18469]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP2035

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17416

Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13286]

17816

Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13286]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flooding and drainage, poor access to main A140 and wooded area of Hainford is active with wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17828

Object

Respondent: mr brian lindhurst [17873]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19194

Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flooding and drainage, poor access to main A140 and wooded area of Hainford is active with wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19446

Object

Respondent: Lynne Crisp [16908]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP2162

Object

Summary of representations:

Hainsford Parish Council object stating this site is outside the current development boundary and is totally disproportionate to the categorisation of "other" village". The surrounding area particularly Chapel Road and Dumbs Lane have repeated flooding problems with unresolved drainage issues. Doubtful that existing sewerage systems could cope with this size of development. The local road system is very narrow and has no pavements to access the school.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation'

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

17419

Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13286]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17468**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rita Kerrison [17372]

17578**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Dawn Clayton [17738]

17633**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Susan Gurney [17764]

17635**Object**

Respondent: Mr andrew Gurney [17772]

Object

Summary of representations:

Hainsford Parish Council object stating this site is outside the current development boundary and is totally disproportionate to the categorisation of "other" village". The surrounding area particularly Chapel Road and Dumbs Lane have repeated flooding problems with unresolved drainage issues. Doubtful that existing sewerage systems could cope with this size of development. The local road system is very narrow and has no pavements to access the school.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation'

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

17817

Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13286]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17823

Object

Respondent: Mr Steven Kempson [17431]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments received regarding site promotion to date, review of evidence base, addendum to the HELAA, Alternative Scale of Development, Delivery and Conclusions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17844

Comment

Respondent: Armstrong Rigg Planning (Mr Geoff Armstrong, Director) [15285]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18574

Object

Respondent: Mr Matthew Kerrison [18283]

18684

Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Camp [18337]

19073

Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Jeffery [18490]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns around excessive development, limited road network, access through narrow residential area and prone to flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19195

Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

19197

Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19300

Object

Respondent: Mrs Angela Hogarth [17746]

19476

Object

Respondent: Mrs Claire Ames [17766]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP0332R**Mixed****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding local infrastructure & community impacts, drainage, flood risk, traffic congestion, loss of green space, lack of suitable services (or stretched to capacity), parking, public transport, impact on form/character and site is directly under the flight path to Norwich Airport. It has been expressed Hellesdon is already overcrowded.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16950**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Glas [17302]

17027**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Knowles [17369]

17035**Object**

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

17104**Object**

Respondent: Mr Raymond Snailum [16758]

17185**Comment**

Respondent: MRs Tanya Price-Morris [17479]

17222**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]

17356**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Claire Hannant [15657]

17395 Object

Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]

17453 Object

Respondent: mrs samantha watman [17627]

17455 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kelly Cornish [17630]

17748 Object

Respondent: David Shreeve [17832]

17774 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]

18546 Object

Respondent: Mr Marshall Batch [18263]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support of green infrastructure and potential football facilities. Support that a mitigation and impact assessment on the site with stakeholders is taking place.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18564 Support

Respondent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Agent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding local infrastructure & community impacts, drainage, flood risk, traffic congestion, loss of green space, lack of suitable services (or stretched to capacity), parking, public transport, impact on form/character and site is directly under the flight path to Norwich Airport. It has been expressed Hellesdon is already overcrowded.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18606 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Terence Tilet [18303]

18611 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]

18616 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]

18619 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]

18626 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Kimberley Wells [18309]

18631 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Kate Ulph [18310]

18635 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Louise Gawne [18314]

18638**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Healy [18318]

18642**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jacqueline Sanders [18321]

18645**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Sanders [18323]

18649**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Christine Mayes [18324]

18652**Object**

Respondent: Ms Martha Woods [18325]

18655**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Linda Scott [18327]

18659**Object**

Respondent: Mr Scott Robert [18328]

18660**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Susan Ellis [18329]

18665**Object**

Respondent: Mr Dave Ellis [18331]

18696**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Helen Clark [18344]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support of green infrastructure and potential football facilities. Support that a mitigation and impact assessment on the site with stakeholders is taking place.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18752

Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding local infrastructure & community impacts, drainage, flood risk, traffic congestion, loss of green space, lack of suitable services (or stretched to capacity), parking, public transport, impact on form/character and site is directly under the flight path to Norwich Airport. It has been expressed Hellesdon is already overcrowded.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18808

Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Ulph [18381]

18821

Object

Respondent: Mrs Wendy Shadrack [18387]

18824	Object
Respondent: Brian Shadrack [18390]	
18828	Object
Respondent: Mrs Beverley Clements [18395]	
18829	Object
Respondent: Mrs Freda Lane [18397]	
18848	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Ives [18411]	
18852	Object
Respondent: Mr Nikul Patel [18413]	
18856	Object
Respondent: Mrs Archana Patel [18416]	
18860	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Dent [18417]	
19161	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Prutton [18542]	
19169	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Prutton [18542]	
19242	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
19246	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	

19289	Object
-------	--------

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

19613	Object
-------	--------

Respondent: Mrs Lynda Hill [18305]

19721	Object
-------	--------

Respondent: Mr Matthew Brine [18718]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP0334R

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, close proximity to airport, road safety, traffic, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure, pollution, global warming, green spaces and village character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17008	Object
-------	--------

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

17105	Object
-------	--------

Respondent: Mr Raymond Snailum [16758]

17223	Object
-------	--------

Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]

17357	Object
-------	--------

Respondent: Mrs Claire Hannant [15657]

17396

Object

Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]

17749

Object

Respondent: David Shreeve [17832]

17779

Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]

18543

Object

Respondent: Mr Marshall Batch [18263]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and the site promoter is undertaking further work to assess the impact and mitigation opportunities based on the assessment findings. The site located east of Reepham Road (0332R) could be allocated on its own or together with the site west of Reepham Road (0334R) if the Greater Norwich Development Partnership (GNDP) so wished.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18566

Support

Respondent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]**Agent:** CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, close proximity to airport, road safety, traffic, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure, pollution, global warming, green spaces and village character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18607**Object**

Respondent: Mr Terence Tilet [18303]

18610**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]

18615**Object**

Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]

18621**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]

18627**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Kimberley Wells [18309]

18632**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Kate Ulph [18310]

18634**Object**

Respondent: Miss Michelle McDonald [18311]

18636	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Louise Gawne [18314]	
18639	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Healy [18318]	
18643	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Jacqueline Sanders [18321]	
18646	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr John Sanders [18323]	
18650	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Christine Mayes [18324]	
18653	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Ms Martha Woods [18325]	
18656	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Linda Scott [18327]	
18661	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Susan Ellis [18329]	
18666	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Dave Ellis [18331]	
18697	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Helen Clark [18344]	
18807	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Victoria Reynolds [16852]	

18809	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Ulph [18381]	
18830	Object
Respondent: Mrs Freda Lane [18397]	
18846	Object
Respondent: Ms Susan Mildenhall [18399]	
18849	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Ives [18411]	
18853	Object
Respondent: Mr Nikul Patel [18413]	
18857	Object
Respondent: Mrs Archana Patel [18416]	
18861	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Dent [18417]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust with concerns regarding landscape and biodiversity implications and green infrastructure provisions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19009

Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, close proximity to airport, road safety, traffic, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure, pollution, global warming, green spaces and village character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19162

Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Prutton [18542]

19247

Object

Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by Drayton Parish Council are concerned with the cumulative impact of submitted development sites off Reepham Road in both Hellesdon and Drayton.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19290

Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, close proximity to airport, road safety, traffic, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure, pollution, global warming, green spaces and village character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19614**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Lynda Hill [18305]

19722**Object**

Respondent: Mr Matthew Brine [18718]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP2025

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, effects on the landscape, environment & wildlife. Other concerns include flooding, removal of trees and it is important to keep development west of the Drayton high road as low as possible to reflect green corridor identified in the Neighbourhood Plan. Developing this site would set a precedent for this special area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17010**Object**

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

17227

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it is a small area. Developer should be encouraged to build high quality houses

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19165

Support

Respondent: Mrs Susan Prutton [18542]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding access, effects on the landscape, environment & wildlife. Other concerns include flooding, removal of trees and it is important to keep development west of the Drayton high road as low as possible to reflect green corridor identified in the Neighbourhood Plan. Developing this site would set a precedent for this special area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19724

Object

Respondent: Mr Matthew Brine [18718]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP2142

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it could have minimal visual impact and be positive terms of employment.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17011**Support**

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding reservations on the use of this steeply sloping site for industrial use as it will be detrimental to the landscape views from the Wensum Valley. Welcomes the allocation of land to burial ground and parking for parish church.

Response:

Comments will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17231**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the fact that any development would ruin the character of the area and also Hellesdon already has a lack of facilities and infrastructure and could not cope.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19723**Object**

Respondent: Mr Matthew Brine [18718]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP2173

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pollution, global warming, road safety, traffic, access, infrastructure, protection of wildlife, recreation space provisions, conserving community open space, flooding, drainage, close proximity of airport and retaining character of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17009**Object**

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

17025**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Knowles [17369]

17142**Object****Respondent:** Mr John Thompson [17456]

17144**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Alison Thompson [17457]

17146**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Karen Crowe [17458]

17147**Object****Respondent:** Mr Stephen Crowe [17461]

17193**Object****Respondent:** Mr Paul Elliott [17484]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raising points of green space, recreational use, traffic and pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17199**Comment****Respondent:** Mr IAN SAVORY [17489]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pollution, global warming, road safety, traffic, access, infrastructure, protection of wildlife, recreation space provisions, conserving community open space, flooding, drainage, close proximity of airport and retaining character of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17204

Object

Respondent: mrs DONNA LAWS-CHAPMAN [17496]

17207

Object

Respondent: Mrs Lynne Elliott [17497]

17208

Object

Respondent: Peggy Barker [17498]

17232

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]

17233

Object

Respondent: Mr keith parke [17512]

17239

Object

Respondent: Mr James Clarke [17520]

17264

Object

Respondent: mr james ayers [17533]

17266	Object
Respondent: mjrs teresa ayers [17536]	
17302	Object
Respondent: Mr Haydn Brown [17546]	
17336	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Johnson [17558]	
17350	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Smy [17525]	
17354	Object
Respondent: Miss S Balls [17563]	
17358	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Hannant [15657]	
17397	Object
Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]	
17414	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Spooner [17598]	
17445	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Mountain [17619]	
17502	Object
Respondent: Mr Gordon Cartwright [17664]	
17562	Object
Respondent: lewis beale [17728]	

17563	Object
Respondent: Mr Rodney Philo [17597]	
17564	Object
Respondent: mr melvyn warnes [17729]	
17576	Object
Respondent: Danny Brown [17726]	
17580	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Blowers [17742]	
17582	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Brown [17745]	
17586	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Fletcher [17748]	
17637	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Thurston [17777]	
17687	Object
Respondent: Danny Brown [17726]	
17693	Object
Respondent: Mr Nabeel Najam [17803]	
17747	Object
Respondent: David Shreeve [17832]	
17756	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lynne Hammond [15919]	

17757

Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Cooper [17834]

17824

Object

Respondent: Mr Barry Vick [17872]

17827

Object

Respondent: Mr David Gibson [17559]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raising points of green space, recreational use, traffic and pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17831

Comment

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Anthony & Lynda Burrows [17879]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pollution, global warming, road safety, traffic, access, infrastructure, protection of wildlife, recreation space provisions, conserving community open space, flooding, drainage, close proximity of airport and retaining character of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17835	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Gall [17880]	
17853	Object
Respondent: Mr David Butler [17884]	
17908	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs Ann & Tony Harper [17909]	
17915	Object
Respondent: MR & MRS ALAN & JANETTE WELLS [16043]	
17917	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Maureen Daniels [17911]	
17919	Object
Respondent: Mr Ronnie Walpole [17554]	
18021	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Vincent [17506]	
18070	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey CORNWELL [17990]	
18128	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
18148	Object
Respondent: Mr Tony Harper [18041]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raising points of green space, recreational use, traffic and pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18184

Comment

Respondent: Miss Maria Hammond [18059]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pollution, global warming, road safety, traffic, access, infrastructure, protection of wildlife, recreation space provisions, conserving community open space, flooding, drainage, close proximity of airport and retaining character of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18306

Object

Respondent: Richard & Ruth Tayt [18125]

18363

Object

Respondent: Mr Gary Hoy [18151]

18373

Object

Respondent: Mrs Angela Hoy [18158]

18458	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Paul Boyce [18213]	
18515	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Miss Amy Bennett [18247]	
18528	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Victoria Reynolds [16852]	
18537	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Marshall Batch [18263]	
18549	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Susan Walker [18268]	
18555	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Miss Laura Banfield [18274]	
18560	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Alan Whitehorn [18279]	
18605	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Terence Tilet [18303]	
18608	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Joyce Lincoln [18133]	
18609	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Lynda Hill [18305]	

18628	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kimberley Wells [18309]	
18640	Object
Respondent: Mrs Iris Ward [18319]	
18641	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jacqueline Sanders [18321]	
18644	Object
Respondent: Mr John Sanders [18323]	
18648	Object
Respondent: Mrs Christine Mayes [18324]	
18654	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Scott [18327]	
18658	Object
Respondent: Mr Scott Robert [18328]	
18662	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Ellis [18329]	
18667	Object
Respondent: Mr Dave Ellis [18331]	
18724	Object
Respondent: Ms Janet Foster [18348]	
18742	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Hand [18352]	

18806

Object

Respondent: Mrs Victoria Reynolds [16852]

Comment**Summary of representations:**

Comments raising points of green space, recreational use, traffic and pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18811

Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Ulph [18381]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pollution, global warming, road safety, traffic, access, infrastructure, protection of wildlife, recreation space provisions, conserving community open space, flooding, drainage, close proximity of airport and retaining character of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18817

Object

Respondent: Mrs Beryl Dryhurst [18383]

18818

Object

Respondent: Mr Malcolm Burroughs [18384]

18819 Object

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Burroughs [18385]

18820 Object

Respondent: Mrs Wendy Shadrack [18387]

18823 Object

Respondent: Brian Shadrack [18390]

18827 Object

Respondent: Mr Brain Dryhurst [18393]

18833 Object

Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Walker [18297]

18845 Object

Respondent: Ms Susan Mildenhall [18399]

18851 Object

Respondent: Chris Dye [18407]

18863 Object

Respondent: Mrs Wendy Dent [18417]

18871 Object

Respondent: Mr Arthur Boltwood [18422]

18912 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joyce Lincoln [18133]

18957**Object****Respondent:** Mr Peter Hope [18375]

19070**Object****Respondent:** Mr philip atkinson [17980]

19113**Object****Respondent:** Miss Cheryl Streeter [18518]

19114**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Mary Cartwright [18522]

19167**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Susan Prutton [18542]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by Councillor regarding the importance of the land to the area and the community and the benefit of this being recreational green space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19256**Object****Respondent:** Cllr Shelagh Gurney [18563]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pollution, global warming, road safety, traffic, access, infrastructure, protection of wildlife, recreation space provisions, conserving community open space, flooding, drainage, close proximity of airport and retaining character of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19272**Object**

Respondent: Mr Michael Andrews [18558]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Sport England regarding the loss of the sports ground that was on this site if the site proposal was to be taken forward and there is no provision of similar space to be allocated nearby of similar or better quality prior to development commencing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19282**Object**

Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pollution, global warming, road safety, traffic, access, infrastructure, protection of wildlife, recreation space provisions, conserving community open space, flooding, drainage, close proximity of airport and retaining character of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19447**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Pamela Bourke [18650]

19496**Object**

Respondent: Mr George John Quakley [18457]

19520**Object**

Respondent: Mr philip atkinson [17980]

19725**Object**

Respondent: Mr Matthew Brine [18718]

Broadland, Broadland - Hevingham, GNLP2002**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, public transport, flooding, drainage, sewerage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16962**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Y A Ross [17231]

17077**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Holmes [17336]

17275**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ben Merrin [17543]

17299**Object**

Respondent: john finch [17547]

17352**Object**

Respondent: mrs Sandra Dropinski [17523]

17611**Object**

Respondent: mr simon howes [17762]

17625**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ben Howes [17767]

17631**Object****Respondent:** Mr Trevor Martin [17768]**17690****Object****Respondent:** Mr Ian Nickalls [17249]**17694****Object****Respondent:** mrs Helen Nickalls [17804]**17793****Object****Respondent:** Mrs. Julie Wilkinson [17625]**18023****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Tracy Bambridge [17965]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by Waller Sawmills Ltd regarding businesses being on the heath for 50 years and there is now issues with electricity supply and power cuts, poor water and sewerage supply, access, street lighting, amenities, school capacity and updated infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18049**Object****Respondent:** Waller Sawmills Ltd (patricia allen, Director) [17977]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, public transport, flooding, drainage, sewerage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18053

Object

Respondent: Miss Lucy Bambridge [17981]

18055

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jackie Barrett [17841]

18067

Object

Respondent: Mrs Lucy Macleod [17577]

18073

Object

Respondent: miss victoria johnson [17260]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding small scale development on smaller site is not out of character for the area, while larger scale development is out of character and unsustainable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18122**Comment**

Respondent: Mr & Mrs N & K Medler [18013]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, public transport, flooding, drainage, sewerage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18139**Object**

Respondent: mr Scott Savory [18003]

18144**Object**

Respondent: Mr Chris Wild [18038]

18239**Object**

Respondent: COLIN READ COLIN READ [18093]

18322**Object**

Respondent: B Somerfield [18121]

18324**Object**

Respondent: Mr Glenn Medler [18025]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The Parish Council discussed the two sites in Hevingham (GNLP2002 / GNLP0010) at their meeting on the 4th December 2018 and in a vote, 6 were in favour of the 2 sites being accepted with 4 against.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18328

Comment

Respondent: Hevingham Parish Council (Mrs K N Medler, Clerk) [13686]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, public transport, flooding, drainage, sewerage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18332

Object

Respondent: Mrs Kathryn Medler [18034]

18479

Object

Respondent: mrs jennifer goodson [17983]

18481

Object

Respondent: Angela Hankins [18223]

18487 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Suzanne O'Connor [17939]

18502 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Turpin [18237]

18504 **Object**

Respondent: Ms Isla Macleod [18238]

18550 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Louise Holmes [18269]

18570 **Object**

Respondent: mr adrian freeman [18286]

18745 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Iain Macleod [18353]

18918 **Object**

Respondent: Lee Whiting [18063]

18944 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Suzanne O'Connor [17939]

19089 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Ben Walsingham [14028]

Broadland, Broadland - Hevingham, GNLPSL0010**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage, sewerage, agriculture use, and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17078**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Holmes [17336]

17276**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ben Merrin [17543]

17301**Object**

Respondent: john finch [17547]

17359**Object**

Respondent: mrs Sandra Dropinski [17523]

17695**Object**

Respondent: mrs Helen Nickalls [17804]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding pedestrian and road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17790**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Ian Nickalls [17249]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage, sewerage, agriculture use, and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18024**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Tracy Bambridge [17965]

18054**Object**

Respondent: Miss Lucy Bambridge [17981]

18068**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Lucy Macleod [17577]

18075

Object

Respondent: miss victoria johnson [17260]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding pedestrian and road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18123

Comment

Respondent: Mr & Mrs N & K Medler [18013]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage, sewerage, agriculture use, and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18140

Object

Respondent: mr Scott Savory [18003]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The parish council commented that during their meeting of 4th December 6 were in favour and 4 were against the sites (GNLP2002/GNLPSL0010) being put forward.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18329

Comment

Respondent: Hevingham Parish Council (Mrs K N Medler, Clerk) [13686]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and wildlife, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage, sewerage, agriculture use, and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18330

Object

Respondent: B Somerfield [18121]

18331

Object

Respondent: Mr Glenn Medler [18025]

18333

Object

Respondent: Mrs Kathryn Medler [18034]

18482 **Object**

Respondent: Angela Hankins [18223]

18488 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Suzanne O'Connor [17939]

18507 **Object**

Respondent: Ms Isla Macleod [18238]

18551 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Louise Holmes [18269]

18919 **Object**

Respondent: Lee Whiting [18063]

18945 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Suzanne O'Connor [17939]

19100 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Ben Walsingham [14028]

Broadland, Broadland - Heydon, GNLP2140**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding concern at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location and the future progression on the site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19005**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP0415R A**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional reports and assessments have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19639**Support**

Respondent: Clarion Homes [16844]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mrs Tanya Laws, Secretary - Architectural & Planning) [18701]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP0415R B

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional assessments and reports have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19640

Support

Respondent: Clarion Homes [16844]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mrs Tanya Laws, Secretary - Architectural & Planning) [18701]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP0415R C

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional reports and assessments have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19641

Support

Respondent: Clarion Homes [16844]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mrs Tanya Laws, Secretary - Architectural & Planning) [18701]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP0415R D**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding boundary changes make no difference to the proposed development. Additional housing of the magnitude proposed would add significantly to pressure on our roads, drainage, utilities, medical and education services. No shop, post office or doctors surgery and infrequent and unreliable bus service causing many car movements, existing surface water flooding which would worsen with more housing. Inappropriate development on agricultural land that will transform a rural area

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17512**Object****Respondent:** Mr Alan Smith [17670]**17776****Object****Respondent:** Mr Steve Balls [17845]**17777****Object****Respondent:** mr phil pragnell [17846]**17800****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Vanessa Elliott [16205]**17865****Object****Respondent:** Mr Raymond Smith [14455]**17868****Object****Respondent:** Ms Natasha Cargill [16344]

17903**Object****Respondent:** Mr Sheridan Brennecke [16274]

18064**Object****Respondent:** Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mr David Woods, Parish Councillor) [14794]

18097**Object****Respondent:** Miss Julie Wvendth [16092]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Honingham Parish Council object to this site and do not believe it is the right location for such a large new settlement. It will have a detrimental effect on the current village, threatening its character. There are considerable threats to the local environment and there are not sufficient services to support such a large-scale development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18436**Object****Respondent:** Honingham Parish Council (Ms Jordana Wheeler, Clerk) [14400]

Object

Summary of representations:

The Parish Council is gravely concerned at the prospect of such a large development so close to Marlingford & Colton. In addition to the loss of higher-grade agricultural land, such a large development in addition to the huge Food Enterprise industrial estate and massive growth of Easton would completely transform a rural area into a suburban one with a serious negative impact upon Marlingford and Colton, as well as adding to the practically continuous ribbon development along the A47 corridor west of Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18620

Object

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding boundary changes make no difference to the proposed development. Additional housing of the magnitude proposed would add significantly to pressure on our roads, drainage, utilities, medical and education services. No shop, post office or doctors surgery and infrequent and unreliable bus service causing many car movements, existing surface water flooding which would worsen with more housing. Inappropriate development on agricultural land that will transform a rural area

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18690

Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Kenney [18312]

18804

Object

Respondent: Mr Tristan Smith [18376]

19107**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Jeannette Williams [16507]**19174****Object****Respondent:** Mr. John Smith [16368]**19316****Object****Respondent:** David Laurie [16103]**19350****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Jean Smith [15489]**19388****Object****Respondent:** Mr Jonathan Smith [15418]**Object****Summary of representations:**

It is difficult at this stage to appreciate the potential impact of any one site put forward in this subsequent consultation, without a firm understanding of the GNDP overall strategy for growth. A new settlement bordering Breckland District could have a substantial impact. Breckland seek to work with the GNDP on potential growth options.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19399**Object****Respondent:** Breckland District Council (Ms Jemma March, Planning Policy Team Leader) [18617]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional assessments and reports have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19642**Support**

Respondent: Clarion Homes [16844]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mrs Tanya Laws, Secretary - Architectural & Planning) [18701]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP0415R G

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding creation of an urban sprawl, environment issue, drainage and scale of development. Boundary change does not make a difference. Comments still stand from original stage A site submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17513**Object**

Respondent: Mr Alan Smith [17670]

17801**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Elliott [16205]

17866**Object**

Respondent: Mr Raymond Smith [14455]

17906**Object**

Respondent: Mr Sheridan Brennecke [16274]

18065**Object**

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mr David Woods, Parish Councillor) [14794]

18098**Object**

Respondent: Miss Julie Wvendth [16092]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Honingham Parish Council object to this site and do not believe it is the right location for such a large new settlement. It will have a detrimental effect on the current village, threatening its character. There are considerable threats to the local environment and there are not sufficient services to support such a large scale development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18438**Object**

Respondent: Honingham Parish Council (Ms Jordana Wheeler, Clerk) [14400]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding creation of an urban sprawl, environment issue, drainage and scale of development. Boundary change does not make a difference. Comments still stand from original stage A site submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18691**Object**

Respondent: Mr Mark Kenney [18312]

18805**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tristan Smith [18376]

19115**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jeannette Williams [16507]

19177**Object**

Respondent: Mr. John Smith [16368]

19320**Object**

Respondent: David Laurie [16103]

19349**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jean Smith [15489]

19387**Object**

Respondent: Mr Jonathan Smith [15418]

19597

Object

Respondent: Mr & Mrs R. S O Grant [18688]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Comments in support of site submitted a flood risk & drainage feasibility study, Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report, Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment, Initial design market square analysis and phase 1 - transport strategy.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19643

Support

Respondent: Clarion Homes [16844]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mrs Tanya Laws, Secretary - Architectural & Planning) [18701]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP2176

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the agricultural land and natural environment, pollution, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16821

Object

Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site noting that the small development site is what the village needs.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17033**Support**

Respondent: Parish Cou David Bishop [15648]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the agricultural land and natural environment, pollution, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17154**Object**

Respondent: Mr Raymond Smith [14455]

17214**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Hooker [15541]

17406**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Diane Savage [15581]

17511	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Smith [17670]	
17764	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Turner [16331]	
17775	Object
Respondent: Mr Steve Balls [17845]	
17778	Object
Respondent: mr phil prangnell [17846]	
17799	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Clark [17860]	
17802	Object
Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Elliott [16205]	
17870	Object
Respondent: Ms Natasha Cargill [16344]	
17887	Object
Respondent: Mr Terry Rees [15638]	
17897	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Winchester [15437]	
17902	Object
Respondent: Mr Sheridan Brennecke [16274]	

18003**Object****Respondent:** Mr Keith Simpson [16898]**18057****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Janice Smith [17986]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted concerns that Norfolk already has much more land allocated for housing than it could possibly need up to 2036.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18066**Comment****Respondent:** Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mr David Woods, Parish Councillor) [14794]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the agricultural land and natural environment, pollution, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18074**Object****Respondent:** Sally Lloyd [17991]

18096**Object****Respondent:** Miss Julie Wvendth [16092]

18127**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Maureen Sapey [18019]

18135**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Linda Human [16348]

18260**Object****Respondent:** Mr Austen Allen [16312]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Honingham Parish Council object to this site as being wholly unsuitable and inappropriate for a village of this size. This is not a suitable location for such a large number of houses. The services indicated in the report as making this site suitable are inaccurate. It will have a detrimental effect on the current village, threatening its character. The impact on the village of Honingham would be significant and the Parish Council object to this proposal.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18440**Object****Respondent:** Honingham Parish Council (Ms Jordana Wheeler, Clerk) [14400]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the agricultural land and natural environment, pollution, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18695

Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Kenney [18312]

18789

Object

Respondent: Mrs Sally Blyth [16147]

Support

Summary of representations:

One comment in support of site suggests the site will bring more people in to use local businesses and suggests they would need a bus services and a maybe a new shop and post office. The only thing is the drawings show no area allowance for existing overflow village hall car park arrangements up to the newly erected fence, as agreed with the landowner.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18795

Support

Respondent: 1951 Paul Blyth [18373]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the agricultural land and natural environment, pollution, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18803

Object

Respondent: Mr Tristan Smith [18376]

19035

Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Roberts [15493]

19046

Object

Respondent: Mrs Sue Roberts [16111]

19059

Object

Respondent: Mr Shaun Harvey [18475]

19079

Object

Respondent: Mr Bernie Perrett [16439]

19101

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jeannette Williams [16507]

19171

Object

Respondent: Mr. John Smith [16368]

19321**Object****Respondent:** David Laurie [16103]**19345****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Jean Smith [15489]**19385****Object****Respondent:** Mr Jonathan Smith [15418]**19596****Object****Respondent:** Mr & Mrs R. S O Grant [18688]

Support

Summary of representations:

Two comments in support of site. Suggestions made the site is suitable, available, achievable and viable, and is deliverable within the first five years of the Greater Norwich Local Plan period. Documents submitted: Flood Risk and Drainage Feasibility Study, Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report, Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment, Initial design market square analysis and Phase 1 - Transport Strategy.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19638**Support****Respondent:** Clarion Homes [16844]**Agent:** Brown & Co (Mrs Tanya Laws, Secretary - Architectural & Planning) [18701]**19644****Support****Respondent:** Rampton Property Trust [18723]**Agent:** Bidwells (Mr Iain Hill, Partner) [16273]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0359R

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road infrastructure already stretched, traffic congestion and additional pressure on local services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17803

Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Read [17858]

Object

Summary of representations:

Horsford Parish Council objects to this site due to road congestion and disassociation with the rest of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17847

Object

Respondent: Horsford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13287]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and the site promoter is undertaking further work to assess the impact and mitigation opportunities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18567**Support**

Respondent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Agent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road infrastructure already stretched, traffic congestion and additional pressure on local services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18625**Object**

Respondent: Simon Tabiner [18308]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP2133

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the need to have a well thought out strategy for increasing retail and employment North of the NDR. This proposal could provide some relief for the city and problems with access if all the details prove sensible and sensitive to the requirements of the local area

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17362**Comment**

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17833**Object**

Respondent: Mr Stephen Read [17858]

Object

Summary of representations:

The Council objects and feels that the highway infrastructure would be a constraint and any residential development would be disconnected from the main part of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17848**Object**

Respondent: Horsford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13287]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding roads are at capacity, and adding more houses to the area, in the absence of additional road infrastructure would be wrong.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18629**Comment**

Respondent: Simon Tabiner [18308]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site should be taken forward as an allocation for employment-led mixed use development in the emerging Local Plan. Development on the site would meet all three objectives of sustainable development and would help drive economic growth across the district by 2036.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19293

Support

Respondent: Mrs Rachel Foley [16598]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr. Harry Ramsey) [16862]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP2154

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding traffic congestion and there are potential, existing sites in the village for additional retail solution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17837

Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Read [17858]

17849

Object

Respondent: Horsford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13287]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and The site promoter is undertaking further work to assess the impact and mitigation opportunities based on the assessment findings.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18568**Support**

Respondent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Agent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding traffic congestion and there are potential, existing sites in the village for additional retail solution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18630**Object**

Respondent: Simon Tabiner [18308]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP2160**Object****Summary of representations:**

The Council objects strongly to this proposal. It would represent complete over-development of Horsford. The existing highway infrastructure would be completely inadequate. The pleasant vistas highlighted in the Neighbourhood Plan would be lost and it would effectively create a second village disconnected from existing main settlements and with no village centre.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17850**Object**

Respondent: Horsford Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13287]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, services will need to be built, infrastructure, effect on the environment & wildlife and the strain on infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17851**Object**

Respondent: Mr Stephen Read [17858]

18633**Object**

Respondent: Simon Tabiner [18308]

18637

Object

Respondent: Mr Anthony Wilson [18315]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it is of strategic interest to Norfolk FA, especially given the proposal associated to the development of open space.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18753

Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the proximity of this site to Horsford Woods and Horsford Rifle Range County Wildlife Sites and are concerned at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19006

Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, services will need to be built, infrastructure, effect on the environment & wildlife and the strain on infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19168

Object

Respondent: Mr. Graham Johnson [17509]

19691

Object

Respondent: Neil Medler [18717]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional documents have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19774

Support

Respondent: Barratt David Wilson Homes [15660]

Agent: Savills (UK) Ltd (Rachael Morey, Planner) [16237]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLP0466R**Object****Summary of representations:**

The Council is opposed as they feel that there are sufficient industrial estates in the area already and this would increase traffic in an already heavily congested area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17225**Object**

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Support submitted for this site in additional documents.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19364**Support**

Respondent: Horsham Properties Ltd [18562]

Agent: Lawson Planning Partnership Ltd (Ms Kathryn Oelman, Associate Director) [17059]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Issues raised: 1) Retention of allocation; 2) Policy to airport restricted uses is not justified; 3) Policy wording should support comprehensive, flexible and viable opportunities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19561**Comment**

Respondent: Horsham Properties Ltd [16997]

Agent: Lawson Planning Partnership Ltd (Ms Kathryn Oelman, Associate Director) [17059]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLP2021

Object

Summary of representations:

The Council is opposed as this would be a settlement disconnected from the existing community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17226**Object**

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, traffic and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18453

Object

Respondent: L.F Novak [18205]

19198

Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLP2030

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding the possible effect on school places and, with the proximity to the school, the effect that this could have on possible future school expansion needs including recreational area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17228

Comment

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the proximity of this site to the Horsham Meadows CWS and are concerned at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19000**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the overall level of growth in the village. This needs to be commensurate with amenities available. There is no local appetite to become a suburb of Norwich

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19199**Comment**

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLP2141**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised by parish council regarding concern at the effect on the Manor Road/A140 junction and the poor transport links to employment areas.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17229**Comment**

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, access, out of proportion, lack of infrastructure, impacts on the environment and the quality of communal village life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17278**Object**

Respondent: Mr Colin Cousins [17544]

17652**Object**

Respondent: Judel Taylor [17787]

17901**Object**

Respondent: Reverend LAURENCE JANICKER [17655]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development, service provisions poor, parcel of land ref: GNLP2141 would, with already approved land commitments, leave a large proportion of Newton totally encapsulated by modern suburban sprawl.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17920

Comment

Respondent: Mr D Razzell [13528]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, access, out of proportion, lack of infrastructure, impacts on the environment and the quality of communal village life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17944

Object

Respondent: Mr D Razzell [13528]

18355

Object

Respondent: Mr David Huddleston [17840]

18499

Object

Respondent: Mrs Debra Manning [13629]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised due to development being unsuitable for highways reasons.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19201**Object**

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it would meet all three objectives of sustainable development, and would help to distribute housing allocations and delivery across the district.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19298**Support**

Respondent: Mrs Rachel Foley [16598]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr. Harry Ramsey) [16862]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, access, out of proportion, lack of infrastructure, impacts on the environment and the quality of communal village life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19428**Object**

Respondent: Mr Kevin Hegarty [18642]

19433**Object**

Respondent: Mr D Razzell [13528]

19434**Object**

Respondent: Mr D Razzell [13528]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLPSL2007**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site supplied additional reports as well as noting GNLPSL Settlement Summary suggests settlement boundaries will only be extended where development already exists on a site, employment area expansion a positive.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17230**Support**

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

19244**Support**

Respondent: Horsham Properties Ltd [18562]

Agent: Lawson Planning Partnership Ltd (Ms Kathryn Oelman, Associate Director) [17059]

Comment**Summary of representations:**

Comment raised regarding the settlement boundary to be extended around the whole allocation, or 'L' block as a minimum.

Response:

Comments submitted made will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19560**Comment**

Respondent: Horsham Properties Ltd [16997]

Agent: Lawson Planning Partnership Ltd (Ms Kathryn Oelman, Associate Director) [17059]

Broadland, Broadland - Marsham, GNLP2143**Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17177**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Seaman [15781]

Object

Summary of representations:

The parish council are opposing the plans and would like to obtain existing settlement limits and not to have infill.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18524**Object**

Respondent: Marsham Parish Council (Ms Natasha Carver, Clerk) [12794]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Noble Foods regarding more suitable brownfield sites within Marsham that can come forward for development. Constraints with this site include townscape, heritage and ecological impacts and sewerage infrastructure capacity. Development of this site may involve rerouting public footpaths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18869**Comment**

Respondent: Noble Foods [18419]

Agent: Carter Jonas (Miss Alison Cheetham, Planner) [18410]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP2092

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding the proximity of this site to Paine's Yard Wood, The Owlery & March Covert CWS and are concerned at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19001**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding continuous development from Norwich City boundary to Salhouse, fail to maintain strategic gap, damage of landscape settings and conflicting JCS policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19526**Comment**

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the site allocation not complying with their neighbourhood plan policies. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19585**Object**

Respondent: Great & Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Clerk) [14154]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP2166

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the proximity of this site to Paine's Yard Wood, The Owlery & March Covert CWS and are concerned at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19007**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding continuous development from Norwich City, fail to maintain strategic gap, damage landscape settings and JCS policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19525**Comment**

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the site proposal not conforming to the neighbourhood plan. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19586**Object**

Respondent: Great & Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Clerk) [14154]

Broadland, Broadland - Reedham, GNLP2151

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the site is infill plot within existing line of development. No visibility from public viewpoints Broads and views across locate site within existing building line. Unlikely to impact adversely on Broads in terms of heritage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19215**Comment**

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Broadland, Broadland - Reedham, GNLP2175**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding the site being high quality agricultural land and use of brownfield sites instead.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17832**Object**

Respondent: Cate Ford [17878]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP2026**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding the unsuitable location with poor infrastructure and unsuitable road networks. The addition of x5 homes on this potential site would only increase the traffic problem we already have on The Moor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17018**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Bygrave [17363]

17412**Object**

Respondent: Mr Hugh Ivins [14963]

Object

Summary of representations:

Reepham Town Council object to this site as it is on a narrow road with high banks with no opportunity to widen the road. There are highway concerns regarding access onto Cawston Road and there is no reasonable walking / cycle route into Reepham Town Centre.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17821**Object**

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust which note the proximity of this site to the Marriot's Way CWS and are concerned at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

18998**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP2075**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised regarding loss of agricultural land, road safety issues, access and infrastructure, the cable route of the Norfolk Vanguard project, Vattenfall's offshore wind farm project that has just been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate for DCO crosses this proposed site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16842**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Judy Holland [13093]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16872**Object**

Respondent: Mr Jaime Mallett [17216]

16976**Object**

Respondent: Mr Michael Wyard [14318]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding loss of agricultural land, road safety issues, access and infrastructure, the cable route of the Norfolk Vanguard project, Vattenfall's offshore wind farm project that has just been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate for DCO crosses this proposed site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17292**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17413**Object**

Respondent: Mr Hugh Ivins [14963]

Object

Summary of representations:

Reepham Town Council object to this site as it is the furthest away from the centre of Reepham and even further from the schools. There are no footpaths or access to the Town Centre. There would be an increase in traffic as a result. The site has already been earmarked as a cable route for the wind farm project so would be restricted for development. The Town Council have already identified better sites for development in Reepham.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18663

Object

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Broadland, Broadland - Strumpshaw, GNLPSL0006

Object

Summary of representations:

Strumpshaw Parish Council objects strongly to this proposal. The site is adjacent to the Huntsman Pub which has closed due to repeated flooding. The site is therefore unsuitable for housing. Strumpshaw has no shop, no school, only one pub and very limited public transport so is not suitable for additional housing. The site is outside the settlement limit in the Strumpshaw Neighbourhood Plan. There can be no good reason to extend the settlement limit.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17255

Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site outside settlement boundary, currently an unattended meadow which encourages local wildlife. Building will change character of village ripping out more hedgerows and trees.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19391**Object**

Respondent: Jo Archer [17211]

Broadland, Broadland - Strumpshaw, GNLP2017

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site being outside the Neighbourhood Plan. Unsuitable due to road safety issues, access and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17253**Object**

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Broadland, Broadland - Strumpshaw, GNLP2071**Object****Summary of representations:**

Parish Council objects strongly to this proposal. The site is adjacent to the Huntsman Pub which has closed due to repeated flooding. The site is therefore unsuitable for housing. Strumpshaw has no shop, no school, only one pub and very limited public transport so is not suitable for additional housing. The site is outside the settlement limit in the Strumpshaw Neighbourhood Plan

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17254**Object**

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Comment**Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as there would be suitable access. Ground instability, sewerage infrastructure and ecology are not an issue.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18911**Comment**

Respondent: MDPC Town Planning (Mr Malcolm Dixon, Director & Planning Consultant) [12970]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objects raised regarding privacy for existing residents. The site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19397**Object**

Respondent: Jo Archer [17211]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP2047

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding this plot could accommodate 5 dwellings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17658**Comment**

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (x Taverham Councillors x, x) [17789]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for residential development of up to 5 dwellings.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19566**Support**

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP2050

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding it was felt that this plot could accommodate a residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17660**Comment**

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (x Taverham Councillors x, x) [17789]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increased traffic issues and settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18588**Object**

Respondent: Dr. & Mrs. Jonathan & Maura Mould [17220]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP2051

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding damage to the local landscape, access, loss of open green space, damage to wildlife habitats and further intrusion into and despoliation of the countryside in/around the existing settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17376**Object**

Respondent: Mr Michael Munday [17573]

17398**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Wilson [17114]

17475**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jane Bulmer [12529]

17514**Object**

Respondent: Benjamin Eggleston [17671]

17626**Object**

Respondent: Mr Alan Haines [13329]

17627**Object**

Respondent: Carol Haines [15731]

17646**Object**

Respondent: Mr Len Tate [17076]

17650**Object**

Respondent: AJ Palmer [17786]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised opposing the development of this site. This site is in the Wensum Valley, a Special Area of Conservation and SSSI. It was commented the road network couldn't sustain this number of houses.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17661**Comment**

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (x Taverham Councillors x, x) [17789]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding damage to the local landscape, access, loss of open green space, damage to wildlife habitats and further intrusion into and despoliation of the countryside in/around the existing settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17688**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Gregory [17799]

17751**Object**

Respondent: Ms Sally Baker [17830]

17909**Object**

Respondent: Brenda Schofield [15393]

17977**Object**

Respondent: Mr. Alexander Mann [15338]

18051**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Susan Mace [13613]

18071**Object**

Respondent: Mr Geoff Carruth [14652]

18102**Object**

Respondent: Dr Robert Bulmer [18005]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding damage to the local landscape, access, loss of open green space, damage to wildlife habitats and further intrusion into and despoliation of the countryside in/around the existing settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18103**Object**

Respondent: Taverham Road Action Group (Members of TRAG, Co-ordinators) [18007]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding damage to the local landscape, access, loss of open green space, damage to wildlife habitats and further intrusion into and despoliation of the countryside in/around the existing settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18125**Object**

Respondent: Mr James Barnard [18016]

18190**Object**

Respondent: Robert Tickner [17217]

18203**Object**

Respondent: Mr anil AHUJA [18033]

18204	Object
Respondent: Mr anil AHUJA [18033]	
18218	Object
Respondent: Dr Robert Fiddy [18020]	
18500	Object
Respondent: Dr. & Mrs. Jonathan & Maura Mould [17220]	
18545	Object
Respondent: Mr Kevin Sale [17079]	
18647	Object
Respondent: Mrs Mary Bishop [17062]	
18683	Object
Respondent: Mr Glen Bloom [15833]	
18688	Object
Respondent: Mr Manning Buckle [17113]	
18772	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Roe [17112]	
18774	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Roe [17112]	
18866	Object
Respondent: Mrs D Bruce [17212]	

18928

Object

Respondent: D Brighten [18438]

19152

Object

Respondent: Mr Glen Bloom [15833]

19203

Object

Respondent: Mrs Lyn Cowan [15828]

19309

Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Mayhew [18568]

19564

Object

Respondent: Michael Mould [18676]

19645

Object

Respondent: Miss Janet Cook [18649]

19652

Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP2106**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pedestrian and road safety issues, future responsibility of sewage pumping station, access, drainage, neighbourhood character, requirement for ecological surveys and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16852**Object**

Respondent: mr stephen cozens [15074]

16856**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Leila Jeffries [17207]

17215**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Lucy Scott [17505]

17375**Object**

Respondent: Mr Michael Munday [17573]

17399**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Wilson [17114]

17435**Object**

Respondent: Mr Les Gray [13291]

17478**Object**

Respondent: Mr Anthony Brandish [17650]

17628

Object

Respondent: Carol Haines [15731]

17629

Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Haines [13329]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments from Taverham Parish Council opposed development of this site. This site is in the Wensum Valley, a Special Area of Conservation and SSSI. It was commented the road network couldn't sustain this number of houses.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17662

Comment

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (x Taverham Councillors x, x) [17789]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pedestrian and road safety issues, future responsibility of sewage pumping station, access, drainage, neighbourhood character, requirement for ecological surveys and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17701	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Mathews [15789]	
17735	Object
Respondent: Miles & Penny Clayden [17829]	
17743	Object
Respondent: Mr Antony Bayliss [17532]	
17791	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
17794	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Noble [17854]	
17815	Object
Respondent: The Gorrod and Topp families . [17867]	
17830	Object
Respondent: Mr Eric Collins [17877]	
17910	Object
Respondent: Brenda Schofield [15393]	
17916	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Hunt [16840]	
17945	Object
Respondent: Eddie & Glenis Balls [17919]	
17968	Object
Respondent: Mrs Grace Rolph [17934]	

17992	Object
Respondent: Mr. Alexander Mann [15338]	
18044	Object
Respondent: William Moore [17979]	
18182	Object
Respondent: Mr Steve Elvin [17629]	
18193	Object
Respondent: Robert Tickner [17217]	
18205	Object
Respondent: Mr anil AHUJA [18033]	
18562	Object
Respondent: W.P & B.E Moore [18280]	
18581	Object
Respondent: Dr. & Mrs. Jonathan & Maura Mould [17220]	
18686	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Bulmer [12529]	
18689	Object
Respondent: Dr Robert Bulmer [18005]	
18693	Object
Respondent: Benjamin Eggleston [17671]	

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised from Taverham Road Action Group regarding moving of settlement line, loss of agricultural land, increase of traffic, poor sight lines, danger to pedestrians and flooding concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18700

Object

Respondent: Taverham Road Action Group (Members of TRAG, Co-ordinators) [18007]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pedestrian and road safety issues, future responsibility of sewage pumping station, access, drainage, neighbourhood character, requirement for ecological surveys and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18983

Object

Respondent: Mr Len Tate [17076]

18984

Object

Respondent: Mr Len Tate [17076]

19041

Object

Respondent: mr Tim Nash [18480]

19045 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Ian Lindsay [17572]

19066 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Brandish [18489]

19068 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Stuart Smith [18484]

19072 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Brandish [18489]

19156 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Martin Hurrell [18538]

19237 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Manning Buckle [17113]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Drayton Parish Council stating this site would have a detrimental impact on Drayton, additional vehicle movements unacceptable, serious surface water flooding issues, proximity to County Wildlife and Special Scientific.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19291 **Object**

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pedestrian and road safety issues, future responsibility of sewage pumping station, access, drainage, neighbourhood character, requirement for ecological surveys and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19445

Object

Respondent: Miss Janet Cook [18649]

19500

Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

19519

Object

Respondent: Karl Tallowin [18670]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site submitted supporting evidence that include highways assessment and access proposal drawing, flood risk/surface water drainage strategy, foul sewage and utilities drainage strategy, strategic ecological assessment and an indicative site plan.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19540

Support

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, pedestrian and road safety issues, future responsibility of sewage pumping station, access, drainage, neighbourhood character, requirement for ecological surveys and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19565

Object

Respondent: Michael Mould [18676]

19570

Object

Respondent: Dr. Roderick Barclay [18678]

19575

Object

Respondent: Carly Howes [18679]

Broadland, Broadland - Thorpe St Andrew, GNLP2170**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. Norfolk FA are supportive of residential development in Thorpe St Andrew, on the proviso S106 contributions are considered to support the development of existing football facilities situated in the Town.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18754**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by Sport England state that the area of playing field that is lost as a result of proposed development should be replaced prior to commencement of works by a new area of play field that is of equivalent or better quality/quantity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19285**Object**

Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and would present the opportunity to deliver additional units to assist Broadland District Council in achieving their 5 Year Housing Land Supply. Additional capacity available at both sites should also be considered in the HELAA, alongside that of the Langley North and Langley South sites.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19448

Support

Respondent: Quantum Land (Hannah Leary) [18595]

19542

Support

Respondent: Barton Willmore (Sharon Lowin) [18673]

Broadland, Broadland - Thorpe St Andrew, GNLP2171

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Norfolk FA are supportive of residential development in Thorpe St Andrew, on the proviso S106 contributions are considered to support the development of existing football facilities situated in the Town.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18755

Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and present the opportunity to deliver additional units to assist Broadland District Council in achieving their 5 Year Housing Land Supply. The additional capacity available at both sites should also be considered in the HELAA, alongside that of the Langley North and Langley South sites..

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19449

Support

Respondent: Quantum Land (Hannah Leary) [18595]

19543

Support

Respondent: Barton Willmore (Sharon Lowin) [18673]

Broadland, Broadland - Woodbastick, GNLP2180

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding pedestrian and road safety issues, access and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16850

Object

Respondent: Miss Katie Jarvis [14601]

17097

Object

Respondent: Mr Desmond O'Brien [17425]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Broads Authority that the site is separated by B1140 and existing development which provides screening. Unlikely to impact adversely on Broads in terms of heritage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19218

Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Broadland, Broadland - Wroxham, GNLP2131

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Wroxham Parish Council concerning disruption of vistas and traffic access issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18579

Object

Respondent: Wroxham Parish Council (Ms Clare Male, Clerk) [13623]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Norfolk FA are supportive of residential development in Wroxham, on the proviso of open space infrastructure associated to this development taking into consideration strategic local football infrastructure needs.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18757**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Salhouse Parish Council in regards to size of expansion, visual impact on area, Salhouse becoming surrounded by development towards Wroxham, settlement gaps and policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19523**Comment**

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it relates to the existing built form, in close proximity to the shops and amenities, and has appropriate access to services.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19567**Support**

Respondent: Hopkins Homes Limited (Stuart Williamson) [18677]

Broadland, Broadland - Wroxham, GNLP2135

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site to create a more viable community for Wherry Gardens.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18580**Support**

Respondent: Wroxham Parish Council (Ms Clare Male, Clerk) [13623]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Norfolk FA are supportive of residential development in Wroxham, on the proviso of open space infrastructure associated to this development taking into consideration strategic local football infrastructure needs.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18758**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised saying the extension to existing new development would be remote from Broads, with no visibility from Broads area. Unlikely to impact adversely on Broads in terms of heritage. Suggest that pedestrian cycle access from Salhouse Road and along Salhouse Road should be provided.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19219**Comment**

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Salhouse Parish Council regarding scale of development, visual impact, failure to maintain strategic gap and policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19524**Comment**

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Hopkins Homes. The site is considered suitable for development as there are no constraints which would preclude development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19568**Support**

Respondent: Hopkins Homes Limited (Stuart Williamson) [18677]

Norwich, Norwich, GNLP0409R

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted regarding the site potentially providing opportunities for place making and improvements to the public realm, as well as reinforcing the character of the natural environment to public. Open space at the riverside rather than having buildings constructed close to the bank. Would also like to see a feature made of the heritage with open space in that area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19224**Comment**

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as noted in the conclusions of the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA) Addendum. The site is suitable, available and achievable for residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19573**Support**

Respondent: Jarrold and Sons and Hill Residential Ltd [17014]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

Norwich, Norwich, GNLP2120**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. Issues raised by the HELAA assessment can be addressed through the considered design of the site at a detailed planning application stage.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18886**Comment**

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Norwich, Norwich, GNLP2123**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17685**Object**

Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]

18038**Object**

Respondent: Alastair Grieve [17975]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for its biodiversity, wildlife and recreation. Development near Sainsbury Centre would detract from its Grade II iconic status.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18094

Object

Respondent: Norwich Liberal Democrats (Cllr Judith Lubbock, City Councillor) [18000]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18417

Object

Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Armstrong [17098]

18470

Object

Respondent: June Gentle [18218]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Issues raised by the HELAA assessment can be addressed through detailed design of a scheme on this site, as part of any planning application process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18887**Comment**

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. The proposed use for the University is far too vague.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18914**Object**

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust due to the loss of Heronry & Violet Grove County Wildlife Site, site should be removed.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18990**Object**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19389**Object**

Respondent: Mr Christopher Groves [16835]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised from Norfolk and Norwich Transport Action Group regarding the further intrusion on the Yare Valley, loss of green space and failure to protect the natural environment and its biodiversity.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19396

Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Ms Denise Carlo, Norwich City Councillor Green Party) [12781]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19532

Object

Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]

19607

Object

Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]

Norwich, Norwich, GNLP2137

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the Broads Authority regarding potential opportunities to improve the relationship between the development, public and natural environment. Opportunity to enhance heritage and the relationship with the River.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19223**Comment**

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted by Norfolk and Norwich Transport Action Group in support of site, especially for new housing with low levels of car parking.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19405**Support**

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Ms Denise Carlo, Norwich City Councillor Green Party) [12781]

Norwich, Norwich, GNLP2159

Support

Summary of representations:

Some errors identified in the HELAA.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

18288**Support**

Respondent: Dacre Property Holdings [18117]

Agent: Bidwells (Mrs Sarah Hornbrook, Associate) [14444]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Aldeby, GNLPSL0014

Support

Summary of representations:

Support for the site noting that it is an infill site with sensible planning advice and cannot be seen as proposal for different settlement boundary due to past history.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17024**Support**

Respondent: Mr Thomas Lindsay Browne [12517]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP2182

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding use of site for housing due to exiting problems with construction traffic, vacant properties and over allowance of sites in area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19563**Object**

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP2182

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by South Norfolk Council regarding a low surface water flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17047**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19413**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ian Thurlow [18619]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding use of site for housing due to exiting problems with construction traffic, vacant properties and over allowance of sites in area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19775**Object**

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLPSL0017

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised to development in village as a whole due to its scale and traffic pressures.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19414

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Thurlow [18619]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding use of site for housing due to existing problems with construction traffic, vacant properties and over allowance of sites in area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19776

Object

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Aslacton, GNLP2005

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns about sewage, access, nature conservation, parking, noise, loss of trees and poor public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17620

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Freemantle [17765]

18167

Object

Respondent: Mrs Christine Douglass [18052]

18168

Object

Respondent: Mr Darren Douglass [18023]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding traffic safety, nature of housing not identified, age-range of occupants, services/facilities and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18960

Comment

Respondent: E Fielding [18452]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections made by parish council stating the site does not conform to the manner which the Parish has elected to development, it is outside the development boundary and concerns raised about the already overloaded sewage system.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18962

Object

Respondent: Aslacton Parish Council (Mrs J F Webb, Clerk) [14215]

18967

Object

Respondent: Aslacton Parish Council (Mrs J F Webb, Clerk) [14215]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Aslacton, GNLP2118

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns about sewage, access, traffic, nature conservation, parking, noise and loss of trees.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17619

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Freemantle [17765]

17991

Object

Respondent: Lord and L Ronald and Norma Bond [17952]

18164**Object****Respondent:** Mr Darren Douglass [18023]**18166****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Christine Douglass [18052]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding traffic safety, nature of housing not identified, age-range of occupants, services/facilities and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18961**Comment****Respondent:** E Fielding [18452]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections made by the parish council state the site does not conform to the manner which the Parish has elected to development, it is outside the development boundary and concerns raised over parking and planning permissions already agreed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18976**Object**

Respondent: Aslacton Parish Council (Mrs J F Webb, Clerk) [14215]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barnham Broom, GNLP2089****Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding suitability, infrastructure, proximity to SSSI and Yare Valley, loss of agriculture land, flooding, rural character, foul drainage, services, traffic and pollution problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16894**Object**

Respondent: Mr (Mr Tom Palmer) [17237]**Agent:** Mr (Mr Tom Palmer) [17237]

16902**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Barbara Worland [15090]

16949**Object**

Respondent: Ms Alex Gordon-Jones [17300]

16953**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Liz Jelley [17273]

16954**Object**

Respondent: Mr Robin Palmer [17311]

16956**Object**

Respondent: Ms Janine Wye [17224]

16970**Object****Respondent:** Mr Derek Handford [17305]

17017**Object****Respondent:** Mr Adrian Leake [17362]

17042**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Janet Palmer [17380]

17043**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Janet Palmer [17380]

17060**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Sue Price [17255]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment regarding village location, access, light and noise pollution, wildlife and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17190**Comment****Respondent:** Mr Christopher Taylor [17323]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding suitability, infrastructure, proximity to SSSI and Yare Valley, loss of agriculture land, flooding, rural character, foul drainage, services, traffic and pollution problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17202**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ralph Leake [17287]

17436**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rosalind Palmer [17439]

17504**Object**

Respondent: Ms Nikki Horn [17666]

17515**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

17655**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ralph Leake [17287]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections from the parish council around increase in traffic and poor infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17770

Object

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding suitability, infrastructure, proximity to SSSI and Yare Valley, loss of agriculture land, flooding, rural character, foul drainage, services, traffic and pollution problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18130

Object

Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]

19204

Object

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

19416

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Thurlow [18619]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barnham Broom, GNLP2110

Support

Summary of representations:

The parish council supports the proposal stating that road side frontage would join up the village, council would only support if appropriate design achieved.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17771**Support**

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support for the site regarding access vision splay, no known ecological features, closest listed building not visible from site, available immediately and would help to connect the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17990**Support**

Respondent: Andy Allen [17826]

Agent: Strutt and Parker (Mr Tom Charles) [17825]

18132**Support**

Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bawburgh, GNLP2043

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17310**Support**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the town council regarding areas for specific use and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17426**Comment**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access, pollution, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18110

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Alderson [17057]

19206

Object

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLPSL0007

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by parish council. The site is considered the best small site as it will extend the hamlet and already has good sewerage and drainage systems and no effects of views of the church.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18249

Support

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments that this site and number of proposed dwellings is the most appropriate for Bergh Apton regarding the form and character of the village

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19546**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedje [15308]

Comment

Summary of representations:

General comments raised by parish council regarding lack of appropriate infrastructure to support further estate development and that development should be focused around Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19746**Comment**

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP2015

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17476**Object**

Respondent: mr arron howard [17648]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by parish council. The site is considered suitable for development as it will create a hamlet and has good access to mains drainage and church views will not be obstructed.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18240**Support**

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18523**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Cushing [15622]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the HELAA assessment as they do not agree with the comments. The very nature of Bergh Apton is, that it is spread out. Would create a little hamlet. There would be no effect on views of the church.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

19547**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]

Comment

Summary of representations:

General comments raised by parish council regarding lack of appropriate infrastructure to support further estate development and that development should be focused around Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19747

Comment

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP2022

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised concerns regarding felling of mature trees to achieve housing density stated, inadequate roads, no mains drainage, poor broadband, impacts on wildlife and the environment and impacts of climate change. Concern the development would change the Conservation's Area's character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18211

Object

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

18483

Object

Respondent: Mrs Hilary Ling [15253]

18484**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Hilary Ling [15253]

18526**Object****Respondent:** Mr Richard Cushing [15622]

19196**Object****Respondent:** Mr Christopher Johnson [18549]

19251**Object****Respondent:** mr keith mann [16750]

19356**Object****Respondent:** John Ling [15217]

Comment

Summary of representations:

General comments raised by parish council regarding lack of appropriate infrastructure to support further estate development and that development should be focused around Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19748**Comment****Respondent:** Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP2023

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding no mains drainage, felling of mature streets, telecoms already at capacity and poor and road safety. One comment supports the comments made in the HELAA.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18250

Object

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

18527

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Cushing [15622]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Norfolk Wildlife Trust are concerned at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18997

Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding no mains drainage, felling of mature streets, telecoms already at capacity and poor and road safety. One comment supports the comments made in the HELAA.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19260

Object

Respondent: mr keith mann [16750]

19372

Object

Respondent: John Ling [15217]

Comment

Summary of representations:

General comments raised by parish council regarding lack of appropriate infrastructure to support further estate development and that development should be focused around Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19749

Comment

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP2117

Object

Summary of representations:

Site forms part of centuries old parkland, no planning permission ever been given for any modern housing north of Cookes Road, single track no passing places, it is a greenfield site, nearest school is over 1 mile away and no safe route to it.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18236

Object

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding accessibility to services, site is adjacent to village hall, infrequent bus service, drainage issues, change the character of the village, impacts to the environment and wildlife, inadequate roads, no mains drainage, poor air quality, flood risk, access, the site adjoins a Grade 2 listed manor, greenfield site and the nearest school is over 1 mile away.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18505

Object

Respondent: mrs Sandra Schroder [15583]

18529

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Cushing [15622]

19015**Object**

Respondent: Mr Kip Bertram [15257]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the HELAA stating that there are enough service provisions and also heritage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

19240**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding accessibility to services, site is adjacent to village hall, infrequent bus service, drainage issues, change the character of the village, impacts to the environment and wildlife, inadequate roads, no mains drainage, poor air quality, flood risk, access, the site adjoins a Grade 2 listed manor, greenfield site and the nearest school is over 1 mile away.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19325**Object**

Respondent: John Ling [15217]

19370**Object**

Respondent: mr keith mann [16750]

19610**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Finegan [16718]

19654**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections by parish council relating to sites being previously rejected, forming part of old parkland, no planning permission of modern housing ever granted to north, poor infrastructure, greenfield site, school is over 1 mile away.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19750**Comment**

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP2087

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site allocation on the grounds that transport assessment has been undertaken demonstrating safe access can be achieved. It will also address ecology, site drainage and potential landscapes impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19013**Support**

Respondent: Mr Kian Saedi [14837]

Agent: Mr Kian Saedi [14837]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made by the Swardeston Parish council included they thought the scale, plans and designs were good however had concerns regarding drainage. Access into Cuckoofield Lane was considered sensible but serious concerns were raised about pedestrian access to Mulbarton.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19558**Comment**

Respondent: Swardeston Parish Council (Mrs C Jowett, Clerk) [13212]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP2097

Support

Summary of representations:

Swardeston parish council are in support of commercial development in these locations, because the area would benefit from the development and a logical extension.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19557**Support**

Respondent: Swardeston Parish Council (Mrs C Jowett, Clerk) [13212]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP2109

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding the proximity to the Hethel Wood CWS and ecological impacts on housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19002**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Support

Summary of representations:

Swardeston parish council are in support of commercial development in these locations, because the area would benefit from the development and a logical extension.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19556**Support**

Respondent: Swardeston Parish Council (Mrs C Jowett, Clerk) [13212]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bressingham, GNLP2052

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by South Norfolk Council regarding flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17050**Object**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns traffic congestion, linear developments, dangerous access, lack of infrastructure, pollution, lack of public transport, destruction of agricultural land, lack of amenities, sewage & drainage, poor roads, loss of greenbelt, flood risk and Anglian water pumping house for sewage is full. Other concerns include lack of pavements, limited services and environmental issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17084 Object

Respondent: mrs hazel dormer [15777]

17087 Object

Respondent: mr karl traynier [17421]

17089 Object

Respondent: ms anna nicolopolu [17422]

17091 Object

Respondent: mr daniel richardson [17423]

17128 Object

Respondent: mrs grace leeper [17448]

17132 Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Wickenden [17449]

17134 Object

Respondent: Mrs Michelle Wickenden [17450]

17159

Object

Respondent: ms sally pankhurst [17466]

17163

Object

Respondent: mr adam pankhurst [17469]

17165

Object

Respondent: mrs elaine attwood [17471]

17168

Object

Respondent: mrs sue cattermole [17472]

17170

Object

Respondent: mrs suzanne fenn [17473]

17172

Object

Respondent: mr aubrey fenn [17474]

17186

Object

Respondent: mrs sheena hubbard [17480]

17263

Object

Respondent: ms Tonya Manning [17534]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised on environmental issues, lack of public transport, children facilities, road flooding, heavy vehicle road use, road safety and small sewage works struggles to cope.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17267**Comment**

Respondent: ms anna goodwin [17537]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns traffic congestion, linear developments, dangerous access, lack of infrastructure, pollution, lack of public transport, destruction of agricultural land, lack of amenities, sewage & drainage, poor roads, loss of greenbelt, flood risk and Anglian water pumping house for sewage is full. Other concerns include lack of pavements, limited services and environmental issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17268**Object**

Respondent: ms anna goodwin [17537]

17270**Object**

Respondent: mr Tim Doggett [17539]

17273**Object****Respondent:** ms Tonya Manning [17534]**17374****Object****Respondent:** Dr Amanda McMurray [17545]**17524****Object****Respondent:** Mr Shaun Collins [17674]**17609****Object****Respondent:** Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]**17725****Object****Respondent:** Mr Ronald Leeper [17823]**17731****Object****Respondent:** Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted to support site GNLP2052. It is a small village and cannot take the scale of development proposed however is in need for affordable housing.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17752**Support****Respondent:** Mrs Jess Bowman [17761]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns traffic congestion, linear developments, dangerous access, lack of infrastructure, pollution, lack of public transport, destruction of agricultural land, lack of amenities, sewage & drainage, poor roads, loss of greenbelt, flood risk and Anglian water pumping house for sewage is full. Other concerns include lack of pavements, limited services and environmental issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17804

Object

Respondent: miss patricia willis [17862]

17869

Object

Respondent: Ms Kim Burr [17893]

17872

Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Lawrence [17894]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments by parish council noting the site location is actually to the west of The Street and fully endorse site as unsuitable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18085**Object**

Respondent: Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns traffic congestion, linear developments, dangerous access, lack of infrastructure, pollution, lack of public transport, destruction of agricultural land, lack of amenities, sewage & drainage, poor roads, loss of greenbelt, flood risk and Anglian water pumping house for sewage is full. Other concerns include lack of pavements, limited services and environmental issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18105**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Kate Thurston [18009]

18107**Object**

Respondent: Mr Mike Bass [18010]

18156**Object**

Respondent: ms Laura Gosman [18046]

18158**Object**

Respondent: Ms Debbie Gosman [18048]

18160**Object**

Respondent: Mr Nick Gosman [18049]

18162**Object**

Respondent: Ms Sophie Gosman [18050]

18224**Object****Respondent:** Mrs. Christine Crawley [17581]

18321**Object****Respondent:** MRS KAY BROCK [17871]

19183**Object****Respondent:** mrs mandy Thomson [18548]

19655**Object****Respondent:** G Harvey [18687]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bressingham, GNLP2053****Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by district and parish council regarding flooding and that the site is unsuitable for allocation.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17051**Object****Respondent:** South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns about flood risk, dangerous access, poor road conditions, sewage, drainage, environmental and ecological harm and pollution. High concern for pedestrians and cyclists, lack of public transport, infrastructure and amenities. Safety issues are also expressed with no street lighting, poor phone connectivity and Wi-Fi.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17086**Object**

Respondent: mrs hazel dormer [15777]

17088**Object**

Respondent: mr karl traynier [17421]

17090**Object**

Respondent: ms anna nicolopolu [17422]

17092**Object**

Respondent: mr daniel richardson [17423]

17133**Object**

Respondent: Mr Mark Wickenden [17449]

17135**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Michelle Wickenden [17450]

17161**Object**

Respondent: ms sally pankhurst [17466]

17164	Object
Respondent: mr adam pankhurst [17469]	
17166	Object
Respondent: mrs elaine attwood [17471]	
17169	Object
Respondent: mrs sue cattermole [17472]	
17171	Object
Respondent: mrs suzanne fenn [17473]	
17173	Object
Respondent: mr aubrey fenn [17474]	
17187	Object
Respondent: mrs sheena hubbard [17480]	
17265	Object
Respondent: ms Tonya Manning [17534]	
17269	Object
Respondent: ms anna goodwin [17537]	
17271	Object
Respondent: mr Tim Doggett [17539]	
17274	Object
Respondent: ms Tonya Manning [17534]	
17373	Object
Respondent: Dr Amanda McMurray [17545]	

17525**Object****Respondent:** Mr Shaun Collins [17674]

17614**Object****Respondent:** Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]

17726**Object****Respondent:** Mr Ronald Leeper [17823]

17732**Object****Respondent:** Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]

17753**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Jess Bowman [17761]

17867**Object****Respondent:** Ms Kim Burr [17893]

17871**Object****Respondent:** Mr Christopher Lawrence [17894]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by district and parish council regarding flooding and that the site is unsuitable for allocation.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18086**Object****Respondent:** Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns about flood risk, dangerous access, poor road conditions, sewage, drainage, environmental and ecological harm and pollution. High concern for pedestrians and cyclists, lack of public transport, infrastructure and amenities. Safety issues are also expressed with no street lighting, poor phone connectivity and Wi-Fi.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18106**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Kate Thurston [18009]

18108**Object**

Respondent: Mr Mike Bass [18010]

18157**Object**

Respondent: ms Laura Gosman [18046]

18159**Object**

Respondent: Ms Debbie Gosman [18048]

18161**Object**

Respondent: Mr Nick Gosman [18049]

18163**Object**

Respondent: Ms Sophie Gosman [18050]

18225**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Christine Crawley [17581]

18320**Object****Respondent:** MRS KAY BROCK [17871]**19187****Object****Respondent:** mrs mandy Thomson [18548]**19656****Object****Respondent:** G Harvey [18687]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bressingham, GNLP2054****Mixed****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding concerns about flooding (particularly school road), large vehicles using the already dangerous narrow roads, traffic congestion, access, archaeological needs and lack of infrastructure and amenities. Doctors and schools are already over-stretched and the proposed developments will be damaging environmentally.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17526**Object****Respondent:** Mr Shaun Collins [17674]**17733****Object****Respondent:** Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]**17767****Comment****Respondent:** Mr John Stephenson [17827]**17808****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Susan Hulme [17864]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding flooding, water damage to paths, narrow roads, parking and junction with poor vision.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18087

Object

Respondent: Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns about flooding (particularly school road), large vehicles using the already dangerous narrow roads, traffic congestion, access, archaeological needs and lack of infrastructure and amenities. Doctors and schools are already over-stretched and the proposed developments will be damaging environmentally.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18172

Object

Respondent: Mr David Patman [18051]

18173

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Young [18053]

18242

Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Falk [17593]

18289	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alison Dumbell [18120]	
18300	Object
Respondent: mr john kemp [17580]	
18319	Object
Respondent: MRS KAY BROCK [17871]	
18429	Object
Respondent: Miss Anna Fox [18189]	
18434	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Dowse [18197]	
18447	Object
Respondent: Mr Sam Pardoe [18203]	
18456	Object
Respondent: Margaret Noorlander [18212]	
18492	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Hulme [18226]	
18825	Object
Respondent: Ann Betts [18378]	
18956	Object
Respondent: Robert Weeks [18443]	
18965	Object
Respondent: Anthea Blake [18455]	

19145 Object

Respondent: miss laura collins [18420]

19442 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Webster [18648]

19470 Object

Respondent: Mrs Bernadette Russell [18663]

19477 Object

Respondent: Mrs Alison Dumbell [18120]

19581 Object

Respondent: Mr Geraint Thomas [18634]

19657 Object

Respondent: G Harvey [18687]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bressingham, GNLP2056

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by district and parish council regarding flooding and unsuitability of site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17052 Object

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of amenities, services and infrastructure within a 3 mile radius. The site proposed here increases CO2 emissions by 26.5tonnes/year with no benefits. Other concerns include flood risk, poor connectivity, no street lighting, no main sewers and poor roads and access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17293**Object**

Respondent: Dr Amanda McMurray [17545]

17527**Object**

Respondent: Mr Shaun Collins [17674]

17616**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]

17617**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]

17734**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Sumpter [17494]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by district and parish council regarding flooding and unsuitability of site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18088

Object

Respondent: Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of amenities, services and infrastructure within a 3 mile radius. The site proposed here increases CO2 emissions by 26.5tonnes/year with no benefits. Other concerns include flood risk, poor connectivity, no street lighting, no main sewers and poor roads and access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18151

Object

Respondent: mrs hazel dormer [15777]

18226

Object

Respondent: Mrs. Christine Crawley [17581]

18318

Object

Respondent: MRS KAY BROCK [17871]

19065**Object**

Respondent: Mr Christopher Lawrence [17894]

19067**Object**

Respondent: Ms Kim Burr [17893]

19658**Object**

Respondent: G Harvey [18687]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bressingham, GNLP2057

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, road safety, access to A1066, environmental impacts, noise pollution. Other concerns include lack of amenities such as Doctors, Dentists, Schools, and Shops and does not have a post office. The land currently holds agricultural benefits.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17528**Object**

Respondent: Mr Shaun Collins [17674]

17807**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Susan Hulme [17864]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding access and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18089**Object**

Respondent: Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, road safety, access to A1066, environmental impacts, noise pollution. Other concerns include lack of amenities such as Doctors, Dentists, Schools, and Shops and does not have a post office. The land currently holds agricultural benefits.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18174**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Patman [18051]

18175**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Young [18053]

18245**Object**

Respondent: Mr Brian Falk [17593]

18317

Object

Respondent: MRS KAY BROCK [17871]

18462

Object

Respondent: Irene Towne [17586]

18490

Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Hulme [18226]

19146

Object

Respondent: miss laura collins [18420]

19443

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Webster [18648]

19469

Object

Respondent: Mrs Bernadette Russell [18663]

19659

Object

Respondent: G Harvey [18687]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bressingham, GNLP2079**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding flood risk, road safety, access to A1066, environmental impacts, noise pollution. Other concerns include lack of amenities such as Doctors, Dentists, Schools, and Shops and does not have a post office. The land currently holds agricultural benefits. Poor and narrow roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17463**Object**

Respondent: Mr david craggs [17635]

17529**Object**

Respondent: Mr Shaun Collins [17674]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by parish council regarding re-designation of Bressingham as service village for future use of site and that the development would change the character and setting of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18090**Object**

Respondent: Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

18091**Object**

Respondent: Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, road safety, access to A1066, environmental impacts, noise pollution. Other concerns include lack of amenities such as Doctors, Dentists, Schools, and Shops and does not have a post office. The land currently holds agricultural benefits. Poor and narrow roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18171**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Patman [18051]

18178**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Young [18053]

18234**Object**

Respondent: Mr Brian Falk [17593]

18287**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Georgina Betty Barnes [18118]

18290**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Alison Dumbell [18120]

18299**Object**

Respondent: mr john kemp [17580]

18316**Object**

Respondent: MRS KAY BROCK [17871]

18428**Object**

Respondent: Miss Anna Fox [18189]

18432**Object**

Respondent: Mr Raymond Dowse [18197]

18446**Object**

Respondent: Mr Sam Pardoe [18203]

18457**Object**

Respondent: Margaret Noorlander [18212]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and the developer is keen to work with the community.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18624**Support**

Respondent: Mr Des Aves [18307]**Agent:** Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, road safety, access to A1066, environmental impacts, noise pollution. Other concerns include lack of amenities such as Doctors, Dentists, Schools, and Shops and does not have a post office. The land currently holds agricultural benefits. Poor and narrow roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19144

Object

Respondent: miss laura collins [18420]

19478

Object

Respondent: Mrs Alison Dumbell [18120]

19660

Object

Respondent: G Harvey [18687]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bressingham, GNLP2113

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments by district council on surface water flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17053

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding destruction of views, traffic increases, services stretched, would lose village atmosphere with large development, proposal has not been seen by a lot of the community, reduction in privacy, reduce value of home, stress and anxiety, environmental issues, lack of amenities within 3-mile radius and poor infrastructure near site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17262**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tony Howell [17530]

17272**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Claire Wattam [17538]

17277**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tom Butler [17541]

17360**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Emma Bryant [17564]

17405**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Katherine Smith [17589]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments mentioning low density would be sympathetic to the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17409

Comment

Respondent: Mr Ben Falk [16449]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding destruction of views, traffic increases, services stretched, would lose village atmosphere with large development, proposal has not been seen by a lot of the community, reduction in privacy, reduce value of home, stress and anxiety, environmental issues, lack of amenities within 3-mile radius and poor infrastructure near site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17461

Object

Respondent: Mrs Claire Wattam [17634]

17523

Object

Respondent: Mr Shaun Collins [17674]

17530

Object

Respondent: Mr Shaun Collins [17674]

17588	Object
Respondent: Mr James Walsh [17600]	
17766	Object
Respondent: Mr John Stephenson [17827]	
17806	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Hulme [17864]	
17955	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Christine Crawley [17581]	
17956	Object
Respondent: Dr Francis Crawley [17582]	
17978	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lynda Ivison [17718]	
17984	Object
Respondent: Mr John Steggles [17888]	
18150	Object
Respondent: Miss Simone Thorndyke [18044]	
18152	Object
Respondent: Ms Sue Barton [17646]	
18153	Object
Respondent: mrs hazel dormer [15777]	

18155	Object
Respondent: mr daniel richardson [17423]	
18165	Object
Respondent: Mrs Beryl Thorndyke [17958]	
18170	Object
Respondent: Mr David Patman [18051]	
18179	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Young [18053]	
18233	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Cremnitz [17781]	
18285	Object
Respondent: Miss Pamela Smith [18113]	
18315	Object
Respondent: MRS KAY BROCK [17871]	
18357	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Dowden [17515]	
18430	Object
Respondent: Miss Anna Fox [18189]	
18448	Object
Respondent: Mr Sam Pardoe [18203]	
18451	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Wattam [18209]	

18455	Object
Respondent: Margaret Noorlander [18212]	
18497	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Hulme [18226]	
18548	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Self [18270]	
18670	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Barnes [17644]	
18674	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barnes [18334]	
18675	Object
Respondent: Jean Harvey [18335]	
18721	Object
Respondent: mrs mary nice [18123]	
18728	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham nice [18349]	
18759	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Walsh [18355]	

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council due to an absence of appropriate infrastructure, services and transport which mean that it is unsuitable for development. Not attempt should be made to reclassify Bressingham as a service village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18843

Object

Respondent: Bressingham and Fersfield Parish Council (Mr Mike Mortimer, Clerk) [13743]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding destruction of views, traffic increases, services stretched, would lose village atmosphere with large development, proposal has not been seen by a lot of the community, reduction in privacy, reduce value of home, stress and anxiety, environmental issues, lack of amenities within 3-mile radius and poor infrastructure near site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18868

Object

Respondent: Mrs Beryl Thorndyke [17958]

18874

Object

Respondent: miss laura collins [18420]

18958

Object

Respondent: Robert Weeks [18443]

19093 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Bierton [17849]

19099 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Michael Wyatt [17657]

19170 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Mark Wickenden [17449]

19185 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Michelle Wickenden [18550]

19378 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Walter Hawes [18437]

19441 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Webster [18648]

19595 **Object**

Respondent: G Harvey [18687]

19606 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Raymond Dowse [18197]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP2018

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, flood risk, encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17444**Object**

Respondent: Dr Patrick Frew [17620]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of the site includes heritage advisers having suggested the development would have medium or minor impacts on neighbouring Conservation Area and no impact on the listed buildings.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17473**Support**

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, flood risk, encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17651

Object

Respondent: Mrs Brenda Hill [17106]

17949

Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Tully [17923]

18081

Object

Respondent: mr Andrew Gibson [17387]

18093

Object

Respondent: Mrs Sara Hurn [17998]

18206

Object

Respondent: Mrs N Urquhart [18069]

18241

Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Northeast [17949]

18244

Object

Respondent: Mrs Julie Leddy [18085]

18254	Object
Respondent: Mrs Brenda Hill [17106]	
18359	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Hayes [18143]	
18371	Object
Respondent: Mr Iain Slade [18154]	
18382	Object
Respondent: Miss Julia Franklin [18155]	
18491	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Stevens [18230]	
18541	Object
Respondent: Edward Jinks [18029]	
18725	Object
Respondent: miss michala warner [18281]	
	Object

Summary of representations:

Objections from the parish council regarding extension beyond village boundary, form and character of village, reduction in amount of agricultural land, negative impact on conservation area and no new school required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18810**Object****Respondent:** Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, flood risk, encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18994**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Laura Fawke [18459]**19076****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Clemence Dunleavy [18497]**19078****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Angela Garner [15696]**19097****Object****Respondent:** Select Judith Dixon [18124]**19155****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Anna Toulson [18536]**19173****Object****Respondent:** Sally Metcalf [18060]

19303

Object

Respondent: mr Peter Ryland [18579]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by B.R.A.I.D. regarding unacceptable harm to Brooke, not meeting local needs, noise and lighting issues, increase in size of village and harm to conservation area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19329

Object

Respondent: B.R.A.I.D. (MRS CLARE HAYES, SECRETARY) [18591]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections from the parish council regarding extension beyond village boundary, form and character of village, reduction in amount of agricultural land, negative impact on conservation area and no new school required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19339

Object

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, flood risk, encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19377

Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Tholen [18609]

19403

Object

Respondent: Ms Alison Harvey [18506]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding the development of agricultural sites, greenfield sites, nature and wildlife, rental development and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19429

Comment

Respondent: Alison Bolster [18643]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, flood risk, encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19629

Object

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP2119

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access, traffic, wildlife, conservation, drainage issues, disruption to residents, loss of privacy, poor junction views, narrow roads and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17174

Object

Respondent: Mr Nigel Thompson [17440]

17442

Object

Respondent: Dr Patrick Frew [17620]

17638	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Lockwood [13580]	
17761	Object
Respondent: Mr Gerald Chance [17833]	
18060	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Faux [17936]	
18079	Object
Respondent: mr Andrew Gibson [17387]	
18258	Object
Respondent: Mrs Brenda Hill [17106]	
18266	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Leddy [18085]	
18326	Object
Respondent: Select Judith Dixon [18124]	
18379	Object
Respondent: Mr Iain Slade [18154]	
18386	Object
Respondent: Miss Julia Franklin [18155]	
18397	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Cook [18166]	
18554	Object
Respondent: Edward Jinks [18029]	

18716**Object**

Respondent: miss michala warner [18281]

18812**Object**

Respondent: Mr Peter Tully [17923]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding extension of village boundary, removal of agricultural land, impact on wildlife and conservation areas and no new school required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18814**Object**

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access, traffic, wildlife, conservation, drainage issues, disruption to residents, loss of privacy, poor junction views, narrow roads and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18953**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Sara Hurn [17998]

19153**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Angela Whiskerd [17997]

19154**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Angela Whiskerd [17997]

19178**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Laura Fawke [18459]

19181**Object**

Respondent: Sally Metcalf [18060]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by parish council regarding extension of village boundary, removal of agricultural land, impact on wildlife and conservation areas and no new school required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19341**Object**

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by B.R.A.I.D. regarding environmental impact, rural landscape, unsold houses, increased traffic, poor drainage, village boundary, agricultural land, conservation area and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19342**Object**

Respondent: B.R.A.I.D. (MRS CLARE HAYES, SECRETARY) [18591]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access, traffic, wildlife, conservation, drainage issues, disruption to residents, loss of privacy, poor junction views, narrow roads and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19380**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Tholen [18609]

19400**Object**

Respondent: Ms Alison Harvey [18506]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding building outside boundary, destruction of village setting, wildlife and conservation areas, requirement for rental housing, infrastructure and services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19430**Comment**

Respondent: Alison Bolster [18643]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts that would be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process

19494**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access, traffic, wildlife, conservation, drainage issues, disruption to residents, loss of privacy, poor junction views, narrow roads and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19631**Object**

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP2122

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, conservations areas, flood risk (poor drainage), encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17175**Object**

Respondent: Mr Nigel Thompson [17440]

17443**Object**

Respondent: Dr Patrick Frew [17620]

17640	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Lockwood [13580]	
17768	Object
Respondent: Mr Gerald Chance [17833]	
18058	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Northeast [17949]	
18080	Object
Respondent: mr Andrew Gibson [17387]	
18257	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Leddy [18085]	
18265	Object
Respondent: Mrs Brenda Hill [17106]	
18291	Object
Respondent: Dr Chris Fowler [12686]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding access, amenities, no footpath, wildlife, drainage, village character, poorly publicised and exceeding housing quota.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18377

Comment

Respondent: Mr Iain Slade [18154]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, conservations areas, flood risk (poor drainage), encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18389

Object

Respondent: Miss Julia Franklin [18155]**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised regarding access, amenities, no footpath, wildlife, drainage, village character, poorly publicised and exceeding housing quota.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18398

Comment

Respondent: Mr Stuart Cook [18166]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, conservations areas, flood risk (poor drainage), encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18544

Object

Respondent: Edward Jinks [18029]

18717

Object

Respondent: miss michala warner [18281]

18813

Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Tully [17923]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding village boundary, agricultural land, conservation areas, wildlife, character of village and no need for new school.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18815**Object**

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, conservations areas, flood risk (poor drainage), encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18954**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Sara Hurn [17998]

19158**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Anna Toulson [18536]

19180**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Laura Fawke [18459]

19188**Object**

Respondent: Sally Metcalf [18060]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding village boundary, agricultural land, conservation areas, wildlife, character of village and no need for new school.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19340**Object**

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by B.R.A.I.D. regarding wildlife, rural landscape, unsold houses, increased traffic, village boundary, exceeded housing quota, removal of agricultural land, conservation area impact and form and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19344**Object**

Respondent: B.R.A.I.D. (MRS CLARE HAYES, SECRETARY) [18591]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, conservations areas, flood risk (poor drainage), encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19381

Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Tholen [18609]

19402

Object

Respondent: Ms Alison Harvey [18506]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding access, amenities, no footpath, wildlife, drainage, village character, poorly publicised and exceeding housing quota.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19431

Comment

Respondent: Alison Bolster [18643]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site GNLP2122. No fundamental constraints or impacts that cannot be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19495**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of proposed site, conservations areas, flood risk (poor drainage), encroachment into open countryside, site outside development boundary, out of character of the village, removes high agricultural land and removed the 'rural' community aspect of the village. Other concerns consist of traffic congestion, noise pollution and lighting issues. Infrastructure is not in place and the development would significantly increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19630**Object**

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLPSL0020

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments regarding change of boundary as outlined in map, agricultural land, heritage, wildlife, conservation, traffic, access and housing quota.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17904**Comment**

Respondent: Mr David White [14680]

Object

Summary of representations:

The parish council expresses concern regarding expansion of settlement boundary with no direct access to public highway and would adjoin the recent development at 49 High Green.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18816**Object**

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding no direct access to the highway, over development, loss of agricultural land, the site is a conservation area, impacts on the environment & wildlife and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19193**Object**

Respondent: Sally Metcalf [18060]

Object

Summary of representations:

The parish council expresses concern regarding expansion of settlement boundary with no direct access to public highway and would adjoin the recent development at 49 High Green.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19343**Object**

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections made by B.R.A.I.D. regarding expansion of settlement boundary and access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19346**Object**

Respondent: B.R.A.I.D. (MRS CLARE HAYES, SECRETARY) [18591]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding no direct access to the highway, over development, loss of agricultural land, the site is a conservation area, impacts on the environment & wildlife and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19404**Object**

Respondent: Ms Alison Harvey [18506]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments regarding change of boundary as outlined in map, agricultural land, heritage, wildlife, conservation, traffic, access and housing quota.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19432**Comment**

Respondent: Alison Bolster [18643]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding no direct access to the highway, over development, loss of agricultural land, the site is a conservation area, impacts on the environment & wildlife and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19632**Object**

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bunwell, GNLP2126

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it does not adversely affect village, good access and full close access to services.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17681**Support**

Respondent: Mr Phil Gledhill [12749]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bunwell, GNLP2126

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the location being right opposite most significant building in parish, heritage issues and that it is inappropriate and unnecessary to change settlement boundaries.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19061**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Sue Pennell [18474]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Burston, GNLPSL0005**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding classification as a service village, site not having much depth, dangerous access to village, no footpaths and cramped site for number of dwellings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17495**Object**

Respondent: Mr Nigel Frankland [14497]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP2093**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding connection to Poringland, no environmental or community gain, dependant on car to travel, drainage and flooding, already significant development, service and utilities stretched, too far to walk to primary school and falls in Yare Valley and wildlife corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17889**Object**

Respondent: John Henson [17899]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections from the parish council regarding no connection to settlement, no environmental or community gain, drainage, car travel and no social opportunities for village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18007

Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Stephanie Ayden, Admin Assistant) [17961]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding connection to Poringland, no environmental or community gain, dependant on car to travel, drainage and flooding, already significant development, service and utilities stretched, too far to walk to primary school and falls in Yare Valley and wildlife corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18276

Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Folkard [18098]

18352

Object

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Hayes [18143]

18464

Object

Respondent: June Gentle [18218]

19354

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Troise [15351]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19502

Support

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP2094

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding transport, planning, sustainability of development, visual appearance, amount of development recently, road safety, drainage, impact of village identity, Yare Valley and Nature Corridor and service provisions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17746

Object

Respondent: Dr Alan Sissons [17583]

17811**Object**

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

17890**Object**

Respondent: John Henson [17899]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council due to land being unsuitable for housing due to the need for pile foundations on other sites, drainage and surface water issues, well away from bus routes and no planned social opportunities for community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18006**Object**

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Stephanie Ayden, Admin Assistant) [17961]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding transport, planning, sustainability of development, visual appearance, amount of development recently, road safety, drainage, impact of village identity, Yare Valley and Nature Corridor and service provisions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18277**Object**

Respondent: Mr Brian Folkard [18098]

18354**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Caroline Hayes [18143]

18465**Object****Respondent:** June Gentle [18218]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19503**Support****Respondent:** Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP2158**

Comment**Summary of representations:**

Comments made by district council regarding flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17054**Comment****Respondent:** South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17684

Object

Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]

18037

Object

Respondent: Alastair Grieve [17975]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norwich Liberal Democrats regarding the site being in a county wildlife site, near ancient monument in SSSI, within the Yare Valley and protected by existing policy.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18095

Object

Respondent: Norwich Liberal Democrats (Cllr Judith Lubbock, City Councillor) [18000]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18416

Object

Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Armstrong [17098]

18466

Object

Respondent: June Gentle [18218]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Enter focussed form of text relating to the issue in question e.g.

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18915

Object

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust due to loss of Depot Meadow County Wildlife Site.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18991**Object**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it is suitable, available and achievable.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19226**Support**

Respondent: Mr David Smith, Mr Dennis Smith and Mrs Annabel Taylor [18553]

Agent: Ingleton Wood LLP (Miss Nicol Perryman, Principal Planner) [16346]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection from Norfolk and Norwich Transport Action Group regarding development of greenfield site in sensitive location with poor public transport links.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19420**Object**

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Ms Denise Carlo, Norwich City Councillor Green Party) [12781]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19435**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Hilary Hann [18645]

19534**Object**

Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]

19609

Object

Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Carleton Rode, GNLP2086****Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised by parish councils regarding previous planning application appeal decisions, landscape impact, proximity of listed buildings and low levels of infrastructure support and amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17019

Comment

Respondent: Carleton Rode Parish Council (Mr P Jeffery, Clerk) [13170]

17055

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding the 5 year supply is already fulfilled. Other issues include flood risk, the scale and density of the proposal as it is out of the rural and historic character of the village. The proposal has been rejected by South Norfolk Council and the Planning Inspectorate which is believed to be still valid 4 years on.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17710**Object**

Respondent: Thomas Walshe [17816]

17728**Object**

Respondent: Mr Peter Jeffery [17501]

19021**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Watson [15676]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts that can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19326**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Chedgrave, GNLP2055**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised by district council regarding foul drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17320**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Parish council is broadly in favour of small scale development as long as: Houses are not all together, There are mixed types of property, There is mixed tenure for rental, There is mixed ownership, Housing density is as per the village at the moment. Parishioners showed some interest in retail development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18272**Support**

Respondent: Chedgrave Parish Council (Ms Hayley Goldson, Clerk) [14322]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0331R-A

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding site is an important wildlife link between the Yare Valley and the Bypass Landscape Protection Zone, removal of a popular walking location, no footpaths and site serves as a valuable part of any future protection of wildlife migration routes.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17686**Object**

Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Commented that additional proposals for Colney Parish, the Yare Valley and Norwich Research Park are available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17740**Comment**

Respondent: Colney Parish Council (Mrs H Martin, Clerk) [13644]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding site is an important wildlife link between the Yare Valley and the Bypass Landscape Protection Zone, removal of a popular walking location, no footpaths and site serves as a valuable part of any future protection of wildlife migration routes.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18039**Object**

Respondent: Alastair Grieve [17975]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection from Norwich Liberal Democrats regarding the site not being in the Yare River Valley but still providing an important wildlife link between Yare Valley and the Bypass Landscape Protection Zone.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18101**Object**

Respondent: Norwich Liberal Democrats (Cllr Judith Lubbock, City Councillor) [18000]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding site is an important wildlife link between the Yare Valley and the Bypass Landscape Protection Zone, removal of a popular walking location, no footpaths and site serves as a valuable part of any future protection of wildlife migration routes.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18111

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Alderson [17057]

18467

Object

Respondent: June Gentle [18218]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley by Yare Valley Society. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development due to being a significant location for migrating birds and problem of valley corridor then becoming isolated from surrounding countryside.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18916

Object

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding site is an important wildlife link between the Yare Valley and the Bypass Landscape Protection Zone, removal of a popular walking location, no footpaths and site serves as a valuable part of any future protection of wildlife migration routes.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19209**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

19436**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Hilary Hann [18645]

19533**Object**

Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]

19604**Object**

Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Armstrong [17098]

19608**Object**

Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0331R-B

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating that all these additional sites be removed from the putative allocation procedures under Regulation 18 and that what remains of this beautiful and biodiverse Valley as well as Colney Parish be left alone as is the intention under existing planning procedures. The only purpose of reviewing these landscape policies is to strengthen them.

Response:

Comments submitted be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17741**Comment**

Respondent: Colney Parish Council (Mrs H Martin, Clerk) [13644]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised concerns regarding site falls within the Yare Valley corridor and are covered by the NSBLPZ to give protection for a wildlife corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18468**Object**

Respondent: June Gentle [18218]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0331R-C

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating that all these additional sites be removed from the putative allocation procedures under Regulation 18 and that what remains of this beautiful and biodiverse Valley as well as Colney Parish be left alone as is the intention under existing planning procedures. The only purpose of reviewing these landscape policies is to strengthen them.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17742**Comment**

Respondent: Colney Parish Council (Mrs H Martin, Clerk) [13644]

Object

Summary of representations:

One objection raised concerns regarding site falls within the Yare Valley corridor and are covered by the NSBLPZ to give protection for a wildlife corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18469**Object**

Respondent: June Gentle [18218]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0284R**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding potential development in the river valley. The area is a popular green space and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17109**Object****Respondent:** Mr John Allaway [17225]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by town council regarding its location in a designated river valley, flooding, history of refusals along the valley, impact on surrounding heritage, potentially open up further space for development if approved and no overriding benefit to the community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17303**Object****Respondent:** Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]**17417****Object****Respondent:** Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the river valley. The area is a popular green space and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19789**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP2004

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council regarding the site being in a designated river valley. Access onto Longwater Lane is problematic as it is by the pinch point, footbridge and road bridge. Only one access point. Other applications in this area have been refused.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17304**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

17418**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised the issue that there is no dotted blue line on the map defining development boundary with request for boundary to be moved.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17644**Comment**

Respondent: Mr John Andrews [14384]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding the proximity of this site to the River Tud and concerns at the potential ecological impacts of housing in this location.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the river valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the river valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the river valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18995**Comment**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding damage to the local landscape, loss of open green space, damage to wildlife habitat and further intrusion into and despoliation of the country in and around the existing settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19501**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP2074

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council stating that it would support a regional facility but not residential development as proposed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17306**Comment**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council refusing development on the site due to poor access, traffic problems and problems when events are on at the showground.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17307**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council stating that it would support a regional facility but not residential development as proposed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17421**Comment**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council refusing development on the site due to poor access, traffic problems and problems when events are on at the showground.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17423**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding dangerous pedestrian routes, small country roads not designed for construction traffic, noise and greenhouse gases and health issues due to pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19211**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP2138

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by district council regarding flooding. Future flood risk should be assessed against the climate change scenarios. May be opportunity for development along site frontage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17057**Object**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council regarding site being in a designated river valley of River Tud. This is unsuitable ground as it is very marshy (so marshy that even horses are not grazed there). Access is via an unmade road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17308**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding impact on wildlife and biodiversity and, loss of a recreational area.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the river valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the river valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the river valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17363**Object**

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council regarding site being in a designated river valley of River Tud. This is unsuitable ground as it is very marshy (so marshy that even horses are not grazed there). Access is via an unmade road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17422**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

17424**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection from Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding the inclusion of this site in the plan, due to the loss to Land South of River Tud County Wildlife Site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19004**Object**

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP2156

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding flooding to northern boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17056**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council due to very boggy land, access issues, previous applications refused and would set a precedent for backland development in the river valley and impact on landscape characteristics.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the river valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the river valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the river valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17309**Object**

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLPSL2008

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding flooding and drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17058**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by town council to extend boundary of settlement for access and amenity land to GNLPO266.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17305

Support

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

17420

Support

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council regarding breaching capped landfill site, contaminated land, high-pressure gas main running through site and access. Too close to river valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17425

Comment

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh, GNLPO230R

Support

Summary of representations:

Support submitted for site within centre of village, good use for affordable housing to get on housing ladder, opportunity for major investment in infrastructure, no fundamental constraints that could not be mitigated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16871**Support**

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety and volume of traffic issues, conserving the natural and historic environment, flooding drainage and infrastructure. Concern that form and character of village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16889**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding access, increased volume of traffic and road safety issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16994**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support submitted for site within centre of village, good use for affordable housing to get on housing ladder, opportunity for major investment in infrastructure, no fundamental constraints that could not be mitigated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17507**Support**

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

19424**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety and volume of traffic issues, conserving the natural and historic environment, flooding drainage and infrastructure. Concern that form and character of village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19615**Object**

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh, GNLP2083

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access and traffic. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development. Further objections regarding conserving the historic and natural environment, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16869**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

16887**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]

16983**Object**

Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]

16991**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding flooding and foul sewerage system availability and any development should consider effects of climate change.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17279**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access and traffic. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development. Further objections regarding conserving the historic and natural environment, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17509**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by chair of parish council regarding traffic problems for local residents if development was to go ahead.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19190**Object**

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts that can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19422**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh, GNLP2084

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access and traffic. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16870

Object

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

16888

Object

Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]

16984

Object

Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]

16992

Object

Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding lack of foul sewerage and rising main unlikely to be acceptable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17280

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access and traffic. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17508

Object

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding effect of traffic through village, dangerous junction at top of hill A140, quality of life and safety for village residents and site would be better located to south of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19191

Object

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts that can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19423**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh, GNLP2145

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village. Support for the site mentioned employment opportunities, potential development of better services due to development and benefit for first time buyers.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16868**Support**

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding number of dwellings, access and road safety issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16890**Comment**

Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]

16993**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17281**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village. Support for the site mentioned employment opportunities, potential development of better services due to development and benefit for first time buyers.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18992**Support**

Respondent: Mr Kian Saedi [14837]

Agent: Mr Kian Saedi [14837]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding opposing development due to traffic on Norwich Road, dangerous junction of A140, harm to quality of life for village residents and safety concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19192**Object**

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village. Support for the site mentioned employment opportunities, potential development of better services due to development and benefit for first time buyers.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19497**Support**

Respondent: Mr Kian Saedi [14837]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP2067

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding flooding and drainage and SFRA lack of modelling climate change scenarios.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17282**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as although existing planning permission lapsed, the developer believed he commenced the development by carrying out substantial highway works. Therefore, sympathetic consideration should be made for the site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17950

Support

Respondent: Mr Eddy Edwards [17922]

Agent: Mr Michael Haslam [15391]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of services and being over subscribed, site is unsustainable, unsuitable roads, road safety, general infrastructure and traffic congestion.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18705

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Seymour [17995]

18747

Object

Respondent: Mrs Wendy Kindleysides [18267]

18969

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Seymour [17995]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding site potentially being suitable for the proposed repair and retail warehouse, business and offices.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19552

Comment

Respondent: Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP2104

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Diss Community Woodland Project suggested concerns that any development surrounding an existing wildlife and community site (Quaker Wood) would have a negative impact on the conservation, education and recreational value of the site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16858

Comment

Respondent: Diss Community Woodland Project (Mr Richard Pither) [17152]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development proposed, lack of infrastructure, unsuitable road network, overused services, road safety, traffic congestion, speed limits, poor access, dangerous junctions, impacts on the environment & wildlife, loss of quietness and the site is in the parish of Roydon not Diss.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16878

Object

Respondent: Mrs Rebecca Casey [17228]

16884

Object

Respondent: Mr James Morgan [17229]

16918

Object

Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Laifa [14612]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding flooding and surface water path through centre of site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17283

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development proposed, lack of infrastructure, unsuitable road network, overused services, road safety, traffic congestion, speed limits, poor access, dangerous junctions, impacts on the environment & wildlife, loss of quietness and the site is in the parish of Roydon not Diss.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17467**Object**

Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]

17474**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Beaird [17647]

17503**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Janet Courtier [14838]

17518**Object**

Respondent: Julia McCathie [15746]

17520**Object**

Respondent: Julia McCathie [15746]

17522**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Shorter [15675]

17581**Object**

Respondent: Julia McCathie [15746]

17676

Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Dudley [17793]

17679

Object

Respondent: Mrs Vera Proudlove [17675]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the size of development, road network capacity issues, services overwhelmed, sewerage problems and proximity to Quaker Wood.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17769

Comment

Respondent: Mr Robert Green [17843]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development proposed, lack of infrastructure, unsuitable road network, overused services, road safety, traffic congestion, speed limits, poor access, dangerous junctions, impacts on the environment & wildlife, loss of quietness and the site is in the parish of Roydon not Diss.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17788

Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Harper [17852]

17792 **Object**

Respondent: Miss Louise Thompson [17853]

17796 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Veronica Clare Barker [17856]

17797 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Alicia Green [17686]

17798 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Reg Smith [17857]

17918 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs S Watts [17910]

Comment**Summary of representations:**

Comments raised by parish council regarding the size of site in relation to Diss and Roydon, proposed number of houses is already above the number in Roydon, density issues, infrastructure and road issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18009 **Comment**

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development proposed, lack of infrastructure, unsuitable road network, overused services, road safety, traffic congestion, speed limits, poor access, dangerous junctions, impacts on the environment & wildlife, loss of quietness and the site is in the parish of Roydon not Diss.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18041**Object**

Respondent: Allen Jones [17978]

18338**Object**

Respondent: Ms Polly Lavender [18135]

18460**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jo Locke [18207]

18673**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Wootton [18332]

18802**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]

18890**Object**

Respondent: Mr Derek Button [12552]

18913**Object**

Respondent: Mr Gary Honey [18433]

18970

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Seymour [17995]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding proximity to Brewers Green and the ecological impact of housing in this area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19003

Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development proposed, lack of infrastructure, unsuitable road network, overused services, road safety, traffic congestion, speed limits, poor access, dangerous junctions, impacts on the environment & wildlife, loss of quietness and the site is in the parish of Roydon not Diss.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19036

Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Garnham [18472]

19131

Object

Respondent: Mr. Greg Tunmore [18520]

19239**Object****Respondent:** Angela Lamb [15751]

19268**Object****Respondent:** Mr Tim Lamb [18574]

19355**Object****Respondent:** Mr Christopher Armitt [18598]

19512**Object****Respondent:** Nicola Gooderham [17701]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by town council regarding the site actually being in Roydon and Heywood and the size of development would require significant infrastructure improvements in Diss and is outside the commitments of the JCS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19551**Object****Respondent:** Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development proposed, lack of infrastructure, unsuitable road network, overused services, road safety, traffic congestion, speed limits, poor access, dangerous junctions, impacts on the environment & wildlife, loss of quietness and the site is in the parish of Roydon not Diss.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19574**Object**

Respondent: Mr Nick Atkins [16574]

19582**Object**

Respondent: Mrs CAROL BELLIS [18535]

19594**Object**

Respondent: Mr Leslie Burrows [18686]

19602**Object**

Respondent: Vera Proudlove [18690]

19603**Object**

Respondent: Ms Dawn Messenger [16896]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ditchingham, GNLP2011

Comment

Summary of representations:

The parish council opposed development. SNDC opposed and won their case after High Court appeal though the council appreciated circumstances may have changed since then.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17594**Comment**

Respondent: Ditchingham Parish Council [17754]

Agent: Mr Alan Larkin [17753]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - East Carleton, GNLP2152

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding impact on the historic environment in relation to the backfill development near the church.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19555**Object**

Respondent: Swardeston Parish Council (Mrs C Jowett, Clerk) [13212]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - East Carleton, GNLP2165

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding general suitability in relation to adjacent site and corrections regarding heritage issues and neighbouring uses.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16820**Comment**

Respondent: Niall Cook [14744]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding access, no demand for proposed facilities and negative impact on small country lane.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19553**Object**

Respondent: Swardeston Parish Council (Mrs C Jowett, Clerk) [13212]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - East Carleton, GNLP2167

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the land being beyond the existing development of village and site unsuitable for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19554**Object**

Respondent: Swardeston Parish Council (Mrs C Jowett, Clerk) [13212]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP0559R

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the parish council regarding the village as a 'boarderline' service village, concerns over lack of facilities and transport links along narrow roads, any development should be in-keeping with village and its scale.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17094**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Brian Frith [12701]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding traffic congestion, lack of facilities/services, no footpath, access, no main gas, sewerage or public transport. The road is a country lane, lacks public transport and destruction of natural habitats.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17758**Object**

Respondent: A STEVENS [15234]

17759**Object**

Respondent: Mr Geoffrey NORMAN Stevens [15235]

18207**Object**

Respondent: Mr Martin Starkie [18070]

18209**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Gill Starkie [18074]

18988**Object**

Respondent: Ms Suzanne Peacock [18336]

19048**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Bezkorowajny [18427]

19454**Object**

Respondent: Mr and Mrs A Thurtle [18655]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on Tas Valley and adjacent to a row of houses.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19455**Support**

Respondent: Colonel Anthony Taylor [18656]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP2013

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding concerns over lack of facilities, transport links and narrow local roads. Any development should be in keeping with the village situation and surroundings and on a scale which is commensurate with the limited facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17098**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Brian Frith [12701]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site entrance location, traffic onto Church Road and possible accidents and the fact it is a greenfield site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19419**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jean Yaxley [18610]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP2028

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding lack of facilities, transport links and narrow local roads. Development should be in keeping with the limited facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17095**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Brian Frith [12701]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections submitted regarding concerns over destruction of the locations beauty, site located above floodplain, destruction of agricultural land and traffic congestion of country lanes. Other concerns over Grade 1 listed church, conservation area, unsuitable roads, and flood risk, lack of infrastructure and limited utilities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17544**Object**

Respondent: Mr Graham Prior [13503]

17760**Object**

Respondent: A STEVENS [15234]

17762**Object**

Respondent: Mr Geoffrey NORMAN Stevens [15235]

18208**Object**

Respondent: Mr Martin Starkie [18070]

18210**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Gill Starkie [18074]

18685**Object**

Respondent: Ms Suzanne Peacock [18336]

18888**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Bezkorowajny [18427]

19456

Object

Respondent: Colonel Anthony Taylor [18656]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP2058

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding the village as a 'borderline' service village. They are concerned over lack of facilities and transport links alongside narrow roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17096

Comment

Respondent: Mr Brian Frith [12701]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted regarding concerns over village identity, does think application is deliverable, lack of facilities, lack of transport links, narrow roads, traffic congestion and heavy development in this small rural community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17763

Object

Respondent: A STEVENS [15234]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding location on narrow bend of road, poor access, road safety and developments impact on residential amenity of neighbours.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17765**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Geoffrey NORMAN Stevens [15235]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted regarding concerns over village identity, does think application is deliverable, lack of facilities, lack of transport links, narrow roads, traffic congestion and heavy development in this small rural community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18348**Object**

Respondent: mr David Avery [17107]

18418**Object**

Respondent: mr David Avery [17107]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Framingham Earl, GNLP2127**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding the consideration on the impacts of the provision of the associated services, site is located too far from Poringland or Alington schools. Other issues include road safety, access, scale of development and public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17138**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Yvonne Kemp [17454]**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised regarding flooding and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17285**Comment****Respondent:** South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the consideration on the impacts of the provision of the associated services, site is located too far from Poringland or Alington schools. Other issues include road safety, access, scale of development and public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17893**Object**

Respondent: John Henson [17899]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding grave concerns with regards to access, safety, increases in traffic and isolation from local services

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18036**Object**

Respondent: Framingham Earl Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14288]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the consideration on the impacts of the provision of the associated services, site is located too far from Poringland or Alington schools. Other issues include road safety, access, scale of development and public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18347

Object

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Hayes [18143]

19302

Object

Respondent: G Newman [16792]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Gillingham, GNLPSL0021

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding location in flood zone.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17286

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Great Moulton, GNLP2003

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding low to medium risk of surface water flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17287**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and technical reports have been submitted (Access Appraisal, Preliminary Ecological Assessment, and Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment and Surface Water Drainage Strategy).

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19562**Support**

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Great Moulton, GNLP2008

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding lack of foul sewer.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17288**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Great Moulton, GNLP2068

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding distance to foul sewer.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17289**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP2088

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments by district council regarding flooding along access route.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17294**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Norfolk FA associated with the S106 potential development of open space to host football. Harleston FC are looking to develop their facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18760**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding lack of parking spaces, traffic congestion, access, unsuitable roads, loss of agricultural land, removal of historic setting and building on greenfield sites.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18892

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Carstairs [18082]

18942

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jacky Lond [18439]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council with concerns of narrow access, land backing onto existing developments, noise pollution, poor visibility at junction and historic entrance to town with on-street parking causing narrowing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19200

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

19234

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process. It provides a solution for the natural development of Harleston.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19539**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP2098

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from district council stating surface water flood risk and watercourse through centre of site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17295**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council regarding no logical way for site access and narrow footpath.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19205

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

19255

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process. The proposal will allow for the natural expansion of Harleston.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19528

Support

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP2099

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Norfolk FA regarding S106 allowing for provision of open space that could hold football. Harleston FC are looking at expanding facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18764**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18895**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ian Carstairs [18082]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council regarding flooding from field run off, problems for drivers entering the town and development would cause an unattractive entrance to town.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19207

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

19259

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process. The proposal allows for natural expansion of Harleston.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19529

Support

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP2105

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding allotments and concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19210
Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

19262
Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the allotments, ageing population, lower than average health, higher than average lack of education, lack on infrastructure connectivity, employment opportunities and wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19284
Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Moore [18573]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19538**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP2108

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Norfolk FA regarding S106 providing open space with potential for football. Harleston FC are looking to expand facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18762**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council regarding the site being acceptable but not as far as the by-pass.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19212

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

19271

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding population increase, CLA objecting to scoring system promoting growth in market towns at expense of rural villages and housing provided for people outside Harleston with outside jobs needing to drive to work.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19296

Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Moore [18573]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts that can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process. The proposal will provide natural expansion of Harleston.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19537**Comment**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP2116

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding destruction of the Apollo Rooms as it is a vital resource to the community, damage to historic setting, GNLP2115/2116 joining two parishes and removing the historic distinction between settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18891**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ian Carstairs [18082]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council regarding flood risk, loss of agricultural land to historic entrance to town, joining of parishes that should maintain a historic distinction and traffic issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19222

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

19273

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process. The proposal allowing for the natural expansion of Harleston.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19536

Support

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding destruction of the Apollo Rooms as it is a vital resource to the community, damage to historic setting, GNLP2115/2116 joining two parishes and removing the historic distinction between settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19577**Object**

Respondent: Adrian Roy [18680]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP2136

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding flooding to northern part of site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17296**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, to use the Apollo Rooms as a community resource, lack of services and infrastructure, change the nature of a historic market town and impacts on wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17521

Object

Respondent: Miss Cheryl Furness [17682]

17842

Object

Respondent: mr Neil Neesham [17703]

17845

Object

Respondent: mr Neil Neesham [17703]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by town council regarding the impact of the size of development to the rural nature of town, careful consideration is needed to design and environmental approach, plan of tree planting, reduction in noise pollution and softer welcoming approach to town with planting.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19230

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

19286

Comment

Respondent: Redenhall with Harleston Town Council (Ms Lynda Ling, Clerk) [13574]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, to use the Apollo Rooms as a community resource, lack of services and infrastructure, change the nature of a historic market town and impacts on wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19292

Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Moore [18573]

19332

Object

Respondent: Mrs Maria Harris [18586]

Support

Summary of representations:

One comment submitted in support of site. Additional provided include: maps, detailed projection report, Utilities overview report, housing brochure, flooding and drainage report, landscape summary report, preliminary arboricultural survey and a preliminary ecological appraisal.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19559

Support

Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Richard Martin, Associate Director - Land) [13647]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, to use the Apollo Rooms as a community resource, lack of services and infrastructure, change the nature of a historic market town and impacts on wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19576

Object

Respondent: Adrian Roy [18680]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hempnall, GNLP2046

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding poor drainage, waste water problems, distance from village and services and entrance to site requiring improvements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16928

Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Driver [17078]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments from district council regarding no foul sewerage and poor drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17297**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council regarding location outside development boundary, unsuitable road network, near grade 2 listed buildings, inappropriate number of houses, part of site being greenfield and poor drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19509**Object**

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hempnall, GNLP2081

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding surface water collection in corners of site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17298**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding location outside development boundary, unsuitable road network, near grade 2 listed properties, inappropriate number of houses, part of site currently greenfield and severe drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19510**Object**

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0544R**Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding village being over expanded, overcrowded roads, services stretched, amenities will be lost and problems with sewage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17859**Object**

Respondent: Richard Bialoszewski [17889]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding unsuitable site location, location of narrow road, infrastructure not suitable, road safety issues and congestion during construction.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18862**Object**

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP2002**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised by district council regarding surface water flooding being difficult to manage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17300**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Keswick & Intwood, GNLP2014**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site for use of this site as a low impact eco development, limits number of new residents and visitors, provides more support to the local community/businesses, limits traffic and reduced impact on local services and amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16877**Support**

Respondent: mr Lee Rose [17227]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding local road infrastructure, lack of footpaths and road passing site unsuitable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17641**Comment**

Respondent: Keswick and Intwood Parish Council (Mr P Brooks, Clerk) [12506]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding inadequate road infrastructure, lack of footpaths and road passing site not suitable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17982**Object**

Respondent: Keswick and Intwood Parish Council (Mr P Brooks, Clerk) [12506]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Keswick & Intwood, GNLPSL0012

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding flooding in NW corner and surface water issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17316

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding boundary changes, JCS Policy 16, prevention of development in countryside and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17642

Comment

Respondent: Keswick and Intwood Parish Council (Mr P Brooks, Clerk) [12506]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding change of boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17983**Object**

Respondent: Keswick and Intwood Parish Council (Mr P Brooks, Clerk) [12506]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Kirby Cane, GNLPSL0019

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding surface water flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17317**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support regarding boundary change allowing for a retirement bungalow.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18444**Support**

Respondent: Mr Michael Skipper [17404]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Kirstead, GNLP2174

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding surface water flood risk and foul sewer pumping station on boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17318**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of public transport, lack of infrastructure, over commercialisation, limited access, no facilities or amenities (no shop/pub or recreation area), road safety, traffic congestion, preservation of wildlife habitats and the natural environment, water drainage, flood risk, density of proposal and the site it's out of context with the present village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18213

Object

Respondent: Miss Sandra Gibson [18075]

18651

Object

Respondent: Mr Tom Barker [18313]

18727

Object

Respondent: miss michala warner [18281]

18729

Object

Respondent: miss michala warner [18281]

18738

Object

Respondent: mr Andrew Gibson [17387]

18785

Object

Respondent: Mr John Hancock [18362]

18786

Object

Respondent: Mrs Wendy Hancock [18369]

18838	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Foster [18405]	
18854	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Gilks [18401]	
18948	Object
Respondent: Matthew Ward [18442]	
18955	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Elwell [18394]	
19086	Object
Respondent: H Newton [18501]	
19108	Object
Respondent: Miss Ruth Gillett [18519]	
19111	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sharon Casbourne [18524]	
19123	Object
Respondent: Mrs Suzanne Daniels [18525]	
19134	Object
Respondent: Ms Andree Glaysher [18532]	
19159	Object
Respondent: Mr Keven Palmer [18539]	
19160	Object
Respondent: mrs rowena heal [18540]	

19277

Object

Respondent: Mrs Teena Mason [18577]

19305

Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Hardy [18582]

19314

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Earl [18580]

19371

Object

Respondent: Dr Zoe Smith [18606]

19386

Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Bush [18614]

19392

Object

Respondent: Sarah Elwell [18611]

19417

Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Turnell [18618]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP0182R**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding concerns of lack of public transport, unsuitable roads, 300 metres must be maintained between Hethersett and Little Melton, area lacks services, loss of habitats, impact on the environment & wildlife, no doctors or dentist and has no street lights.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16906**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jessica Cole [17263]

16909**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Natalie Clark [17266]

16912**Object**

Respondent: Mr Matthew Wilson [17267]

16920**Object**

Respondent: Amber Diamond [17274]

16926**Object**

Respondent: Mr Steven Marrison [17277]

16931**Object**

Respondent: Mr Mark Knell [17284]

16934**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Knell [17285]

16935	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Knell [17285]	
16952	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Mary Bibb [17304]	
16957	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Sheila Taylor [17315]	
16964	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Dr Fiona Doonan [17319]	
16967	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Ruth Brennan [17321]	
16973	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: mRS Tatum Reid [17326]	
16979	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Dr Jo Mondal [17329]	
16997	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Tomlin [17341]	
17080	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Jan Kitchener [17418]	
17235	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: mr Robert Smith [17518]	

17840

Object

Respondent: Mrs Carolyn Sayer [17638]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding car travel, relation to village form, urbanisation of village through hard infrastructure an issue. However, potential solutions road improvements designed for rural character, connect well to existing form, strategic gap and should bring benefit to village community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17921

Comment

Respondent: D.F.R Brake [17914]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns of lack of public transport, unsuitable roads, 300 metres must be maintained between Hethersett and Little Melton, area lacks services, loss of habitats, impact on the environment & wildlife, no doctors or dentist and has no street lights.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18273

Object

Respondent: Mrs Carolyn Sayer [17638]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. However, the site is identified as being unsuitable for the purposes of the HELAA capacity assessment. Clarification is sought that the unsuitable rating is to avoid 'double counting' for the HELAA assessment and that there are no specific constraints or impacts identified which would prevent the sites otherwise being considered suitable.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

18822

Support

Respondent: The Loombe Estate [18388]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Iain Hill, Partner) [16273]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP0477R

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, unsuitable roads, lacks formal kerbs and street lighting, infrequent bus service, lacks local amenities and the loss of strategic gap between Hethersett and Little Melton.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16907

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jessica Cole [17263]

16910

Object

Respondent: Mrs Natalie Clark [17266]

16913	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Wilson [17267]	
16921	Object
Respondent: Amber Diamond [17274]	
16932	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Knell [17284]	
16936	Object
Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Knell [17285]	
16937	Object
Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Knell [17285]	
16946	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Mann [17297]	
16947	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Mann [17298]	
16958	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sheila Taylor [17315]	
16965	Object
Respondent: Dr Fiona Doonan [17319]	
16968	Object
Respondent: Ruth Brennan [17321]	
16974	Object
Respondent: mRS Tatum Reid [17326]	

16980**Object****Respondent:** Dr Jo Mondal [17329]**16998****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Elizabeth Tomlin [17341]**17081****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Jan Kitchener [17418]**17158****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Joanne Hart [17464]**17236****Object****Respondent:** mr Robert Smith [17518]**17841****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Carolyn Sayer [17638]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding car travel, relation to village form, urbanisation of village through hard infrastructure an issue. However, potential solutions are road improvements designed for rural character, connect well to existing form, strategic gap and should bring benefit to village community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17922**Comment****Respondent:** D.F.R Brake [17914]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, unsuitable roads, lacks formal kerbs and street lighting, infrequent bus service, lacks local amenities and the loss of strategic gap between Hethersett and Little Melton.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18274**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Carolyn Sayer [17638]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP2044

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding pollution, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of local services and lack of infrastructure to support this scale of development. Other issues include effects on the County Wildlife site south of Braymeadow Lane and concerns the site will ruin the character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16904**Object**

Respondent: Mr Spencer Hood [17261]

16908**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jessica Cole [17263]

16911	Object
Respondent: Mrs Natalie Clark [17266]	
16914	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Wilson [17267]	
16915	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Royle [17269]	
16916	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Reid [17268]	
16917	Object
Respondent: Mr Garry Smith [17271]	
16919	Object
Respondent: Miss Rachel Gee [17276]	
16922	Object
Respondent: Amber Diamond [17274]	
16930	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey Plane [17282]	
16933	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Knell [17284]	
16938	Object
Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Knell [17285]	
16948	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dawn Whitbourn [17299]	

16961	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sheila Taylor [17315]	
16966	Object
Respondent: Dr Fiona Doonan [17319]	
16969	Object
Respondent: Ruth Brennan [17321]	
16975	Object
Respondent: mRS Tatum Reid [17326]	
16981	Object
Respondent: Dr Jo Mondal [17329]	
16996	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Tomlin [17341]	
17026	Object
Respondent: Mr Aaron Kimberley [17368]	
17083	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jan Kitchener [17418]	
17237	Object
Respondent: mr Robert Smith [17518]	
17464	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Williams [17636]	
17843	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carolyn Sayer [17638]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding car travel, relation to village form, urbanisation of village through hard infrastructure an issue. However, potential solutions are road improvements designed for rural character, connect well to existing form, strategic gap and should bring benefit to village community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17923

Comment

Respondent: D.F.R Brake [17914]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding pollution, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of local services and lack of infrastructure to support this scale of development. Other issues include effects on the County Wildlife site south of Braymeadow Lane and concerns the site will ruin the character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18112

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Alderson [17057]

18275

Object

Respondent: Mrs Carolyn Sayer [17638]

18973**Object**

Respondent: Miss Charlotte Dunne [18450]

19225**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon, GNLP2032**

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding site has been turned down previously by the parish and district council and twice by the national planning inspectorate.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16963**Object**

Respondent: Mr Peter Franzen [17318]

17115**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Ute Sebald [17437]

17242**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Stone [17522]

17258**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Banthorpe [17528]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding flooding to east and west of site and low risk of surface water flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17319**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The landowner has commissioned the technical assessments necessary to establish that the site is suitable for development. A summary of the findings in relation to access, ecology and biodiversity, flood risk and trees has been produced.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19451**Comment**

Respondent: EJW Planning Limited (Mrs Erica Whettingsteel, Director) [14234]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and no statutory protected habitats were identified on the site, or within close proximity. Mitigation measures have been identified along with biodiversity enhancements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19584**Support**

Respondent: EJW Planning Limited (Mrs Erica Whettingsteel, Director) [14234]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Malingford and Colton, GNLP0475R

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, no footpaths, pollution, traffic congestion, amount of housing suggested, drainage issues, over-development, no school available or public transport apart from Flexibus and 1 bus a week to Norwich. The site is also outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17441**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Viv Ashley [15526]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection from parish council regarding surface water, over development and traffic increase.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17772**Object**

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, no footpaths, pollution, traffic congestion, amount of housing suggested, drainage issues, over-development, no school available or public transport apart from Flexibus and 1 bus a week to Norwich. The site is also outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17878**Object**

Respondent: Dr Robert Curtis [16802]

18062**Object**

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mr David Woods, Parish Councillor) [14794]

18113**Object**

Respondent: Mr Chris Alderson [17057]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections from parish council regarding local road network capacity, poor service access, possible alteration of settlement boundary, parish plan and isolation by use.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18614**Object**

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, no footpaths, pollution, traffic congestion, amount of housing suggested, drainage issues, over-development, no school available or public transport apart from Flexibus and 1 bus a week to Norwich. The site is also outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19227**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding that it is not intended that all of this site be developed and that the proposal is for affordable homes and Golf and Country Club accommodation. Please also note that it is to the south of Colton, and not north as indicated in the text.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19312**Comment**

Respondent: Cornerstone Planning Ltd (Mr Alan Presslee, Director) [13498]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Malingford and Colton, GNLP0476R

Object

Summary of representations:

Object for parish council regarding surface water, over development and increase in traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17773**Object**

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, no footpaths, pollution, traffic congestion, number of housing suggested, drainage issues, overdevelopment, no school available or public transport apart from Flexibus and 1 bus a week to Norwich. The site is also outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18063**Object**

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mr David Woods, Parish Councillor) [14794]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections from parish council regarding geographical isolation, isolation by use, no community connection and additional congestion on narrow roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18618**Object**

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, no footpaths, pollution, traffic congestion, number of housing suggested, drainage issues, overdevelopment, no school available or public transport apart from Flexibus and 1 bus a week to Norwich. The site is also outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19229**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding that it is not intended that all of this site be developed and that the proposal is for affordable homes and Golf and Country Club accommodation. Please also note that it is to the south of Colton, and not north as indicated in the text.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19313**Comment**

Respondent: Cornerstone Planning Ltd (Mr Alan Presslee, Director) [13498]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Mulbarton, GNLP2038**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding traffic congestion, access, increased pressure, inadequate roads, and lack of infrastructure, increased air & light pollution, scale of growth, loss of agricultural land, wildlife & ecology. Unsuitable access and highways are not fit for developments for this size. Contrary to the approved and adopted Neighbourhood Plans.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17064**Object**

Respondent: Dr Sarah Harrison [17389]

17205**Object**

Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]

17240**Object**

Respondent: Anne Rayner [14436]

17343**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Frost [17223]

17380**Object**

Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]

17384**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]

17558**Object**

Respondent: mrs kay mills [16540]

17873

Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Srokowski [17540]

18678

Object

Respondent: Mr Stuart Bartram [18339]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Norfolk FA regarding S106 could provide off-site facilities for football. Mulbarton FC are growing and have plans to develop their facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18765

Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding traffic congestion, access, increased pressure, inadequate roads, and lack of infrastructure, increased air & light pollution, scale of growth, loss of agricultural land, wildlife & ecology. Unsuitable access and highways are not fit for developments for this size. Contrary to the approved and adopted Neighbourhood Plans.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19238

Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Cartlidge [18560]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding dispute of RAG assessment, roads inadequate, highway safety, flooding to south, no safe access to site, limited access and main roads nearby running at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19307

Object

Respondent: Mulbarton Parish Council (Miss A Phillips, Clerk) [13463]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Mulbarton, GNLP2039

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding poor traffic, traffic congestion, scale of development, ruining the rural aspect of the village, local facilities beyond their capacity and loss of agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17063

Object

Respondent: Dr Sarah Harrison [17389]

17206

Object

Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]

17241 Object

Respondent: Anne Rayner [14436]

17344 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Frost [17223]

17381 Object

Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]

17386 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]

17896 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Srokowski [17540]

18679 Object

Respondent: Mr Stuart Bartram [18339]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Norfolk FA regarding S106 providing off-site football facilities. Mulbarton FC are growing and have plans to develop their facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18766 Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding poor traffic, traffic congestion, scale of development, ruining the rural aspect of the village, local facilities beyond their capacity and loss of agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19245**Object**

Respondent: Mr Stephen Cartlidge [18560]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding RAG assessment, inadequate roads, highway safety, limited access to site and main roads at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19306**Object**

Respondent: Mulbarton Parish Council (Miss A Phillips, Clerk) [13463]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Needham, GNLP2115

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding surface water flooding and historical flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17321

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding joining up the settlement of Needham and Harleston, losing the tourist impression of a small historic town, site is grade 2 agricultural land, flood risk, scale & property type, wildlife, ecological & townscape impacts, traffic congestion, lack of facilities & footpaths and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17517

Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Kingsbury [17676]

17554

Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Healey [17714]

17677

Object

Respondent: Mike Brennan [17795]

17678 Object

Respondent: Ms Dawn Brennan [17794]

17941 Object

Respondent: Harley Allman [17918]

17942 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Nooney [17719]

18894 Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Carstairs [18082]

19266 Object

Respondent: Danielle Kingsbury [18572]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the views outlined in the neighbourhood plan and parish plan that Starston remains separate to Harleston.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19401 Object

Respondent: Starston Parish Council (Mrs C Crane, Clerk) [12898]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and there are no fundamental constraints or impacts that cannot be mitigated through the subsequent policy allocation, applications and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19535**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Poringland, GNLP2153

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the impact of the associated services, destruction of wildlife habitats, traffic congestion, road safety, access, surface water drainage issues, loss of agricultural land, reduced bus service, and the site is outside the development boundary. This development has already been rejected by SNDC.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16854**Object**

Respondent: Mr Peter Harrison [17206]

16865**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Reeder [13531]

16874	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Mills [16121]	
16891	Object
Respondent: Mr Dave Rimmer [14972]	
16900	Object
Respondent: Mr Shaun Getley [17252]	
16901	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sophie Getley [16194]	
16905	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Freeman [17262]	
16978	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rosemary Worsley [17331]	
17015	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Price [17357]	
17034	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Cochrane [17378]	
17041	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs Keith & Deborah Wilson [15089]	
17139	Object
Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Kemp [17454]	
17874	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rebecca Ward [17895]	

17895

Object

Respondent: John Henson [17899]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by parish council regarding being outside the development boundary, access along substandard lane, site detached from village, limited safe access to schools and no drainage survey completed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18008

Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Stephanie Ayden, Admin Assistant) [17961]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding the impact of the associated services, destruction of wildlife habitats, traffic congestion, road safety, access, surface water drainage issues, loss of agricultural land, reduced bus service, and the site is outside the development boundary. This development has already been rejected by SNDC.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18100

Object

Respondent: Mrs Kim Mackie [18004]

18349

Object

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Hayes [18143]

18513**Object****Respondent:** Mr Jeremy La Chapelle [17246]

19020**Object****Respondent:** Mr Mike Prendergast [18467]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it is able to assist in meeting the objectively assessed need across the Greater Norwich Plan Area. Welcome a discussion regarding the site for residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19184**Support****Respondent:** Gladman Developments (Miss Megan Pashley, Senior Policy Researcher) [18081]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding the impact of the associated services, destruction of wildlife habitats, traffic congestion, road safety, access, surface water drainage issues, loss of agricultural land, reduced bus service, and the site is outside the development boundary. This development has already been rejected by SNDC.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19295

Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Walker [18578]

19301

Object

Respondent: G Newman [16792]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Pulham Market, GNLP2095**

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding foul sewer rising main running through site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17322

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating they do not support the two newly submitted sites.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19270

Object

Respondent: Pulham Market Parish Council (Mrs C Crane, Clerk) [12897]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and any fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process. Potential to provide much needed housing at a proportionate scale and minimising wider landscape and townscape issues.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19330**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Pulham Market, GNLP2096

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating they do not support the two newly submitted sites.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19279**Object**

Respondent: Pulham Market Parish Council (Mrs C Crane, Clerk) [12897]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process. The sites are able to provide much needed housing at a proportionate scale whilst minimising landscape and townscape impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19331**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Pulham Market, GNLPSL0008

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding entrance very narrow, visibility splay may be poor, access for bin and emergency services could be problematic and overlooking issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17550**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

South Norfolk, Pulham St Mary, GNLP1052R

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, prime arable land, and bend in road, lack of services and oversubscribed schools and doctors.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17549

Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

18351

Object

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Hayes [18143]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will address the specific existing and future needs of the village in a manner that reflects the location of the site within the village and the facilities available in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19311

Support

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Rockland St Mary, GNLP2007**Comment**

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating that the development is to respect the character and appearance of the village and is proportionate to the size of the village and facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16831**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Neil Scarborough [17181]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16832**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site if the development is to respect the character and appearance of the village and is proportionate to the size of the village and facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16879**Support**

Respondent: Mr Martyn Bumstead [16168]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating that the development is to respect the character and appearance of the village and is proportionate to the size of the village and facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17314**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Philip Clarke [17204]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17489**Object**

Respondent: Mr Steve Jones [14631]

17548**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Susan Plaw [14876]

17697**Object**

Respondent: David Gregory [14658]

17936**Object**

Respondent: T Ross Wylie [17917]

17988**Object**

Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]

18010**Object**

Respondent: Brenda Packman [17963]

18311**Object**

Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site if the development is to respect the character and appearance of the village and is proportionate to the size of the village and facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18360**Support**

Respondent: Mr Alex White [18141]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18590**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]

Agent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]

18597**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Patricia Boulton [18298]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding destruction of views, poor drainage, dangerous access, restriction of local footpath and fast traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18722

Comment

Respondent: mr johnny fincham [16061]

18726

Comment

Respondent: Mr Toby Sawrey Cookson [18350]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18735

Object

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Wyatt [15211]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating that the development is to respect the character and appearance of the village and is proportionate to the size of the village and facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18736**Comment**

Respondent: Paul Sanford [14843]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding destruction of views, poor drainage, dangerous access, restriction of local footpath and fast traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18855**Comment**

Respondent: Mr david walters [18412]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18878

Object

Respondent: Ms Catherine Bevington [15761]

18896

Object

Respondent: Mrs. M Simpson [16929]

18906

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Ewles [16477]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. It addresses the specific existing and future needs of the village in a sensitive manner that respects the character and appearance of the village and is proportionate in size.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18974

Support

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18977**Object**

Respondent: Paddy Hann [15373]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the parish council stating that the site lies outside the development boundary. Concerns raised regarding access, traffic congestion, speed limits, location of site to the Staithe and Broad, capacity of drains and sewerage and water mains.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19022**Object**

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19042**Object**

Respondent: Mr Jason Davey [18478]

19049**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Davey [15367]

19052**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. ANITA MANGANARO [17248]

19084**Object**

Respondent: Mr Philip Ellis [14840]

19110**Object**

Respondent: Saskia Richardson [18521]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Broads Authority site is an extension of village, environment corridor should be allowed, design to be positive extension to village, unlikely to impact heritage, no significant impact on broads and density important consideration.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19216**Comment**

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerns regarding the site cutting off a wildlife corridor, traffic congestion, dangerous access, sewage, drainage, poor visibility, flood risk, light & noise pollution, lack of paths, utilities, no bus stop and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19228**Object**

Respondent: Mr Nigel Kippin [15230]

19274**Object**

Respondent: Derrick King [18343]

19365

Object

Respondent: mr ingo wagenknecht [14747]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the parish council stating that the site lies outside the development boundary. Concerns raised regarding access, traffic congestion, speed limits, location of site to the Staithe and Broad, capacity of drains and sewerage and water mains.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19505

Object

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Rockland St Mary, GNLP2061

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding wildlife, flood risk, access, footpaths, devaluation of property, traffic congestion, infrastructure, services, poor visibility, capacity of schools and doctors, environmental impacts and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16827

Object

Respondent: Mr Neil Scarborough [17181]

16833**Object****Respondent:** Mr Richard Sadd [15116]**16861****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Karin Rundle [16238]**16881****Object****Respondent:** Mr Martyn Bumstead [16168]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding additional traffic, road safety, pedestrian safety, utilities, sewerage, linking small sites together, property types provided, impact on services and properties being available freehold.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17313**Comment****Respondent:** Mr Philip Clarke [17204]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by district council regarding surface water flood path and ponding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17323

Comment

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Comment in support of site regarding confirmation from highways engineers on access for adoptable highway and pavement, an appropriate visibility splay can be provided, all land in same ownership, surface water flood risk can be addressed by proposed drainage strategy and the agricultural land to north is owned by same landowner.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17347

Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding wildlife, flood risk, access, footpaths, devaluation of property, traffic congestion, infrastructure, services, poor visibility, capacity of schools and doctors, environmental impacts and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17367

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Brand [17570]

17385	Object
Respondent: Mrs Fiona Turton [17514]	
17457	Object
Respondent: jayne regan [17633]	
17483	Object
Respondent: Mr Steve Jones [14631]	
17546	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Plaw [14876]	
17699	Object
Respondent: David Gregory [14658]	
17937	Object
Respondent: T Ross Wylie [17917]	
17951	Object
Respondent: Mrs CAROLINE RINGWOOD [15132]	
17985	Object
Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]	
18011	Object
Respondent: Brenda Packman [17963]	
18180	Object
Respondent: Joe Cowell [18018]	
18307	Object
Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]	

18358	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Bensley [18149]	
18365	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Bensley [18149]	
18449	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932] Agent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]	
18525	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Rogers [18257]	
18532	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Rogers [18260]	
18589	Object
Respondent: Mrs Patricia Boulton [18298]	
18680	Object
Respondent: Paul Bearman [18340]	
18687	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Holmes [17050]	
18706	Object
Respondent: Ben Cox [18345]	
18714	Object
Respondent: Derrick King [18343]	
18730	Object
Respondent: Paul Sanford [14843]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding additional traffic, road safety, pedestrian safety, utilities, sewerage, linking small sites together, property types provided, impact on services and properties being available freehold.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18737**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Wyatt [15211]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding wildlife, flood risk, access, footpaths, devaluation of property, traffic congestion, infrastructure, services, poor visibility, capacity of schools and doctors, environmental impacts and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18743**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Wyatt [15211]

18799**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Fairbourn [15213]

18879**Object**

Respondent: Ms Catherine Bevington [15761]

18897

Object

Respondent: Mrs. M Simpson [16929]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding additional traffic, road safety, pedestrian safety, utilities, sewerage, linking small sites together, property types provided, impact on services and properties being available freehold.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18937

Comment

Respondent: Mrs. emily ireson [16586]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding wildlife, flood risk, access, footpaths, devaluation of property, traffic congestion, infrastructure, services, poor visibility, capacity of schools and doctors, environmental impacts and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18949

Object

Respondent: Mrs Pamela Stone [18435]

18968**Object****Respondent:** Mr Richard Ewles [16477]**18978****Object****Respondent:** Paddy Hann [15373]**19017****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Nicola Davey [15367]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised by parish council concerning narrow roads, access, traffic congestion, increase in number of drivers, surface water flood risk, creation of a 'backland' site, destruction of historic feature and precedence for 'infill.'

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19023**Object****Respondent:** Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding wildlife, flood risk, access, footpaths, devaluation of property, traffic congestion, infrastructure, services, poor visibility, capacity of schools and doctors, environmental impacts and the site is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19029 **Object**

Respondent: Mr John Stone [18473]

19033 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Simon Thomas [14711]

19038 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Jason Davey [18478]

19044 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rachel Sheppard [18476]

19054 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs. ANITA MANGANARO [17248]

19088 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Philip Ellis [14840]

19319 **Object**

Respondent: J DRAKE [18453]

19366 **Object**

Respondent: mr ingo wagenknecht [14747]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council concerning narrow roads, access, traffic congestion, increase in number of drivers, surface water flood risk, creation of a 'backland' site, destruction of historic feature and precedence for 'infill.'

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19506

Object

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Rockland St Mary, GNLP2063

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access due to 2 of Rockland's oldest houses in entrance, impact to wildlife, lack of services, site outside boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, unsuitable roads, drainage and sewerage at capacity, poor access, amenities not suitable, loss of village appeal, devaluation, disjointed sites, poor public transport, unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and lack of paths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16828

Object

Respondent: Mr Neil Scarborough [17181]

16834

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116]

16835**Object****Respondent:** Mr Richard Sadd [15116]

16882**Object****Respondent:** Mr Martyn Bumstead [16168]

16987**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Caroline Pritchard [17172]

17030**Object****Respondent:** Mr Stanley Deeks [17196]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding considering small sites together, adoption of roads, property types, impact on services, properties available freehold, loss of natural wildlife habitat, increase in traffic, danger to cycleway, road safety, size of access point and sewerage and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17311**Comment****Respondent:** Mr Philip Clarke [17204]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by district council regarding surface water flow path.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17324**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site regarding highways engineers confirming access sufficient for adopted highway and pavement, appropriate visibility splay possible, all land even access in same ownership and surface water flood risk can be addressed with proposed drainage strategy.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17348**Support**

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access due to 2 of Rockland's oldest houses in entrance, impact to wildlife, lack of services, site outside boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, unsuitable roads, drainage and sewerage at capacity, poor access, amenities not suitable, loss of village appeal, devaluation, disjointed sites, poor public transport, unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and lack of paths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17368

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Brand [17570]

17458

Object

Respondent: jayne regan [17633]

17466

Object

Respondent: Mrs G FV Sargent [17065]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding considering small sites together, adoption of roads, property types, impact on services, properties available freehold, loss of natural wildlife habitat, increase in traffic, danger to cycleway, road safety, size of access point and sewerage and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17486

Comment

Respondent: Mr Steve Jones [14631]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding access due to 2 of Rockland's oldest houses in entrance, impact to wildlife, lack of services, site outside boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, unsuitable roads, drainage and sewerage at capacity, poor access, amenities not suitable, loss of village appeal, devaluation, disjointed sites, poor public transport, unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and lack of paths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17545

Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Plaw [14876]

17647

Object

Respondent: Mrs G FV Sergent [17065]

17700

Object

Respondent: David Gregory [14658]

17938

Object

Respondent: T Ross Wylie [17917]

17948

Object

Respondent: Mrs CAROLINE RINGWOOD [15132]

17986

Object

Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]

18013	Object
Respondent: Brenda Packman [17963]	
18312	Object
Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]	
18366	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Bensley [18149]	
18533	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Rogers [18260]	
18540	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Holmes [17050]	
18591	Object
Respondent: Mrs Patricia Boulton [18298]	
18617	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932] Agent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]	
18681	Object
Respondent: Paul Bearman [18340]	
18707	Object
Respondent: Ben Cox [18345]	
18731	Object
Respondent: Paul Sanford [14843]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding considering small sites together, adoption of roads, property types, impact on services, properties available freehold, loss of natural wildlife habitat, increase in traffic, danger to cycleway, road safety, size of access point and sewerage and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18739**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Wyatt [15211]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access due to 2 of Rockland's oldest houses in entrance, impact to wildlife, lack of services, site outside boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, unsuitable roads, drainage and sewerage at capacity, poor access, amenities not suitable, loss of village appeal, devaluation, disjointed sites, poor public transport, unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and lack of paths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18744**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Wyatt [15211]

18800**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Fairbourn [15213]

18880**Object**

Respondent: Ms Catherine Bevington [15761]

18898**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. M Simpson [16929]

18904**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Ewles [16477]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding considering small sites together, adoption of roads, property types, impact on services, properties available freehold, loss of natural wildlife habitat, increase in traffic, danger to cycleway, road safety, size of access point and sewerage and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18941**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs. emily iredon [16586]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access due to 2 of Rockland's oldest houses in entrance, impact to wildlife, lack of services, site outside boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, unsuitable roads, drainage and sewerage at capacity, poor access, amenities not suitable, loss of village appeal, devaluation, disjointed sites, poor public transport, unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and lack of paths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18951

Object

Respondent: Mrs Pamela Stone [18435]

18979

Object

Respondent: Paddy Hann [15373]

19012

Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Davey [15367]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding drainage issues, access and the lands sub-structure, location of site causing danger to pedestrians in service area, limited employment opportunities, traffic congestion and use of agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19026**Object**

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access due to 2 of Rockland's oldest houses in entrance, impact to wildlife, lack of services, site outside boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, unsuitable roads, drainage and sewerage at capacity, poor access, amenities not suitable, loss of village appeal, devaluation, disjointed sites, poor public transport, unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and lack of paths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19030**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Stone [18473]

19039**Object**

Respondent: Mr Jason Davey [18478]

19055**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. ANITA MANGANARO [17248]

19265**Object**

Respondent: Derrick King [18343]

19367**Object**

Respondent: mr ingo wagenknecht [14747]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding drainage issues, access and the lands sub-structure, location of site causing danger to pedestrians in service area, limited employment opportunities, traffic congestion and use of agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19508**Object**

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Rockland St Mary, GNLP2064

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding wildlife, location, rare species, no sewerage or other infrastructure services, dangerous access, outside development boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, within 3000m buffer protecting fringes of SAC, SPA, SSSI, Ramsar and National Nature Reserve. Further issues of pollution, limited public transport, visibility on access, cycle routes, traffic congestion and flood risk. New settlement away from village may be better.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16829**Object**

Respondent: Mr Neil Scarborough [17181]

16836**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116]

16883**Object**

Respondent: Mr Martyn Bumstead [16168]

16986**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Pritchard [17172]

17006**Object**

Respondent: Mr Stanley Deeks [17196]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding small developments being considered together, adopted access, property types, service provisions, property as freehold, loss of wildlife habitat, increase in traffic, cycle route issues, visibility, access size and sewerage and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17312**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Philip Clarke [17204]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support regarding highway engineers confirmed propose access is large enough for adopted highway and pavements, appropriate visibility splay achievable, access arrangements to be agreed with GP service in exchange for more parking space and proposed access can mitigate issues with access to garages.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17349**Support**

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding wildlife, location, rare species, no sewerage or other infrastructure services, dangerous access, outside development boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, within 3000m buffer protecting fringes of SAC, SPA, SSSI, Ramsar and National Nature Reserve. Further issues of pollution, limited public transport, visibility on access, cycle routes, traffic congestion and flood risk. New settlement away from village may be better.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17369**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Brand [17570]

17459**Object**

Respondent: jayne regan [17633]

17465

Object

Respondent: Mrs G FV Sargent [17065]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding small developments being considered together, adopted access, property types, service provisions, property as freehold, loss of wildlife habitat, increase in traffic, cycle route issues, visibility, access size and sewerage and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17485

Comment

Respondent: Mr Steve Jones [14631]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding wildlife, location, rare species, no sewerage or other infrastructure services, dangerous access, outside development boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, within 3000m buffer protecting fringes of SAC, SPA, SSSI, Ramsar and National Nature Reserve. Further issues of pollution, limited public transport, visibility on access, cycle routes, traffic congestion and flood risk. New settlement away from village may be better.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17542

Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Plaw [14876]

17648	Object
Respondent: Mrs G FV Sergent [17065]	
17649	Object
Respondent: Mrs G FV Sergent [17065]	
17939	Object
Respondent: T Ross Wylie [17917]	
17943	Object
Respondent: Mrs CAROLINE RINGWOOD [15132]	
17987	Object
Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]	
18012	Object
Respondent: Brenda Packman [17963]	
18298	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Ewles [16477]	
18313	Object
Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]	
18367	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Bensley [18149]	
18534	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Rogers [18260]	
18593	Object
Respondent: Mrs Patricia Boulton [18298]	

18612**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]**Agent:** Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]

18682**Object****Respondent:** Paul Bearman [18340]

18708**Object****Respondent:** Ben Cox [18345]

18732**Object****Respondent:** Paul Sanford [14843]

18740**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Sarah Wyatt [15211]

18884**Object****Respondent:** Ms Catherine Bevington [15761]

18893**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Ruth Ewles [18428]

18899**Object****Respondent:** Mrs. M Simpson [16929]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding small developments being considered together, adopted access, property types, service provisions, property as freehold, loss of wildlife habitat, increase in traffic, cycle route issues, visibility, access size and sewerage and drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18943**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs. emily ireson [16586]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding wildlife, location, rare species, no sewerage or other infrastructure services, dangerous access, outside development boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, within 3000m buffer protecting fringes of SAC, SPA, SSSI, Ramsar and National Nature Reserve. Further issues of pollution, limited public transport, visibility on access, cycle routes, traffic congestion and flood risk. New settlement away from village may be better.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18952**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Pamela Stone [18435]

18981**Object**

Respondent: Paddy Hann [15373]

19014

Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Davey [15367]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding key facilities close to site causing traffic congestion, road safety issues, parking problems, popular stretch of road for cyclists (although not national cycle way), 'backland' development cause loss of historic linear form and set a precedent, use of agricultural land, climate change effects due to this and quality of agricultural land should be taken into consideration.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19027

Object

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding wildlife, location, rare species, no sewerage or other infrastructure services, dangerous access, outside development boundary, within 200m of Broads Authority, within 3000m buffer protecting fringes of SAC, SPA, SSSI, Ramsar and National Nature Reserve. Further issues of pollution, limited public transport, visibility on access, cycle routes, traffic congestion and flood risk. New settlement away from village may be better.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19031

Object

Respondent: Mr John Stone [18473]

19040**Object****Respondent:** Mr Jason Davey [18478]**19057****Object****Respondent:** Mrs. ANITA MANGANARO [17248]**19106****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Natalie Liversidge [18386]**19189****Object****Respondent:** Mr Simon Thomas [14711]**19257****Object****Respondent:** Derrick King [18343]**19368****Object****Respondent:** mr ingo wagenknecht [14747]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by parish council regarding key facilities close to site causing traffic congestion, road safety issues, parking problems, popular stretch of road for cyclists (although not national cycle way), 'backland' development cause loss of historic linear form and set a precedent, use of agricultural land, climate change effects due to this and quality of agricultural land should be taken into consideration.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19507**Object****Respondent:** Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Rockland St Mary, GNLP2070

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding access without transport, village amenities, infrastructure, capacity of school, road upgrade, foul sewer availability, most appropriate of all sites, least impact and maintain linear village layout.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16830

Comment

Respondent: Mr Neil Scarborough [17181]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding grade 2 arable quality farmland, wildlife corridor being removed, no footpaths, inadequate & unsafe roads, no amenities in the vicinity, poor access, devaluation of property, school has limited capacity, lack of public transport and sewage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16837

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding the site keeping in line with linear village, small size, improve footpath linking to village, appropriate size, good access, adjacent to existing housing and short distance to other settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16880**Support**

Respondent: Mr Martyn Bumstead [16168]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding access without transport, village amenities, infrastructure, capacity of school, road upgrade, foul sewer availability, most appropriate of all sites, least impact and maintain linear village layout.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17315**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Philip Clarke [17204]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17326**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding grade 2 arable quality farmland, wildlife corridor being removed, no footpaths, inadequate & unsafe roads, no amenities in the vicinity, poor access, devaluation of property, school has limited capacity, lack of public transport and sewage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17460**Object**

Respondent: jayne regan [17633]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding the site keeping in line with linear village, small size, improve footpath linking to village, appropriate size, good access, adjacent to existing housing and short distance to other settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17484

Support

Respondent: Mr Steve Jones [14631]

17727

Support

Respondent: E&EJ Andre James Andrews [15934]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding grade 2 arable quality farmland, wildlife corridor being removed, no footpaths, inadequate & unsafe roads, no amenities in the vicinity, poor access, devaluation of property, school has limited capacity, lack of public transport and sewage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17940

Object

Respondent: T Ross Wylie [17917]

18014

Object

Respondent: Brenda Packman [17963]

18314

Object

Respondent: mr graham cowell [15209]

Support**Summary of representations:**

Comments in support of site regarding the site keeping in line with linear village, small size, improve footpath linking to village, appropriate size, good access, adjacent to existing housing and short distance to other settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18362

Support

Respondent: Mr Alex White [18141]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding grade 2 arable quality farmland, wildlife corridor being removed, no footpaths, inadequate & unsafe roads, no amenities in the vicinity, poor access, devaluation of property, school has limited capacity, lack of public transport and sewage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18622

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]

Agent: Mrs Jude Cowell [17932]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding the site keeping in line with linear village, small size, improve footpath linking to village, appropriate size, good access, adjacent to existing housing and short distance to other settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18733**Support**

Respondent: Paul Sanford [14843]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding grade 2 arable quality farmland, wildlife corridor being removed, no footpaths, inadequate & unsafe roads, no amenities in the vicinity, poor access, devaluation of property, school has limited capacity, lack of public transport and sewage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18801**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Fairbourn [15213]

18875**Object**

Respondent: Ms Catherine Bevington [15761]

18982**Object**

Respondent: Paddy Hann [15373]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding site outside development boundary, remote to services, lies between two villages, speed limits, inadequate road network, lack of footpaths, limited public transport, limited employment opportunity and essential use of cars.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19028

Comment

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding grade 2 arable quality farmland, wildlife corridor being removed, no footpaths, inadequate & unsafe roads, no amenities in the vicinity, poor access, devaluation of property, school has limited capacity, lack of public transport and sewage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19047

Object

Respondent: Mr Jason Davey [18478]

19051

Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Davey [15367]

19058**Object****Respondent:** Mrs. ANITA MANGANARO [17248]**19081****Object****Respondent:** Mr Philip Ellis [14840]**19217****Object****Respondent:** Derrick King [18343]**19369****Object****Respondent:** mr ingo wagenknecht [14747]**Mixed****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised by parish council regarding site outside development boundary, remote to services, lies between two villages, speed limits, inadequate road network, lack of footpaths, limited public transport, limited employment opportunity and essential use of cars.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19504**Object****Respondent:** Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Scole, GNLP2066

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding surface water flow path and foul sewerage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17327**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding site access, drainage and neighbourhood plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19358**Object**

Respondent: Scole Parish Council (Mr. Graham Moore, Chairman) [15568]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Seething, GNLP2148

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by district council regarding flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17328**Comment**

Respondent: South Norfolk Council (Ms Shirley Bishop, Flood Officer) [12454]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding biodiversity/geodiversity, flooding and starter homes.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18565**Comment**

Respondent: John Long Planning (Mr John Long, Owner) [13586]

Agent: John Long Planning (Mr John Long, Owner) [13586]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Stoke Holly Cross, GNLP2091

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16846

Object

Respondent: Mr David Constable [17198]

16866

Object

Respondent: Miss Lauren Read [16182]

16867

Object

Respondent: Mr Ross Mitchell [17215]

16886

Object

Respondent: Mr Tristen Read [14519]

16960

Object

Respondent: Stoke Mill ludovico laccarino [17317]

17000

Object

Respondent: Mrs Meike Stepp [17344]

17002

Object

Respondent: Mrs Debra Wade [17347]

17003

Object

Respondent: Dr Bryan Heap [17349]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding preserving Tas Valley, other potential sites are better, use of agricultural land for development, wildlife preservation, increase in traffic, green-belt land, out of development boundary and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17004

Comment

Respondent: Mrs Tina Gill [17350]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17028

Object

Respondent: Miss Hannah King [17371]

17044

Object

Respondent: Mr Adam King [17382]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding preserving Tas Valley, other potential sites are better, use of agricultural land for development, wildlife preservation, increase in traffic, green-belt land, out of development boundary and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17059

Comment

Respondent: Mrs Trish Stephenson [17388]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17068

Object

Respondent: Miss Jocelyn Carter [15480]

17069	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sophie Gardiner [17392]	
17071	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Mayes [17401]	
17075	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Carter [17405]	
17093	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hudson [17424]	
17112	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet King [17435]	
17116	Object
Respondent: Mr Thomas Hurn [17438]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding preserving Tas Valley, other potential sites are better, use of agricultural land for development, wildlife preservation, increase in traffic, green-belt land, out of development boundary and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17131	Comment
Respondent: mr sidney davidson [17447]	

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17136**Object**

Respondent: Cheryl Evans [17451]

17137**Object**

Respondent: mrs carol sanders [17452]

17176**Object**

Respondent: Mr Steven Mitchell [17200]

17234**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tully Wakeman [17513]

17330**Object**

Respondent: Ms Fenella Bhaskar [17553]

17404**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Thelma Hope [15522]

17439**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Madeline Beale [17617]

17449	Object
Respondent: Heather Fitzsimons [16351]	
17472	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Baldwin [17639]	
17488	Object
Respondent: Mr Iain Brooksby [17642]	
17497	Object
Respondent: Malcolm Ackerley [17656]	
17606	Object
Respondent: MR Alan Stewart [17348]	
17624	Object
Respondent: Mr Marcus Read [14733]	
17630	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dawn Read [16142]	
17645	Object
Respondent: Mre Angie Wakeman [17783]	
17663	Object
Respondent: Phil and Jenny Beaumont [17790]	
17664	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karrie Bradshaw [17406]	
17667	Object
Respondent: Mr David Metcalf [17410]	

17674	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Van Raalte [17419]	
17696	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jean Clarke [17806]	
17704	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	
17706	Object
Respondent: Mr Dudley Watts [17808]	
17905	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Clarke [17908]	
17935	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Brooksby [17643]	
17957	Object
Respondent: Mrs catherine king [17927]	
17971	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anthea Stewart [17680]	
17981	Object
Respondent: mr kevin balderstone [17780]	
18015	Object
Respondent: Ben King [17960]	
18077	Object
Respondent: Mr Ed Aspinall [17993]	

18078	Object
Respondent: Miss Amanda Lockett [17190]	
18126	Object
Respondent: Mrs judith Olivier [18017]	
18137	Object
Respondent: MR CHRIS PEARCE [18001]	
18147	Object
Respondent: Mrs. gillian mixer [17154]	
18149	Object
Respondent: mr arthur mixer [18043]	
18177	Object
Respondent: Jane Appleby [18054]	
18186	Object
Respondent: Mrs christine burton [17565]	
18189	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Burton [18062]	
18197	Object
Respondent: Mrs Paula Krumins [18064]	
18270	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Folkard [18098]	
18341	Object
Respondent: Mr Terence Gould [18138]	

18344	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Sara Webber [14935]	
18350	Object
Respondent: Ms Sheila Aldis [18140]	
18353	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Brand [18145]	
18356	Object
Respondent: Miss Nicola Badley [18055]	
18361	Object
Respondent: Mr Kieran Jessett [18152]	
18408	Object
Respondent: helen woods [18176]	
18410	Object
Respondent: dr mike laurence [18175]	
18421	Object
Respondent: Mr John Cogman [17588]	
18431	Object
Respondent: Mr Marcus Johnson [18191]	
18472	Object
Respondent: Mrs Monica Broome [18220]	
18530	Object
Respondent: Helen Upton [18211]	

18535**Object****Respondent:** Ms Elizabeth Stewart [18265]**18563****Object****Respondent:** MR John Simpson [18278]**18573****Object****Respondent:** Mr Roger Williamson [18290]**18578****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Marion Murphy [18291]**18692****Object****Respondent:** Ms Lindsay Heywood [18341]**18701****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Elizabeth Stocker [18239]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support from the Norfolk FA regarding potential of S106 providing off-site football provision. Stoke United FC have plans to redevelop their existing facility.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18767**Support****Respondent:** Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18826**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Gillian Cook [18382]

18841**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tim Procter [18402]

18872**Object**

Respondent: Jean Wallace [18424]

18876**Object**

Respondent: Anthony Clarke [18426]

18889**Object**

Respondent: Mr Michael Knights [17158]

18903**Object**

Respondent: Mr Jim Webber [18299]

18940**Object**

Respondent: Sheila Sissons [18441]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding preserving Tas Valley, other potential sites are better, use of agricultural land for development, wildlife preservation, increase in traffic, green-belt land, out of development boundary and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18980**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Alan James [18456]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19025**Object**

Respondent: Mr Conrad Stewart [18471]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding preserving Tas Valley, other potential sites are better, use of agricultural land for development, wildlife preservation, increase in traffic, green-belt land, out of development boundary and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19077

Comment

Respondent: Mrs Jean Bottomley [18499]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19090

Object

Respondent: Mr Tim Powter-Robinson [17774]

19091

Object

Respondent: M Sparrow [18148]

19119**Object****Respondent:** Mr Stefan Donnelly [17399]

19140**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Sue Carr [18139]

19280**Object****Respondent:** Ms Brenda Unwin [18566]

19281**Object****Respondent:** Mr Philip Walmsley [18567]

19328**Object****Respondent:** Susan Astbury [15006]

19334**Object****Respondent:** Mr Tim Drake [15609]

19347**Object****Respondent:** Lesley Barratt [18601]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objects raised by parish council regarding existing infrastructure unable to handle any development, traffic issues, lack of social services and sewerage and water pressure problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19351**Object**

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Anita Rose) [18602]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding position of site in open visual access, urbanisation, merging settlements, sewage capacity, impacts on wildlife, flooding, additional traffic, road safety, traffic congestion, lack of amenities, outside village envelope, transport links poor, loss of agricultural land, location in Tas River Valley and historic significance of site and adjoining land raised by Norfolk Historic Environment Service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19357**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Tewson [18557]

19425**Object**

Respondent: Mr Alan Harvey [18641]

19475**Object**

Respondent: Mr Roger Williamson [18290]

19548**Object**

Respondent: Christine Jennings [18675]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from the agent regarding planning application being submitted for 5 dwellings on part of site as an identified need for custom build homes.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19605**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Nicole Wright [14312]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Stoke Holly Cross, GNLP2111

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increase in traffic, stretched services, use of green-belt land, over-development, lack of infrastructure investment, outside of development boundary, loss of agricultural land, effect on rural character, no foot or cycle paths to services, close to listed buildings, environmental impact, quality of life for residents, speed limit, site access dangerous, sewerage system overloaded, surface water drainage and noise pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17129**Object**

Respondent: mr sidney davidson [17447]

17200**Object**

Respondent: pamela harris [17488]

17450	Object
Respondent: Heather Fitzsimons [16351]	
17621	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	
17622	Object
Respondent: Mr Marcus Read [14733]	
17665	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karrie Bradshaw [17406]	
17668	Object
Respondent: Mr David Metcalf [17410]	
17702	Object
Respondent: Mr Dudley Watts [17808]	
17745	Object
Respondent: Dr Alan Sissons [17583]	
17809	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
17861	Object
Respondent: Ms Jeanette Satchell [17890]	
17995	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Waller [17951]	
18017	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Price [17957]	

18104**Object****Respondent:** Mr Christopher Baddour [17199]**18124****Object****Respondent:** 1967 Julie Baddour [18015]**Support****Summary of representations:**

Support to site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18183**Support****Respondent:** mr Simon Dixon [17994]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding increase in traffic, stretched services, use of green-belt land, over-development, lack of infrastructure investment, outside of development boundary, loss of agricultural land, effect on rural character, no foot or cycle paths to services, close to listed buildings, environmental impact, quality of life for residents, speed limit, site access dangerous, sewerage system overloaded, surface water drainage and noise pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18200**Object****Respondent:** Ms Judy Tryggvason [18067]

18251	Object
Respondent: Mr Frank Greenfield [18095]	
18261	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Folkard [18098]	
18271	Object
Respondent: David Chester [18109]	
18345	Object
Respondent: Ms Sheila Aldis [18140]	
18346	Object
Respondent: MT TIM RIDGLEY [18144]	
18419	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margrete Drinkwater [18181]	
18435	Object
Respondent: Mr Gavin Hales [18195]	
18442	Object
Respondent: Mr Chris Rayner [18200]	
18473	Object
Respondent: Mrs Monica Broome [18220]	
18520	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Appleton [18134]	
18576	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet King [17435]	

18734**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Anthea Stewart [17680]

18741**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. gillian mixer [17154]

18761**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Debra Wade [17347]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support raised by Norfolk FA regarding S106 potentially providing off-site football space. Stoke United FC are looking to redevelop their facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18768**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increase in traffic, stretched services, use of green-belt land, over-development, lack of infrastructure investment, outside of development boundary, loss of agricultural land, effect on rural character, no foot or cycle paths to services, close to listed buildings, environmental impact, quality of life for residents, speed limit, site access dangerous, sewerage system overloaded, surface water drainage and noise pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18781**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Polly Crosby [18364]

18873**Object**

Respondent: Jean Wallace [18424]

18877**Object**

Respondent: Anthony Clarke [18426]

18939**Object**

Respondent: Sheila Sissons [18441]

18975**Object**

Respondent: Ms Fenella Bhaskar [17553]

18985**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Emma Pettit [18458]

19010**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Avril Eastwood [18421]

19043**Object**

Respondent: Mr Jonathan Eastwood [18477]

19050**Object**

Respondent: Mr S Eastwood [18483]

19071**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Fairey [18491]

19087**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Lusher [18500]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment stating that it is against planning policy, no need for further development, highway and access issues, inadequate services, not sustainable and lacking local support.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19094**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Tim Powter-Robinson [17774]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increase in traffic, stretched services, use of green-belt land, over-development, lack of infrastructure investment, outside of development boundary, loss of agricultural land, effect on rural character, no foot or cycle paths to services, close to listed buildings, environmental impact, quality of life for residents, speed limit, site access dangerous, sewerage system overloaded, surface water drainage and noise pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19118**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Wells [18523]

19122**Object**

Respondent: MR Alan Stewart [17348]

19135**Object**

Respondent: Mr Justin Cogman [18487]

19236**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Kim Mackie [18004]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objects raised by parish council regarding existing infrastructure unable to handle any development, traffic issues, lack of social services and sewerage and water pressure problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19352**Object**

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Anita Rose) [18602]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increase in traffic, stretched services, use of green-belt land, over-development, lack of infrastructure investment, outside of development boundary, loss of agricultural land, effect on rural character, no foot or cycle paths to services, close to listed buildings, environmental impact, quality of life for residents, speed limit, site access dangerous, sewerage system overloaded, surface water drainage and noise pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19373**Object**

Respondent: Trowse Net victoria chubb [18607]

19426**Object**

Respondent: Mr Alan Harvey [18641]

19527

Object

Respondent: Mr Dennis Henson [18225]

19549

Object

Respondent: Christine Jennings [18675]

19593

Object

Respondent: Mrs Anne Fallon [18685]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Stoke Holly Cross, GNLP2124****Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comment regarding increase in traffic, services stretched and building on green-belt.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17130

Comment

Respondent: mr sidney davidson [17447]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding village envelope expansion, no investment in infrastructure, building near listed buildings, traffic increase, loss of rural character, services unable to cope, speed limits, reducing bus service, detachment from Poringland, development not needed and road network need improvements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17451 **Object**

Respondent: Heather Fitzsimons [16351]

17666 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Karrie Bradshaw [17406]

17669 **Object**

Respondent: Mr David Metcalf [17410]

17707 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Dudley Watts [17808]

17708 **Object**

Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]

17744 **Object**

Respondent: Dr Alan Sissons [17583]

17810 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

17891 **Object**

Respondent: John Henson [17899]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding detachment from urban area, drainage in accordance with Poringland Drainage Scheme, no social opportunities to village and not linked to existing developments.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18005**Object**

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Stephanie Ayden, Admin Assistant) [17961]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding village envelope expansion, no investment in infrastructure, building near listed buildings, traffic increase, loss of rural character, services unable to cope, speed limits, reducing bus service, detachment from Poringland, development not needed and road network need improvements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18278**Object**

Respondent: Mr Brian Folkard [18098]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support from the Norfolk FA regarding S106 potentially providing off-site football space. Stoke United FC are looking to redevelop their existing facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18770

Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding village envelope expansion, no investment in infrastructure, building near listed buildings, traffic increase, loss of rural character, services unable to cope, speed limits, reducing bus service, detachment from Poringland, development not needed and road network need improvements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18938

Object

Respondent: Sheila Sissons [18441]

19053

Object

Respondent: Mrs Liz Humphrey [18481]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objects raised by parish council regarding existing infrastructure unable to handle any development, traffic issues, lack of social services and sewerage and water pressure problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19353

Object

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Anita Rose) [18602]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding village envelope expansion, no investment in infrastructure, building near listed buildings, traffic increase, loss of rural character, services unable to cope, speed limits, reducing bus service, detachment from Poringland, development not needed and road network need improvements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19427

Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Harvey [18641]

19550

Object

Respondent: Christine Jennings [18675]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support submitted by site owner agent stating the site is suitable, achievable, available and viable. There are no constraints.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19569**Support**

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr. Harry Ramsey) [16862]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Starston, GNLPSL2001

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and providing a green space, the site being on agricultural land, lack of public transport, road safety issues, access, drainage, gas supply and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18964**Object**

Respondent: Ms J Taylor [15732]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted by parish council in support of site and the proposed new small settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19376**Support**

Respondent: Starston Parish Council (Mrs C Crane, Clerk) [12898]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and providing a green space, the site being on agricultural land, lack of public transport, road safety issues, access, drainage, gas supply and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19444**Object**

Respondent: Ms Eileen Fife [13066]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Surlingham, GNLP2010

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site on the grounds the land has very few development constraints and its location on the edge of the village will reduce the impact of new homes on existing properties.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17345**Support**

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by parish council stating that the linear design of village and affordable housing are welcomed.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17388**Support**

Respondent: Surlingham Parish Council (Mrs J Rogers, Clerk) [14090]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Surlingham, GNLP2016

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised against site regarding concerns over narrow roads, dangerous junctions, flood risks and the development is out of character for the village. Other concerns include the environmental impact and lacks infrastructure. The village is already at risk to losing the Buddhist retreat.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16862**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Karin Rundle [16238]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development with the developer working closely with highways and flood authority to mitigate flooding and traffic issues.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17346**Support**

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding not wanting more housing in this location with the associated increase in traffic and is also close to conservation areas.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17389**Object**

Respondent: Surlingham Parish Council (Mrs J Rogers, Clerk) [14090]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised against site regarding concerns over narrow roads, dangerous junctions, flood risks and the development is out of character for the village. Other concerns include the environmental impact and lacks infrastructure. The village is already at risk to losing the Buddhist retreat.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17805**Object**

Respondent: Fwbo Surlingham (Dh. Sanghanistha Heddle, Chairman) [15276]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Surlingham, GNLP2045**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site due to the linear design of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17390**Support**

Respondent: Surlingham Parish Council (Mrs J Rogers, Clerk) [14090]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Surlingham, GNLP2009**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding flood risk, the site is adjacent to area of beauty in the Wheatfen nature reserve, lack of roads, and environmental impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16863**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Karin Rundle [16238]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council suggesting there is no reason to move the settlement boundary. The only purpose being to create an area for infill development which would contradict the linear of the settlement overall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17391

Object

Respondent: Surlingham Parish Council (Mrs J Rogers, Clerk) [14090]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swainsthorpe, GNLP0191R

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts. Other concerns include the change of character it would bring and JCS classification.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16844

Object

Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]

16875

Object

Respondent: mrs kay mills [16540]

16895	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]	
16899	Object
Respondent: mrs sheila cree [17244]	
16942	Object
Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]	
17036	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Toms [16568]	
17082	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey Bocz [15821]	
17101	Object
Respondent: Mrs Glynis Frost [17428]	
17107	Object
Respondent: Suzanna Long [17242]	
17110	Object
Respondent: Mr ian paton [16158]	
17121	Object
Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]	
17124	Object
Respondent: Mark Holdsworth [17444]	
17140	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Pugh [17455]	

17148	Object
Respondent: mr paul bowyer [17462]	
17149	Object
Respondent: mr paul bowyer [17462]	
17156	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Webster [17465]	
17178	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Pugh [17478]	
17180	Object
Respondent: Mr John Craft [16589]	
17184	Object
Respondent: MR Carl Williamson [17477]	
17188	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Graver [16536]	
17189	Object
Respondent: MR ANTHONY TALBY [16528]	
17201	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Chandler [17492]	
17250	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Webster [17281]	
17252	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Chandler [16550]	

17259	Object
Respondent: miss annette cooper [17529]	
17284	Object
Respondent: Mrs susan Peters Corbett [17542]	
17331	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Randell [17555]	
17334	Object
Respondent: Mrs sarah webster [17557]	
17340	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Frost [17223]	
17351	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Garstka [17560]	
17392	Object
Respondent: Mr Henry Peters Corbett [17585]	
17402	Object
Respondent: Prof. David Peters Corbett [17587]	
17429	Object
Respondent: Mr Henry Mills [17604]	
17430	Object
Respondent: Miss Sacha Seaman [17603]	
17431	Object
Respondent: Miss Sacha Seaman [17603]	

17470**Object**

Respondent: Mr Malcolm Robins [17637]

17496**Object**

Respondent: Mr Iain Brooksby [17642]

17536**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Allen [17602]

17541**Object**

Respondent: Miss Katrina Crossley [17705]

17571**Object**

Respondent: Steve Baker [17733]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding rural setting and development of villages.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17601**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Bonnie Toogood [17757]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts. Other concerns include the change of character it would bring and JCS classification.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17605

Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Rowe [17063]

17608

Object

Respondent: Ms A Wells [14224]

17613

Object

Respondent: Michael Wells [17749]

17618

Object

Respondent: Dr Alexander Heaton [17763]

17634

Object

Respondent: MISS DAISY MILLS [17771]

17680

Object

Respondent: Dr Margaret Robins [16677]

17689

Object

Respondent: Mrs Tracey Williamson [17802]

17717**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jeannette Rowe [17822]

17719**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Craft [16589]

17780**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tony Roffe [17848]

17785**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rachael Trivett [17850]

17812**Object**

Respondent: Iris Makepeace [17866]

17856**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Tina Minns [17886]

17857**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Clare Thurlow [17887]

17862**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ethan Bolingbroke [17891]

17876**Object**

Respondent: mr martin bennett [17398]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site suggesting the amendments would allow for a newly adopted highway on land of same land owners, reduces impact of overhead power lines, new road can be used for utilities, minimal impact on existing properties and land boundaries would be landscaped to inform a rural buffer.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17883

Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts. Other concerns include the change of character it would bring and JCS classification.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17888

Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Srokowski [17540]

17898

Object

Respondent: Mrs Corinne Boyce [17903]

17934	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Brooksby [17643]	
17954	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Heaton [17906]	
17963	Object
Respondent: Mr Cody Webster [17933]	
17966	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Roffe [17931]	
17976	Object
Respondent: Dr Andoni Toms [17940]	
17994	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carole Grzegorzycza [17954]	
18020	Object
Respondent: Peter Melton [17964]	
18027	Object
Respondent: Mrs Grace French [17968]	
18076	Object
Respondent: Mrs Heather English [17912]	
18141	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Mardell [18035]	
18199	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jacqueline Baker [18066]	

18214	Object
Respondent: Mr Derek Amys [18077]	
18262	Object
Respondent: Andy Drew [18107]	
18267	Object
Respondent: Debs Johnson [18108]	
18282	Object
Respondent: miss louise wade [18111]	
18302	Object
Respondent: Mrs Corinne Boyce [17903]	
18337	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Shearing [18127]	
18370	Object
Respondent: Matt Baker [18157]	
18388	Object
Respondent: Mr Kit Circuit [18167]	
18401	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Kathryn Holdsworth [17982]	
18474	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holman [18221]	
18477	Object
Respondent: Mrs Doreen Holman [18222]	

18501	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Stocker [18239]	
18511	Object
Respondent: Miss Hayley Reeve [18245]	
18514	Object
Respondent: Mr Nathan Tedstill [18246]	
18583	Object
Respondent: Mr Joseph Casey [18295]	
18587	Object
Respondent: Miss Ava Winter [17996]	
18594	Object
Respondent: Miss & Mr Chloe & Gary Myhill & Clark [18300]	
18598	Object
Respondent: Miss & Mr Chloe & Gary Myhill & Clark [18300]	
18602	Object
Respondent: Mrs Bonnie Toogood [17757]	
18672	Object
Respondent: Mr James Thurlow [18333]	
18698	Object
Respondent: Harrison Day [17926]	
18712	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sonia Day [17721]	

18751**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Debra Wade [17347]

18777**Object**

Respondent: Mr Wayne Blowers [18367]

18780**Object**

Respondent: Martin Thurlow [18368]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding access, any new access would fall on common land, number of dwellings proposed seen as over-development due to village size and road infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18782**Object**

Respondent: Swainsthorpe Parish Council (Nicola Ledain) [16041]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts. Other concerns include the change of character it would bring and JCS classification.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18792

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jill Haggith [17689]

18839

Object

Respondent: Mr JP DAY [17925]

18840

Object

Respondent: Mr JP DAY [17925]

18850

Object

Respondent: Miss Jessica Mills [17770]

18864

Object

Respondent: Jenny Parkinson [18414]

19056

Object

Respondent: Joanna Olivier [18486]

19064

Object

Respondent: Mrs Gillian Sutton [18096]

19074**Object****Respondent:** Mr Stuart Callis [17470]

19098**Object****Respondent:** Ros Callis [18065]

19102**Object****Respondent:** Mrs G Thurlow [18516]

19116**Object****Respondent:** Mr John Key [18527]

19128**Object****Respondent:** Mr Ben Thurlow [18530]

19137**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Gail Wilson [17616]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding rural setting and development of villages.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19142**Comment****Respondent:** Mrs Gail Wilson [17616]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts. Other concerns include the change of character it would bring and JCS classification.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19143

Object

Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Folkard [17355]

19163

Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Sutton [18543]

19176

Object

Respondent: Mrs Laura Fawke [18459]

19249

Object

Respondent: MR Nigel Parkinson [18565]

19264

Object

Respondent: 1953 Andy Haggith [17685]

19269

Object

Respondent: Ms Joanne Davies [18569]

19294

Object

Respondent: Miss Amelia Wilkins [18581]

19304**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Patricia Moore [18555]

19317**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Wendy Brown [18588]

19471**Object**

Respondent: Lynne Hardesty [18664]

19578**Object**

Respondent: mr nigel dowdney [18130]

19612**Object**

Respondent: Miss Jenna Clarke [18242]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swainsthorpe, GNLP0603R****Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16845**Object**

Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]

16876**Object**

Respondent: mrs kay mills [16540]

16898	Object
Respondent: mrs sheila cree [17244]	
17038	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Toms [16568]	
17040	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]	
17062	Object
Respondent: Dr Sarah Harrison [17389]	
17076	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Rowe [17063]	
17102	Object
Respondent: Mrs Glynis Frost [17428]	
17108	Object
Respondent: Suzanna Long [17242]	
17113	Object
Respondent: Mr ian paton [16158]	
17117	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Minns [17441]	
17122	Object
Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]	
17123	Object
Respondent: Mr David Chapman [17433]	

17125	Object
Respondent: Mark Holdsworth [17444]	
17141	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Pugh [17455]	
17150	Object
Respondent: mr paul bowyer [17462]	
17153	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Chapman [17463]	
17157	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Webster [17465]	
17162	Object
Respondent: Dr Nick Morton [17468]	
17179	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Pugh [17478]	
17182	Object
Respondent: Mr John Craft [16589]	
17191	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Graver [16536]	
17194	Object
Respondent: MR ANTHONY TALBY [16528]	
17203	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Chandler [17492]	

17212	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: MR Carl Williamson [17477]	
17249	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Chandler [16550]	
17260	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: miss annette cooper [17529]	
17332	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Keith Randell [17555]	
17335	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs sarah webster [17557]	
17338	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs susan Peters Corbett [17542]	
17341	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Paul Frost [17223]	
17355	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Ian Garstka [17560]	
17387	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Grace Hillard [17322]	
17393	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Henry Peters Corbett [17585]	
17400	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Prof. David Peters Corbett [17587]	

17401**Object****Respondent:** Prof. David Peters Corbett [17587]

17438**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Yvonne Folkard [17355]

17471**Object****Respondent:** Mr Malcolm Robins [17637]

17494**Object****Respondent:** Mr Iain Brooksby [17642]

17535**Object****Respondent:** Mr Richard Allen [17602]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding traffic increases, narrow roads, street lighting, road safety and rural setting.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17543**Comment****Respondent:** Miss Katrina Crossley [17705]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17572**Object**

Respondent: Steve Baker [17733]

17600**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Bonnie Toogood [17757]

17604**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Pountain [17758]

17607**Object**

Respondent: Ms A Wells [14224]

17612**Object**

Respondent: Michael Wells [17749]

17615**Object**

Respondent: Dr Alexander Heaton [17763]

17682**Object**

Respondent: Dr Margaret Robins [16677]

17691	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey Williamson [17802]	
17718	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jeannette Rowe [17822]	
17721	Object
Respondent: Mr John Craft [16589]	
17781	Object
Respondent: Mr Tony Roffe [17848]	
17813	Object
Respondent: Iris Makepeace [17866]	
17855	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tina Minns [17886]	
17858	Object
Respondent: Mrs Clare Thurlow [17887]	
17863	Object
Respondent: Mr Ethan Bolingbroke [17891]	
17892	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Srokowski [17540]	
17894	Object
Respondent: mr martin bennett [17398]	
17899	Object
Respondent: Mrs Corinne Boyce [17903]	

17931	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Brooksby [17643]	
17932	Object
Respondent: Mr David Chapman [17433]	
17958	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Heaton [17906]	
17964	Object
Respondent: Mr Cody Webster [17933]	
17969	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Roffe [17931]	
17975	Object
Respondent: Dr Andoni Toms [17940]	
18004	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carole Grzegorzycza [17954]	
18019	Object
Respondent: Peter Melton [17964]	
18026	Object
Respondent: Mrs Grace French [17968]	
18029	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gisela Baker [17971]	
18059	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Kathryn Holdsworth [17982]	

18072	Object
Respondent: Mrs Heather English [17912]	
18083	Object
Respondent: Mr Guy Hudson [16558]	
18142	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Mardell [18035]	
18202	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jacqueline Baker [18066]	
18215	Object
Respondent: Mr Derek Amys [18077]	
18263	Object
Respondent: Andy Drew [18107]	
18268	Object
Respondent: Debs Johnson [18108]	
18283	Object
Respondent: miss louise wade [18111]	
18303	Object
Respondent: Mrs Corinne Boyce [17903]	
18368	Object
Respondent: Matt Baker [18157]	
18375	Object
Respondent: Mr Rowan Naker [18160]	

18393	Object
Respondent: Mr Kit Circuit [18167]	
18409	Object
Respondent: Ros Callis [18065]	
18475	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holman [18221]	
18478	Object
Respondent: Mrs Doreen Holman [18222]	
18503	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Stocker [18239]	
18512	Object
Respondent: Miss Hayley Reeve [18245]	
18516	Object
Respondent: Mr Nathan Tedstill [18246]	
18584	Object
Respondent: Mr Joseph Casey [18295]	
18585	Object
Respondent: Miss Ava Winter [17996]	
18595	Object
Respondent: Miss & Mr Chloe & Gary Myhill & Clark [18300]	
18599	Object
Respondent: Miss & Mr Chloe & Gary Myhill & Clark [18300]	

18603**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Bonnie Toogood [17757]

18671**Object****Respondent:** Mr James Thurlow [18333]

18704**Object****Respondent:** Harrison Day [17926]

18713**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Sonia Day [17721]

18748**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Debra Wade [17347]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised by parish council for the amount of dwellings proposed, lack of village amenities, access on a blind bend near play area, no bus stop in village and no continuous footpath to bus stop on A140.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18783**Object****Respondent:** Swainsthorpe Parish Council (Nicola Ledain) [16041]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18790**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jill Haggith [17689]

18835**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tim Procter [18402]

18847**Object**

Respondent: Mr JP DAY [17925]

18867**Object**

Respondent: Jenny Parkinson [18414]

18950**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Tracey Bocz [15821]

19018**Object**

Respondent: Martin Thurlow [18368]

19024**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Casey [17571]

19063	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gillian Sutton [18096]	
19069	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Callis [17470]	
19080	Object
Respondent: Mr Benjamin Callis [18366]	
19103	Object
Respondent: Mrs G Thurlow [18516]	
19117	Object
Respondent: Mr John Key [18527]	
19129	Object
Respondent: Mr Ben Thurlow [18530]	
19147	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gail Wilson [17616]	
19149	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gail Wilson [17616]	
19164	Object
Respondent: Mr Norman Sutton [18543]	
19175	Object
Respondent: Mrs Laura Fawke [18459]	
19179	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Rampton [18546]	

19243	Object
Respondent: 1953 Andy Haggith [17685]	
19248	Object
Respondent: Mrs Patricia Moore [18555]	
19250	Object
Respondent: MR Nigel Parkinson [18565]	
19252	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Shearing [18127]	
19275	Object
Respondent: Louise Farman [17434] Agent: Louise Farman [17434]	
19297	Object
Respondent: Miss Amelia Wilkins [18581]	
19318	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Brown [18588]	
19348	Object
Respondent: Mrs Victoria Beard [17916]	
19395	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jean Yaxley [18610]	
19412	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jean Yaxley [18610]	
19472	Object
Respondent: Lynne Hardesty [18664]	

19579**Object**

Respondent: mr nigel dowdney [18130]

19611**Object**

Respondent: Miss Jenna Clarke [18242]**South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swainsthorpe, GNLP0604R**

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16847**Object**

Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]

16897**Object**

Respondent: mrs sheila cree [17244]

16929**Object**

Respondent: Mr Darren Webster [17281]

17022**Object**

Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]

17039**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Katie Toms [16568]

17046	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Rowe [17063]	
17061	Object
Respondent: Dr Sarah Harrison [17389]	
17103	Object
Respondent: Mrs Glynis Frost [17428]	
17111	Object
Respondent: Mr ian paton [16158]	
17118	Object
Respondent: Suzanna Long [17242]	
17119	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Minns [17441]	
17120	Object
Respondent: Mr David Chapman [17433]	
17126	Object
Respondent: Mark Holdsworth [17444]	
17127	Object
Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Folkard [17355]	
17143	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Pugh [17455]	
17151	Object
Respondent: mr paul bowyer [17462]	

17152	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Chapman [17463]	
17155	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Webster [17465]	
17167	Object
Respondent: Dr Nick Morton [17468]	
17181	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Pugh [17478]	
17183	Object
Respondent: Mr John Craft [16589]	
17195	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Graver [16536]	
17196	Object
Respondent: MR ANTHONY TALBY [16528]	
17209	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Chandler [17492]	
17210	Object
Respondent: MR Carl Williamson [17477]	
17248	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Chandler [16550]	
17256	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Webster [17281]	

17261	Object
Respondent: miss annette cooper [17529]	
17333	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Randell [17555]	
17337	Object
Respondent: Mrs sarah webster [17557]	
17339	Object
Respondent: Mrs susan Peters Corbett [17542]	
17342	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Frost [17223]	
17353	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Garstka [17560]	
17370	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Casey [17571]	
17371	Object
Respondent: Grace Hillard [17322]	
17378	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Folkard [17575]	
17383	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]	
17394	Object
Respondent: Mr Henry Peters Corbett [17585]	

17403	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Prof. David Peters Corbett [17587]	
17411	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Jane Morton [17596]	
17427	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Miss Sacha Seaman [17603]	
17428	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: mrs kay mills [16540]	
17448	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Richard Allen [17602]	
17469	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Malcolm Robins [17637]	
17493	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Iain Brooksby [17642]	
17505	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: mrs Janet Flower [17665]	
17506	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Virginia Bedini [17667]	
17547	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Miss Katrina Crossley [17705]	
17573	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Steve Baker [17733]	

17592	Object
Respondent: Ms A Wells [14224]	
17595	Object
Respondent: Michael Wells [17749]	
17599	Object
Respondent: Mrs Bonnie Toogood [17757]	
17603	Object
Respondent: Mr John Pountain [17758]	
17610	Object
Respondent: Dr Alexander Heaton [17763]	
17639	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susie Roe [17778]	
17675	Object
Respondent: Dr Margaret Robins [16677]	
17692	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey Williamson [17802]	
17703	Object
Respondent: Dr Emma Harrold [17141]	
17720	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jeannette Rowe [17822]	
17722	Object
Respondent: Mr John Craft [16589]	

17782	Object
Respondent: Mr Tony Roffe [17848]	
17814	Object
Respondent: Iris Makepeace [17866]	
17852	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Bartholomew [17805]	
17854	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tina Minns [17886]	
17860	Object
Respondent: Mrs Clare Thurlow [17887]	
17864	Object
Respondent: Mr Ethan Bolingbroke [17891]	
17882	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Srokowski [17540]	
17885	Object
Respondent: mr martin bennett [17398]	
17886	Object
Respondent: mr chris whitley [17651]	
17900	Object
Respondent: Mrs Corinne Boyce [17903]	

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish councils regarding greenfield site locations, introduction of another roundabout, loss of amenity, walks and views, noise, light and effluent pollution, disturbance to village life, traffic flow and watercourse and surface water flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17907**Object**

Respondent: Saxlingham Nethergate Parish Council (Mrs J King, Clerk) [13242]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17929**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Brooksby [17643]

17930**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Chapman [17433]

17933	Object
Respondent: Mr David Chapman [17433]	
17960	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Heaton [17906]	
17961	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Webster [17281]	
17962	Object
Respondent: Mr Cody Webster [17933]	
17970	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Roffe [17931]	
17974	Object
Respondent: Dr Andoni Toms [17940]	
18016	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carole Grzegorzycza [17954]	
18018	Object
Respondent: Peter Melton [17964]	
18025	Object
Respondent: Mrs Grace French [17968]	
18030	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gisela Baker [17971]	
18034	Object
Respondent: 1953 Andy Haggith [17685]	

18061	Object
Respondent: Mrs Heather English [17912]	
18084	Object
Respondent: Mr Guy Hudson [16558]	
18129	Object
Respondent: Mr Alick Campbell [18024]	
18131	Object
Respondent: Mr George Watkinson [18026]	
18133	Object
Respondent: Mr William Watkinson [18027]	
18143	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Mardell [18035]	
18201	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jacqueline Baker [18066]	

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18212

Support

Respondent: Mr Joe Howard [18076]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18216

Object

Respondent: Mr Derek Amys [18077]**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18219

Support

Respondent: Mr Liam Hinchley [18084]

18221

Support

Respondent: mr Jimmy Wright [18086]

18227**Support****Respondent:** Mr James Medler [18089]

18228**Support****Respondent:** Mr Ian Marshall [18090]

18232**Support****Respondent:** Mrs Karen Gibbons [18088]

18235**Support****Respondent:** Mr Sean Thurston [18097]

18248**Support****Respondent:** Miss Hannah Overton [18101]

18255**Support****Respondent:** Mrs Julie fuller [18104]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18264**Object****Respondent:** Andy Drew [18107]

18269**Object****Respondent:** Debs Johnson [18108]

18304**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Corinne Boyce [17903]

18335**Object****Respondent:** Mr Stuart Shearing [18127]

18364**Object****Respondent:** Mr Nick Bartholomew [18156]

18369**Object****Respondent:** Matt Baker [18157]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18372**Support****Respondent:** Mr Danny Duffield [18159]

18374**Support****Respondent:** Mr Brian Duffield [18161]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18376

Object

Respondent: Mr Rowan Naker [18160]

18378

Object

Respondent: Mrs. Kathryn Holdsworth [17982]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18380

Support

Respondent: Mr Anthony Seaman [18162]

18383

Support

Respondent: Mrs Annabelle Conway [18164]

18385

Support

Respondent: Mr Simon Conway [18168]

18392

Support

Respondent: Mr Dean Baker [18170]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18394

Object

Respondent: Mr Kit Circuit [18167]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18395

Support

Respondent: Mr David Keeler [18172]

18399

Support

Respondent: Mr Daniel Gibbons [18173]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18406

Object

Respondent: Ros Callis [18065]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18420

Support

Respondent: Mr Andrew Murdo [18185]

18423**Support****Respondent:** Mr Tom Bence [18186]

18424**Support****Respondent:** Mr Adam Sewell [18190]

18426**Support****Respondent:** Mr Daniel Brown [18193]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18454**Object****Respondent:** Paul Watkinson [18206]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support raised by Agri-tech East stating proposal is of strategic importance, significant economic impact, increased skills and training and aligned with local regional and national policies.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18463

Support

Respondent: Agri-Tech East (Dr Belinda Clarke, Director) [18216]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18471

Object

Respondent: Mrs. E. Oliver [18192]

18476

Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Holman [18221]

18480

Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen Holman [18222]

18493

Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Frost [18232]

18494

Object

Respondent: Dr Mark Allen [18233]

18506

Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Stocker [18239]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding traffic increase, air pollution, heavy machinery on roads, noise and light pollution and greenfield location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18517

Comment

Respondent: Miss Hayley Reeve [18245]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18518

Object

Respondent: Mr Nathan Tedstill [18246]

18519

Object

Respondent: Lynne Burch [18248]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18521

Support

Respondent: Mrs Claire Stevens [18230]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18539

Object

Respondent: mr Richard melton [18266]

18571

Object

Respondent: Miss Catherine Frost [18288]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18575

Support

Respondent: Mr Jason Plummer [18287]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18582

Object

Respondent: Miss Ava Winter [17996]

18586

Object

Respondent: Mr Joseph Casey [18295]

18596

Object

Respondent: Miss & Mr Chloe & Gary Myhill & Clark [18300]

18600

Object

Respondent: Miss & Mr Chloe & Gary Myhill & Clark [18300]

18601

Object

Respondent: Mrs Rosemary Watkinson [18301]

18604

Object

Respondent: Mrs Bonnie Toogood [17757]

18613

Object

Respondent: Sarah Sillis [18304]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding benefit of state of the art agricultural facility, support to local company, A140 corridor development should be supported and local employment prospects.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18657

Support

Respondent: Mr Steven Hurn [18326]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18664

Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Ebelewicz [18330]

18668

Object

Respondent: Miss Jenna Clarke [18242]

18669

Object

Respondent: Mr James Thurlow [18333]

18709

Object

Respondent: Harrison Day [17926]

18710

Object

Respondent: Mrs Sonia Day [17721]

18718

Object

Respondent: Mrs Carole Wigglesworth [18243]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding traffic increase, air pollution, heavy machinery on roads, noise and light pollution and greenfield location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18719

Comment

Respondent: Mrs Carole Wigglesworth [18243]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18746**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Debra Wade [17347]

18763**Object**

Respondent: mrs Christine Melton [18361]

18769**Object**

Respondent: Mr Stuart Callis [17470]

18773**Object**

Respondent: Anne O'Halleron [18363]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish councils regarding greenfield site locations, introduction of another roundabout, loss of amenity, walks and views, noise, light and effluent pollution, disturbance to village life, traffic flow and watercourse and surface water flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18784**Object**

Respondent: Swainsthorpe Parish Council (Nicola Ledain) [16041]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18787**Object**

Respondent: Mr Benjamin Callis [18366]

18788**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Jill Haggith [17689]

18791	Object
Respondent: Mr John Rixon [18370]	
18834	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Procter [18402]	
18842	Object
Respondent: Mr JP DAY [17925]	
18858	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sonia Day [17721]	
18859	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Pountain [18415]	
18870	Object
Respondent: Jenny Parkinson [18414]	
18959	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey Bocz [15821]	
18987	Object
Respondent: Mrs Laura Fawke [18459]	
19019	Object
Respondent: Martin Thurlow [18368]	
19062	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gillian Sutton [18096]	
19092	Object
Respondent: Dr Richard Nielsen [18498]	

19109**Object****Respondent:** Mrs G Thurlow [18516]

19112**Object****Respondent:** Mr Dale Folkard [18526]

19127**Object****Respondent:** Miss Joy Wayte [18528]

19130**Object****Respondent:** Dr Tim Rayner [18515]

19132**Object****Respondent:** Mr Ben Thurlow [18530]

19133**Object****Respondent:** Mr Phillip Folkard [18531]

19148**Object****Respondent:** Miss Maya Holdsworth [18533]

19150**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Gail Wilson [17616]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding traffic increase, air pollution, heavy machinery on roads, noise and light pollution and greenfield location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19151

Comment

Respondent: Mrs Gail Wilson [17616]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19166

Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Sutton [18543]

19172

Object

Respondent: Harriet Smith [18462]

19182

Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Rampton [18546]

19261	Object
Respondent: MR Nigel Parkinson [18565]	
19267	Object
Respondent: Ms Joanne Davies [18569]	
19283	Object
Respondent: Louise Farman [17434] Agent: Louise Farman [17434]	
19299	Object
Respondent: Miss Amelia Wilkins [18581]	
19308	Object
Respondent: Mrs Patricia Moore [18555]	
19310	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gail Varle [18585]	
19322	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Brown [18588]	
19324	Object
Respondent: Mrs V Sillet [18592]	
19333	Object
Respondent: Mrs Victoria Beard [17916]	
19361	Object
Respondent: Mr David Beard [18603]	

19384

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jean Yaxley [18610]

19407

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jean Yaxley [18610]

19410

Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Thurlow [18619]

19450

Object

Respondent: Mrs May Massey [18652]

19473

Object

Respondent: Lynne Hardesty [18664]

19474

Object

Respondent: Mr Roy Harrold [18666]

19580

Object

Respondent: mr nigel dowdney [18130]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tacolneston, GNLP2031

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site mentioning reducing the number of dwellings after consideration and the site would have been developed in the past if it was sold.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16885**Support**

Respondent: Mr Andrew Fox [14832]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning infrastructure, traffic, junctions, visibility and speed issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17251**Object**

Respondent: Mr Darren Webster [17281]

17786**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rachael Trivett [17850]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tacolneston, GNLPSL0016

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning traffic, roads, pollution, busy junctions and farm traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18284**Object**

Respondent: miss louise wade [18111]

19125**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Key [18527]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Thurton, GNLP2048

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised regarding infrastructure and traffic congestion.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17787**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rachael Trivett [17850]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding development being confined to Ashby side of A146, traffic congestion and additional access onto A146.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18986**Object**

Respondent: Thurton Parish Council (Mrs T Higlett, Clerk) [13427]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tivetshall St Margaret, GNLP2103

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17219**Comment**

Respondent: N/A Claire Kirby [17481]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over lack of facilities, transport links, road safety, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure and narrow local roads. Any development should be in keeping with the village situation and surroundings and on a scale which is commensurate with the limited facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17569

Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Shilling [17727]

17584

Object

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Andy and Carol Paterson [17751]

17672

Object

Respondent: X Paul&Sally Lovett [17792]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17714

Comment

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

17996

Comment

Respondent: mr Donald Carmichael [17784]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over lack of facilities, transport links, road safety, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure and narrow local roads. Any development should be in keeping with the village situation and surroundings and on a scale which is commensurate with the limited facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18046

Object

Respondent: Denise Leonard [17967]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site regarding compact site adding to vibrancy of area, affordable housing commitments and most suitable site in village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18305

Support

Respondent: Mr Eric Kirby [18110]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over lack of facilities, transport links, road safety, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure and narrow local roads. Any development should be in keeping with the village situation and surroundings and on a scale which is commensurate with the limited facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18407**Object**

Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18775**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Lee Novak [18228]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site regarding compact site adding to vibrancy of area, affordable housing commitments and most suitable site in village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18794**Support**

Respondent: Mr Andrew Bannister [18372]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18882**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over lack of facilities, transport links, road safety, access, flooding, drainage, infrastructure and narrow local roads. Any development should be in keeping with the village situation and surroundings and on a scale which is commensurate with the limited facilities available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18924

Object

Respondent: Tim Ward [18436]

19276

Object

Respondent: Trevor Julier [18466]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19323

Support

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised at parish council meeting regarding losing the village character, mains gas, sewerage system, access (narrow, limited visibility), protected species, no pavements and the suitability of the roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19335**Comment**

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding losing village character, mains gas, sewerage system, access, protected species, no pavements and suitability of roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19516**Object**

Respondent: Tivetshall St Margaret & Tivetshall St Mary Parish Council (Mrs R Hill, Clerk) [13074]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tivetshall St Margaret, GNLP2128

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, road safety, site should be retained as semi-industrial site, no shop, sewerage system, wild & environment, proximity to a roundabout and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17216

Object

Respondent: N/A Claire Kirby [17481]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site to be developed to provide housing. Fuel station would add pricing competition, mature vegetation to be maintained, could support light industrial/commercial use.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17220

Support

Respondent: Mrs Helen Burton [17508]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding using site for housing, no need for another petrol station, site to be used for semi-industrial use, narrow roads, sewerage, designated quiet roads, extra housing would change atmosphere and there is a school and active village hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17711**Comment**

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, road safety, site should be retained as semi-industrial site, no shop, sewerage system, wild & environment, proximity to a roundabout and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18050**Object**

Respondent: Denise Leonard [17967]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site to be developed to provide housing. Fuel station would add pricing competition, mature vegetation to be maintained, could support light industrial/commercial use.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18301

Support

Respondent: Mr Eric Kirby [18110]

18403

Support

Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, road safety, site should be retained as semi-industrial site, no shop, sewerage system, wild & environment, proximity to a roundabout and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18776

Object

Respondent: Mr Lee Novak [18228]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site to be developed to provide housing. Fuel station would add pricing competition, mature vegetation to be maintained, could support light industrial/commercial use.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18798**Support**

Respondent: Mr Andrew Bannister [18372]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding using site for housing, no need for another petrol station, site to be used for semi-industrial use, narrow roads, sewerage, designated quiet roads, extra housing would change atmosphere and there is a school and active village hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18881**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, road safety, site should be retained as semi-industrial site, no shop, sewerage system, wild & environment, proximity to a roundabout and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18902

Object

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Paul & Frana Dack [18430]

18910

Object

Respondent: LYNDA SULLIVAN [18432]

18925

Object

Respondent: Tim Ward [18436]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development for convenience retail/services including a small to medium sized refuelling station. It would be worth considering the redevelopment of the site for residential uses as well.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19315

Support

Respondent: Sirius Planning (Miss Francesca Wray, Project Planner) [15640]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding using site for housing, no need for another petrol station, site to be used for semi-industrial use, narrow roads, sewerage, designated quiet roads, extra housing would change atmosphere and there is a school and active village hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19336**Comment**

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, road safety, site should be retained as semi-industrial site, no shop, sewerage system, wild & environment, proximity to a roundabout and lack of services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19394**Object**

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made by parish council regarding refuelling station close by closed due to lack of trade, permission for refuelling station granted near by, retail outlets exist near by and any additional retail units would take business away from existing outlets.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19513

Comment

Respondent: Tivetshall St Margaret & Tivetshall St Mary Parish Council (Mrs R Hill, Clerk) [13074]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tivtshall St Mary, GNLP2041

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments objecting against the site raised regarding conserving the natural environment, agricultural land, historic environment, water pressure and sewage system, road safety issues, access, employment and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16972

Object

Respondent: Mrs Carol Thorpe [17324]

17221

Object

Respondent: Mrs Helen Burton [17508]

17566**Object**

Respondent: Mr Norman Shilling [17727]

17585**Object**

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Andy and Carol Paterson [17751]

17653**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Carol Thorpe [17324]

17670**Object**

Respondent: X Paul&Sally Lovett [17792]

17712**Object**

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

17737**Object**

Respondent: B.T & G.M Morris [17831]

17875**Object**

Respondent: Mr Robert Alleguen-Porter [17896]

17924**Object**

Respondent: Christopher Lambert [17915]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17997

Comment

Respondent: mr Donald Carmichael [17784]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments objecting against the site raised regarding conserving the natural environment, agricultural land, historic environment, water pressure and sewage system, road safety issues, access, employment and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18028

Object

Respondent: Denise Leonard [17967]

18035

Object

Respondent: Denise Leonard [17967]

18294

Object

Respondent: Mr Eric Kirby [18110]

18295	Object
Respondent: Diane Goodwin [18122]	
18391	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marion Playford [18169]	
18404	Object
Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]	
18437	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Towner [18198]	
18445	Object
Respondent: Ms Bobby Gardiner [18201]	
18486	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marilyn O'Sullivan [18227]	
18495	Object
Respondent: Mr Lee Novak [18228]	
18569	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Ward [17054]	
18796	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Bannister [18372]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18883

Comment

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments objecting against the site raised regarding conserving the natural environment, agricultural land, historic environment, water pressure and sewage system, road safety issues, access, employment and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18900

Object

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Paul & Frana Dack [18430]

18908

Object

Respondent: LYNDA SULLIVAN [18432]

18926

Object

Respondent: Tim Ward [18436]

18971

Object

Respondent: Mr Lee Novak [18228]

19141

Object

Respondent: MR DAVID PENN [18252]

19258

Object

Respondent: Trevor Julier [18466]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19337

Comment

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments objecting against the site raised regarding conserving the natural environment, agricultural land, historic environment, water pressure and sewage system, road safety issues, access, employment and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19374

Object

Respondent: Ms Mary Snelling [18608]

19390

Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

19488

Object

Respondent: Phil May [18667]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, road suitability, traffic congestion, pavements, no mains gas and, sewerage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19515

Object

Respondent: Tivetshall St Margaret & Tivetshall St Mary Parish Council (Mrs R Hill, Clerk) [13074]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tivtshall St Mary, GNLP2042

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments of objection raised regarding conserving the natural environment, historic interests, road safety issues, access, sewage system, water pressure and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17218

Object

Respondent: Mrs Helen Burton [17508]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made stating that they do not support the comments of consultation can be delivered, if appropriate, on a smaller scale.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17407

Comment

Respondent: Property Recycling Group [17592]

Agent: Cheffins (Mr Ian Smith, Director) [17591]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments of objection raised regarding conserving the natural environment, historic interests, road safety issues, access, sewage system, water pressure and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17567**Object**

Respondent: Mr Norman Shilling [17727]

17579**Object**

Respondent: Mr David Long [17740]

17583**Object**

Respondent: Mr Sam Brown [17750]

17654**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Carol Thorpe [17324]

17671**Object**

Respondent: X Paul&Sally Lovett [17792]

17713**Object**

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding the presence of a school and active village hall, conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17716

Comment

Respondent: Miss Chloe Hancy [17442]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments of objection raised regarding conserving the natural environment, historic interests, road safety issues, access, sewage system, water pressure and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17738

Object

Respondent: B.T & G.M Morris [17831]

17925

Object

Respondent: Christopher Lambert [17915]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding the presence of a school and active village hall, conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17998

Comment

Respondent: mr Donald Carmichael [17784]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments of objection raised regarding conserving the natural environment, historic interests, road safety issues, access, sewage system, water pressure and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18048

Object

Respondent: Denise Leonard [17967]

18296

Object

Respondent: Diane Goodwin [18122]

18297	Object
Respondent: Mr Eric Kirby [18110]	
18387	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marion Playford [18169]	
18405	Object
Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]	
18433	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Towner [18198]	
18441	Object
Respondent: Ms Bobby Gardiner [18201]	
18485	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marilyn O'Sullivan [18227]	
18498	Object
Respondent: Mr Lee Novak [18228]	
18797	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Bannister [18372]	
18885	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]	
18901	Object
Respondent: Mr and Mrs Paul & Frana Dack [18430]	
18907	Object
Respondent: LYNDA SULLIVAN [18432]	

18927**Object**

Respondent: Tim Ward [18436]

18972**Object**

Respondent: Mr Lee Novak [18228]

19263**Object**

Respondent: Trevor Julier [18466]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made regarding the presence of a school and active village hall, conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19338**Comment**

Respondent: Joanne Powell [17819]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments of objection raised regarding conserving the natural environment, historic interests, road safety issues, access, sewage system, water pressure and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19375

Object

Respondent: Ms Mary Snelling [18608]

19383

Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

19489

Object

Respondent: Phil May [18667]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding traffic congestion, road suitability, drainage ditches, lack of paths, no mains gas, sewerage system flows into holding tank at corner of Bonds Road and Ram Lane, wildlife and ecosystems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19514

Object

Respondent: Tivetshall St Margaret & Tivetshall St Mary Parish Council (Mrs R Hill, Clerk) [13074]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Toft Monks, GNLP2005

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it is without any material harm to the area and ecological considerations on a site which is of no productive use

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18909

Comment

Respondent: MDPC Town Planning (Mr Malcolm Dixon, Director & Planning Consultant) [12970]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Topcroft, GNLP2029

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, loss of rural and open character of village, poor road systems, limited public transport & facilities, flood risk, no mains sewage/gas and limited internet. Topcroft has no school, pub or shop.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16999

Object

Respondent: Mr Roger Clark [17313]

17016

Object

Respondent: Mr Roger Ford [17359]

17020**Object**

Respondent: Mr MICHAEL JENKINS [17366]

17031**Object**

Respondent: Mr Myron Tataryn [17377]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding no amenities, unsold properties, no mains sewerage, flooding, unsuccessful social housing, historic issues, enclosed common land, 40% increase in houses in The Street, ecological issues and limited infill development can occur.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17243**Object**

Respondent: Topcroft Parish Council (Mrs S Chapman, Clerk) [12818]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, loss of rural and open character of village, poor road systems, limited public transport & facilities, flood risk, no mains sewage/gas and limited internet. Topcroft has no school, pub or shop.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17246

Object

Respondent: Mr K Andrews [17526]**Support****Summary of representations:**

Comments submitted in support of site on the grounds that affordable housing is provided in the village. A resident has never seen either site flood.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17577

Support

Respondent: Mr Harry Bridges [17739]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, loss of rural and open character of village, poor road systems, limited public transport & facilities, flood risk, no mains sewage/gas and limited internet. Topcroft has no school, pub or shop.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17879

Object

Respondent: Mr Roger Clark [17313]

18246

Object

Respondent: Mrs Hannah Giffard [17959]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site on the grounds that affordable housing is provided in the village. A resident has never seen either site flood.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18286**Support**

Respondent: Mr Charlie Taylor [18114]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, loss of rural and open character of village, poor road systems, limited public transport & facilities, flood risk, no mains sewage/gas and limited internet. Topcroft has no school, pub or shop.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18292**Object**

Respondent: Kathy Thomson [18119]

18325**Object**

Respondent: Mr Luke Giffard Tutt [18126]

18334**Object**

Respondent: ms catrina lykowski [18132]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding infrastructure, ammenities, social housing being brought from those outside village, properties on market for long time and loss of form and character of rural village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18844**Comment**

Respondent: Mr Stephen Dye [18408]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site on the grounds hat affordable housing is provided in the village. A resident has never seen either site flood.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18917**Support**

Respondent: Mr Gwyn Pring [18431]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, loss of rural and open character of village, poor road systems, limited public transport & facilities, flood risk, no mains sewage/gas and limited internet. Topcroft has no school, pub or shop.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19139**Object**

Respondent: Mrs. Sue Tindal [18514]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Topcroft, GNLP2146

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding limited facilities, narrow roads, traffic congestion, no footpaths, limited bus services, pollution, flood risk, no sewage system, access to site and loss historic building & wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17001**Object**

Respondent: Mr Roger Clark [17313]

17005**Object**

Respondent: mr michael hupton [17351]

17032

Object

Respondent: Mr Myron Tataryn [17377]

17192

Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Jeffries [17485]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding, no amenities, unsold properties, no mains sewerage, flooding, unsuccessful social housing, historic issues, enclosed common land, 40% increase in houses on The Street, ecological issues and infill development on form and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17244

Object

Respondent: Topcroft Parish Council (Mrs S Chapman, Clerk) [12818]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding limited facilities, narrow roads, traffic congestion, no footpaths, limited bus services, pollution, flood risk, no sewage system, access to site and loss historic building & wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17247

Object

Respondent: Mr K Andrews [17526]

17881	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Clark [17313]	
18082	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Herring [17309]	
18252	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hannah Giffard [17959]	
18293	Object
Respondent: Kathy Thomson [18119]	
18327	Object
Respondent: Mr Luke Giffard Tutt [18126]	
18336	Object
Respondent: ms catrina lykowski [18132]	
18778	Object
Respondent: Mrs Cathy Hipper [18365]	
18779	Object
Respondent: Mrs Cathy Hipper [18365]	
19075	Object
Respondent: Mr William Braddock [18485]	
19138	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Sue Tindal [18514]	

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wicklewood, GNLP2179

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, views across river valley and site disruption, sewage smell, narrow street, poor drainage, wildlife concerns, increased noise levels, destruction of farmland and lack of local services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16903**Object**

Respondent: Mr Rob Morrey [16425]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding easy and suitable site except drainage system, flooding due to low land and narrow roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16959**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Pamela King [17316]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, views across river valley and site disruption, sewage smell, narrow street, poor drainage, wildlife concerns, increased noise levels, destruction of farmland and lack of local services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17012**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Sellors [17280]

17013**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Nicole Andrews [16167]

17065**Object**

Respondent: Miss Chloe Sellors [17390]

17066**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Julie Field [15883]

17067**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rosalyn Self [17391]

17079**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Donna Lawson [17417]

17364**Object**

Respondent: MR Adrian Lewis [17569]

17377

Object

Respondent: mr neal sendall [17574]

17783

Object

Respondent: Mr Ronald Makcrow [17844]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objects raised by parish council regarding location beyond natural edge of village, conflict with industrial site, flooding, disruption of views and site size.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18414

Object

Respondent: Wicklewood Parish Council (Helen Frayer, Parish Clerk) [17040]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site and agent assessment documents submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19382

Support

Respondent: Mike Sadd [18605]**Agent:** Mike Sadd [18605]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Woodton, GNLP2100

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning flood risk, impact to the look of the village, traffic congestion on small roads and lack of access to the site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17623**Object**

Respondent: mr william potter [17296]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19362**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Woodton, GNLP2130

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning flood risk, impact to the look of the village, traffic congestion on small roads and lack of access to the site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16943**Object**

Respondent: mr william potter [17296]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and fundamental constraints or impacts can be mitigated through the subsequent application and development process.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19363**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wortwell, GNLP2036

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural feel to the area, impacts on the environment, flood risk, village has no shop and the roads are unsuitable to withstand further transport. Other concerns raised include limited public transport, highways safety and car parking issues, local drainage and lack of suitable infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17434

Object

Respondent: Mrs Ria Tyrrell [17607]

17447

Object

Respondent: MR william farrow [17618]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site. This would be a small infill development which would keep within villages current building boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17480

Support

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Noy [17652]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural feel to the area, impacts on the environment, flood risk, village has no shop and the roads are unsuitable to withstand further transport. Other concerns raised include limited public transport, highways safety and car parking issues, local drainage and lack of suitable infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17531**Object**

Respondent: Mr Mike Burton [17688]

17539**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Rebecca Tennant [17679]

17754**Object**

Respondent: Mr Stuart Noy [17835]

17838**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Christine McDonald [17817]

18031**Object**

Respondent: Mr. Mrs. C Eades [17973]

18109**Object**

Respondent: Mr John Waller [17796]

18185**Object**

Respondent: Mr Ian Penning [18047]

18198

Object

Respondent: Mrs DONNA Self [17609]

18229

Object

Respondent: Patricia Wilkinson [18091]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding access, natural environment and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18427

Comment

Respondent: Mr Richard Perfitt [18187]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural feel to the area, impacts on the environment, flood risk, village has no shop and the roads are unsuitable to withstand further transport. Other concerns raised include limited public transport, highways safety and car parking issues, local drainage and lack of suitable infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18547

Object

Respondent: Mr David CROOK [17614]

18699**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Rachel Kerslake [17741]**18966****Object****Respondent:** Miss Pauline Allen [18444]**19032****Object****Respondent:** Mr Damion Kerslake [17615]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted regarding support of small development as a matter of progress for the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19437**Comment****Respondent:** Mr and Mrs G. Goodswen [18646]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19458 **Object**

Respondent: Bryan & Richard James & May [18657]

19461 **Object**

Respondent: Mr & Mrs M Wright [18658]

19531 **Object**

Respondent: jane callender [18552]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wortwell, GNLP2121**Object**

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural character and quiet feel for the village, impacts on the wildlife & environment, traffic congestion, road suitability & safety, lack of suitable services & infrastructure, limited public transport, loss of public footpaths, flood risk, scale of development, access issues, noise pollution and the planning inspectorate has previously turned down this proposal. The proposal is outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17408 **Object**

Respondent: Mr Chris Shore [17594]

17432 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Alison Shailes [17606]

17433 **Object**

Respondent: Mrs Ria Tyrrell [17607]

17437 **Object**

Respondent: Ms Julie Nutt [17613]

17440	Object
Respondent: Mr David CROOK [17614]	
17446	Object
Respondent: MR william farrow [17618]	
17452	Object
Respondent: Mr Darryl Shailes [17626]	
17456	Object
Respondent: Mr Damion Kerlake [17615]	
17479	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Noy [17652]	
17482	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Brenda Winzor-Saile [17654]	
17487	Object
Respondent: Prof Murray Gray [14544]	
17499	Object
Respondent: Mrs Suzanne Grace [17662]	
17501	Object
Respondent: Mr David Grace [17610]	
17510	Object
Respondent: mr laurie thompson [17669]	
17516	Object
Respondent: Mrs Steph Silvers [17672]	

17519	Object
Respondent: Mr Joel Keeble [17678]	
17532	Object
Respondent: Mr Mike Burton [17688]	
17534	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Whitehouse [17691]	
17540	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rebecca Tennant [17679]	
17551	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janice Gowing [17709]	
17552	Object
Respondent: mrs Jean Kinley [17712]	
17553	Object
Respondent: mrs kerry wostear [17710]	
17555	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sophie Hicks [17715]	
17556	Object
Respondent: Phillip Hicks [17717]	
17557	Object
Respondent: Phillip Hicks [17717]	
17559	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Clarke [17723]	

17560	Object
Respondent: Mr Adrian Clarke [17724]	
17561	Object
Respondent: Miss Sophie Clarke [17725]	
17565	Object
Respondent: mr Neil Neesham [17703]	
17568	Object
Respondent: Mr Clive Aylett [17730]	
17570	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jenny Aylett [17731]	
17575	Object
Respondent: Mr Chas Emmens [17735] Agent: Mr Chas Emmens [17735]	
17589	Object
Respondent: Mrs Allison Copeman [17755]	
17591	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Whiting [17756]	
17602	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Kerslake [17741]	
17632	Object
Respondent: Shani Prime [17769]	
17636	Object
Respondent: Mr Liam Pretty [17773]	

17656	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Ryan Watt [17641]	
17673	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Paul Randall [17782]	
17709	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: mr Stephen wright [17814]	
17724	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr A Blogg [17611]	
17729	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Amanda Edmunds [17797]	
17755	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mr Stuart Noy [17835]	
17784	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Ms Gillian Hughes [17847]	
17819	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: Mrs Siobhan Keeble [17869]	
17820	Object
<hr/>	
Respondent: MR william farrow [17618]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding linear village layout, road infrastructure not able to cope, noise and pollution of bypass, wildlife areas, floodrisk, lack of local services, increasing size of village would ruin village life, access issues and countryside views.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17825

Comment

Respondent: Mr A Blogg [17611]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural character and quiet feel for the village, impacts on the wildlife & environment, traffic congestion, road suitability & safety, lack of suitable services & infrastructure, limited public transport, loss of public footpaths, flood risk, scale of development, access issues, noise pollution and the planning inspectorate has previously turned down this proposal. The proposal is outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17836

Object

Respondent: Mrs Christine McDonald [17817]

17946

Object

Respondent: RJ & SP Smith [17921]

17959	Object
Respondent: Mr David Elliott [17859]	
17965	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Dawbarn [17928]	
17967	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Emmens [17937]	
18022	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kim Baxter [17950]	
18032	Object
Respondent: Mr. Mrs. C Eades [17973]	
18043	Object
Respondent: Mr Gary Gould [17913]	
18099	Object
Respondent: mr jeremy cook [18002]	
18118	Object
Respondent: lucie morran [18011]	
18119	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Leech [17743]	
18145	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maggie Playford [18037]	
18154	Object
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Forsyth [18042]	

18169	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Penning [18047]	
18196	Object
Respondent: Mrs DONNA Self [17609]	
18217	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Turner [17693]	
18220	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ria Tyrrell [17607]	
18222	Object
Respondent: D.E & S.S Ekins & Powell [18087]	
18230	Object
Respondent: Patricia Wilkinson [18091]	
18237	Object
Respondent: K.J Hilling [18092]	
18238	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs David Garrod [18099]	
18243	Object
Respondent: P.E Howard [18102]	
18247	Object
Respondent: D.F Smith [18103]	

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council include swamping of new build over existing buildings changing the character of the village and concerns regarding infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18253**Object**

Respondent: WORTWELL PARISH COUNCIL (Mrs Lua Leggett, Parish Clerk and RFO) [17622]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural character and quiet feel for the village, impacts on the wildlife & environment, traffic congestion, road suitability & safety, lack of suitable services & infrastructure, limited public transport, loss of public footpaths, flood risk, scale of development, access issues, noise pollution and the planning inspectorate has previously turned down this proposal. The proposal is outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18256**Object**

Respondent: Wendy Hardy [18105]

18259**Object**

Respondent: Ms. Sue Cruise [18106]

18381**Object****Respondent:** MR ERIC FISHER [17892]

18384**Object****Respondent:** Mr Robin Prime [18163]

18400**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Joanne Prime [18174]

18413**Object****Respondent:** Mrs Theresa Blogg [18178]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding linear village layout, road infrastructure not able to cope, noise and pollution of bypass, wildlife areas, floodrisk, lack of local services, increasing size of village would ruin village life, access issues and countryside views.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18425**Comment****Respondent:** Mr Richard Perfitt [18187]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural character and quiet feel for the village, impacts on the wildlife & environment, traffic congestion, road suitability & safety, lack of suitable services & infrastructure, limited public transport, loss of public footpaths, flood risk, scale of development, access issues, noise pollution and the planning inspectorate has previously turned down this proposal. The proposal is outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18439

Object

Respondent: Mr & Mrs M Root [18199]

18443

Object

Respondent: Mrs Jean D Leigh [18202]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding linear village layout, road infrastructure not able to cope, noise and pollution of bypass, wildlife areas, floodrisk, lack of local services, increasing size of village would ruin village life, access issues and countryside views.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18450

Comment

Respondent: Mr Jeff Adkins [18208]

18489**Comment****Respondent:** Mr Gordon Ellis [18094]**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural character and quiet feel for the village, impacts on the wildlife & environment, traffic congestion, road suitability & safety, lack of suitable services & infrastructure, limited public transport, loss of public footpaths, flood risk, scale of development, access issues, noise pollution and the planning inspectorate has previously turned down this proposal. The proposal is outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18508**Object****Respondent:** Mr David Coles [17653]**18509****Object****Respondent:** Mr David Coles [17653]**18522****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Hannah Butler-Bird [18249]**18531****Object****Respondent:** Miss Sally Dickson [18250]**18553****Object****Respondent:** Mr Peter Willes [18271]**18558****Object****Respondent:** Mrs Nancy Wright [18277]

18559	Object
Respondent: Mr Silven Wright [18276]	
18677	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tina Battleday [17605]	
18793	Object
Respondent: Mrs Denise Tye [18282]	
18946	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sally-Anne Bishop [14695]	
18963	Object
Respondent: Miss Pauline Allen [18444]	
19034	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Battleday [18479]	
19104	Object
Respondent: Mrs Juliana Bentley [18434]	
19418	Object
Respondent: Mrs Natalie Myhill [18623]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding benefit of a small development to the progress of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19438**Comment****Respondent:** Mr and Mrs G. Goodswen [18646]

Object**Summary of representations:**

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of the rural character and quiet feel for the village, impacts on the wildlife & environment, traffic congestion, road suitability & safety, lack of suitable services & infrastructure, limited public transport, loss of public footpaths, flood risk, scale of development, access issues, noise pollution and the planning inspectorate has previously turned down this proposal. The proposal is outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19440**Object****Respondent:** Mr and Mrs Woodgate [18647]

19452**Object****Respondent:** Mrs C Seaman [18653]

19453**Object****Respondent:** Mr & Mrs Jennifer & Richard Housago [18654]

19457**Object****Respondent:** Mr. Duncan saunders [17697]

19459**Object****Respondent:** Bryan & Richard James & May [18657]

19462**Object****Respondent:** Mr & Mrs M Wright [18658]

19464

Object

Respondent: Edwina Willes [18659]

19465

Object

Respondent: MRS GILL WILKINSON [18056]

19466

Object

Respondent: Hazel Clarke [18660]

19467

Object

Respondent: C.D Thomas [18661]

19468

Object

Respondent: No Name n/a [18662]

19511

Object

Respondent: Mr John Kirk [18465]

19530

Object

Respondent: jane callender [18552]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wortwell, GNLPSL2006

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. This plot of land is within the current boundary of the village, meaning that any future development would feel natural to the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17481**Support**

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Noy [17652]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding lack of services, road suitability, adverse effect the site will have on the quiet village, scale of development and the impact on wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18033**Object**

Respondent: Mr. Mrs. C Eades [17973]

18231**Object**

Respondent: Patricia Wilkinson [18091]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding wrong size of development, wrong site and negative impact on the community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18496**Comment**

Respondent: mr william eddy [18224]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site for a small development as a matter of progress for the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19439**Comment**

Respondent: Mr and Mrs G. Goodswen [18646]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding lack of services, road suitability, adverse effect the site will have on the quiet village, scale of development and the impact on wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19460

Object

Respondent: Bryan & Richard James & May [18657]

19463

Object

Respondent: Mr & Mrs M Wright [18658]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wreningham, GNLP2183

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site with note to address the need for drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16864

Support

Respondent: Mr Trevor Wadlow [17213]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding capacity, roads, schools, safety to pedestrians and protection of village life. An existing South Norfolk Local Plan allocated ten houses to Wrenningham.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17238**Object**

Respondent: Mr Keith Morris [17517]

19008**Object**

Respondent: Mr Tom Spink [18463]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP0525R

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over-development, conflicts with Wymondham/Hethersett gap, location at north Wymondham is far less suitable than to the south, no footpaths, country roads, pollution, removal of rural surroundings and road safety.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18114**Object**

Respondent: Mr Chris Alderson [17057]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment stating that the site includes GNLP0006 which can be brought forward independently of GNLP0525R. However, growth predictions suggest all of GNLP0525R needs to be used.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18572**Comment**

Respondent: Welbeck Strategic Land III Ltd [16266]

Agent: Bidwells (Mrs Sarah Hornbrook, Associate) [14444]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support submitted by the Norfolk FA regarding a S106 could provide off-site football facilities to support local football provision.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18771**Support**

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support submitted that the site is available and suitable for residential development to assist meeting the OAN across Greater Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19186**Support**

Respondent: Gladman Developments (Miss Megan Pashley, Senior Policy Researcher) [18081]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over-development, conflicts with Wymondham/Hethersett gap, location at north Wymondham is far less suitable than to the south, no footpaths, country roads, pollution, removal of rural surroundings and road safety.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19231**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment regarding scale of growth being supported but feeling that their clients land represents a better option due to its location to the south which is closer to services and will not impede on the strategic gap.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19487**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over-development, conflicts with Wymondham/Hethersett gap, location at north Wymondham is far less suitable than to the south, no footpaths, country roads, pollution, removal of rural surroundings and road safety.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19601**Object**

Respondent: Mr Richard Parker [18621]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2073**Comment****Summary of representations:**

Comments raised regarding detachment from settlement boundary, safe and accessible linkages, lacks public footpaths, poor public transport to site and would not meet NPPF guidance of maintaining or enhancing village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19486**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2082**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding in-fill development, lack of infrastructure, destruction of village character, access to site, unsafe pedestrian routes, increase flood risk, scale of development and impact on historic growth patterns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16824**Object**

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

16838**Object**

Respondent: david oakley [17185]

18045**Object**

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

18342**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development which addresses the specific existing and future needs of the village in a manner that reflects the important location of the village, its size and facilities available in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19278**Support**

Respondent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding in-fill development, lack of infrastructure, destruction of village character, access to site, unsafe pedestrian routes, increase flood risk, scale of development and impact on historic growth patterns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19398**Object**

Respondent: Mr Thomas Boen [18316]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2090

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding destroying the character of the village, lack of adequate infrastructure and abutting a large development already.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16989**Object**

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding capability of providing 50 units but is detached from Wymondham and would not be a suitable growth option for natural expansion.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19485**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2101

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding noise issues, flooding issues, poor access, destroy character of village, not enough infrastructure to support development, incorporates land owners one acre field and the scale of development is much too large.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16823

Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

16839

Object

Respondent: david oakley [17185]

16841

Object

Respondent: Mr Neil Wiseman [17193]

16855

Object

Respondent: Mr Steven Dye [16223]

18052

Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

18343

Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

19409

Object

Respondent: Mr Thomas Booen [18316]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site relating to strategic matters. In addition comments have been made regarding need and economic development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19783**Support**

Respondent: Linde Homes (Mr David Hill, Strategic Land and Planning Manager) [15874]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2125

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding scale of development being too small to meet needs but understand that 10% of housing requirement should be on sites under 1ha.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19484**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2150

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding this site will inevitably have an adverse impact upon the separation of settlements and there is no hard physical barrier to development in the north. However, the site represents a sustainable and economically beneficial option for growth with links to public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19483**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2155

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding there is no hard physical barrier to properly contain growth and the town centre and key transport hubs. Concerns about flooding, and potential need for an ecological assessment.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19482**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site notes that the site should be allocated for residential development in the GNLP. Written representations and a site location plan provided.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19600**Support**

Respondent: Oxygen Real Estate Group [18722]

Agent: Astill Planning Consultants (Mr Alex Prowse, Planning Consultant) [17145]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2157

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised issues regarding being detached from settlement boundary. The land represents a better and more sustainable and economically beneficial site for growth.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19481**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2168

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety particularly for pedestrians, no footpaths, unsuitable roads, pollution, loss of greenbelt environment, scale of development, lack of infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, impacts on wildlife and loss of the natural environment, loss of local heritage and flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16939**Object**

Respondent: sandro cecchini [17286]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding impact on existing services and if development were to take place that the development should be self-contained with the correct service provisions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16977**Comment**

Respondent: Mrs Rosemary Leeder [17330]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety particularly for pedestrians, no footpaths, unsuitable roads, pollution, loss of greenbelt environment, scale of development, lack of infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, impacts on wildlife and loss of the natural environment, loss of local heritage and flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16988

Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Everitt [17337]

16990

Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

17007

Object

Respondent: Mrs Elaine Pestle [17343]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding impact on existing services and if development were to take place that the development should be self-contained with the correct service provisions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17365

Comment

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety particularly for pedestrians, no footpaths, unsuitable roads, pollution, loss of greenbelt environment, scale of development, lack of infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, impacts on wildlife and loss of the natural environment, loss of local heritage and flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17730

Object

Respondent: Patrena and Richard Cutter [17828]

18339

Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

18720

Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Glazier [18347]

18723

Object

Respondent: Andrew Gardiner [18346]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust due to the loss to irreplaceable ancient woodland which would occur.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18993

Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety particularly for pedestrians, no footpaths, unsuitable roads, pollution, loss of greenbelt environment, scale of development, lack of infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, impacts on wildlife and loss of the natural environment, loss of local heritage and flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19220

Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Kittle [18338]

Agent: Mr Stephen Kittle [18338]

19232

Object

Respondent: Mrs Vivien Alderson [18551]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding a settlement in this location may be feasible but is more of a longer term option that would be for subsequent plan periods. This site represents a sustainable and economically option for growth in line with the current draft strategy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19480

Comment

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding site being able to accommodate long term housing need, more compliant with options than new garden village settlements regarding transport connections and in a strategic location on Cambridge Norwich tech corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19498

Comment

Respondent: Harvey & Co (Mr David Harvey, Director) [13380]

Agent: Harvey & Co (Mr David Harvey, Director) [13380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2169

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding this site as being a sustainable location for growth, albeit at an extremely limited scale.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19479**Comment**

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding provision needs to be made for the development of the site in the event that the 1,230 houses associated within the current local plan are delivered on surrounding land and leave this site undeveloped.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19499**Comment**

Respondent: Harvey & Co (Mr David Harvey, Director) [13380]

Agent: Harvey & Co (Mr David Harvey, Director) [13380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP2181**Object****Summary of representations:**

Objections raised regarding in-fill development, lack of appropriate infrastructure, flooding issues, traffic congestion, lack of footpaths, hedgerow in other land owners ownership and access issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16822**Object**

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

16840**Object**

Respondent: david oakley [17185]

17477**Object**

Respondent: mrs veronica grint [17314]

18040**Object**

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

18340**Object**

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Yelverton, GNLP2006

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding ecological impacts to the site due to proximity to land at Boundary Farm CWS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

18996

Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr Mike Jones) [17875]