Greater Norwich Local Plan

Regulation 18 Stage A: Site Proposals

Summary of Comments and Responses

Record count: 3937

Settlement Summaries and Sites, Submitting comments on sites and Development Boundaries, Question 1 Suggest and small scale changes to any development boundaries

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections to the proposal to extend the settlement limit to include a potential development of up to 4 dwellings on the land north of Eaton Objections Gate, Keswick (GNLP0214) due to concerns over potential flood risk area, impact on the character with the landscape of the immediate area/village, access issues, impact on the existing Eaton Gate and Keswick Old Hall dwellings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14784 Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Cocks [12850]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections to the proposal for up to 4 dwellings on the land lorth of Eaton Gate, Keswick (GNLP0214) on the following grounds extending the existing Development Boundary to the north of Low Road concerns of flood risk, Landscape setting of the area, access and Highways issues, impact on the character of existing dwellings of Old Keswick Hall and Eaton Gate.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan and or settlement limit extensions where appropriate. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated and or settlement limit extensions where appropriate in the adopted Local Plan.

14648 Object

Respondent: Darren Huckerby [14989]

Summary of representations:

Objections to the proposal to extend the settlement limit to include a potential development of up to 4 dwellings on the land north of Eaton Objections Gate, Keswick (GNLP0214) due to concerns over potential flood risk area, impact on the character with the landscape of the immediate area/village, access issues, impact on the existing Eaton Gate and Keswick Old Hall dwellings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14650	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Nutt [15991]	
14814	Object
Respondent: Derek Plummer [15223]	
14721	Object
Respondent: Mr David Hill [14534]	
14815	Object

Respondent: Keswick and Intwood Parish Council (Mr P Brooks, Clerk) [12506]

Summary of representations:

Objections to the potential settlement limit extension at Swainthorpe as concerns limited infrastructure, lack of facilities for shopping and access issues onto adopted and non adopted roads will remain an issue as well as impact on the historical character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15909 Object

Respondent: Mrs Tracey Bocz [15821]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections to the potential of extending the settlement limit for the following locations: Hellesdon, Hainford, Horsford, Buxton with Lamas, Pulham St Mary, Heathersett

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations and extensions to the settlement limit for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated and extensions to settlement limit where appropriate in the adopted Local Plan.

13026 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Hewitt [14736]

13046 Object

Respondent: Miss Donna Clements [14741]

13780	Object
Respondent: Jude Durrant [15183]	
13854	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Stevens [15398]	
13859	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Stevens [15401]	
14103	Object
Respondent: Buxton with Lamas Parish Council (Mrs Laura Apps-Green, Clerk) [12592]	
14487	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]	
16105	Object
D. J. AND TERROY TURNER [4.6606]	

Respondent: MR TERRY TURNER [16686]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of potential expansion of Settlement Limit . The sustainability of small villages such as Weston Longville would be increased if small developments of 5 houses or fewer were permitted.

Large scale developments such as those of Honingham, Reepham or Marsham should be discouraged in favour of expansion of existing urban / suburban areas around Norwich city.

Development of the larger villages / small towns such as Reepham is that they risk becoming only dormitories or development of out of town facilities (such as supermarkets) put at risk small businesses focussed in the town centres.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan and or extension of settlement limits where appropriate. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated and or extension of settlement limits where appropriate in the adopted Local Plan.

13257 Support

Respondent: weston longville parish council (miss clare morton) [14891]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment received concerning the potential loss of Wensum Community Sports Centre (WCSC) and any potential replacement within easy access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

14595 Comment

Respondent: Edward King [15944]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Comments received in support of potential extension to settlement limit by landowner. One in the end of Lambert's Way, Ditchingham to enable the development of one small, sustainable house.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations or settlement limit extension where appropriate for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated and or potential extensions to settlement limit where appropriate in the adopted Local Plan

13436 Support

Respondent: Miss Kate Jackson [14500]

Agent: Miss Kate Jackson [14500]

15963 Comment

Respondent: Lawson Planning Partnership Ltd (Miss Sam Hazell, Planning Technician) [16639]

Agent: Lawson Planning Partnership Ltd (Miss Sam Hazell, Planning Technician) [16639]

Broadland, Broadland - Acle, GNLP0007

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding highways exit from Damgate Lane having poor visibility. Site is close to sewage plant. Land is boggy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12978 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The development is close to Broads which may be affected.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16380 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Summary of representations:

One objection raised concerns regarding commercial traffic, road safety, land tends to be water logged, smells of the Sewage Treatment Plant and infrastructure not being able to cope with scale of development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14473 Object

Respondent: Mr Simon Scott [15876]

Broadland, Broadland - Acle, GNLP0378

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding proximity to reservoir, increase in vehicle movement, visually intrusive on open approach and destroy the sense of openness and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12980 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding new footpath being required, development would erode separation between Acle and Fishley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12981 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment by Broads Authority regarding early discussions welcomed on GNLP 0384.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16381 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Support

Summary of representations:

The site is suitable, available, achievable, and viable and is a sustainable location for residential development. Technical evidence in support of the site has been provided.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16096 Support

Respondent: Mrs Jones [16830]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding flooding causing housing damage, site dislocated from village, distances from facilities and increase in traffic through village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12982 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding development size being too large, spoil character of village and increase in traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12983 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding rural lane setting used for recreation (cycling and walking), too far from village, increase in traffic, wildlife issues, access and stretching public services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13951 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jane Hornagold [15485]

13696 Object

Respondent: Judy Halls [14804]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding using land to construct slipway to A47 or park and ride.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12985 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development being highly accessible and sustainable and a strategic extension to Acle.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16309 Support

Respondent: Mrs Jones [16830]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council due to highways restrictions, parking provisions and only a very small development would fit.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12986 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access to main road, access via foot to village and all parking would need to be in development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13127 Object

Respondent: Judy Halls [14804]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding site being dislocated from Acle, too far from facilities, noise and air pollution and poor environment for residents.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12987 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional information has been submitted. It is a highly accessible and sustainable location and would be a strategic extension of Acle.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16310 Support

Respondent: Mrs Jones [16830]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Broads Authority that the site is on the border of the Broads and it would be extending built up area in a way that would affect dark skies and visual impact of area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Happy to have an early discussion with Broads Authority.

16379 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding large scale development eroding space between Acle and Fishley, poor access, increased traffic and destruction of character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12988 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

12996 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Broadland, Broadland - Attlebridge, GNLP0460

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding relation to existing communities, urban sprawl into a greenfield site. Correction to Attlebridge community description - there is no village hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13258 Object

Respondent: weston longville parish council (miss clare morton) [14891]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objects raised by Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership regarding negative impact on landscape and character, site includes chalk pit, subject to geological research since 19th century and green infrastructure considerations.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14675 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding a prejudice of 'no development' policy along NDR and would be very isolated. No infrastructure other than NDR and no to planning policy and NPPF.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15552 Object

Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]

15910 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Broadland, Broadland - Aylsham, GNLP0287

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development in particular with reference to access, accessibility to services and utilities capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15076 Support

Respondent: Mr William Youngs [16251]

Agent: Chaplin Farrant Ltd (Peter Blois, Senior Architect) [16069]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from Aylsham Town Council stating they cannot comment without further detail. Development must be in accordance with the Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13817 Comment

Respondent: Aylsham Town Council (Ms Sue Lake, Clerk) [13265]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding excessive traffic, unsuitable roads, lack of infrastructure, poor link to town, environmental impacts, flood risk, greenfield site, outside settlement boundary, Anglian Water concerns and better options believed to be 0311 and 0595.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12947 Object

Respondent: Mr Tom Sayer [14689]

12954 Object

Respondent: Mr Trevor Bennett [14599]

13306 Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Evans [14910]

Respondent: Mr John Hill [15088]

14068 Object

Respondent: Mr William Sherwood [15596]

14202 Object

Respondent: Mr Kevin McDonald [15307]

Broadland, Broadland - Aylsham, GNLP0311

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments made in support of the site stating this site is the best option in conjunction with GNLP0595. Access to Burgh Road has been improved to cater for Bure Meadows development. The site is suitable, achievable, available and sustainable.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15162 Support

Respondent: Kier Living Eastern Ltd [16268]

Agent: Bidwells (Mrs Sarah Hornbrook, Associate) [14444]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding additional local vehicle traffic at detriment of town environment and town centre.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13490 Object

Respondent: Mr John Hill [15088]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments made in support of the site stating this site is the best option in conjunction with GNLP0595. Access to Burgh Road has been improved to cater for Bure Meadows development. The site is suitable, achievable, available and sustainable.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14071 Support

Respondent: Mr William Sherwood [15596]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from Aylsham Town Council stating they cannot comment without further detail but the proposal must be in accordance with the Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13818 Comment

Respondent: Aylsham Town Council (Ms Sue Lake, Clerk) [13265]

Broadland, Broadland - Aylsham, GNLP0336

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made by Aylsham Town Council stating they cannot comment without further detail but the proposal must be in accordance with the Neighbourhood Plan

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13819 Comment

Respondent: Aylsham Town Council (Ms Sue Lake, Clerk) [13265]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the fact that if this development were to go ahead then it will mean too many houses served by one access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13477 Object

Respondent: Mr John Hill [15088]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development due to its scale being able to provide housing need to Aylsham. The road has been widened, pavements provided, good access and easy exit making it the best option for Aylsham.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16695 Support

Respondent: Westmere Homes [16964]

Agent: Armstrong Rigg Planning (Mr Geoff Armstrong, Director) [15285]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of the site by 1st Aylsham Scout Group regarding potential use of a portion of the proposed community zone for a new HQ for the scout group.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13753 Support

Respondent: 1st Aylsham Scout Group (Mr Peter Dawes, Chairman) [15291]

Broadland, Broadland - Aylsham, GNLP0595

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and it should be noted that the developer is proposing 75-100 dwellings for the site. This site seems to be best option as access has been improved, road widened, easy access and exit.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14072 Support

Respondent: Mr William Sherwood [15596]

16790 Support

Respondent: Norfolk Land Ltd [11394]

Agent: Cornerstone Planning Ltd (Mr Alan Presslee, Director) [13498]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding being exposed to view from the A140, access, generation of local traffic and there would have to be improvements to the footpaths and cycle ways into town.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12955 Object

Respondent: Mr Trevor Bennett [14599]

13488 Object

Respondent: Mr John Hill [15088]

13491 Object

Respondent: Mr John Hill [15088]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Aylsham Town Council stating that they cannot comment without further detail but the proposal must be in accordance with the Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13820 Comment

Respondent: Aylsham Town Council (Ms Sue Lake, Clerk) [13265]

Broadland, Broadland - Aylsham, GNLP0596

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the agricultural land, road safety issues, access and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15853 Object

Respondent: Mrs Lorna Ashworth [16609]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development. Norfolk Homes has undertaken a full site and services survey which illustrates that it should all be identified as 'green' for the HELAA. Also, it is mentioned that the site would have least impact on the environment and that there should be access links to the Buxton Road area and landscaping and noise reduction along A140 frontage.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13492 Support

Respondent: Mr John Hill [15088]

16792 Support

Respondent: Norfolk Land Ltd [11394]

Agent: Cornerstone Planning Ltd (Mr Alan Presslee, Director) [13498]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments by Aylsham Town Council state that they cannot comment without further detail but the proposal must be in accordance with the Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13821 Comment

Respondent: Aylsham Town Council (Ms Sue Lake, Clerk) [13265]

Broadland, Broadland - Beighton, GNLP0449

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding no amenities or facilities, no school, bus service or trains and part of this site has been kept for wildlife and would like it kept that way. Other concerns include road safety, poor visibility when turning out of properties on Southwood Road and changing Beighton from a rural setting to a housing estate.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12829 Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen` Smith [14515]

13066 Object

Respondent: mr Barry Cooper [14755]

13069	Object
Respondent: Miss Rachelle Speight [14765]	
13250	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gemma Hampton [14633]	
13454	Object
Respondent: Mr Grant Nurden [15059]	
13493	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Notley [15103]	
15553	Object
Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]	
14075	Object
Respondent: mrs Philippa Durrant [15573]	
15801	Object
Respondent: mr michael spinks [15206]	
16135	Object
Respondent: RC Myhill [16763]	
16805	Object
Respondent: Mrs Mary Hanton [14958]	

26 / 877

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding no facilities, no school, no shop, little public transport, traffic speed and it would be inappropriate to build on this site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12990 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP0082

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential allocation being outside the village envelope, traffic volume, road safety, infrastructure, access, loss of agricultural land, flooding and service overcapacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12830 Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen` Smith [14515]

12852 Object

Respondent: Diane Flynn [14546]

13680 Object

Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Burton [15269]

Respondent: Mr Jonathan Flowers [15052]

14080 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Cooper [15547]

14775 Object

Respondent: Mrs Brenda Podd [16065]

15514 Object

Respondent: Mrs Patricia Budd [16463]

19617 Object

Respondent: Mrs Patricia Brocklebank [17375]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP0099

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of local infrastructure, services find it hard to cope, flooding issues, access to site and footpaths required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15294 Object

Respondent: Mrs Rae Canfor-Wood [14657]

16030 Object

Respondent: Mrs Patricia Budd [16463]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP0252

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development due to the strategically advantageous locations, potential sustainable growth, service and facilities can grow with site having easy access to amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15265 Support

Respondent: Newbury New Homes/G & J Pointer [16347]

Agent: Ms Sally Ann Minns [16062]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the potential allocation of this site on the grounds the land is classed as agricultural grade 2 that should benefit the surrounding habitants not become another housing estate. Local health centres are unable to cope no longer taking new patients.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12831 Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen` Smith [14515]

14082 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Cooper [15547]

Respondent: Mrs Patricia Budd [16463]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield, GNLP0508

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding traffic, road safety issues, access, infrastructure and noise from A47.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16054 Object

Respondent: Mrs Patricia Budd [16463]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield Heath, GNLP0288

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding previous applications being withdrawn or unsuccessful, no safe access, increased traffic flow, no current approval for any dwellings on site and proven no access can be achieved.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13233 Object

Respondent: Mrs Angela Colk [14856]

15805 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Mackness [13618]

Respondent: Mr Terry Norton [14703]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield Heath, GNLP0300

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding problems caused to adjacent lanes, local facilities insufficient, access is dangerous and increased traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13621 Object

Respondent: barry ridley [15224]

15810 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Mackness [13618]

Broadland, Broadland - Blofield Heath, GNLP1048

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding capacity of facilities, large traffic volumes, inadequate local road network, flooding, limited footpaths, wildlife destruction and site is outside settlement boundary with historic views.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12802	Object
Respondent: 1948 carole tuthill [14422]	
12803	Object
Respondent: 1948 carole tuthill [14422]	
12813	Object
Respondent: katrina wood [14471]	
12864	Object
Respondent: mr lloyd olley [14591]	
12897	Object
Respondent: Mrs Melanie Cole [12860]	
15820	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Mackness [13618]	
16090	Object
Respondent: Mrs Patricia Budd [16463]	<u> </u>

Respondent: Ms Lynda Charleton [16897]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP0254

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding effect on recently built committed developments, infrastructure struggling, loss of agricultural land, local economy concerns, traffic congestion and concerns of Blofield and Brundall merging.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12832	Object
Respondent: Mrs Doreen` Smith [14515]	
12886	Object
Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]	
12896	Object
Respondent: Mrs Melanie Garrett [14642]	
13455	Object
Respondent: Mr Grant Nurden [15059]	
14946	Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Finch [15858]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding inability to accommodate further development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13524 Object

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP0295

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted stating that it is essential facilities are improved before homes are built.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12824 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Celia Sutton [14511]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of sites. Site is available and deliverable for residential development. Site plan is incorrect so the Location Plan submitted to the GNGB as part of the 2016 Call for Sites exercise has been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16006 Support

Respondent: Mr Mach [16856]

16353 Support

Respondent: Mr. Mack [16857]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Jane Crichton, Associate Planner) [12905]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, lack of infrastructure, loss of agricultural land, site does not improve for develop local economy, overstretched services and location bringing Brundall too close to Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12809 Object

Respondent: Mrs Marianne Gibbs [14470]

12833 Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen` Smith [14515]

Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]

13456 Object

Respondent: Mr Grant Nurden [15059]

14999 Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Finch [15858]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish councils regarding inability to accommodate more development and feel that the already proposed development will meet the requirements of the GNLP and object to development on this site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13523 Object

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

14192 Object

Respondent: Postwick with Witton Parish Council (Miss S Allport, Clerk) [12571]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP0325

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of agricultural land, lack of services, poor infrastructure and site already approved by planning.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12834 Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen` Smith [14515]

12883 Object

Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]

13457 Object

Respondent: Mr Grant Nurden [15059]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating that the land should be kept as recreational use as per neighbourhood plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13528 Object

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP0352

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The concept plan illustrates high quality landscape-led development sensitive to setting. The scheme has no constraints that would prevent delivery and many community benefits would be felt with Country Park and improved footpaths. Land will have less impact on character of village and traffic network.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16691 Support

Respondent: Pigeon Investment Management Ltd (Mr Graham Bloomfield, Principal Planner) [12468]

12887 Support

Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]

19674 Support

Respondent: Pigeon Investment Management Ltd (Mr Rob Snowling, Associate Director) [13863]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding cumulative effects of committed developments in Blofield and Brundall far exceeding requirement. Infrastructure and services struggling to cope. Need investment in infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

14958 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Finch [15858]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council that further development can not be accommodated, prior committed developments are proportionate to the GNLP. If allocated it would conflict with Neighbourhood Plan Policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13525 Object

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

13529 Object

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding removal of scenic land, area is already over-subscribed, lack of services as well as being able cope with the population density, removal of valuable agricultural land, destruction wildlife habitats and contravenes the Brundall Neighbourhood Plan. Objection that previously we were told land would not be consulted on further.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Mr Grant Nurden [15059]

14098 Object

Respondent: Mrs Melanie Garrett [14642]

15481 Object

Respondent: Dr Christopher Harrison [14789]

15879 Object

Respondent: Mr Jason Masala [16610]

16261 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Scoular [14155]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP0375

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development if access is onto appropriate roads to alleviate potential traffic issues.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12884 Support

Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, struggling infrastructure, road safety, loss of agricultural land and traffic congestion.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12811 Object

Respondent: Mrs Marianne Gibbs [14470]

12895 Object

Respondent: Mrs Melanie Garrett [14642]

13459 Object

Respondent: Mr Grant Nurden [15059]

14952 Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Finch [15858]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objects raised by parish council regarding inability to accommodate further development, the existing permissions already granted are believed to meet the requirement of the GNLP.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

Broadland, Broadland - Brundall, GNLP0436

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable as it would provide recreational space and is in keeping with the neighbourhood Plan. The site is better thought out than GNLP0325 and will have less of an impact on the traffic situation at Cucumber Lane. Reduced housing figure of upto 170 homes to be in GNLP.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12882 Support

Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]

19662 Support

Respondent: Quantum Land (Hannah Leary) [18595]

16766 Support

Respondent: Quantum Land (Mr Alex Adams, CFS Contact) [12556]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, traffic congestion, overstretched services, loss of scenic & historic routes for walkers, site is adjacent to Witton Run (a significant geomorphological feature), loss of agricultural land and it should be kept for recreation as it is at the centre of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12810	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marianne Gibbs [14470]	
12812	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marianne Gibbs [14470]	
12822	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Abbott [14492]	
12823	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Abbott [14492]	
12835	Object
Respondent: Mrs Merri Ryan [14517]	
12894	Object
Respondent: Mrs Melanie Garrett [14642]	
13409	Object
Decreadest Mrs Diene Vendersen [15000]	

13460	Object
Respondent: Mr Grant Nurden [15059]	
13794	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Flowers [15052]	
14084	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Cooper [15547]	
14949	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Finch [15858]	
15267	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Casson [14530]	
15558	Object
Respondent: Mrs Judith Byrne [16479]	
15864	Object
Respondent: Mr Jason Masala [16610]	<u>-</u>

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the full site to be allocated for recreation only due to the chronic shortage of land for recreational purposes.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Brundall Parish Council (Ms Mrs Smyth, Parish Clerk) [13861]

Broadland, Broadland - Buxton with Lamas, GNLP0294

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development due to the high cost of restoring/redeveloping old buildings but heritage of Coltishall need to be retained.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13545 Support

Respondent: Mrs Victoria Dale [15151]

16607 Support

Respondent: Barbara Rix [16901]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding traffic congestion, unsuitable roads, and no footpaths in lamas, parking issues, oversubscribed services, loss of farmland, overdevelopment, environmental and wildlife impacts, long distance of site from village (overriding village boundaries), lack of infrastructure, heritage, flooding worsening and hazard concerns for pedestrians and cyclists.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13030	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Curtis [14723]	
13217	Object
Respondent: Mr Quintin Harper [14846]	
13235	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Cousens [14861]	
13294	Object
Respondent: Sir Christopher Harper [14904]	•
13467	Object
Respondent: Mr Tony Shaw [15044]	
13521	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amanda Seely [15133]	
13522	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kimberley Gould [15135]	
13531	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Dodd [15136]	
10504	Oh: a st
13534	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kate Lott [15139]	
13533	Object
Respondent: Mr Jason Baxter [15134]	
13535	Object
Respondent: Mrs Diane Siggins [15140]	

13536	Object
Respondent: Mrs Emma Money [15143]	
13537	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Pearson [15142]	
13538	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rebecca Harper [15141]	
13540	Object
Respondent: Mr Carl Hughes [15146]	
13541	Object
Respondent: Mr Jack Platten [15147]	
13542	Object
Respondent: Lois Palmer [15145]	
13543	Object
Respondent: Miss Danielle Kemp [15144]	
13544	Object
Respondent: neil perry [15148]	
13546	Object
Respondent: Mr Jason Sumner [15150]	
13547	Object
Respondent: Dr Harriet Foster [15152]	
13548	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Tattersall [15157]	

13549	Object
Respondent: Miss Louise Willoughby [15156]	
13550	Object
Respondent: Mrs Toni Collinge [15159]	
13560	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helene Morgan [15166]	
13564	Object
Respondent: miss Jocelyn Stares [15174]	
13568	Object
Respondent: Miss Rachel Gaylor [15178]	
13571	Object
Respondent: Mr David Cox [15176]	
13572	Object
Respondent: Mr David Cox [15176]	
13574	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janette Yaxley [15182]	
13575	Object
Respondent: Mr Cain Fisher [15187]	
13588	Object
Respondent: Mrs Louisa Pavis [15200]	
13598	Object
Respondent: Mrs Stephanie Wegg [15210]	

13888	Object
Respondent: Dr Matthew Morley [15424]	
13920	Object
Respondent: Rosemary Roth [15449]	
13952	Object
Respondent: Miss Jessica Murray [15488]	
14574	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alexandra Haswell [15952]	
15007	Object
Respondent: Mr Campbell Jones [16207]	
15395	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Lewis [16402]	
15399	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Lewis [16401]	
15477	Object
Respondent: Ms Ann Charmley [15256]	
15519	Object
Respondent: Mrs Petra Boyce [16457]	
15563	Object
Respondent: Dr Anna Malpas-Sands [16486]	
15661	Object
Respondent: Mrs Catherine Lord [16517]	

Respondent: Mrs Joanne Copplestone [15173]

15700 Object

Respondent: Mr Luke Townshend [16544]

15719 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joanna Daubney [16565]

15802 Object

Respondent: michelle folland [16597]

15970 Object

Respondent: Mrs Claire Lattaway [16660]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding retaining the existing settlement boundary as it is.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14105 Object

Respondent: Buxton with Lamas Parish Council (Mrs Laura Apps-Green, Clerk) [12592]

Broadland, Broadland - Buxton with Lamas, GNLP0297

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding potential challenges for different parties in relation to use of land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16603 Comment

Respondent: Barbara Rix [16901]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. It is considered suitable for development due to the scale of the site meeting growth requirements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13466 Support

Respondent: Mr Tony Shaw [15044]

13582 Support

Respondent: Savills (Mr Mark Little, Director - Rural) [13577]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, traffic, flood risk, access and infrastructure, use of agricultural land, consideration to be given to derelict land on Aylsham Road. School is already full with other services already under much pressure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

14076	Object
Respondent: Mr Roy Wheeler [15598]	
15529	Object
Respondent: Mrs Petra Boyce [16457]	
15567	Object
Respondent: Dr Anna Malpas-Sands [16486]	
15724	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joanna Daubney [16565]	
15828	Object
Respondent: michelle folland [16597]	
15981	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Lattaway [16660]	
15997	Object
Doomondonts Mac Cothousing Loyd [16517]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding desire to keep settlement boundary as it is.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14106 Object

Respondent: Buxton with Lamas Parish Council (Mrs Laura Apps-Green, Clerk) [12592]

Broadland, Broadland - Buxton with Lamas, GNLP0387

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flooding issues, location on a slope, alter rural feel, road infrastructure not able to support development, services at capacity, loss of light on houses on Back Lane, wildlife destruction and site outside village boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13469 Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Shaw [15044]

13889 Object

Respondent: Dr Matthew Morley [15424]

14070 Object

Respondent: Mr Roy Wheeler [15598]

15513	Object
Respondent: Mrs Petra Boyce [16457]	
15555	Object
Respondent: mr n neil buchanan [16478]	
15571	Object
Respondent: Dr Anna Malpas-Sands [16486]	
15627	Object
15637	Object
Respondent: Mrs Catherine Lord [16517]	
15639	Object
Respondent: Marie Cleaver [16502]	
15727	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joanna Daubney [16565]	
15794	Object
Respondent: michelle folland [16597]	
15916	Object
Respondent: Romilly Siddall [16647]	
4.50.54	
15951	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Lattaway [16660]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted regarding owners probability to sell.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16606 Comment

Respondent: Barbara Rix [16901]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding desire to keep settlement boundary as it is.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14108 Object

Respondent: Buxton with Lamas Parish Council (Mrs Laura Apps-Green, Clerk) [12592]

Broadland, Broadland - Buxton with Lamas, GNLP0601

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road and junction insufficient, no footpaths, dangerous road, services at limit, field acts as a soak and reduces flooding and over-development of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13470	Object
Respondent: Mr Tony Shaw [15044]	
10001	
13891	Object
Respondent: Dr Matthew Morley [15424]	
13941	Object
Respondent: mr thomas cringle [15478]	
15516	Object
Respondent: Mrs Petra Boyce [16457]	
15559	Object
Respondent: mr n neil buchanan [16478]	
15573	Object
Respondent: Dr Anna Malpas-Sands [16486]	
15672	Object
Respondent: Mrs Catherine Lord [16517]	

Respondent: Mrs Joanna Daubney [16565]

15834 Object

Respondent: michelle folland [16597]

15957 Object

Respondent: Mrs Claire Lattaway [16660]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it's near the road and amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16605 Support

Respondent: Barbara Rix [16901]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding a desire to keep settlement boundary as it is.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Buxton with Lamas Parish Council (Mrs Laura Apps-Green, Clerk) [12592]

Broadland, Broadland - Cantley, GNLP0281

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Broads Authority regarding potential development of site and the impact on the local landscape and infrastructure. Would welcome early discussions regarding this.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16390 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding views of marshes, wildlife, road suitability for traffic increase and historic issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15823 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Mary Hill [16038]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concerns about road safety as Grange Road is presently a dirt track with pots holes. Other concerns include noise, traffic congestions, wildlife (protected species: mating barn owls, natterjack toad, the bittern and the hedgehogs etc.), destruction of Georgian house, lack of facilities, negative impact on the natural environment, no public transport, parking issues and over-population

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

14077	Object
Respondent: Mr. Matt Woods [15601]	
14096	Object
Respondent: Miss Poppy George [15620]	
14085	Object
Respondent: mr mark george [15604]	
14123	Object
Respondent: Miss Hannah-Luanne Thrower [15637]	
14092	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dawn George [15617]	
14172	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Drew [15674]	
14199	Object
Respondent: Mrs Michelle Hibbitts [15699]	

14366	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Snelling [15793]	
14368	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Snelling [15808]	
14652	Object
Respondent: Mr Arran Wilkinson [15996]	
14656	Object
Respondent: miss charlotte lansdale [15995]	
14658	Object
Respondent: Miss Jo Mallett [16002]	
14659	Object
Respondent: Mike Wright [16003] Agent: Mike Wright [16003]	
14662	Object
Respondent: miss naomi beck [15584]	
14663	Object
Respondent: Miss Gemma Bloom [16004] Agent: Miss Gemma Bloom [16004]	
14665	Object
Respondent: Miss Gemma Bloom [16004] Agent: Miss Gemma Bloom [16004]	
14670	Object

14703	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Wilkinson [16028]	
15454	Object
Respondent: 1981 Sarah Earl [15608]	
15468	Object
Respondent: Miss Gemma Bloom [16445]	
15471	Object
Respondent: Mr Frank George [16446]	
15476	Object
Respondent: Paul Bloom [16448]	
15479	Object
Respondent: Carole Bloom [16453]	
15482	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoff Spring [16452]	
15586	Object
Respondent: Mr TIMOTHY Williams [16484]	
15668	Object
Respondent: Miss L woodhall [16518]	
15838	Object
Respondent: Miss Rachel Beck [16600]	<u>-</u>
16295	Object
Respondent: Mr. Simon Platten [15614]	

Broadland, Broadland - Cawston, GNLP0126

Support

Sunnort

Summary of representations:

Comments received in support for the site, stating that it appears as a natural extension to the village with services already available. There are no significant landscape impact issues or Highways issues. The village would benefit from extra people to sustain the services which are in close proximity to the site. There would be no loss to agricultural production. Drainage can be achieved on site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

122/0

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13349	Support
Respondent: Mr Raymond Smith [14455]	
15276	Support
Respondent: Mr Alan Smith [16148]	
15607	Support
Respondent: Mr. John Smith [16368]	
16025	Support

Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding use of brownfield sites, traffic congestion. poor access, private and industrial vehicles, no parking, no infrastructure, noise pollution increase and land already refused for development in 2013.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12866	Object
Respondent: Mr. Brian Schuil [14596]	
12867	Object
Respondent: Mr. Brian Schuil [14596]	
13954	Object
Respondent: STEPHEN ASTLEY [15492]	
13969	Object
Respondent: Mr James Livingstone [15519]	
14144	Object
Respondent: Mr. Brian Schuil [14596]	
14175	Object
Respondent: Stephanie Spencer [15677]	
14761	Object
Respondent: Miss Karen Fiszer [16064]	

Broadland, Broadland - Cawston, GNLP0293

Respondent: Mr. Brian Schuil [14596]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of development too large, transport and infrastructure would be unable to cope, development not big enough to provide infrastructure development, traffic congestion, speed limits and safety concerns for children.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13348	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Smith [14455]	
13955	Object
Respondent: STEPHEN ASTLEY [15492]	
13972	Object
Respondent: Mr James Livingstone [15519]	
14138	Object
Respondent: Mr. Brian Schuil [14596]	
14174	Object
Respondent: Stephanie Spencer [15677]	
16035	Object

Broadland, Broadland - Coltishall & Horstead, GNLP0265

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment and its wildlife. Block of trees with nesting common buzzards should be protected.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16464 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding lack of evidence and wrong accessibility to services scores on HELAA and concerns over character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16780 Object

Respondent: Coltishall Parish Council (Mr Bob Grindrod) [17010]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised over concerns regarding traffic congestion, road safety, infrastructure, services, footpaths, loss of wildlife and habitats and planning permission has already been granted for 30 new dwellings on a site of Rectory Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13128	Object
Respondent: Miss Sarah Smith [14808]	
13241	Object
Respondent: Mr Nick Singer [14874]	
13328	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amy Beck [14950]	
13337	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karin Bibby [14951]	
13351	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Anne Binny [14978]	
13498	Object
Respondent: MR Simon Beck [15110]	
13512	Object

13648	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alison Haynes [13400]	
13799	Object
Respondent: Miss Rachel Harmer [15364]	
13934	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Moore [15474]	
13946	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dawn Moore [15483]	
14157	Object
Respondent: Ms Jane Gannon [15102]	
14194	Object
Respondent: Mr Chris Soman [15693]	
14268	Object
Respondent: Alan Browne [15742]	
14323	Object
Respondent: Ms Caroline Denson-Smith [15778]	
14523	Object
Respondent: Mr Oliver Browne [15915]	
14361	Object
Respondent: Ms Vicky Tovell [15513]	
15492	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Dean [16455]	

·	, ,
15474	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Holden [16430]	
15690	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joanne Copplestone [15173]	
16217	Object
Respondent: Mr Mike French [15082]	
16219	Object
Respondent: Emma French [16794]	
16221	Object
Respondent: Mr Les Sanders [16795]	
19708	Object
Respondent: JOHN RATLEDGE [18142]	
19785	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Cryer [18689]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local primary school regarding further greenfield development. School is concerned regarding safety and school capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action

Respondent: Coltishall Primary School (Robert Grindrod, Chairman of Governing Board) [14769]

Broadland, Broadland - Coltishall & Horstead, GNLP0388

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding access location, pressure on services, planning decisions elsewhere, traffic concerns near schools, visibility on roads, questions HELAA and suitability assessments and expresses concerns over losing the character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16781 Object

Respondent: Coltishall Parish Council (Mr Bob Grindrod) [17010]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding housing ruining character of Coltishall, traffic, existing services and safety.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14195 Comment

Respondent: Mr Chris Soman [15693]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding infrastructure, services, road safety & congestion (St. John's close), visibility issues, damage to unique village character and heritage, negative impacts on the environment and wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13094	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gemma Kent [14793]	
13129	Object
Respondent: Miss Sarah Smith [14808]	
13240	Object
Respondent: Mr Nick Singer [14874]	
13335	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amy Beck [14950]	
13336	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karin Bibby [14951]	
13352	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Anne Binny [14978]	
13499	Object
Respondent: MR Simon Beck [15110]	

13513	Object
Respondent: MR Jonathan Brown [15117]	
13800	Object
Respondent: Miss Rachel Harmer [15364]	
13935	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Moore [15474]	
13947	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dawn Moore [15483]	
14162	Object
Respondent: Ms Jane Gannon [15102]	
13966	Object
Respondent: Ms Vicky Tovell [15513]	
14176	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alison Haynes [13400]	
14197	Object
Respondent: Mr Chris Soman [15693]	
14267	Object
Respondent: Alan Browne [15742]	
14324	Object
Respondent: Ms Caroline Denson-Smith [15778]	
14526	Object
Respondent: Mr Oliver Browne [15915]	

15483	Object
Respondent: Ms Ann Charmley [15256]	
15469	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Holden [16430]	
15499	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Dean [16455]	
15692	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joanne Copplestone [15173]	
16171	Object
Respondent: Mrs Barbara Hall [15221]	
16218	Object
Respondent: Mr Mike French [15082]	
16220	Object
Respondent: Emma French [16794]	
16222	Object
Respondent: Mr Les Sanders [16795]	
19709	Object
Respondent: JOHN RATLEDGE [18142]	
19784	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Cryer [18689]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by primary school regarding greenfield development, safety around school and capacity of school to provide for influx of more pupils.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16343 Object

Respondent: Coltishall Primary School (Robert Grindrod, Chairman of Governing Board) [14769]

Broadland, Broadland - Coltishall & Horstead, GNLP1056

Support

Summary of representations:

Support of the site by agent regarding the HELAA not taking into account findings from the appeal decision or application confirming the site is not located away from services and access can be provided. A landscape led solution will mitigate any townscape issues and site is under single ownership and is available and viable.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15269 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Ian Reilly, Senior Associate Planner) [14057]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site regarding good visibility, less impact on the roads, doctors and there is a school choice of Spixworth, Buxton and Coltishall. The site is more suitable than the proposed developments on Rectory Road and the road is safe and straight. It is close to services, shops, the Church, pub/restaurant and garages. The site has not been farmed regularly for several years.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14264	Support
Respondent: Alan Browne [15742]	
14328	Support
Respondent: Ms Caroline Denson-Smith [15778]	
14534	Support
Respondent: Mr Oliver Browne [15915]	
15002	Support
Respondent: Mr Campbell Jones [16207]	
15699	Support

Respondent: Mrs Joanne Copplestone [15173]

Summary of representations:

Respondent: JOHN RATLEDGE [18142]

Objections raised concerns regarding village services are a far distance away from site, too far to walk causing more vehicle use, traffic and road safety concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13500	Object
Respondent: MR Simon Beck [15110]	
13508	Object
Respondent: MR Simon Beck [15110]	
13514	Object
Respondent: MR Jonathan Brown [15117]	
13936	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Moore [15474]	
13948	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dawn Moore [15483]	
19707	Object

Broadland, Broadland - Crostwick, GNLP0467

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding B1150 increased traffic, additional pressure on NDR, infrastructure issues, particularly the medical centre.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14256 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and significant amount of preapplication work was undertaken. It is readily available to develop.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15049 Support

Respondent: Mr Hugh Ivins [14963]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0222

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location next to woods and NNDR, increased traffic volume, busy narrow lane, there are brownfield sites so why build on greenfield, remote location, outside settlement limits, reliant on private transport and a 'no-development' policy along NDR would be positive.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13029	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Barber [14737]	
15604	Object
15624	Object
Respondent: Mr Craig Turner [16509]	
15045	Ohiaat
15845	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
15851	Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish councils regarding site outside settlement boundary, reliance on private transport, loss of rural character, traffic increases, lack of facilities and infrastructure and wildlife concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14479 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

16229 Object

Respondent: Felthorpe Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12472]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0270

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site regarding conclusion on landscape assessment of the site stating the buildings lack merit, no vegetation and no impact on views, no significant flood risk, scope for mitigation. Reduction of traffic from NDR makes impact more manageable, scope for improving agricultural access and no ecological, heritage, archaeology concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16710 Support

Respondent: Landform Estates Ltd [10995]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Mr Ed Hanson, Planning Associate) [13354]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site location to River Wensum, flooding, near to Wensum Special Conservation Area and SSSI, narrow road, pollution, ecological impact, access to services, quality of life concerns, and access to Taverham Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16759 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised querying the rationale of the employment allocation site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12917 Comment

Respondent: Sylvia Barwick [14668]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site location to River Wensum, flooding, near to Wensum Special Conservation Area and SSSI, narrow road, pollution, ecological impact, access to services, quality of life concerns, and access to Taverham Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13468	Object
Respondent: mr stephen cozens [15074]	
14089	Object
Respondent: Brenda Schofield [15393]	
14351	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Mathews [15789]	
14395	Object
Respondent: Mr Scot Grimmer [15831]	
14870	Object
Respondent: Mr Steve Elvin [16011]	
15029	Object
Respondent: Mr Les Gray [13291]	
15489	Object
Respondent: Katherine Bodycombe [16450]	

15852 Object Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431] 16246 Object Respondent: P Ruddock [16798] 15696 Object Respondent: Mr Andrew Bodycombe [15297] Object 16329 Respondent: Mr Michael Hunt [16840] 16630 Object Respondent: Mr Eddie Balls [16939] 19700 Object

Respondent: Brenda Schofield [15393]

Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding flooding, impact on river valley and location in Drayton and backs onto Marriots Way.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14481 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding site drainage and slope causing problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13063 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0271

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding services and infrastructure not able to cope with development, will cause road to become a rat run, movement of bus stop will cause visibility issues for vehicles and building on the site will cause landslides and subsidence to nearby houses so a retaining wall must be built.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12952 Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Mitchell [14692]

13297 Object

Respondent: Miss Sue Shepherd [14907]

Respondent: Mr Tom Mitchell [15549]

14007 Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Mitchell [14692]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council noting the site has received full planning permission.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14482 Comment

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

16519 Comment

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0289

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council with more detailed plans and proposals the site could be given consideration by the Parish Council.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16520 Comment

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding the care home should be converted into affordable apartments for those trying to get on the property ladder.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14977 Comment

Respondent: Miss Rebecca Larke [15400]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding traffic congestion, services are already oversubscribed, pollution, loss of walking areas and impact on the environment & wildlife. Site is outside the settlement limit.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13132	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13166	Object
Respondent: MRS EDWINA BROWNE [14815]	
13211	Object
Respondent: MR JAMES BROWNE [14844]	
13415	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13981	Object
Respondent: Nicki Lewis [15530]	
14733	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janice Gordon [16001]	
15813	Object

Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]

15860	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16118	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16255	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Maxwell [16801]	
16424	Object
Respondent: Mr Cedric Hacon [13326]	
16451	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]	
16460	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	
16476	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]	
16541	Object
Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911]	
19718	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
19727	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kate Ulph [18310]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating subject to receipt of more detailed plans and proposals the site could be given consideration by the Parish Council.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14483 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the idea of converting the care home is acceptable, however, any further development should not take place as it will destroy character of area and the proximity to Drayton Woods is a concern. It will also put pressure on infrastructure and services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15084 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0290

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding proximity to wooded area and should not be allocated. They agree with other sites being dismissed for this reason.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16467 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they can give consideration to proposal with more detailed plans.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16521 Comment

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised: Is the oil pipe that served Norwich International Airport still active?

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16542 Comment

Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the development being in the Drayton Woods country wildlife site and will be detrimental to the environment whilst adding pressure on to Hellesdon's already overstretched facilities and highways. There should be a separation between villages.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13133 Object

Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]

13167 Object

Respondent: MRS EDWINA BROWNE [14815]

13212 Object

Respondent: MR JAMES BROWNE [14844]

13417	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13863	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Stevens [15401]	
13864	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Stevens [15398]	
•	
14734	Object
Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]	
14975	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Larke [15400]	
15347	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sam Murphy [15487]	
15433	Object
Respondent: Mrs Audrey Elliott [16385]	
15809	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
15872	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16110	
16119	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	

16256	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Maxwell [16801]	
16279	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]	
16271	Object
Respondent: Mrs R Batch [14842]	
16286	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]	
16425	Object
Respondent: Mr Cedric Hacon [13326]	
16452	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]	
16461	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	
16477	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]	
19719	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
19758	Object
Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding site outside settlement boundary, adjacent to wildlife site, contradicts neighbourhood plan, detrimental to character of area and highways issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14484 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

15088 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0301

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location in critical drainage area, safety zone of Norwich International Airport, outside settlement boundary, isolated with no access to services, loss of arable land, traffic congestion, services already stretched, landscape and wildlife concerns and the development would prejudice a 'no development' policy along the NDR.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12801 Object

Respondent: Mr Les Gray [13291]

13101	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13134	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13160	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13296	Object
Respondent: Miss Sue Shepherd [14907]	
13418	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13777	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Hall [15336]	
13860	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Stevens [15398]	
13866	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Stevens [15401]	
13900	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kay Hall [15337]	
15861	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
15912	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	

16008	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16120	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16257	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Maxwell [16801]	
16280	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]	
16287	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]	
16290	Object
Respondent: Mrs R Batch [14842]	
16427	Object
Respondent: Mr Cedric Hacon [13326]	
16454	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]	
16462	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	
16478	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]	
16543	Object
Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish council regarding unsustainable site, outside settlement limit, inside critical drainage area, damage form and character of village, no connection to village facilities and does not meet DCO requirement 28 of NDR feeder roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14486 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

16517 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding pressure of facilities and infrastructure, traffic congestion and location on fringe of Hellesdon.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15092 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0329

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding airport safety zone, wildlife, permissive footpaths across site, dangerous and difficult access and no value to local community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12961 Comment

Respondent: Mr Roger Budds [14702]

13869 Comment

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Stevens [15401]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of wildlife and rare species, overstretched services, traffic congestion, poor access, site is within the airport safety zone, noise pollution, airport safety zone, loss of agricultural land, destruction of green link and blocking of public right of way on Hurn Road, Reepham Road and Canhams Hill.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12918 Object

Respondent: Mr M Knights [14669]

12960	Object
Respondent: Richard Greenacre [14698]	
13138	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13179	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Jarvis [14828]	
13225	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Gant [14849]	
13227	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Acres [14851]	
13281	Object
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Thrower [14899]	
13419	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13692	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Mortram [14739]	
13868	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Stevens [15398]	
13895	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Bird [15422]	
14119	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Sexton [15415]	

14389	Object
Respondent: Mr. Simon Hales [15072]	
14973	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Larke [15400]	
15141	Object
Respondent: Mr Rex Chipp [16096]	
15386	Object
Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]	
15389	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sam Murphy [15487]	
15830	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
15877	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
15955	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16122	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16245	Object
Respondent: Ms Sarah Marshall [16797]	
16247	Object
Respondent: Mr Anthony Vince [16799]	

Object 16453 Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356] 16426 Object Respondent: Mr Cedric Hacon [13326] Object 16463 Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913] Object 16479 Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867] 16544 Object Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911] 19720 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding landlocked site, remote from village facilities, near County Wildlife Site, contrary to Neighbourhood Plan and NDR feed roads policy, permission refused previously and within airport safety zone and crash area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14490 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding unsustainable site, away from village amenities and poor access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15094 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

Broadland, Broadland - Drayton, GNLP0465

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding traffic congestion and tailbacks to development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13013 Comment

Respondent: Mr Tim Praill [14696]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made by parish council stating the site could be considered with more detailed plans.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16522 Comment

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding prejudice no development policy on NDR, outside settlement limits, adjacent to common land, contrary to Neighbourhood Plan, detrimental to GI plans, damage to local landscape and impact on wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15866 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

15991 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]

19762 Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding location outside settlement limit, adjacent to common land and GI land, contrary to neighbourhood plan and GI area since NDR has become a valuable walking route.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14492 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding traffic increase, narrow lanes, site location in relation to village, difficulty in residents integrating in community, no facilities/buses and wildlife impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16228 Object

Respondent: Felthorpe Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12472]

Broadland, Broadland - Foulsham, GNLP0275

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, access, development impacting on surrounding character by allowing two storey development and unsuitable public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14214 Object

Respondent: Mr J McManus [15712]

14869 Object

Respondent: Jenny Guymer [15949]

Broadland, Broadland - Foulsham, GNLP0286

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding narrow road infrastructure, pedestrian safety, traffic increase, access, difficulty in widening road, poor visibility at junction, oversized development and no bus service.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13474 Object

Respondent: mr geoffrey fearn [15080]

13693	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jacqui Smith [15273]	
13694	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Smith [15247]	
14478	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Bales [15887]	
14513	Object
Respondent: Jennifer Pulford [15914]	
14575	Object
Respondent: Miss Joyce Taylor [15083]	
14782	Object
Respondent: Mrs Glennys Groom [16104]	
14806	Object
Respondent: Ms Ann Barber [16117]	
14941	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Stilgoe [16179]	
14944	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sharon Stilgoe [15688]	
15186	Object
Respondent: MR MARTYN ETSELL [16311]	
15215	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Kempson [16323]	

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site regarding access, services, utilities capacity and biodiversity and geodiversity.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15081 Support

Respondent: Mr Dennis Jeans [16270]

Agent: Chaplin Farrant Ltd (Peter Blois, Senior Architect) [16069]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding very poor access, previous refusal for development on site, not able to widen road, double-blind bend and pedestrian safety on walk to school.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14228 Object

Respondent: Foulsham Parish Council (Mr Mike Smith, Clerk) [15066]

Broadland, Broadland - Foulsham, GNLP0605

Respondent: Mr Adrian Pohajdak [16384]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding wildlife, building permission told never to be granted, roads (single track), traffic congestion, access and protected species.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13964	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Glew [15508]	
14382	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sharon Stilgoe [15688]	
14934	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Stilgoe [16179]	
15210	Object
Respondent: Jennifer Pulford [15914]	
15213	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Kempson [16323]	
15359	Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development due to appropriate scale of development, meets NPPF guidance, use of low grade redundant agricultural land and site is immediately available.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15289 Support

Respondent: Wheatman Planning Limited (Mr Simon Wheatman, Managing Director) [14233]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding very poor access, previously rejected for development, not possible to widen road and pedestrian safety for children and parents walking to school.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14229 Object

Respondent: Foulsham Parish Council (Mr Mike Smith, Clerk) [15066]

Broadland, Broadland - Frettenham, GNLP0492

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding the impact on CWS being recognised as a major constraint and the need for area within CWS to be recognised as GI, if there is any smaller development outside of CWS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16471 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding poor access, junction health and safety issue, previously rejected application, lack of adequate services, land is a nature reserve and has previously been damaged by potential development prospects and size of development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15850 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sparrow Teresa [16615]

19619 Object

Respondent: Mr David Woolston [18073]

Respondent: Mr and Mrs K Gowing [18682]

19703 Object

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Robert and Cynthia Forster [18275]

19745 Object

Respondent: Keith Robertson [18604]

19763 Object

Respondent: R and S Bulley [18671]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Geodiversity Parnership regarding chalk pit of geological interest on site, site has history of research, former geological SSSI and CWS and if development goes ahead there should be condition of providing geological exposure of chalk for nature conservation and GI.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14676 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning the site is outside of the development boundary as shown in the village plans which 'appear to have been ignored'.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15964 Object

Respondent: Peter Morris [16663]

15978 Object

Respondent: Peter Morris [16663]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by the parish council regarding inadequate infrastructure to support development and dangerous access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14266 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of good agricultural land, outside development boundary, lack of services and poor bus service for commuting.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12828 Object

Respondent: Mrs Peta Kerrigan [13230]

15969 Object

Respondent: Peter Morris [16663]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding lack of infrastructure and application is contrary to village designation.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14270 Object

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site being outside the development boundary and on productive agricultural land. They support the parish councils objection.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15982 Object

Respondent: Peter Morris [16663]

15988 Comment

Respondent: Peter Morris [16663]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding lack of appropriate infrastructure and service provisions for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14269 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site usage limits (education or worship) and lack of services. Site would be better used to accommodate more services such as shops.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12825 Object

Respondent: Mrs Peta Kerrigan [13230]

Broadland, Broadland - Great & Little Plumstead, GNLP0420

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and the representation aims to revise the red line plan to create a small-scale residential frontage development off Hare Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16316 Support

Respondent: Mr Derek Jones [16834]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site on arable land, lack of local services, lack of infrastructure, increase in traffic, pollution, wildlife impacts and there is enough housing allocation within the boundary of the NDR.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15418 Object

Respondent: Mrs Michelle Bullen [16408]

15419 Object

Respondent: Mr Ben Bullen [16405]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding lack of infrastructure, use of agricultural land, site access and brownfield sites should be developed first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14271 Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and capable of contributing significantly to housing needs. The representation aims to revise the plans to create a smaller-scale development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16317 Support

Respondent: Mr Derek Jones [16834]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Jake Lambert, Graduate Planner) [14371]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections and comments regarding impact on character and form of village, loss of agricultural land, lack of local services, increased traffic, pollution, impact on wildlife and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12806 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joanne Kerrison [14435]

15422 Object

Respondent: Mr Ben Bullen [16405]

Respondent: Mrs Michelle Bullen [16408]

15464 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Dickerson [16435]

15466 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Dickerson [16435]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding lack of infrastructure, use of agricultural land, access safety and brownfield sites should be developed first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14272 Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and an additional response to this site has been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16351 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Jane Crichton, Associate Planner) [12905]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding facilities improvement, bus service improvement and is outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12826 Object

Respondent: Mrs Peta Kerrigan [13230]

15994 Object

Respondent: Peter Morris [16663]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding potential traffic accidents due to site exit and the community is against the site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14262 Object

Respondent: Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Parish Clerk) [15740]

Broadland, Broadland - Great Witchingham & Lenwade, GNLP0548

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding inadequate road access, not in-keeping with rural nature of village and lanes do not support two way traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12845 Object

Respondent: Ms Jane Wisbey [14541]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding access from Heath Lane with poor visibility splays, narrow roads not supporting two way traffic and increased volume of traffic worsening current issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13622 Object

Respondent: Great Witchingham Parish Council (Mrs Patricia Kirby, Clerk) [14399]

Broadland, Broadland - Great Witchingham & Lenwade, GNLP0586

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections and comments regarding unsuitable road access and development is not in-keeping with surrounding area of rural farmland.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12846 Object

Respondent: Ms Jane Wisbey [14541]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The description of the proposed development in the HEELA does not match the GNLP description.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

13259 Comment

Respondent: weston longville parish council (miss clare morton) [14891]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding inappropriate site, no accommodation for two way traffic, increased traffic would increase current road safety issues, access has poor visibility splays and public open space usage would be considered acceptable with conditions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13624 Object

Respondent: Great Witchingham Parish Council (Mrs Patricia Kirby, Clerk) [14399]

Broadland, Broadland - Great Witchingham & Lenwade, GNLP0608

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating the site is sustainable and has good road access and good access to facilities and services. The site is currently excluded from the development map in the Local Plan for an unknown reason but would provide much needed social housing and access to recreational land.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12847 Support

Respondent: Ms Jane Wisbey [14541]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. It is noted that it is an extension of an existing housing in St Faiths Close and bungalows are the preferred style and should be for social housing. Provision of public open space would be welcomed.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13626 Support

Respondent: Great Witchingham Parish Council (Mrs Patricia Kirby, Clerk) [14399]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP0065

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development would hopefully add life to the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13282 Support

Respondent: mrs belinda yaxley [14901]

13288 Support

Respondent: Miss Claire Yaxley [14903]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised site being outside settlement boundary, size of proposal, conflict with status as 'other village', flooding risk, narrow rural roads, limited power supply, poor local facilities, sporadic bus service, speeding, traffic increase, change or rural character and form of village and unsustainable proposal.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13329 Object

Respondent: Mrs Deborah Bennett [14949]

14805	Object
Respondent: Mrs D Fuller [16112]	
15369	Object
Respondent: Mr. Kevin Saggers [15813]	
15372	Object
Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]	
15577	Object
Respondent: John Pollitt [16489]	
15772	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]	
15773	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]	
16444	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Rogers [16909]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding disassociation of site with Hainford, flood risk, reliance on narrow rural roads, outside development boundary, rural landscape setting, lack of infrastructure, services and facilities and reliance on private vehicles.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mrs Linda Rogers, Parish Council) [15902]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local councillor regarding isolated position of site, site has more association with Frettenham, concerned regarding access and visibility issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14301 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP0069

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, impact on rural character, size of development, would change village status from 'other village', flooding issues, narrow rural roads, increased traffic, limited power supply, lack of local services/ facilities, loss or arable land and road safety concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16733 Object

Respondent: christine henning [15241]

Respondent: Mr Michael Bown [16916]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it would add to the life of the village and improve amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13283 Support

Respondent: mrs belinda yaxley [14901]

13289 Support

Respondent: Miss Claire Yaxley [14903]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, impact on rural character, size of development, would change village status from 'other village', flooding issues, narrow rural roads, increased traffic, limited power supply, lack of local services/ facilities, loss or arable land and road safety concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13330 Object

Respondent: Mrs Deborah Bennett [14949]

13360	Object
Respondent: Mr David Waters [14991]	
13750	Object
Respondent: mrs nicola hollis [15302]	
13885	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carol Futter [15421]	
14808	Object
Respondent: Mrs D Fuller [16112]	
4.50.40	
15348	Object
Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]	
15371	Object
Respondent: Mr. Kevin Saggers [15813]	
15413	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Danby [14990]	
15779	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]	
16443	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Rogers [16909]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding scale of proposed development, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14760 Comment

Respondent: Mr Joseph Bedington [16089]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, impact on rural character, size of development, would change village status from 'other village', flooding issues, narrow rural roads, increased traffic, limited power supply, lack of local services/ facilities, loss or arable land and road safety concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16620 Object

Respondent: Mr Clifford Self [16889]

16627 Object

Respondent: Janet Self [16891]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding scale of proposed development, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13698 Comment

Respondent: Mr Ian Robinson [15279]

15842 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Susan Rhodes [15955]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding location outside development boundary, size of site, unsafe access, increased congestion at A140 junction, impact on landscape, flooding, lack of infrastructure and services and conflicting village status.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14504 Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mrs Linda Rogers, Parish Council) [15902]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local councillor regarding size of proposed development, insufficient infrastructure and impact on rural village character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14302 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP0181

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it would add to the life of the village and hopefully improve amenities. It is located near existing homes and the village hall.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13284 Support

Respondent: mrs belinda yaxley [14901]

13290 Support

Respondent: Miss Claire Yaxley [14903]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, conflicting village status, size of development, flood risk, increased traffic, narrow rural roads unable to cope and overloading existing services and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13331	Object
Respondent: Mrs Deborah Bennett [14949]	
14834	Object
Respondent: Mrs D Fuller [16112]	
15373	Object
Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]	
15379	Object
Respondent: Mr. Kevin Saggers [15813]	
15425	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Danby [14990]	
15427	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Danby [14990]	
15780	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]	

Respondent: Mr Stephen Rogers [16909]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding location outside development boundary, impact on Hainford Hall, flooding issues, disproportionate in size to village, conflicts with village status, lack of infrastructure causing reliance on private car, inadequate rural roads and school inaccessible by footpath.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14543 Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mrs Linda Rogers, Parish Council) [15902]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local councillor regarding size of proposed development being excessive to village size. Potential of site for a much smaller development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14308 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP0190

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, scale of development, conflict in village status, impact on rural character of village, flooding issues, limited power supply, overloaded services and infrastructure and loss of agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13332	Object
Respondent: Mrs Deborah Bennett [14949]	
13361	Object
Respondent: Mr David Waters [14991]	
13884	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carol Futter [15421]	
14018	Object
Respondent: Mr David Sarsby [15542]	
14836	Object
Respondent: Mrs D Fuller [16112]	
15377	Object
Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]	
15398	Object
Respondent: Mr. Kevin Saggers [15813]	

Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]

16197 Object

Respondent: Mrs Pamela Capel [16789]

16446 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Rogers [16909]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it would add to the life of the village and would hopefully improve amenities. Would have little impact on the look of the village and may rescue Hainford Hall.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13285 Support

Respondent: mrs belinda yaxley [14901]

13291 Support

Respondent: Miss Claire Yaxley [14903]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding location outside development boundary, impact on Hainford Hall, size of development in relation to village, flooding issues, conflicting village status, unsustainable lack of infrastructure causing reliance on private cars, rural roads, school inaccessible by footpath and change of landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14551 Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mrs Linda Rogers, Parish Council) [15902]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local councillor regarding scale of site, complexity of site issues, further detail required before more comments can be made and the development must provide growth at a suitable scale that the village can support.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14306 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP0393

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, conflicting village status, flooding, capacity of local services, site previously objected by the Environment Agency, impact on landscape, size of development and infrastructure issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16734 Object

Respondent: christine henning [15241]

16736 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Bown [16916]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding insufficient services, public transport, electric/sewage supply, disparate from village centre, flooding and outside settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15380 Comment

Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it would add life to the village and improve amenities. Support for land being used for a school, car park and housing which will ensure the school is used.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13286 Support

Respondent: mrs belinda yaxley [14901]

13292 Support

Respondent: Miss Claire Yaxley [14903]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, conflicting village status, flooding, capacity of local services, site previously objected by the Environment Agency, impact on landscape, size of development and infrastructure issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13333 Object

Respondent: Mrs Deborah Bennett [14949]

13779	Object
Respondent: Mrs Frances Lloyd [15097]	
14839	Object
Respondent: Mrs D Fuller [16112]	
15390	Object
Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]	
15405	Object
Respondent: Mr. Kevin Saggers [15813]	
15414	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Danby [14990]	
15787	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]	
16445	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Rogers [16909]	
16623	Object
Respondent: Mr Clifford Self [16889]	
16628	Object
Respondent: Janet Self [16891]	

137 / 877

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding site flooding and previous rejection by Environment Agency, disproportionate size of site, infringement on school car park and church, speeding/parking issues, landscape changes, lack of infrastructure and services, inadequate rural roads, conflicting village status and school has no more capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14561 Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mrs Linda Rogers, Parish Council) [15902]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local councillor regarding potential flooding, size of development and village unable to support growth.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14313 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP0512

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, conflicting village status, lack of facilities, infrastructure unable to cope, displacement of wildlife, contravenes HOU9 restrictions, no gas so reliant on oil, TPO's around site, change to rural character of village, spoil views across open countryside and reliance upon narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14781 Object

Respondent: Mr Sean Harvey [15413]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it would add to the life of the village and would hopefully improve amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13287 Support

Respondent: mrs belinda yaxley [14901]

13293 Support

Respondent: Miss Claire Yaxley [14903]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, conflicting village status, lack of facilities, infrastructure unable to cope, displacement of wildlife, contravenes HOU9 restrictions, no gas so reliant on oil, TPO's around site, change to rural character of village, spoil views across open countryside and reliance upon narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13334 Object

Respondent: Mrs Deborah Bennett [14949]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding serious flood issues, lack of services and infrastructure to support development, power cuts, impractical bus service, roads unable to cope with capacity, conflict of village status, outside development boundary and size of development is disproportionate to village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15430 Comment

Respondent: Mr Christopher Danby [14990]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, conflicting village status, lack of facilities, infrastructure unable to cope, displacement of wildlife, contravenes HOU9 restrictions, no gas so reliant on oil, TPO's around site, change to rural character of village, spoil views across open countryside and reliance upon narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13883	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carol Futter [15421]	
14847	Object
Respondent: Mrs D Fuller [16112]	
14861	Object
Respondent: Mrs Debbie Harvey [15417]	
15402	Object
Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]	
15410	Object
Respondent: Mr. Kevin Saggers [15813]	
15417	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Bevan [16203]	
15790	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]	

Respondent: Mrs Pamela Capel [16789]

16267 Object

Respondent: Mr David Bennett [16805]

16448 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Rogers [16909]

16288 Object

Respondent: Mr Terry Tong [15912]

16631 Object

Respondent: Mr Terry Tong [15912]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by local councillor regarding the proposal representing creeping development into village whilst being outside settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14316 Comment

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding size of development, impact on rural landscape, unsafe location on road junction, known for flooding, outside development boundary, conflicting village status, unsustainable lack of infrastructure causing reliance on private car and school inaccessible and at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14566 Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mrs Linda Rogers, Parish Council) [15902]

Broadland, Broadland - Hainford, GNLP0582

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flooding issues, services unable to cope, no social infrastructure, poor road links and public transport, no footpaths, TPO's on wood adjacent, designated as an SSSI site, road safety and disproportionate scale of development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14495 Object

Respondent: Stephen Wilde [15672]

14853 Object

Respondent: Mrs D Fuller [16112]

Respondent: Mr. Kevin Saggers [15813]

15396 Object

Respondent: Adrian Fletcher [16395]

15795 Object

Respondent: Mrs Teresa Watker [16581]

16195 Object

Respondent: Mrs Pamela Capel [16789]

16447 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Rogers [16909]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding flooding issues, lack of suitable infrastructure, power cuts, inadequate bus service, roads at capacity, conflicting village status, disproportionate size of development and outside settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15429 Comment

Respondent: Mr Christopher Danby [14990]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local councillor regarding significant extension of built up section of village contrary to wishes of residents, impact on character of village and concern over increase in traffic movement.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14317 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding disproportionate size of development, outside development boundary, flooding issues, TPO's in place, potential agricultural restrictions, conflicting village status, inadequate infrastructure causing reliance on private car and school not accessible via footpath.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14588 Object

Respondent: Hainford Parish Council (Mrs Linda Rogers, Parish Council) [15902]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP1019

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding traffic congestion, lack of country walk areas, pollution, services already oversubscribed, impacts on wildlife, poor road systems, impact on existing infrastructure, ruining the landscape and is on the flight path to Norwich Airport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12865	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Fox [14594]	
13095	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13109	Object
Respondent: Mrs Angela Cossey [14551]	
13145	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13165	Object
Respondent: MRS EDWINA BROWNE [14815]	
13213	Object
Respondent: MR JAMES BROWNE [14844]	
13230	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tonia Rumble [14691]	

13378	Object
Respondent: Miss Annika Abbott [15010]	
40554	
13551	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ruth Gibbons [15158]	
12670	Object
13679	Object
Respondent: Mrs Emma Benson [15268]	
13773	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Hall [15336]	
13901	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kay Hall [15337]	
14116	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Sexton [15415]	
14530	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hope Britcher [15553]	
14731	Object
Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]	
14749	Object
Respondent: Mr Leslie Green [16074]	
15045	
15345	Object
Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]	

15368	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Bradshaw [16010]	
16026	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16112	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Bonnick [16757]	
16116	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Snailum [16758]	
16117	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janice Snailum [16759]	
16129	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16137	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kim Thurston [16764]	
16141	Object
Respondent: MRS SHARON CARTER [15107]	
16252	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Maxwell [16801]	
16277	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]	-
16269	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Gilbert [15764]	<u>-</u>

16270	Object
Respondent: Mrs R Batch [14842]	
16284	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]	
16455	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]	
19618	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Vincent [14826]	
19717	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
19726	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kate Ulph [18310]	
19730	Object
Respondent: Mr Nikul Patel [18413]	
19731	Object
Respondent: Mrs Archana Patel [18416]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the area to be kept as recreational space as it is important for all the community and any other use would cause infrastructure issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

14185	Comment
Respondent: Mr Peter Sexton [15415]	
14669	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Claire Willingham [15993]	
14722	Comment
Respondent: MR & MRS ALAN & JANETTE WELLS [16043]	
14741	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Diane Bowe [16066]	
14745	Comment
Respondent: Mr Patrick Bowe [16071]	
14767	Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for designation as recreational land and should not be used for housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13430	Support
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13855	Support
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Stevens [15398]	
13861	Support
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Stevens [15401]	
14125	Support
Respondent: mr derek Regnier [15636]	
15437	Support
Respondent: Mrs Audrey Elliott [16385]	
15833	Support
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
16007	Support
The state of the s	

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of the site by the parish council regarding Cottinghams Park and allotments being kept as amenities and not being allocated for housing. Designation as recreational land should not change.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15097 Support

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site by parish council regarding keeping use as recreational but would object if housing was proposed.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15148 Support

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP1020

Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concern regarding bad access, distance from Norwich Airport impacting take off flight lines. Other concerns include traffic congestion, pollution, lack of services to support development and loss of wildlife. Site already allocated as burial ground.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13096	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13149	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
16032	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16130	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16253	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Maxwell [16801]	
16495	Object

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of the site with use as a burial ground.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13431 Support

Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]

15099 Support

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

16010 Support

Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]

Broadland, Broadland - Hellesdon, GNLP1021

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding change of land use. The land should be retained for recreational use. If development took place there would be a loss of wildlife, increased traffic, impact on services and infrastructure and a lack of GI.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13100 Object

Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]

13147	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
16034	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16131	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16254	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Maxwell [16801]	
16278	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]	
16285	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]	
19622	Object
Respondent: Mr William Johnson [18204]	
19729	Object

Respondent: Mrs Kate Ulph [18310]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted it support of site by parish council regarding the fact that this land should be retained for recreational use as it is the last remaining green space in the area.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15100 Support

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site by Sports England regarding retaining the site for recreation and sport use given the lack of provisions in the area. Its allocation would be in line with Sports England planning objectives.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15718 Support

Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of the site being retained for recreational use due to being the last green space in the area and it is able to offset pollution. If it were to be developed for housing it would put unbearable strain on local services and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13433 Support

Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]

14723 Comment

Respondent: MR & MRS ALAN & JANETTE WELLS [16043]

15420 Support

Respondent: Mrs Shelagh Gurney [15462]

16015 Support

Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]

16347 Support

Respondent: Mrs Victoria Reynolds [16852]

Broadland, Broadland - Hevingham, GNLP0292

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding the adjacent CWS represents a potential constraint.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16473 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local councillor regarding the issue that crossing the A140 to access the site could be dangerous. There would be an increase in traffic from Town Corner at the junction.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14257 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of the site suggesting the constraints are considered resolvable and can be addressed through mitigation measures. Agree with the HELAA. Technical matters can be addressed through consideration of technical documentation at the application stage.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16715 Support

Respondent: Rippon Hall Farm [16979]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP0415

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Historic England regarding the effect on locally designated heritage assets. The impact on undiscovered archaeological interest should be taken into account. There should be recognition of the need to identify constraints and opportunities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16706 Comment

Respondent: Historic England (Eric Martin, Historic Environment Planning Adviser) [16932]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the scale of development allowing the creation of an entirely new settlement that would consume multiple villages and connect the settlement to Norwich. It would cause the destruction of the rural landscape, wildlife habitats and green corridors of fields. It would increase pollution traffic, crime and accidents. Development sits on greenfield land and would mean the loss of important agricultural land and there would be a huge impact on already overloaded services and infrastructure. It would spoil the rural character and form of the village and should not be allowed to go ahead.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16799 Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Alderson [17057]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Comments and objections made by the Norfolk Wildlife Trust concerning biodiversity impacts on development in the Yare Valley and on CWS and valley slopes. If it were taken forward there would need to be a buffer for all CWS and assessment of biodiversity value. Currently NWT believe the site should not be allocated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16475 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

16531 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The vision is based on a holistic approach by delivering a sustainable community predicated on employment, residential and leisure elements. The proposal includes 72 hectares of employment space, 198 hectares of residential development, 81 hectares of Country Park and 3.5 hectares of nature reserve.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16348 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the scale of development allowing the creation of an entirely new settlement that would consume multiple villages and connect the settlement to Norwich. It would cause the destruction of the rural landscape, wildlife habitats and green corridors of fields. It would increase pollution traffic, crime and accidents. Development sits on greenfield land and would mean the loss of important agricultural land and there would be a huge impact on already overloaded services and infrastructure. It would spoil the rural character and form of the village and should not be allowed to go ahead.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13156 Object

Respondent: Mrs Liz Plater [14802]

13979 Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Roberts [15493]

13994	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Maynard [15164]	
12006	Object
13996	Object
Respondent: Mr David Hooker [15541]	
14025	Object
Respondent: Mr John Drewry [15572]	
14028	Object
	Object
Respondent: Dr David Smith [15576]	
14122	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Winchester [15437]	
14128	Object
Respondent: Mr Terry Rees [15638]	
14130	Object
Respondent: Mr Terry Rees [15645]	
14205	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Wildman [15706]	
14210	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
14246	Object
Respondent: Jerry Bart [15726]	
14251	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jean Smith [15489]	

14340	Object
Respondent: Colin Norman [15786]	
14375	Object
Respondent: mrs victoria saterlay [15809]	
14376	Object
Respondent: mrs victoria saterlay [15809]	
14471	Object
Respondent: mr tony canning [15886]	
14474	Object
Respondent: Ms Annette Hudson [15866]	
14527	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tanera Birchall [15920]	
14546	Object
Respondent: Mrs Deborah Roberts [15924]	
14559	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Roberts [15929]	
14627	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Clark [15978]	
14778	Object
Respondent: David Laurie [16103]	
14820	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Roberts [16111]	

14879	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Smith [16148]	
14880	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sally Blyth [16147]	
14948	Object
Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]	
14997	Object
Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Elliott [16205]	
15018	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Dunn [15870]	
15096	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Smith [14455]	
15098	Object
Respondent: Mr Sheridan Brennecke [16274]	
15113	Object
Respondent: Mrs Heather Brennecke [16281]	
15184	Object
Respondent: Mr Austen Allen [16312]	
15207	Object
Respondent: Honingham Parish Council (Mr Roger Human, Parish Councillor) [15434]	
15238	Object
Respondent: mr Trevor Smith [16343]	

15243	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Turner [16331]	
15270	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Human [16348]	
15306	Object
Respondent: Mr Greg Peck [15555]	
15349	Object
Respondent: Miss Julie Wvendth [16092]	
15360	Object
Respondent: mrs Natalie Hewitt [15537]	
15409	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Kirkham [16416]	
15633	Object
Respondent: Mrs Judith Woods [15086]	
15490	Object
Respondent: Mr Bernie Perrett [16439]	
15635	Object
Respondent: Ms Natasha Cargill [16344]	
15564	Object
Respondent: Anna French [16483]	
15638	Object
Respondent: Mr. John Smith [16368]	

15628	Object
Respondent: ms yvonne lockwood [16495]	
15655	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jeannette Williams [16507]	
15620	Object
15630	Object
Respondent: mr G Dunn [16230]	
15839	Object
Respondent: mr Robert French [16596]	
15901	Object
Respondent: mrs JESSICA KIRKHAM [16642]	
1 = 0.00	
15928	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Smith [15418]	
16036	Object
16036 Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490]	Object
	Object
	Object Object
Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490]	
Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490] 16307 Respondent: Mrs Susan Grant [16831]	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490] 16307	
Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490] 16307 Respondent: Mrs Susan Grant [16831]	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490] 16307 Respondent: Mrs Susan Grant [16831] 16315 Respondent: Mr Raymond Grant [16668]	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kimerley Dewah [15490] 16307 Respondent: Mrs Susan Grant [16831] 16315	Object

166 / 877

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish councils sharing the view that the development should not go ahead. Objections focused around the creation of an entirely new settlement, the relationship to the Food Hub, change of rural environment and character meaning an increase in noise pollution, visual amenity, traffic congestion, atmospheric and light pollution and loss of agricultural land. Issues were also raised regarding surface water run off and flooding issues jeopardising Barford Flood Defence System.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13260

Respondent: weston longville parish council (miss clare morton) [14891]

14153 Object

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

15460 Object

Respondent: Honingham Parish Council (Ms Jordana Wheeler, Clerk) [14400]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the creation of a whole new settlement, traffic issues, the use of green belt land and the visual impact on the rural landscape and character of the villages.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

14538 Comment

Respondent: Mr Richard Betts [15926]

14649 Comment

Respondent: Miss Gina Latimer [15988]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish councils sharing the view that the development should not go ahead. Objections focused around the creation of an entirely new settlement, the relationship to the Food Hub, change of rural environment and character meaning an increase in noise pollution, visual amenity, traffic congestion, atmospheric and light pollution and loss of agricultural land. Issues were also raised regarding surface water run off and flooding issues jeopardising Barford Flood Defence System.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15768 Object

Respondent: Wramplingham Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12696]

Broadland, Broadland - Honingham, GNLP0411

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding the CWS and river valley as constraints that can be avoided by becoming green space in a larger development. However, a buffer to all CWS and and assessment of biodiversity would be required. Currently we do not believe the development should be allocated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16474 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding inappropriate scale of development for size of village, poor access to site, lack of local services and facilities, strain on infrastructure including narrow roads, parking and safety, destruction of wildlife, environment and its habitats, problems for emergency service vehicles, flooding issues and the form and character of the village will be changed for the worse.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13978 Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Roberts [15493]

13997 Object

Respondent: Mr David Hooker [15541]

14032	Object
Respondent: Mrs Diane Savage [15581]	
14131	Object
Respondent: mr shaun peel [15647]	
14343	Object
Respondent: Colin Norman [15786]	
14472	Object
Respondent: mr tony canning [15886]	
14528	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tanera Birchall [15920]	
14564	Object
Respondent: Mr James Taylor-Bennett [15945]	
14629	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Clark [15978]	
14796	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Roberts [16111]	
14881	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Smith [16148]	<u> </u>
14885	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sally Blyth [16147]	-
14910	Object
Respondent: mrs carol guest [16162]	

15178	Object
Respondent: Mr Austen Allen [16312]	
15195	Object
Respondent: Mr Raymond Smith [14455]	
15231	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Turner [16331]	
15282	Object
Respondent: Jerry Bart [15726]	
15346	Object
Respondent: Miss Julie Wvendth [16092]	
15512	Object
Respondent: Daryl Allen [16464]	
15576	Object
Respondent: Anna French [16483]	
15611	Object
Respondent: Ms Natasha Cargill [16344]	
15651	Object
Respondent: Mr. John Smith [16368]	
15843	Object
Respondent: mr Robert French [16596]	
15913	Object
Respondent: mrs JESSICA KIRKHAM [16642]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding Mill Lane being too narrow to develop, location in flood plain of River Tud Valley, the constraints acknowledged are seen to be greater than expressed in the Settlement Summary and a petition is signed by 13 residents of Mill Lane objecting to the proposal.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15206

Respondent: Honingham Parish Council (Mr Roger Human, Parish Councillor) [15434]

15465

Respondent: Honingham Parish Council (Ms Jordana Wheeler, Clerk) [14400]

16752

Object

Respondent: Honingham Parish Council (Ms Jordana Wheeler, Clerk) [14400]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding no access from a made road and moire detail required top inform a decision. Another comment mentioned flooding issues and run off going onto Mill Lane along with the above access issue.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14779 Comment

Respondent: David Laurie [16103]

15262 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Linda Human [16348]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by local parish councillor regarding the destruction of the Norfolk Countryside and the loss of agricultural land that has been there throughout history.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14137 Object

Respondent: Parish Cou David Bishop [15648]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0251

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding sites 0469 and 0251 should be recognised as County Wildlife Sites and there should be no development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16483 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0283

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised regarding prejudice of 'no development' policy near the NDR of which the aim was to free traffic on the radial roads. Also ribbon development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15933 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as investigation, surveys and reporting has been undertaken in relation to the site to justify its suitability.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19671 Support

Respondent: Elizabeth Ley [18713]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0302

Respondent: Mrs Ruth Gibbons [15158]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding amenities are already overstretched, site is isolated from Horsford and the surrounding villages. There are no footpaths or public transport so the development would be unsustainable. It will join the villages of Horsford and Hellesdon and so both communities will lose their character. The green buffer will be lost and future generations will lose out on the fields that children play in today. Loss of wildlife. Reepham Road is already congested at peak times and Middleton's Lane will also be adversely affected. The site will impact on Hellesdon and Drayton services without any cost benefits. Development goes against the Neighbourhood Plan and would impact on 'no development' NDR policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12931	Object
Respondent: Mr Les Gray [13291]	
13097	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13158	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13168	Object
Respondent: MRS EDWINA BROWNE [14815]	
13429	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13552	Object

15922	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
14653	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Willingham [15993]	
16069	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16121	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16143	Object
Respondent: Mrs Suzanne Dack [14979]	
16276	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]	
16465	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	
16283	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]	
16481	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]	
16289	Object
Respondent: Mrs R Batch [14842]	
16705	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish councils regarding removal of green buffer, contrary to draft Horsford Neighbourhood Plan, pressure on amenities and infrastructure, outside settlement limit and would cause reliance on private transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15115 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

15149 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding settlement limit, Norwich Airport Safety Zone and remote from Horsford facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15835 Comment

Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0332

Respondent: MR JAMES BROWNE [14844]

Object

Summary of representations:

The site is completely solated from Horsford and surrounding villages. Local amenities are already overstretched. There are no suitable footpaths, public transport and the site is unsustainable. The site goes against Drayton Neighbourhood Plan. Site would remove the green buffer zone. The site is in the airport safety zone. The development would take away green space from Hellesdon and be detrimental to Hellesdon not Horsford Parish. Impact on air quality would be poor, especially on the ageing population. Prejudice 'no development' policy on NDR and loss of allotment space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12930	Object
Respondent: Mr Les Gray [13291]	
13075	Object
Respondent: Ms. Emma Aspinall [14770]	
13106	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13152	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13169	Object
Respondent: MRS EDWINA BROWNE [14815]	
13214	Object

13231	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tonia Rumble [14691]	
13380	Object
Respondent: Miss Annika Abbott [15010]	
13427	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13776	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Hall [15336]	
13856	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Stevens [15398]	
13871	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Stevens [15401]	
13902	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kay Hall [15337]	
14129	Object
Respondent: mr derek Regnier [15636]	
14552	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hope Britcher [15553]	
14724	Object
Respondent: MR & MRS ALAN & JANETTE WELLS [16043]	
14657	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Willingham [15993]	

14737	Object
Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]	
14751	Object
Respondent: Mr Leslie Green [16074]	
14982	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Larke [15400]	
15166	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Smith [16300]	
15229	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Hannant [15657]	
15277	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Monument [16313]	
15342	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sam Murphy [15487]	
15356	Object
Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]	
15448	Object
Respondent: Mrs Audrey Elliott [16385]	
15926	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
15986	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	

16039	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Harper [15208]	
16046	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16056	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Harper [16683]	
16082	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16123	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16138	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kim Thurston [16764]	
16142	Object
Respondent: MRS SHARON CARTER [15107]	
16144	Object
Respondent: Mrs Suzanne Dack [14979]	
16230	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
16275	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]	
16268	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Gilbert [15764]	

Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]

16456 Object

Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]

16466 Object

Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]

16484 Object

Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]

16546 Object

Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding location in airport safety zone and any houses would be subject to heavy environmental noise and air pollution. A plane crashed in these fields during the 1970s so there would always be a risk of this. It should therefore be designated for recreational use only. It is contrary to Horsford Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15119 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council regarding remoteness to centre of Horsford and contrary to Neighbourhood Plan. Would support recreational use.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15153 Comment

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. GNLP 332/333/334 promoted together for residential development of between 844 to 1000 homes including GI, open space and commercial space. Position statement attached.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16723 Support

Respondent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the airport safety zone, reduction of green buffer, overstretched services, traffic congestion, remote from village of Horsford, development on agricultural land, impact on beauty of the area, pollution increase, against Neighbourhood Plan, increased pressure on surrounding villages, wildlife concerns, drainage issues and question traffic flows of the AADT as part of the NDR.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12929	Object
Respondent: Mr Les Gray [13291]	
13074	Object
Respondent: Ms. Emma Aspinall [14770]	
13099	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13155	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13170	Object
Respondent: MRS EDWINA BROWNE [14815]	
13215	Object
Respondent: MR JAMES BROWNE [14844]	
13226	Comment
Respondent: Mr ian morland [14850]	

13232	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tonia Rumble [14691]	
13425	Support
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13426	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13553	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ruth Gibbons [15158]	
13772	Object
Respondent: Mr Joe Dance [15328]	
13774	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Hall [15336]	
13858	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Stevens [15398]	
13874	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Stevens [15401]	
13903	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kay Hall [15337]	
14553	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hope Britcher [15553]	
14740	Object
Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]	

15228	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Hannant [15657]	
15358	Object
Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]	
15451	Object
Respondent: Mrs Audrey Elliott [16385]	
15940	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
15989	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
16058	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16059	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16124	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16139	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kim Thurston [16764]	
16457	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]	
16468	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	

Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]

16547 Object

Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911]

16608 Object

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Seaman [16931]

19728 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kate Ulph [18310]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding site location outside settlement limit, pollution due to proximity to airport, increased infrastructure pressure, contrary to Neighbourhood plan, within Norwich International Airport Safety Zone, validity of traffic flows for AADT and serious drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15124 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

15156 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment regarding impact on Hellesdon's roads and amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14765 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Jill Palmer [16080]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0334

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of open green pace that currently contain many permissive foot paths and would have a negative impact on community health and well-being, scale of development and its impact on traffic congestion, service provision and amenities, if development passed parish boundaries would need to change to spread taxes evenly, adjacent to Drayton Woods and the impact on wildlife, flight path problems being in Safety Zone for Airport, contrary to Neighbourhood Plan, loss of agricultural land and popular dog walking site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13098 Object

Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]

13157 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]

13171	Object
Respondent: MRS EDWINA BROWNE [14815]	
13216	Object
Respondent: MR JAMES BROWNE [14844]	
13228	Object
Respondent: Mr ian morland [14850]	
13318	Object
Respondent: mrs mary mindham [14929]	
13422	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13554	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ruth Gibbons [15158]	
13904	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kay Hall [15337]	
13980	Object
Respondent: Nicki Lewis [15530]	
14147	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Hannant [15657]	
14183	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Sexton [15415]	
14746	Object
Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]	

14771	Object
Respondent: Mr Malcolm Bell [16093]	
14980	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Larke [15400]	
15164	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Smith [16300]	
15230	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Hannant [15657]	
15273	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Monument [16313]	
15336	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sam Murphy [15487]	
15365	Object
Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]	
15452	Object
Respondent: Mrs Audrey Elliott [16385]	
15946	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
15999	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
16005	Object
Respondent: Mrs Shelagh Gurney [15462]	

16068	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16091	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16125	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16260	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lilian Bridges [15078]	
16450	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]	
16272	Object
Respondent: Mrs R Batch [14842]	
16469	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	
16490	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]	
16545	Object
Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911]	
16652	Object
Respondent: Mr David Bayes [16950]	

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development. Further documents including a masterplan are available.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16724 Support

Respondent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grahame Stuteley, Planner) [14817]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish councils regarding location close to Horsford and remote to Hellesdon, situation near Drayton Woods and impact on wildlife, increase in traffic congestion and site outside settlement limit.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15126 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

15157 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding location away from Horsford placing pressure on services and amenities on Hellesdon, traffic congestion, local taxes going to Horsford and location next to Drayton Woods and the impacts on a wildlife site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13778 Comment

Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Hall [15336]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0359

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the fact the site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on the radial roads to and from the city.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15966 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the fact the site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15971 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0419

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. The site should be used for mixed use development as this site is suitable, achievable, viable and deliverable. It represents a sustainable location and evidence suggests there are no constraints.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15867 Support

Respondent: Mrs Rachel Foley [16598]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Iain Hill, Partner) [16273]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site being isolated from Horsford and surrounding villages. Local amenities are already overstretched and there are no footpaths, public transport, the site goes against the Drayton Neighbourhood Plan, loss of green space, prejudice 'no development' policy on NDR, outside settlement limit, in Airport Safety Zone, traffic congestion, loss of agricultural land, invalidate traffic modelling of AADT for NDR, air quality and pollution, development funds only going to Horsford and noise pollution from airport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12928	Object
Respondent: Mr Les Gray [13291]	
13105	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]	
13154	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13420	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
13555	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ruth Gibbons [15158]	
14748	Object
Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]	
15376	Object
Respondent: Miss Claire Hall [16393]	

15975	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
16002	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Hall [16604]	
16041	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16087	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
16127	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16273	Object
Respondent: Mrs R Batch [14842]	
16274	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Kemp [16806]	
16470	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	
16281	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Kemp [16807]	
16492	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]	
16548	Object
Respondent: Miss Joy Ramsey [16911]	

Respondent: Mrs Marcia Harbord [13356]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish councils regarding scale of site remote from Horsford, against Neighbourhood Plan, adverse impact on environment, access on 50mph road, adverse impact on services and infrastructure, site within Airport Safety Zone, risk of surface water flooding and validity of DCO in regards to NDR.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15131 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

15158 Object

Respondent: Drayton Parish Council (Mr Jonathon Hall, Clerk) [13333]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised on impact on Hellesdon's roads and amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14764 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Jill Palmer [16080]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site should be used for residential development and retail, residential and leisure uses. The site is suitable, achievable and therefore deliverable. The location is sustainable and evidence demonstrates that there are no constraints to delivery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15932 Support

Respondent: Mrs Rachel Foley [16598]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Iain Hill, Partner) [16273]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0469

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating there should be no development on CWS. 0469 and 0251 should be recognised as having CWS constraint.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16480 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on radial roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15980 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsford, GNLP0519

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on radial roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15985 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on radial roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15993 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site stating the site is remote enough not to impact other areas negatively and large enough for some services to be supplied so the community could be self-contained.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14753 Support

Respondent: MR Marlon Fulcher [14630]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating the site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on radial roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15995 Comment

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLP0085

Support

Summary of representations:

Approval has already been given for four dwellings at this site. As long as the number of dwellings should be appropriate, there is no objection.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12814 Support

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

14258 Support

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Objections raised regarding the development being incompatible with the existing settlement and would not be supported by the current infrastructure. The site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on radial roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12815	Object
Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]	
14259	Object
Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]	
14260	Object
Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]	
15449	Object
Respondent: Mr R Manning [16175]	
16009	Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of the site. The site is available for development and the developer recognises that there are some constraints that need to be addressed.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14851 Support

Respondent: Dencora Ltd [15392]

Agent: Mr Michael Haslam [15391]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and supporting information has been put forward.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19667 Support

Respondent: Abel Homes [16516]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr. Harry Ramsey) [16862]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by parish council and local councillor. This site is suitable for a few houses that would not destroy the character.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12816 Support

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

14261 Support

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the size of development and that fact it would put lots of pressure on Manor Road and increase demand for local services and increase pollution of many sorts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15445 Object

Respondent: Mr R Manning [16175]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the use of prime agricultural land would be sacrificed. The site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on radial roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12817 Object

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

16017 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. To restrict the development to employment uses constrains the ability of the land to come forward. There are no utilities/contamination/ground stability constraints. Some ground modelling is needed as well as other services. See report.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16670 Support

Respondent: Building Partnerships [10758]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council and local councillor regarding the site being good agricultural land and the access from poor narrow lanes.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12818 Object

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

14263 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLP0482

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is suitable for housing development. Some technical issues include safety, access, heritage and flooding and these are addressed in the full representation submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16771 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council and local councillor regarding the site being located on prime agricultural land that would be sacrificed and there would be a significant impact on the village's character. Extra pressure on already congested roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12819 Object

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

14265 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding allocation would prejudice 'no development' policy on NDR. Detrimental visual impact, increase in population, highway safety issues, building on high quality farmland, cycle route is important amenity, scale of development is out of proportion, few services available and not supported by parish council.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16021 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Respondent: Ms Linda Woolfenden [17058]

Broadland, Broadland - Horsham & Newton St Faith, GNLP1054

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council and local councillor regarding an extension would be out of keeping with the village. The existing allocation already represents significant growth.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12820 Object

Respondent: Horsham and Newton St Faiths Parish Council (Mr J Graves, Clerk) [13288]

14318 Object

Respondent: Cllr Dan Roper [15738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding that fact the site would prejudice a 'no development' policy near the NDR. The NDR should free traffic on radial roads. Also, access to site is restricted and dangerous, destroy character of village, surrounded by areas being developed and any development would put an increased pressure on local services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Mr R Manning [16175]

16028 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment i support of site. Individual merits of the site have been wrongly assessed in the HELAA scoring. The alternative scoring (attached) better reflects the sites and the fact that no listed buildings/historic landscapes are in close proximity.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

16717 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Philip Atkinson, Director) [12609]

Broadland, Broadland - Lingwood & Burlingham, GNLP0067

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating that the parish council objected but it was approved for 7 live work units and an office block not 15 live work units and an office block.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12977 Object

Respondent: Lingwood and Burlingham Parish Council (Mrs Sonya Dickinson, Clerk) [12965]

Broadland, Broadland - Lingwood & Burlingham, GNLP0296

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site. Technical issues are addressed. Buckenham Lane can be widened and the site is in access with key services. Loss of openness but it is contained and the development is in keeping with the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16743 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding single track roads and will be unable to take the extra traffic. There is therefore concern for the safety of pedestrians, particularly the old and young. Danger of flooding, impacts on wildlife, infrequent public transport, development on agricultural land, impact on visual character, creates feel of separate village, lack of infrastructure, drainage and flooding issues and impact on already stretched services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12859	Object
Respondent: Miss Carol Mason [14571]	
12868	Object
Respondent: Mr John Turner [14598]	
12860	Object
Respondent: Ms Anna Carter [14572]	
13011	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Spall [14720]	
13878	Object
Respondent: Mrs Christine Goodwin [14888]	
14856	Object
Respondent: Mr Anthony Willsher [16128]	
15407	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Mack [15378]	

Respondent: katrina Mack [16423]

15503 Object

Respondent: Sue johns [16458]

15506 Object

Respondent: Lisa Johns [16462]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Additional information provided.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19679 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Anastasia Safronoff, Planner) [18712]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding due to encouraging traffic through village, busy and dangerous junction and site is excessively large for requirement.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Lingwood and Burlingham Parish Council (Mrs Sonya Dickinson, Clerk) [12965]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Norwich Engineering Society regarding destruction of prime agricultural land, scale of development and it would destroy location of country walk for villagers.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16650 Object

Respondent: Norwich Engineering Society (Mr Nigel Ratclife) [16949]

Broadland, Broadland - Lingwood & Burlingham, GNLP0379

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by parish council stating the site is on the correct side of the village to avoid traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12984 Support

Respondent: Lingwood and Burlingham Parish Council (Mrs Sonya Dickinson, Clerk) [12965]

Broadland, Broadland - Lingwood & Burlingham, GNLP0380

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by parish council stating it is the preferred option as it does not encourage through traffic into village. However, preference is to building on brownfield site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12989 Support

Respondent: Lingwood and Burlingham Parish Council (Mrs Sonya Dickinson, Clerk) [12965]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the development would increase flooding at the front of the site. Entrance would be on a blind bend, worsening the current risk. Landscape setting would be adversely affected and the site has topographical issues. Blafield Road is a single track. The higher housing density would compare badly to Neve's Close.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15566 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Armour [12597]

16649 Object

Respondent: Norwich Engineering Society (Mr Nigel Ratclife) [16949]

Broadland, Broadland - Lingwood & Burlingham, GNLP0499

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the large scale of development and the site is too far outside of the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12991 Object

Respondent: Lingwood and Burlingham Parish Council (Mrs Sonya Dickinson, Clerk) [12965]

Broadland, Broadland - Postwick with Witton, GNLP0369

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating 48 dwellings is inappropriate as there is already approval for 12 on the site. Oaks Lane would suffer from traffic issues due to 50% increase in housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14184 Object

Respondent: Postwick with Witton Parish Council (Miss S Allport, Clerk) [12571]

14193 Object

Respondent: Postwick with Witton Parish Council (Miss S Allport, Clerk) [12571]

Broadland, Broadland - Postwick with Witton, GNLP0370

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made by Broads Authority stating it is on the border with the Broads and would be extending the built up area which could impact the Broads, could have significant visual impact and affect dark skies. Early discussions are welcomed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16382 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating objections toward the scale of development with no suitable route for access to village and it is adjacent to a national designated landscape with Broads Authority and Surlingham Broad is a designated SAC.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14186 Object

Respondent: Postwick with Witton Parish Council (Miss S Allport, Clerk) [12571]

14189 Object

Respondent: Postwick with Witton Parish Council (Miss S Allport, Clerk) [12571]

216 / 877

Broadland, Broadland - Postwick with Witton, GNLP0371

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating the site has a pending application for a church meeting hall. The proposed development for shops is inappropriate due to similar facilities being nearby.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14190 Object

Respondent: Postwick with Witton Parish Council (Miss S Allport, Clerk) [12571]

Broadland, Broadland - Postwick with Witton, GNLP0571

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating Witton Run is a key GI corridor linking to Broads National Park and it is essential that it is recognised if the GI strategy is to have any value.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16491 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding traffic congestion, wildlife impacts and need for wildlife protection from development, services would need to be provided and surrounding schools expanded and potential of traffic on Witton Lane.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12827 Object

Respondent: Mrs Peta Kerrigan [13230]

14083 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Cooper [15547]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. If Brundall gets less development because of this new village then it is good. Designers should achieve a better way for the traffic to join the A47 and provide services etc.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12888 Support

Respondent: Mr Edward Daniels [14524]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish councils regarding Cucumber Lane roundabout full to capacity, concern around road safety, many suggested sites in same area, no infrastructure to support such development and does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14191 Object

Respondent: Postwick with Witton Parish Council (Miss S Allport, Clerk) [12571]

14275 Object

Respondent: Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Parish Clerk) [15740]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP0095

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating residential developments are better in the built up area of the village to allow access to facilities. This site as marked as part of GI corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12872 Object

Respondent: Mrs Pippa Nurse [14608]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by several local parish councils regarding concerns of mass development impacting on the community, local infrastructure and green space. Development from the edge of Norwich to Salhouse Parish boundary fails to maintain strategic gap between communities of Sprowston and Rackheath and Rackheath and Salhouse. It also fails to protect areas either side of the NDR as outlined in Policy GT 2.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15518 Object

Respondent: Rackheath Parish Council (Mrs Anne Tandy, Clerk) [12989]

19792 Object

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding site contradicting Neighbourhood Plan. Important that coalescence is avoided with Thorpe End Garden Village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14273 Object

Respondent: Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Parish Clerk) [15740]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding isolation from existing communities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13314 Object

Respondent: Sprowston Town Council (Mrs J Hunt, Clerk) [13146]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP0172

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. The site is available and deliverable. There are no 'red' scores for the site. The assessment is challenged on access, access to services, utilities, flood risk, landscape impact and compatibility with neighbouring uses. We request the site assessment is amended appropriately.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

16764 Support

Respondent: Taylor Wimpey [11459]

Agent: Carter Jonas LLP (Mr Brian Flynn, Associate) [12669]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised regarding size of development, services and infrastructure ill be unable to cope and impact on village setting.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12838 Object

Respondent: Sara Kelleher [14537]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish councils regarding detachment from main village and amenities are separated by NDR. Concerns of mass development impacting community, services and green space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13315 Object

Respondent: Sprowston Town Council (Mrs J Hunt, Clerk) [13146]

15520 Object

Respondent: Rackheath Parish Council (Mrs Anne Tandy, Clerk) [12989]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP0351

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the village cannot cope with more homes until extra infrastructure is in place. There is already too much development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12840 Object

Respondent: Sara Kelleher [14537]

12841 Object

Respondent: Mr Ashley Kelleher [14538]

13027 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Hewitt [14736]

13028 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Hewitt [14736]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Alternative uses are being considered on consultation. Please attached site plan.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15977 Support

Respondent: HGHT (Mr Jerry Dunham) [16667]

16318 Support

Respondent: NCH Construction (Mr Stephen Hynd) [16828]

Agent: NCH Construction (Mr Stephen Hynd) [16828]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding impact of mass development on community, services and green space. No more allocation should be made until current 3,600 homes have been developed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15523 Object

Respondent: Rackheath Parish Council (Mrs Anne Tandy, Clerk) [12989]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP0478

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the village cannot cope with more homes until extra infrastructure is in place. There is already too much development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12839 Object

Respondent: Sara Kelleher [14537]

12842 Object

Respondent: Mr Ashley Kelleher [14538]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. This 300 dwelling site is promoted as a strategic housing development. The scheme enables the delivery of the Rackheath Country Park. The site is located in the Growth Triangle of the Norwich Policy Area.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16369 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Philip Atkinson, Director) [12609]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding impact of mass development on community, infrastructure and green space. No new allocations until 3,600 existing are developed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15521 Object

Respondent: Rackheath Parish Council (Mrs Anne Tandy, Clerk) [12989]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP1029

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating previous planning permission for this site was refused. Old Rackheath was subject to a no new build policy. The site has no drainage/gas and is prime agricultural land. Vehicle access is severely restricted. There are no amenities and views will be lost.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14621 Object

Respondent: Mrs Gemma Hampton [14633]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding impact of mass development on community, infrastructure and green space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15524 Object

Respondent: Rackheath Parish Council (Mrs Anne Tandy, Clerk) [12989]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP1030

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating previous planning permission for this site was refused. Old Rackheath was subject to a no new build policy. The site has no drainage/gas and is prime agricultural land. Vehicle access is severely restricted. There are no amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12843 Object

Respondent: Mr Ashley Kelleher [14538]

14619 Object

Respondent: Mrs Gemma Hampton [14633]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding no sites should be allocated until all current allocations have been developed. There are concerns about the impact to the local community, infrastructure and green space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15525 Object

Respondent: Rackheath Parish Council (Mrs Anne Tandy, Clerk) [12989]

Broadland, Broadland - Rackheath, GNLP1060

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating no sites should be allocated until all current allocations have been developed. There are concerns about the impact to the local community, infrastructure and green space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15522 Object

Respondent: Rackheath Parish Council (Mrs Anne Tandy, Clerk) [12989]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Norfolk FA suggesting they support this site if Wroxham FC move sites to Muck Lane / Swash Lane. Fully support moving of site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15224 Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

16146 Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating there is no estimate of number of dwellings. There is already too much development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12844 Object

Respondent: Mr Ashley Kelleher [14538]

Broadland, Broadland - Reedham, GNLP1001

Comment

Summary of representations:

The parish council wants to see new developments to include affordable housing, bungalows, staffed housing, an upgrade to the sewerage system and other infrastructure systems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14833 Comment

Respondent: Reedham Parish Council (Mrs Claudia Dickson, Clerk) [12966]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP0096

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments raised in support of the site. However, here should be a reduction in the number of dwellings and should reflect the form of the existing ones along Wood Dalling Road.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13356 Support

Respondent: Helen Lindsay [14942]

14756 Support

Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made stating this site should be available to expand the Collers Way Industrial Estate to encourage more employment.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13628 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Judy Holland [13093]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding proximity to Collers Way Industrial Estate and current congestion on site if proposed for light industrial. However, if housing is proposed then there are concerns regarding distance to town centre and schools.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14459 Object

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding poor public transport, development adding to the use of private cars, local services are overstretched and there are two large committed sites that have yet to be started.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13908 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP0180

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the school may require future expansion and would affect the setting of the former Rectory. The area is poorly serviced, development will add to the use of private cars, services are stretched and two large existing committed sites have yet to be built.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13909 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]

14766 Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support suggesting Mill Road should be widened to improve the junction. The site is available to develop and development at Reepham Station is possible at it's in the Development Boundary. Needs to accommodate a high percentage of self-build and social housing in order to not destroy character of village and waste green space.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13368 Support

Respondent: Helen Lindsay [14942]

15065 Support

Respondent: Mr Hugh Ivins [14963]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating the landowner is happy to consider requirements for education and highways. 0.5H is set aside for possible expansion of the school with a localised road widening. Indicative layout suggested 20-25 dwellings. Landowner is flexible in achieving a proposal that addresses all needs.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15163 Support

Respondent: A Squared Architects (Mr Rob McVicar, Architect) [13682]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments by parish council in support of sites stating if an adequate traffic management solution is used regarding access and land is provided for school expansion the parish council would have no objections.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14460 Support

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP0183

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of development, pressure on services and infrastructure, environmentally sensitive location, historic protected landscape and townscape, no good access roads, town disconnected from major road network, infrastructure, services, employment and new infrastructure developed in the north and east of Norwich and two committed sites yet to be built.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13370 Object

Respondent: Helen Lindsay [14942]

13910 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]

Respondent: Helen Lindsay [14942]

15136 Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. This site should be the default for homes in Reepham according to the GNDP's proposals. Landowner is happy to consider proposed density to suit the context. Housing can be contained to follow the school boundary, with a possible 'soft edge' to landscaping.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15058 Support

Respondent: Mr Hugh Ivins [14963]

15180 Support

Respondent: A Squared Architects (Mr Rob McVicar, Architect) [13682]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council stating it is likely it would be unacceptable as it is outside settlement boundary, inappropriate development on main access to town and lack of safe pedestrian access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP0221

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating Reepham is poorly served by public transport and is far from employment. Public amenities are overstretched. Makes no sense to be considering additional sites when two sites are already committed. There is a lack of pedestrian access and would be difficult to create.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13911 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]

15143 Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding lack of safe pedestrian access to site and town. Unlikely to be possible to be created.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP0353

Object

Summary of representations:

The development will bring an increase in traffic and noise and lose the rural environment. The development is too large for the existing services of Reepham. The town has historically protected areas and the site may cause damage to the fen landscape. Disproportionate development that is not need by local people. Services/infrastructure will be overwhelmed. There are concerns for the lack of safe pedestrian access which is unlikely to be able to be improved to an acceptable standard.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13372	Object
Respondent: Helen Lindsay [14942]	
12807	Object
Respondent: Mr Bradley Greeves [14438]	
13385	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Wyard [14318]	
13912	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]	
15152	Object
Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]	
16169	Object
Respondent: Jan & Jim Pannell [16779]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding scale of proposed development, impact on infrastructure and services, site next to doctors surgery would be appropriate for small scale development of affordable housing, access to surgery could be improved by moving car park and shaving off land on corner to improve access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13636 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Judy Holland [13093]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site in respect of site GNLP0353, land north and south of B1145 Dereham Road, Reepham. See documentation.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16720 Support

Respondent: Pigeon Investment Management Ltd (Mr Graham Bloomfield, Principal Planner) [12468]

Agent: John Long Planning (Mr John Long, Owner) [13586]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of safe pedestrian access from the site to town centre and schools, narrow pavements along Dereham Road, pedestrians leaving site to the south have to cross main road with poor visibility, sites outside settlement boundary and unlikely pedestrian access can be adapted to suit.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14467 Object

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP0543

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site stating there should be a high percentage of self builds and affordable housing.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13373 Support

Respondent: Helen Lindsay [14942]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the development is too large for the existing services of Reepham. It makes no sense to allocating new sites when there are already two committed sites. The site is outside of the settlement limit and is not contiguous with existing development. Site B is unacceptable as it very narrow. There are concerns for the lack of safe pedestrian access. Site A is awkward in shape. The sites should remain rural in character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

15182

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13913 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]

14468 Object

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP1006

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding potential impacts on CWS 1365 should be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16496 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site stating the development must include social housing and/or self-builds.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13374 Support

Respondent: Helen Lindsay [14942]

Summary of representations:

Objects raised regarding the development would infringe on the conservation area and the boundary is a Grade II listed wall with access through private garden. There are also issues with the sewerage system, poorly served by public transport, increased use of private cars, overstretched services and two committed development are yet to be built.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13851	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jayne Baker [15395]	
13914	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]	
14254	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Caston [15734]	
14696	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Everett [14431]	
15209	Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of suitable access to public highway.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14469 Object

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Broadland, Broadland - Reepham, GNLP1007

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating if expansion is necessary of STW there will need to be mitigation regarding impacts on the CWS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16494 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site stating land should be available to improve Reepham Sewage Works.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13637 Support

Respondent: Mrs Judy Holland [13093]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the site is a water meadow and greenfield site close to the conservation area. Developing this will have a negative impact on the biodiversity and geodiversity of the area. Unclear what is required for extension of sewage works. Poor public transport, lack of services and infrastructure and two committed developments yet to be built.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13853 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jayne Baker [15395]

13916 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Bunn [15439]

15214 Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Smith [13852]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by town council stating that it is proposed for extension of sewage works. We believe it is not suitable for housing, no other views have been taken.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14470 Object

Respondent: Reepham Town Council (Mrs Jo Boxall, Town Clerk) [12490]

Broadland, Broadland - Salhouse, GNLP0157

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from the Broads Authority mentioning it is likely to be too late to add anything to the Broads Local Plan. No details provided other than tourism use. Partly within the Salhouse Conservation Area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16378 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership stating the proposal will have a negative impact on landscape character and the Broads National Park.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14678 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding no details other than tourist use, already used as seasonal campsite, initial 3 year consent currently being reviewed, complaints regarding vehicular access and parking, landowner wished to develop site more permanently. No objections to tourism use if covered same land area, no greater density or visual impact, keep use seasonal, car parking and access resolved and in the long tern it is only temporary and can be removed at a later date.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19764 Comment

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating the site is outside the settlement limit and would create segregation which is against NPPF point 55. The development would not conform to the Salhouse Neighbourhood Plan Policy H1.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13829 Object

Respondent: Mr Martin Murrell [15379]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding distance from village, adverse impact on Hagg Wood, visual impact on conservation area around the church, site on area of high landscape value, does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan and little detail submitted to comment further.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19765 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding visual impact on conservation area, only access onto Bell Lane which is over capacity and hazardous junction, historic impact on Salhouse Hall, site is a WWII ware grave and unexplored archaeological site, high landscape value, does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan and a lack of detail to comment further.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19766 Object

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Broadland, Broadland - Salhouse, GNLP0163

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding visual impact on conservation area, historic impact on Salhouse Hall, Hall Drive and Coach Drive, area of high landscape value, does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan. Some small scale development on Norwich Road may be acceptable and lack of detail to comment further.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19767 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding visual impact on conservation area, historic impact on Salhouse Hall, Hall Drive and Coach Drive, does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan, small scale development on Norwich Road may be acceptable and lack of detail to comment further.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19793 Object

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Broadland, Broadland - Salhouse, GNLP0175

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding visual impact on conservation area, proposal of site too large in relation to village, land of high landscape value, exit on Bell Lane would be hazardous, does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan. Would cautiously promote development of small part of site as community or sheltered bungalows for the elderly or light industrial/commercial use for start-ups meeting Neighbourhood Policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19768 Object

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding site being previously rejected. A development of bungalows may be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19769 Comment

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Broadland, Broadland - Salhouse, GNLP0189

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating the Local Plan stipulates no more than 5 dwellings per annum. There is no post office and large scale development would overwhelm the existing infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15397 Object

Respondent: Mr John Bayliss [12412]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location of site entirely within conservation area, views out and within conservation area would be damaged, centre of area of high landscape value essential to rural character of the village and does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19770 Object

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Broadland, Broadland - Salhouse, GNLP0226

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding remoteness of site, development would put extreme traffic pressures on A1151 and would have environmental impact on Dobbs Beck. Does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19771 Comment

Broadland, Broadland - Salhouse, GNLP0487

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating this proposal goes against NPPF item 80 and JCS policy 15 item 6.61. House growth should be in the range of 10-20 dwellings, not 95. It also goes against Salhouse Neighbourhood plan Policy H1. Landowner was unaware site was submitted and wants site withdrawn. Development would merge villages, loose individual identity, clear distinction should be maintained between villages.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13837 Object

Respondent: Mr Martin Murrell [15379]

15068 Object

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Smith [16248]

15774 Object

Respondent: David Bryan [15390]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site. This site is being promoted as a strategic housing site of 90 dwellings. This area is very sensitive due to proximity to the Broads. Therefore the mixed use scheme is highly sustainable and will deliver net environmental gains for nature and public health in the Growth Triangle.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Philip Atkinson, Director) [12609]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding submitted outline plan for 86 dwellings. Previous objection for 20 dwellings as residents opposed it, footpath along Norwich Road was rejected by Highways, infilling green space should not be permitted, no-build exclusion zone of high pressure gas main across site, road access to site is by a single T-Junction and given the number of homes proposed this would be hazardous. Second road access should be considered. Site includes wetland pong and there is know issue of drainage on the site. Does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan Policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19772 Comment

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Broadland, Broadland - Salhouse, GNLP0493

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating the proposed development would merge Rackheath and Salhouse and so both areas would lose their individuality.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15775 Object

Respondent: David Bryan [15390]

Summary of representations:

Objection submitted by the landowner stating Lanpro have submitted the sites on behalf of a client stating he is the owner. This was done without my knowledge and I want the proposal withdrawn.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15067 Object

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Smith [16248]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site. This site can enable delivery of 6ha of green infrastructure to extend the Salhouse Country Park which is large enough to be an alternative to the Broads. The scheme is highly sustainable and will deliver net environmental gains.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16688 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Philip Atkinson, Director) [12609]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding the site would be a 'ribbon development', it involves infilling green space, high pressure gas main crosses the site meaning large part is undeveloped and does not comply with Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19773 Comment

Respondent: Salhouse Parish Council (Ms Sarah Martin, Clerk) [13648]

Broadland, Broadland - South Walsham, GNLP0382

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating that South Walsham is not a service a village. Services have closed with only one business remaining. School and Doctors are oversubscribed. Road infrastructure isn't sufficient to support the extra population. There is an accident black-spot on the A47. Development should be focused on brownfield sites.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13482 Object

Respondent: Amy Johnson [15091]

14281 Object

Respondent: Mrs Nikki Evans [15749]

Respondent: Mr Benjamin Evans [15763]

14373 Object

Respondent: mrs Kate Herren [15805]

15155 Object

Respondent: Sam Sharples [16303]

Broadland, Broadland - Sprowston, GNLP0042

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating that the site is isolated from any community and the access point would be on a blind bend. The land is a buffer zone between the NDR and the proposed development at Beeston St Andrew and Sprowston.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13316 Object

Respondent: Evelyn Elliot-Morris [14928]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by town council stating that they are opposed to this site (GNLP0042) due to its isolation from any existing communities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Sprowston Town Council (Mrs J Hunt, Clerk) [13146]

Broadland, Broadland - Sprowston, GNLP0132

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust. The allocation should allow for the protection and enhancement of GI corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16497 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. The site is suitable, available and viable and represents a sustainable location for growth. Evidence demonstrates there are no constraints to delivery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15026 Support

Respondent: Hopkins Homes, Persimmon Homes and Taylor Wimpey [16216]

Agent: Bidwells (Mrs Sarah Hornbrook, Associate) [14444]

Broadland, Broadland - Strumpshaw, GNLP0090

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised on the ground of concerns regarding inadequate infrastructure in sewerage, surface water, drainage, poor highway facilities and infrequent public transport. Other concerns include loss of agricultural land, poor effect on local services. The neighbourhood plan identified the site as informal green open space, important to maintain the character of the village. Strumpshaw has little employment no school or shops.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12854	Object
Respondent: Mr Hilary Hammond [14525]	
12855	Object
Respondent: Mr Hilary Hammond [14525]	
13905	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Manley [13627]	
14000	Object

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site. The HELAA is incorrect and a sensitive development can be achieved without encroaching on agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

13072 Support

Respondent: Mr Ian Fox [12688]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating Policy 6 of Strumpshaw's Neighbourhood Plan seeks to protect this site as green space. It is believed that there is a former pit on the site and there would be drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12992 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Broadland, Broadland - Strumpshaw, GNLP0215

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the village has no amenities, no local shop, and no post office causing travel into neighbouring villages. This leads to traffic congestion and enforce dependency on cars as alternative transport is very limited. The site is outside the settlement limit and the scale will impact the surrounding landscape and townscape of the village known to have high agricultural and ecological importance.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12856	Object
Respondent: Mr Hilary Hammond [14525]	
13907	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Manley [13627]	
13998	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sheila Ashford [14884]	
19648	Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating highways access would be difficult with poor visibility exiting onto this twisty road. Development on this site would erode the open space between settlement areas, which the Neighbourhood Plan seeks to maintain.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12993 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Broadland, Broadland - Strumpshaw, GNLP0277

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating the area floods regularly which caused the pub to close.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12994 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. This development would be suitable as the pub has closed and would still maintain the separation between the core of Strumpshaw and that part of the parish.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12857 Support

Respondent: Mr Hilary Hammond [14525]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating any breaches of the village boundary would inevitably lead to the merging of Lingwood and Brundall. There are very few facilities but a lot of pride in the village and so it should stay like this.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14001 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sheila Ashford [14884]

Broadland, Broadland - Strumpshaw, GNLP0521

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the village has no amenities, no local shop, and no post office causing travel into neighbouring villages. This leads to traffic congestion and enforced dependency on cars. The site is outside the settlement limit and the scale will impact the surrounding landscape and townscape of the village known to have high agricultural and ecological importance. Access is via backroads and not viable for the modern car. Other concerns include sewerage, surface water drainage, poor highway facilities and infrequent public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12858	Object
Respondent: Mr Hilary Hammond [14525]	
13906	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Manley [13627]	
13999	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sheila Ashford [14884]	
19649	Object

Respondent: Emily Crouch [18556]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating Mill Lane/Mill Road is single track road with no footpath. It is also believed that there are former gravel workings on this site which would make it unsuitable. A development of this proposed size would be unacceptable to a very small village and would overwhelm the rest of the village and would be contrary to Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12995 Object

Respondent: Upton with Fishley Parish Council (Mrs Pauline James, Clerk) [13165]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP0062

Object

Summary of representations:

The Wensum Valley should be maintained as a green corridor, giving a rural feel to Taverham, Drayton and Costessey. As Greater Norwich expands, the area will have immense value especially if a footpath is created. The site is in a designated area of natural beauty. The site is a SSSI, Conservation Area and in the high-impact area of the Groundwater Source Protection Zone. There is a lot of wildlife in the area such as deer, foxes and birds. The area is low lying, flooding is likely and the site abuts the Anglian Water Nature Reserve. The site is good arable land. The site has already been turned down for development and nothing has changed since then.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12893 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Sugden [14640]

12900	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoff Carruth [14652]	
12943	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Bulmer [12529]	
13838	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Mace [13613]	
13248	Object
Respondent: Ms Evelyn Millbank [14879]	
13882	Object
Respondent: mr Jeff Stephens [15412]	
13923	Object
Respondent: Mr. Alexander Mann [15338]	
14014	Object
Respondent: Mr Kevin Long→ [15557]	
14111	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Jenkins [15628]	
14221	Object
Respondent: Moira Youngman [15718]	
14379	Object
Respondent: Angie Goff [15812]	-
14392	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lyn Cowan [15828]	

14394	Object
Respondent: Mr Scot Grimmer [15831]	
14533	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lynne Hammond [15919]	
14974	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Brown [15357]	
15353	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Wilson [16392]	
15384	Object
Respondent: Michael Blake [16399]	
15590	Object
Respondent: Julian Bryant [16500]	
16062	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Dean [16682]	
16136	Object
Respondent: Mr Tom Singh [15432]	
16575	Object
Respondent: Ms Clare Mitchell-Singh [16924]	
16655	Object
Respondent: Miss Paulette Weir [15580]	

Summary of representations:

Taverham Road will not be able to cope with the extra traffic and the safety of the children from the school will be compromised. The road will become a 'rat-run'. There will be a strain on existing services such as the school and surgery. Flooding is a concern. The lack of a road link between the NDR and A47 will only increase traffic congestion on the narrow lanes. Ringland Road is already very busy. No developments should be approved until the final link of the NDR is open and traffic calming measures are installed. Road weight limits are often ignored and construction lorries will cause damage to the bridge.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12953 Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Mitchell [14692]

Object

Summary of representations:

The Wensum Valley should be maintained as a green corridor, giving a rural feel to Taverham, Drayton and Costessey. As Greater Norwich expands, the area will have immense value especially if a footpath is created. The site is in a designated area of natural beauty. The site is a SSSI, Conservation Area and in the high-impact area of the Groundwater Source Protection Zone. There is a lot of wildlife in the area such as deer, foxes and birds. The area is low lying, flooding is likely and the site abuts the Anglian Water Nature Reserve. The site is good arable land. The site has already been turned down for development and nothing has changed since then.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19761 Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Summary of representations:

Taverham Road will not be able to cope with the extra traffic and the safety of the children from the school will be compromised. The road will become a 'rat-run'. There will be a strain on existing services such as the school and surgery. Flooding is a concern. The lack of a road link between the NDR and A47 will only increase traffic congestion on the narrow lanes. Ringland Road is already very busy. No developments should be approved until the final link of the NDR is open and traffic calming measures are installed. Road weight limits are often ignored and construction lorries will cause damage to the bridge.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13846	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Helen Penwill [15396]	
13805	Object
Respondent: Dr Hugo de Waal [15365]	
14008	Object
Respondent: Mr Tom Mitchell [15549]	
14201	Object
Respondent: 1962 Anthony sharpe [15704]	
14224	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lesley Hanworth [15678]	
14227	Object

Respondent: Mrs Lesley Hanworth [15678]

14247	Object
Respondent: Carol Haines [15731]	
14249	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Haines [13329]	
14253	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Chick [15735]	
14282	Object
Respondent: Ms sally wright [15662]	
14284	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Mclaren [15757]	
14399	Object
Respondent: Mr Glen Bloom [15833]	
14400	Object
Respondent: Mr Chris Bright [15838]	
14591	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Saunders [15943]	
14461	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs David & Moira Wilde [15664]	
14602	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kerry Willis [15967]	
14589	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Budworth [15964]	

14642	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Baker [15985]	
14590	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Budworth [15964]	
14661	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jill Rowland [15997]	
14683	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Gross [16013]	
14707	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Davies [16032]	
14951	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Tuite [16143]	
14953	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Tuite [16143]	
14956	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joanne Hopwood [16185]	
14984	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Burton [16199]	
14992	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karin Richards [15847]	
15167	Object
Respondent: Mr Matt Spinks [16299]	

15169	Object
Respondent: Mr Matt Spinks [16299]	
15261	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Buxton [16242]	
15416	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jenny Allan [15410]	
15614	Object
Respondent: Mrs Barbara Bryant [16508]	
15660	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amanda Lehmann [16526]	
15854	Object
Respondent: MS LINDSAY ELLIS [16617]	
15888	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
16330	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Hunt [16840]	
16601	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Waller [14024]	
16604	Object
Respondent: Mr Edward Mace [16930]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating this site had been put forward in the past and had been rejected by Taverham Parish Council. This site is in the Wensum Valley, a Special Area of Conservation and SSSI and it was felt that this location could have an impact on important habitats. It was commented that the road network couldn't sustain this number of houses and the area had surface water flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14790 Object

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (Mrs Samantha Salmons, Clerk) [16114]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership stating the proposal has a negative impact on the landscape and Wensum Valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14677 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP0159

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating there would be a massive increase in traffic through Ringland Hills which would increase the risk on children. Ringland Road and The Street are narrow country roads. Most drivers on The Street do not stick the 20mph speed limit. There are no paths for pedestrians so residents will be forced to drive to places. Beech Avenue is already congested. The land will encroach upon Wensum Valley, Taverham Hall School and the historic park. The road has become a rat run for traffic going to the southern bypass. The schools and doctors won't cope with the pressure unless there is a good link between the A1067 and A47. Historical properties will be adversely affected by the stress of construction traffic. The GLNP identifies more suitable sites adjacent to the NDR. The countryside feel will be lost and wildlife and recreation space will be lost.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13847	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Helen Penwill [15396]	
13976	Object
Respondent: mr Vinny Smith [15525]	
14154	Object
Respondent: Ms sally wright [15662]	
14187	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Burke [15689]	
14203	Object
Respondent: 1962 Anthony sharpe [15704]	
14216	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Rogerson [15714]	

14218	Object
Respondent: Mrs Adrienne Thompson [15715]	
14223	Object
Respondent: Moira Youngman [15718]	
14230	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lesley Hanworth [15678]	
14285	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Mclaren [15757]	
14341	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Hawketts [15784]	
14380	Object
Respondent: Ms Cynthia Hough [15811]	
14390	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Colman [15822]	
14401	Object
Respondent: Mr Chris Bright [15838]	
14404	Object
Respondent: Mr Shaun whitmore [15839]	
14409	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jenny Allan [15410]	
14424	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Hopkins [15844]	

14450	Object
Respondent: Mr Damian Breeze [15868]	
14464	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Harrison [15882]	
14485	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jean Bruce [15889]	
14592	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Budworth [15964]	
14638	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gillian Morris [15984]	
14667	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicole Karimi [14424]	
14668	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jill Rowland [15997]	
14709	Object
Respondent: Sian Williams [16033]	
14849	Object
Respondent: mr neville fiddy [16139]	
14954	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Tuite [16143]	
14985	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Burton [16199]	

15063	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Carr [16246]	
15103	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Buxton [16242]	
15165	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Budworth [15913]	
15260	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maria Taylor [16356]	
15370	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Wilson [16392]	
15374	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Gould [16409]	
15541	Object
Respondent: Mr Carl Davies [16469]	
15391	Object
Respondent: Miss Amanda Lewis [16410]	
15545	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Taylor [16472]	
15569	Object
Respondent: Angie Chisholm [14639]	<u>-</u>
15572	Object
Respondent: Miss Vic Worsley [16476]	

15575	Object
Respondent: Angie Chisholm [14639]	
15618	Object
Respondent: Mrs Barbara Bryant [16508]	
15671	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amanda Lehmann [16526]	
15778	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Savage [16576]	
15844	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jo Wilde [16570]	
15858	Object
Respondent: MS LINDSAY ELLIS [16617]	
15893	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
16055	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Dean [16682]	
16331	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Hunt [16840]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating GNLP0159 is unsuitable due to the topography of the site. Previous applications have been rejected.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14793 Object

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (Mrs Samantha Salmons, Clerk) [16114]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating there would be a massive increase in traffic through Ringland Hills which would increase the risk on children. Ringland Road and The Street are narrow country roads. Most drivers on The Street do not stick the 20mph speed limit. There are no paths for pedestrians so residents will be forced to drive to places. Beech Avenue is already congested. The land will encroach upon Wensum Valley, Taverham Hall School and the historic park. The road has become a rat run for traffic going to the southern bypass. The schools and doctors won't cope with the pressure unless there is a good link between the A1067 and A47. Historical properties will be adversely affected by the stress of construction traffic. The GLNP identifies more suitable sites adjacent to the NDR. The countryside feel will be lost and wildlife and recreation space will be lost.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19760 Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating there are already and adequate number of retirement homes, doctors surgery would be beneficial as services are stretched from existing development. Comment raised stating increase in traffic particularly around school, Beech Ave/Fakenham Rd Junction, The Street, Nightingale Drive and Sandy Lane.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14639 Comment

Respondent: Mr Keith Baker [15985]

14900 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Pond [16027]

15172 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Sandra Maclean [16307]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP0337

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating buffer to Marriott's Way CWS needs to be recognised.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16499 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by parish council stating the development is accepted but it should be compatible with Taverham as it lacks cohesion with the community.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14799 Support

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (Mrs Samantha Salmons, Clerk) [16114]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site. This is the only development in Taverham that should be considered but only if it could be access only to the NDR. The development should wait for the final link of the NDR between A1067 and A47 to be completed.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15279 Support

Respondent: Mr Michael Buxton [16242]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and supporting evidence has been provided.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19680 Support

Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd [15451]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Iain Hill, Partner) [16273]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the road and parking infrastructure will not be able to cope with volume of traffic. The development would create an urgent need for a Western Link to the NDR and a proper link between A1067 and A47. Development cannot go ahead until NDR is complete to Southern Bypass for safety reasons. Other objections suggested impact on environment, wildlife, green open space, lack of capacity in local services (schools, shops, doctors), impact on feel of the village, health of inhabitants, traffic congestion Beech Ave and Sandy Lane, pollution issues, scale of development too large and out of proportion causing population increase, development rejected before and traffic and pedestrian safety concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13242 Object

Respondent: mr bernard garner [14875]

13307 Object

Respondent: Miss Hannah Bryant [14475]

13319	Object
Respondent: Mr Daniel Theobald [14925]	
13377	Object
Respondent: Miss Jane Henderson [13412]	
13771	Object
Respondent: Mr Joe Dance [15328]	
13957	Object
Respondent: Miss Emma Harvey [15494]	
14081	Object
Respondent: Jez Weatherly [15606]	
14222	Object
Respondent: Moira Youngman [15718]	
14225	Object
Respondent: Moira Youngman [15718]	
14232	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lesley Hanworth [15678]	
14286	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Mclaren [15757]	
14406	Object
Respondent: Mr Shaun whitmore [15839]	
14385	Object
Respondent: Mr Martin Yaxley [15469]	

14429	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karin Richards [15847]	
14422	Object
Respondent: Mr WAYNE YAXLEY [15406]	
14430	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karin Richards [15847]	
14594	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Budworth [15964]	
14645	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Baker [15985]	
14664	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicole Karimi [14424]	
14702	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Saunders [15943]	
14955	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Tuite [16143]	
14966	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joanne Hopwood [16185]	
14986	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Burton [16199]	
15334	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Budworth [15913]	

15362	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Wilson [16392]	
15344	Object
Respondent: Mr Ben Piggott [16369]	
15683	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amanda Lehmann [16526]	
15387	Object
Respondent: Miss Amanda Lewis [16410]	
4.50.50	
15859	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jo Wilde [16570]	
15769	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Savage [16576]	Object
Respondent. Wils Kilsty Savage [10070]	
15895	Object
Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]	
16060	Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Dean [16682]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised concerning capacity of Reepham Road and Drayton High Road, urgent need for Western Link if the development happens, weight limit is being exceeded on bridges already, would be beneficial if access to development is only onto NDR and wait until final link of NDR is complete and potential for much needed affordable homes.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13012 Comment

Respondent: Mr Tim Praill [14696]

13849 Comment

Respondent: Mrs. Helen Penwill [15396]

15241 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Buxton [16242]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP0457

Mixed

Summary of representations:

There will be too much traffic on narrow roads and there are no paths on The Street. The development is outside of the Parish Plan. There will be increased traffic to Beech Avenue junction with Fakenham and the junction at Ringland Road. Beech Avenue will be used as a rat run to the southern bypass. Heavy construction vehicles will place too much stress on the weight limited bridges. Traffic from construction and from the extra homes will adversely affect local roads even with road improvement. Egress from Beech Avenue to Fakenham Road would become very difficult. Accidents would be inevitable. Until the NDR link between the A1067 and A47 is open and traffic calming measures are installed, there should be no development. The development would also prejudice a no development policy along the NDR. Character of the village should be retained.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

14188	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Burke [15689]	
14006	Ohitaal
14206	Object
Respondent: 1962 Anthony sharpe [15704]	
14217	Object
	Object
Respondent: Mrs Claire Rogerson [15714]	
14219	Object
Respondent: Mrs Adrienne Thompson [15715]	
14339	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Hawketts [15784]	
14405	Object
Respondent: Mr Shaun whitmore [15839]	-

14408	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jenny Allan [15410]	
14427	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Hopkins [15844]	
14451	Comment
Respondent: Mr Damian Breeze [15868]	
14548	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lynne Hammond [15919]	
14558	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lynne Hammond [15919]	
14640	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Baker [15985]	
14597	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Budworth [15964]	
14644	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gillian Morris [15984]	
14646	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Baker [15985]	
14647	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Baker [15985]	
14710	Object
Respondent: Sian Williams [16033]	

14905	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Pond [16027]	
14957	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Tuite [16143]	
15175	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Sandra Maclean [16307]	
15251	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Buxton [16242]	<u> </u>
15263	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maria Taylor [16356]	
15378	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Gould [16409]	
15542	Object
Respondent: Mr Carl Davies [16469]	
15554	Object
Respondent: Miss Vic Worsley [16476]	
15578	Object
Respondent: Angie Chisholm [14639]	
15675	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amanda Lehmann [16526]	
15862	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jo Wilde [16570]	

Respondent: Mr Brett Walker [14016]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

The development would add to the existing strain on services such as schools, doctors and roads. The natural environment is a valuable area. The impact is not shown in the planning presentations. House prices would decrease due to affordable housing being included. Traffic, congestion and pollution are horrendous. Negative impact on quality of life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13850	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Helen Penwill [15396]	
14226	Object
Respondent: Moira Youngman [15718]	
14233	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lesley Hanworth [15678]	
14287	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Mclaren [15757]	
14402	Object
Respondent: Mr Chris Bright [15838]	
14671	Object

289 / 877

Respondent: Mrs Jill Rowland [15997]

14706	Object
Respondent: Mr Keith Davies [16032]	
14812	Object
Respondent: Mrs Cheryl King [16118]	
14914	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Mikaela Thaxton [16169]	
14987	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Burton [16199]	
15061	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Carr [16246]	
15170	Object
Respondent: Mr Matt Spinks [16299]	
15333	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Budworth [15913]	
15381	Object
Respondent: Miss Amanda Lewis [16410]	
15546	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Taylor [16472]	
16067	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Dean [16682]	
16332	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Hunt [16840]	

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by parish council stating GNLP0457 is suitable but GNLP0159 is not due to the topography of the site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14797 Support

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (Mrs Samantha Salmons, Clerk) [16114]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in this area and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19759 Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Broadland, Broadland - Taverham, GNLP0563

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating it should be ensured that there is no development within the CWS and there is a buffer to the CWS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16498 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the road is already congested and it won't cope unless there is a proper link between A1067 and A47. We cannot get health service appointments due to lack of availability. The housing is not needed as there are lots of homes for sale.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14288 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sue Mclaren [15757]

14876 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Tuite [16143]

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Burton [16199]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by parish council stating this plot could accommodate 4 dwellings.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14798 Support

Respondent: Taverham Parish Council (Mrs Samantha Salmons, Clerk) [16114]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site with conditions. No objections for this site but if it were built in addition to the other sites we would be concerned for traffic and services.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14874 Support

Respondent: Mr Paul Tuite [16143]

Broadland, Broadland - Thorpe St Andrew, GNLP0228

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating that they are pleased to see that the impact on CWS 2041 and GI corridor seen as a major constraint and that all sites proposed will have an adverse impact. These sites should not be allocated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16500 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

The Friends of Thorpe Woodlands are opposed to any plans that will negatively impact on the wildlife that depends on this woodland. It is a designated CWS and so should be protected for future generations. The green corridor is overused and so every effort should be made to extend the green spaces as the population grows. The woods are designated as Ancient Woodland and are important for ecology

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13922 Object

Respondent: Ms Lynn Larkins [13278]

13932 Object

Respondent: Miss Andrea Cossey [15468]

13956	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Larkins [15457]	
15024	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Richardson [16095]	
14142	Object
Respondent: Mr Jason Beckett [12419]	
15547	Object
Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]	
15759	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sally Eggleton [15465]	
16203	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Moy [13750]	
16653	Object

Respondent: Ann Moy [16951]

295 / 877

Broadland, Broadland - Thorpe St Andrew, GNLP0442

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating The Friends of Thorpe Woodlands are opposed to any plans that will negatively impact on the wildlife that depends on this woodland. It is a designated CWS and so should be protected for future generations. The green corridor is overused and so every effort should be made to extend the green spaces as the population grows. The woods are designated as Ancient Woodland and are important for ecology

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16501	Object
	Object
Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]	
10000	
13093	Object
Respondent: 1977 Natalie Colvey [14792]	
14088	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Sue Bilbie [15612]	
14143	Object
Respondent: Mr Jason Beckett [12419]	
14274	Object
Respondent: Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council (Mrs Tess Scott, Parish Clerk) [15740]	
15023	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Richardson [16095]	
15549	Comment
Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]	

Respondent: Peter Morris [16663]

16204 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Moy [13750]

16654 Object

Respondent: Ann Moy [16951]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site. The Technical Ecology Report for the site demonstrates that the proposal would create ecological benefits.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15863 Support

Respondent: SCC Norwich LLP and Thorpe & Felthorpe Trust [16559]

Agent: DLBP Ltd (Harriet Swale) [16138]

Broadland, Broadland - Weston Longville, GNLP0553

Support

Summary of representations:

Support submitted by parish council stating Weston Longville Parish Council is supportive of existing permissions for the site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13261 Support

Respondent: weston longville parish council (miss clare morton) [14891]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating the site is unsuitable due to traffic issues resulting in dangerous conditions at junctions on the A1067.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13652 Object

Respondent: Great Witchingham Parish Council (Mrs Patricia Kirby, Clerk) [14399]

Broadland, Broadland - Woodbastwick, GNLP0110

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating there are concerns with access and speeding, so the potential for accidents is big. There are also no pavements to exacerbate the situation. Woodbastwick has poor Broadband and more dwellings would make the service worse if it isn't improved.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12869 Comment

Respondent: Miss Katie Jarvis [14601]

Broadland, Broadland - Wroxham, GNLP0041

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by the Broads Authority stating where would the current football club go? This might also visually impact on the Broads landscape and the existing Wroxham Conservation Area - early discussion about this would be welcomed. This site is also within the Wroxham Conservation Area

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16399 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating close proximity to the railway, many TPO's, sewerage overload, drainage issues, traffic congestion, services oversubscribed, historic/environmental impacts and it is within the Wroxham Conservation Area and Broads Authority executive area. The site has no pedestrian access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12942	Object
Respondent: Mr Vernon Feltham [14686]	
13977	Object
Respondent: Gordon Terry [15215]	
13578	Object
Respondent: Mr Matt Johnston [15185]	
15927	Object
Respondent: John Perrott [16648]	
16291	Object
Respondent: RB Coleman [16809]	
16651	Object

Summary of representations:

This site is a good location and the current football club location is inappropriate for many fans. Nofolk FA states that it is supported on the basis Wroxham FC move to Rackheath.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12927 Support

Respondent: mr Matt Edgar [14674]

15223 Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

16145 Support

Respondent: Norfolk FA (Norfolk FA Ian Grange, Facilities, Investment & Development Manager) [16335]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted by parish council stating no requirement for speculative development, overwhelmingly chosen as a retirement village, population is substantially older than other areas in GNLP, urgent demand for retirement properties. This would help free up larger properties. This site is ideal for this purpose.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13180 Comment

Respondent: Wroxham Parish Council (Mr Barry Fiske, Chairman) [14830]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Sport England stating they would not object to relocation of Wroxham Football Club providing suitable alternative facility is provided that satisfies their policy requirements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14532 Comment

Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]

Broadland, Broadland - Wroxham, GNLP0504

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by The Woodland Trust stating there are 3 ancient oak trees on boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16795 Object

Respondent: The Woodland Trust (Mr Nick Sandford, Regional External Affairs Officer) [16539]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the infrastructure of Wroxham would be unable to cope with the influx of population. Wrong end of town for a development which is likely to have many children, due to services in Hoveton.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12853 Object

Respondent: mr adam english [14570]

12898 Object

Respondent: Professor Terry McIlwee [14645]

12926 Object

Respondent: mr Matt Edgar [14674]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site. Hopkins Homes wishes to amend the site option and replace it with two smaller options, east of Wherry Gardens and south of Wherry Gardens. Documents have been sent in separately.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15931 Support

Respondent: Hopkins Homes [16109]

Agent: Wood Plc (Miss Lucy Bartley) [16108]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating A 50% increase in dwellings is unsustainable and would increase traffic movement by 5.5 million vehicle movements per year. The location would be better used as public open space, giving the local area a needed amenity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13181 Object

Respondent: Wroxham Parish Council (Mr Barry Fiske, Chairman) [14830]

Norwich, GNLP0068

Mixed

Summary of representations:

This site is suitable for a many affordable homes due to the shortage of 1 and 2 bed homes. Many residents would not need cars and so the site would be sustainable. Passivhaus development is appropriate The developer should fund improvements under CIL as appropriate. The proposal is likely to involve an appeal. Site should be completed by 2021.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16386 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

16503 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

304 / 877

15610 Comment

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

15937 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Ian Reilly, Senior Associate Planner) [14057]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objection is made to the development of yet another greenfield site which is one of the few remaining accessible beauty spots for the city. Mention is made of the value of the site for recreation and the benefits of bird and animal life. Brownfield sites should be developed instead. Query as to whether much of the site is in fact at risk of flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

The principle of development on this site has been established in the past by virtue of a 2004 planning consent for 21 residential flats, offices and restaurant forming a separate element of the original approved proposals for the adjacent hotel. Although this part of the scheme was not built there is continued interest in developing the site, most recently for the student accommodation development refused planning permission in March 2018. Decisions on whether to allocate this site in the GNLP will depend on any progress made on agreeing and delivering an acceptable detailed scheme in the meantime, including important considerations of flood risk mitigation which is an acknowledged issue for this relatively low lying riverside site.

It should be noted that this is a brownfield site in use as a temporary car park and not a greenfield site as stated by the objector.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan'

13441 Comment

Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating the site is one of the last remaining green spaces in the city and has value for walking, recreation and wildlife. The site should not be sacrificed and brownfield sites should be developed instead. The issue of flood risk is also highlighted.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

The principle of development on this site has been established in the past by virtue of a 2004 planning consent for 21 residential flats, offices and restaurant forming a separate element of the original approved proposals for the adjacent hotel. Although this part of the scheme was not built there is continued interest in developing the site, most recently for the student accommodation development refused planning permission in March 2018. Decisions on whether to allocate this site in the GNLP will depend on any progress made on agreeing and delivering an acceptable detailed scheme in the meantime, including important considerations of flood risk mitigation which is an acknowledged issue for this relatively low lying riverside site.

It should be noted that this is a brownfield site in use as a temporary car park and not a greenfield site as stated by the objector.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15000 Object

Respondent: Ms Alison Narayn [16206]

Norwich, GNLP0117

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site GNLP0117. Appropriate location for development. Retail use is appropriate although consideration should be given to sustainable modes of transport and effect on traffic movements. Care should be taken that this development does not compete with nearby small district centre.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15629 Support

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

Norwich, GNLP0133

Mixed

Summary of representations:

A number of objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley must be protected, there has already been too much development in the vicinity. The UEA should look for alternative sites, preferably brownfield. Further development in the Yare Valley would change the character of the area and encourage urban sprawl and additional traffic and noise. Communities are already suffering due to increased student numbers and local infrastructure cannot cope. Green spaces are important to quality of life and physical and mental wellbeing. The Yare Valley is a popular green space designated as a strategic green infrastructure corridor. It is well used for recreational purposes and provides a green link to Eaton park. It is an important green lung for the city and rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. The Valley is of great environmental and ecological importance with an abundance of wildlife. The land is boggy and regularly floods. Sites E & F in particular should be protected with concern expressed at the potential loss of the Donkey Sanctuary.

Response:

Representations submitted objecting to further development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16432 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

16625 Object

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of sites GNLP0133 A-F which endeavour to address issues raised in the HELAA for each site. Sites considered to be suitable, available, achievable and viable and are therefore deliverable. Sites B,C and D aim to retain undeveloped portions of existing allocations, Site A endeavours to allocate land for expansion of the Sports Park. Sites E and F are submitted as strategic reserve sites

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15179 Support

Respondent: University of East Anglia [16297]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr James Alflatt, Partner, Planning Team) [14389]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

A number of objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley must be protected, there has already been too much development in the vicinity. The UEA should look for alternative sites, preferably brownfield. Further development in the Yare Valley would change the character of the area and encourage urban sprawl and additional traffic and noise. Communities are already suffering due to increased student numbers and local infrastructure cannot cope. Green spaces are important to quality of life and physical and mental wellbeing. The Yare Valley is a popular green space designated as a strategic green infrastructure corridor. It is well used for recreational purposes and provides a green link to Eaton park. It is an important green lung for the city and rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. The Valley is of great environmental and ecological importance with an abundance of wildlife. The land is boggy and regularly floods. Sites E & F in particular should be protected with concern expressed at the potential loss of the Donkey Sanctuary.

Response:

Representations submitted objecting to further development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12836	Object
Respondent: Ms Helen Murdoch [14518]	
13017	Object
Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]	
13151	Object
Respondent: Deborah Wooller [14814]	
13204	Object
Respondent: mr andrew pyper [14785]	
13207	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Smith [14798]	
13930	Object
Respondent: Miss Dee Randell [15466]	
13265	Object
Respondent: Mr Aaron Bhavsar [14892]	
13931	Object
Respondent: Mr Kevin Austin [15467]	
13452	Object
Respondent: Ms Christine Wilson [15056]	
14035	Object
Respondent: Mrs Frances Randell [15586]	
13915	Object
Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]	

14057	Object
Respondent: Alaster Moffat [15591]	
13944	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Rutherford [15477]	
14100	Object
Respondent: Mrs Margaret Ulph [15385]	
13949	Object
Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]	
14236	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Smith [15719]	
14110	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anna Blagrove [15627]	
14415	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Cahir [15842]	
14140	Comment
Respondent: Miss Emma Bailey [15652]	
14421	Object
Respondent: Steve Cook [15845]	
14212	Object
Respondent: Mrs Clare Davis [14898]	
14428	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Harley [15852]	

14231	Object
Respondent: Liberal Democrat City Council Group (Judith Lubbock, Councillor) [15651]	
14436	Object
Respondent: mr David Thomas [15853]	
14252	Object
Respondent: Sophie Frank [15733]	
14445	Object
Respondent: Mr Clive Girling [15854] Agent: Mr Clive Girling [15854]	
14381	Object
Respondent: EVRA (Mr Chris Stebbing, Chairman) [15804]	
14448	Object
Respondent: Ms Abby Hoffmann [15861]	
14384	Object
Respondent: Mr Anthony Mullan [15816]	
14576	Object
Respondent: Mr Owen Rhodes [15956]	
14393	Object
Respondent: Dr Ksenija Ivir-Ashworth [15345]	
14827	Object
Respondent: Ms Karen Wright [16124]	
14412	Object
Respondent: Ms M Y [15846]	

14854	Object
Respondent: Mr Nick Matthews [16134]	
14440	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Harley [15852]	
14860	Object
Respondent: Dr Anthony Gordon-Gray [15830]	
14458	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Killen [15836]	
14868	Object
Respondent: Mrs Frances Dorman [16135]	
14520	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]	
15001	Object
Respondent: Ms Alison Narayn [16206]	
14572	Object
Respondent: Dr Paul Hann [15917]	
15037	Object
Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]	
14609	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Chesters [15965]	
15130	Object
Respondent: Richard Hazleton [16282]	

14685	Object
Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829]	
15051	Object
15351	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Bonser [16370]	
14725	Object
Respondent: Dr Jacob Newman [16044]	
15404	Object
Respondent: Miss Eleanor Leist [16413]	
14759	Object
Respondent: Mr Nakul Borade [16087]	
4.570.4	
15791	Object
Respondent: Dr Polly Ashford [16594]	
14785	Object
Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]	
15000	
15808	Object
Respondent: Mr Alexander Barker [16599]	
14911	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
15821	Object
Respondent: miss anne clark [16601]	
14913	Comment
Respondent: Mr Tim Bennett-Odlum [15682]	

15855	Object
Respondent: Mr Jason Masala [16610]	
14960	Object
Respondent: Mrs Cathy Abigail [15823]	
15891	Object
Respondent: Miss Angela Clark [14602]	
14961	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Dennis [16187]	
15984	Object
Respondent: Sarah Lark [16657]	
14989	Object
Respondent: Mr John Cahir [16201]	
16412	Object
Respondent: Norwich Society (Mr Paul Burrall, Admin Team) [12543]	
15001	01:
15021	Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Richardson [16095]

Summary of representations:

Improved sporting facilities may have an important economic benefit to the wider community and will improve and enhance provision of health and fitness related activities in a climate where health is a key priority. The potential link between clubs and the UEA will give the opportunity to promote the University and wider city as a centre of excellence. Parking problems on Colney Lane may also be alleviated when sporting events take place.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

13752 Support

Respondent: Mr Paul Halfpenny [15305]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

A number of objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley must be protected, there has already been too much development in the vicinity. The UEA should look for alternative sites, preferably brownfield. Further development in the Yare Valley would change the character of the area and encourage urban sprawl and additional traffic and noise. Communities are already suffering due to increased student numbers and local infrastructure cannot cope. Green spaces are important to quality of life and physical and mental wellbeing. The Yare Valley is a popular green space designated as a strategic green infrastructure corridor. It is well used for recreational purposes and provides a green link to Eaton park. It is an important green lung for the city and rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. The Valley is of great environmental and ecological importance with an abundance of wildlife. The land is boggy and regularly floods. Sites E & F in particular should be protected with concern expressed at the potential loss of the Donkey Sanctuary.

Response:

Representations submitted objecting to further development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15035 Object

Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]

Summary of representations:

Sport England would support the principle of providing additional sports pitches at UEA, subject to the provision of adequate ancillary facilities and pitches meeting technical requirements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

14539 Support

Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

A number of objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley must be protected, there has already been too much development in the vicinity. The UEA should look for alternative sites, preferably brownfield. Further development in the Yare Valley would change the character of the area and encourage urban sprawl and additional traffic and noise. Communities are already suffering due to increased student numbers and local infrastructure cannot cope. Green spaces are important to quality of life and physical and mental wellbeing. The Yare Valley is a popular green space designated as a strategic green infrastructure corridor. It is well used for recreational purposes and provides a green link to Eaton park. It is an important green lung for the city and rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. The Valley is of great environmental and ecological importance with an abundance of wildlife. The land is boggy and regularly floods. Sites E & F in particular should be protected with concern expressed at the potential loss of the Donkey Sanctuary.

Response:

Representations submitted objecting to further development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15066 Object

Respondent: Miss Devonne Gardiner [16245]

Summary of representations:

Objection to Site 0133D, which includes a chalk pit of geological interest listed in the Norfolk Geodiversity Audit. It provides degraded exposures of the pre-Weybourne Chalk sub-division, subject to ongoing research by Portsmouth University. If development were granted request that plans be made conditional upon the provision of chalk exposures as part of Green Infrastructure provision, thus enhancing the sites geological and wildlife interest. Unconditional objection to 0133E and 0133F on grounds of negative impact on landscape character of the Yare Valley

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

14681 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

A number of objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley must be protected, there has already been too much development in the vicinity. The UEA should look for alternative sites, preferably brownfield. Further development in the Yare Valley would change the character of the area and encourage urban sprawl and additional traffic and noise. Communities are already suffering due to increased student numbers and local infrastructure cannot cope. Green spaces are important to quality of life and physical and mental wellbeing. The Yare Valley is a popular green space designated as a strategic green infrastructure corridor. It is well used for recreational purposes and provides a green link to Eaton park. It is an important green lung for the city and rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. The Valley is of great environmental and ecological importance with an abundance of wildlife. The land is boggy and regularly floods. Sites E & F in particular should be protected with concern expressed at the potential loss of the Donkey Sanctuary.

Response:

Representations submitted objecting to further development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15075	Object
Respondent: Mrs Georgina Kuna [15977]	
15101	Comment
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15117	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15129	Object
Respondent: miss kate llewellin [16286]	
15139	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Baker [16276]	
15181	Object
Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]	
15193	Object
Respondent: James O'Donoghue [16319]	
15246	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	
15274	Object
Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292]	
15286	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Dawson [15717]	
15340	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Brinded [16382]	

15352	Object
Respondent: John Brinded [16387]	
15357	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marion Dennis [16407]	
15435	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]	
15473	Object
Respondent: Emma O'Kelly [16438]	
15634	Object
Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]	
15657	Object
Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]	
15745	Object
Respondent: Mr Andy Naylor [16058]	
15792	Object
Respondent: Miss Coelis Witcher [16595]	
15814	Object
Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regener [15951]	ration)
15902	Object
Respondent: Krista Griffin [16624]	
15908	Object
Respondent: Miss Kerry Banks [16644]	

16027	Object
Respondent: Dr Tony Irwin [16636]	
16106	Object
Respondent: Ms Jayne Thomas [16681]	
16185	Object
Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mrs Jill Donley) [16787]	
16189	Object
Respondent: Mr David Rossi [16788]	
16244	Object
Respondent: Mr David Taylor [16390]	
16262	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Johnson [16804]	
16324	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Groves [16835]	
16327	Object
Respondent: Mrs Mary Groves [16839]	
16344	Object
Respondent: Ms Katrina Young [16841]	
16564	Comment
Respondent: Dr daniels [16922]	
16636	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carole Williams [14259]	

Respondent: Mrs Dorothy Wood [16943]

16763 Object

Respondent: Cllr James Bremner [16766]

16774 Object

Respondent: Mr David Turner [17007]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of sites GNLP0133 A-F which endeavour to address issues raised in the HELAA for each site. Sites considered to be suitable, available, achievable and viable and are therefore deliverable. Sites B,C and D aim to retain undeveloped portions of existing allocations, Site A endeavours to allocate land for expansion of the Sports Park. Sites E and F are submitted as strategic reserve sites

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

16682 Support

Respondent: UEA Estates & Buildings (Mr Roger Bond, Director of Estates) [12480]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr James Alflatt, Partner, Planning Team) [14389]

Summary of representations:

Development of site D would be appropriate but the policy should be written to restrict development to that which will not unduly impact upon the character of the river valley and the setting of the listed UEA campus. Building scales towards the lake should be smaller in scale and landscaped appropriately to reduce impact on the lakes ecosystem and provide biodiversity.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

16431 Support

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

Norwich, GNLP0184

Mixed

Summary of representations:

22 objections received to the potential allocation of this site on the grounds of (1) Unsuitability of the present narrow access for vehicles (2) Location adjacent to/within flood plain with consequent increased risk of flooding (3) Impact on the tranquil riverside character of the area and the setting of the existing period property on Cooper Lane and adjacent housing in Theobald Road (4) loss of landscape and green space protected in the local pan as a Strategic Green Infrastructure Corridor which is already heavily used. Considered that this corridor should be protected and enhanced to meet the needs of a growing population rather than reduced in size. The Yare Valley should be seen as more than the sum of its parts. (5) Unacceptable impact on wildlife and biodiversity and loss of valuable opportunities for relaxation, informal recreation and play (6) Likely increase in pollution arising from development (7) Development of this site is not necessary to meet housing growth needs with so many alternative sites put forward outside of river valley areas.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

13442 Object

Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]

13807	Object
Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]	
13950	Object
Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]	
14915	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
14788	Object
Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]	
15022	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Richardson [16095]	
15038	Object
Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]	
15102	Comment
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15114	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15183	Object
Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]	
15204	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]	
15248	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	

15278 Object Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292] **Object** 15321 Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829] 15382 **Object** Respondent: Mrs Caroline Smith [15719] **Object** 15436 Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429] Object 15636 Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515] Object 15658 Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515] **Object** 15815

Summary of representations:

22 objections received to the potential allocation of this site on the grounds of (1) Unsuitability of the present narrow access for vehicles (2) Location adjacent to/within flood plain with consequent increased risk of flooding (3) Impact on the tranquil riverside character of the area and the setting of the existing period property on Cooper Lane and adjacent housing in Theobald Road (4) loss of landscape and green space protected in the local pan as a Strategic Green Infrastructure Corridor which is already heavily used. Considered that this corridor should be protected and enhanced to meet the needs of a growing population rather than reduced in size. The Yare Valley should be seen as more than the sum of its parts. (5) Unacceptable impact on wildlife and biodiversity and loss of valuable opportunities for relaxation, informal recreation and play (6) Likely increase in pollution arising from development (7) Development of this site is not necessary to meet housing growth needs with so many alternative sites put forward outside of river valley areas.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

14439 Object

Respondent: mr David Thomas [15853]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

22 objections received to the potential allocation of this site on the grounds of (1) Unsuitability of the present narrow access for vehicles (2) Location adjacent to/within flood plain with consequent increased risk of flooding (3) Impact on the tranquil riverside character of the area and the setting of the existing period property on Cooper Lane and adjacent housing in Theobald Road (4) loss of landscape and green space protected in the local pan as a Strategic Green Infrastructure Corridor which is already heavily used. Considered that this corridor should be protected and enhanced to meet the needs of a growing population rather than reduced in size. The Yare Valley should be seen as more than the sum of its parts. (5) Unacceptable impact on wildlife and biodiversity and loss of valuable opportunities for relaxation, informal recreation and play (6) Likely increase in pollution arising from development (7) Development of this site is not necessary to meet housing growth needs with so many alternative sites put forward outside of river valley areas.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

Object

Summary of representations:

22 objections received to the potential allocation of this site on the grounds of (1) Unsuitability of the present narrow access for vehicles (2) Location adjacent to/within flood plain with consequent increased risk of flooding (3) Impact on the tranquil riverside character of the area and the setting of the existing period property on Cooper Lane and adjacent housing in Theobald Road (4) loss of landscape and green space protected in the local pan as a Strategic Green Infrastructure Corridor which is already heavily used. Considered that this corridor should be protected and enhanced to meet the needs of a growing population rather than reduced in size. The Yare Valley should be seen as more than the sum of its parts. (5) Unacceptable impact on wildlife and biodiversity and loss of valuable opportunities for relaxation, informal recreation and play (6) Likely increase in pollution arising from development (7) Development of this site is not necessary to meet housing growth needs with so many alternative sites put forward outside of river valley areas.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

14521 Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments regarding site GNLP0248. Access for non-motorised road uses an important consideration. New layout at Dereham Road ring road roundabout including new pelican crossings is noted. Suitable mixed use cycle/footpath to be provided by developer.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted regarding sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13444 Comment

Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]

13445 Comment

Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]

Norwich, GNLP0282

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site GNLP0282. Support for the reallocation of this site as residential rather than car sales. The building line of neighbouring properties should be respected so as not to impact upon the character of the open space on the other side of the road.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15612 Support

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The development would be right up to the edge of the Broads and on a large scale. The Broads Authority would welcome early discussions. Redevelopment of the site gives opportunities for pedestrian/cycle bridge over the River Yare. Creation of new connections to Whitlingham and the Broads National Park from the centre of Norwich would highlight the aspirations of the River Wensum Strategy and Broads Local Access Forum. Likely to have significant visual impact.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16383 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Previous permissions allow for the protection and enhancement of Carrow Abbey Marsh County Wildlife Site. There is great potential for the restoration of this CWS as a new nature reserve associated with the development and a key area of green infrastructure linking the city with Whitlingham [Country] Park. This aim should be retained in any renewal of the allocation and new permissions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. We acknowledge that the Deal Ground is subject to a number of significant and complex constraints and impacts which will need to be addressed satisfactorily before any development could be contemplated.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16502 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Development of Deal Ground supported - would meet the requirement for residential development, expansion of the city and new educational facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. We acknowledge that the Deal Ground is subject to a number of significant and complex constraints and impacts which will need to be addressed satisfactorily before any development could be contemplated.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

14768 Support

Respondent: Mr Nakul Borade [16087]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Several objections on the grounds that there was significant and unacceptable flood risk as well as unavoidable impact and intrusion of development into a County Wildlife Site which should be protected for its wildlife, biodiversity and recreational value. Noted that the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has redefined flood zone boundaries recently and more of the site is now vulnerable to flooding than previously. The HELAA identifies impacts on landscape, townscape, biodiversity, the historic environment, green infrastructure, the environment and neighbouring uses.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. We acknowledge that the Deal Ground is subject to a number of significant and complex constraints and impacts which will need to be addressed satisfactorily before any development could be contemplated.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

13339 Comment

Respondent: Richard Pearson [14955]

Respondent: mr David Thomas [15853]

14441 Object

Respondent: mr David Thomas [15853]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Overall scepticism expressed over the principle of the Deal Ground development as a whole, albeit that the development of the May Gurney (Kier) site in isolation is considered acceptable. It is noted that the area proposed for potential development now includes the Water Works (Trowse Pumping Station) whose sympathetic restoration should be prioritised to avoid further deterioration and not left to last.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. We acknowledge that the Deal Ground is subject to a number of significant and complex constraints and impacts which will need to be addressed satisfactorily before any development could be contemplated.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15783 Comment

Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]

Summary of representations:

Principle of developing this brownfield site is considered appropriate, but due to site constraints, development should not be overly intense. A biodiversity buffer should be provided along the river banks and any development should not hinder this site's ability to serve as a functional flood plain, as well as to replenish water supplies. This site's function from this point of view should be explicitly required within the policy text.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. We acknowledge that the Deal Ground is subject to a number of significant and complex constraints and impacts which will need to be addressed satisfactorily before any development could be contemplated.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15817 Comment

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

16434 Comment

Mixed

Summary of representations:

The site is well used and is subject to a 20 year lease to the existing Wensum Sports Centre as well as benefiting from Sport England-funded repairs. Accordingly it should be retained and developed as a community sports facility and designated as a strategic site for leisure use, to avoid over intensification of residential use in the area and allow room for expansion. Consideration of the site through the adopted 2014 local plan concluded that there were no grounds for its release for housing and no evidence has been put forward by the proposer as to how it might be reprovided.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. Retention and protection of local community facilities and other services in their current use would not involve any new development or change of use - it may therefore be more appropriate to achieve this through review of DM policy designations in district-specific local plans rather than through the GNLP.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12901 Comment

Respondent: Wensum Sports Centre Charitable Association (Mr. William Glover, Trustee) [14655]

15626 Object

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

15822 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

16430 Support

Comment

Summary of representations:

Proposal supported - it would provide jobs in the service sector and potentially improve the look of the surrounding environment.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13110 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Angela Cossey [14551]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections made by Hellesdon Parish Council and a number of individual objectors in conjunction with other site proposals in Hellesdon parish itself. Objections are raised on the grounds of: (1) Likely increase in traffic congestion and pollution (2) Impact on amenities, services and facilities in particular the GP practice (3) Impact on traffic safety

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13131 Object

Respondent: Miss Carol Green [14795]

13161 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]

13434	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
15133	Object
Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]	
16049	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ursula Franklin [16675]	
16113	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Bonnick [16757]	
16126	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]	
16472	Object
Respondent: Mrs Colleen Collins [16913]	
16493	Object

Respondent: Mr Neil Collins [12867]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site GNLP0401. Site should be taken forward as an allocation for residential-led redevelopment. It is suitable for residential development, is available for development within the plan period and there are no constraints that would threaten delivery. It is highly unlikely the site will come forward for office-led development and given the significant oversupply of employment land the loss of the site for offices would have no adverse impact on the supply of land for office development within Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15003 Support

Respondent: Highcourt Developments Ltd [16209]

Agent: Bidwells (Mrs Sarah Hornbrook, Associate) [14444]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments made in relation to Site GNLP0401. This is right up to the border with the Broads and of a large scale and the Broads Authority would welcome early discussions. Would extend the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads. Redevelopment of the site could give rise to new opportunities for access to the River Wensum both for craft and pedestrians but could have significant visual impact. Issues around continued canalisation of the river.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. Early discussion of this site with the Broads Authority requested.

Action:

Comments submitted regarding sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Ensure early discussion of this site with the Broads Authority takes place.

16387 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site GNLP0401. Support for site to come forward for residential led mixed use development. The site has been unoccupied and derelict for many years and has become an eye sore on a busy road.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15145 Support

Respondent: PAUL STEPHENS [16298]

15548 Support

Respondent: Helen Moore [16475]

15613 Support

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment: Request from the Broads Authority for clarification on what is meant by "de-allocation" and the reasoning behind the proposal.

Response:

Note that this response relates only to Code Development Planners' original submission on behalf of Jarrolds to deallocate the site from the local plan and to not identify it for any purpose in the GNLP for reasons of flexibility (the site is currently allocated for office-led development in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan 2014 under reference CC17b). The site is now subject to an amended submission by Savills on behalf of Jarrolds and Hill Residential for a housing led scheme under reference GNLP0409R.

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of how best this key city centre brownfield site can meet the relative needs for housing and employment development in the plan period, the deliverability of any mix of uses proposed, the progress of current emerging detailed proposals for the site and the feedback from public consultation on the amended submission.

16385 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Summary of representations:

The Norwich Green Party do not support deallocation of this site, which they suggest has been proposed so that developers will not have to consider current site-specific policy when . This site should be allocated for residential-led mixed use development, also including office uses, a small amount of supporting retail, public spaces (particularly near the river) to enhance the visitor experience, and sustainable transport measures including a bus stop.

Response:

Note that this response relates only to Code Development Planners' original submission on behalf of Jarrolds to deallocate the site from the local plan and to not identify it for any purpose in the GNLP for reasons of flexibility (the site is currently allocated for office-led development in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan 2014 under reference CC17b). The site is now subject to an amended submission by Savills on behalf of Jarrolds and Hill Residential for a housing led scheme under reference GNLP0409R.

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of how best this key city centre brownfield site can meet the relative needs for housing and employment development in the plan period, the deliverability of any mix of uses proposed, the progress of current emerging detailed proposals for the site and the feedback from public consultation on the amended submission.

16435 Object

Summary of representations:

Submission in respect of site GNLP0409 and land adjoining to the south of Barrack Street (adopted Norwich Site Allocations Plan ref CC17a) proposing:

- a) for land south of Barrack Street and east of Gilders Way: allocation for mixed use providing c 8100 sq.m of employment, 200 residential units, 127 car parking spaces and ancillary retail as per most recent planning permission
- b) for land south of Barrack Street and west of Gilders Way, development for 200 new homes and ancillary retail use.

Response:

Note that this response relates only to Code Development Planners' original submission on behalf of Jarrolds to deallocate the site from the local plan and to not identify it for any purpose in the GNLP for reasons of flexibility (the site is currently allocated for office-led development in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan 2014 under reference CC17b). Savill's amended submission on behalf of Jarrolds and Hill Residential for a housing led scheme has been reference GNLP0409R and will be consulted on separately.

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of how best this key city centre brownfield site can meet the relative needs for housing and employment development in the plan period, the deliverability of any mix of uses proposed, the progress of current emerging detailed proposals for the site and the feedback from public consultation on the amended submission.

Adjoining land at Barrack Street is not being treated as a prospective new allocation at this stage as it is subject to an existing mixed use allocation in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan (site CC17a) as well as having benefit of outline planning permission. Decisions on whether to carry this site forward in the GNLP and whether any change should be made to the mix of uses proposed will be informed by a review of existing local plan allocations which is ongoing.

16787 Comment

Respondent: Jarrold and Sons and Hill Residential Ltd [17014]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

Summary of representations:

The Norwich Green Party do not support deallocation of this site, which they suggest has been proposed so that developers will not have to consider current site-specific policy when . This site should be allocated for residential-led mixed use development, also including office uses, a small amount of supporting retail, public spaces (particularly near the river) to enhance the visitor experience, and sustainable transport measures including a bus stop.

Response:

Note that this response relates only to Code Development Planners' original submission on behalf of Jarrolds to deallocate the site from the local plan and to not identify it for any purpose in the GNLP for reasons of flexibility (the site is currently allocated for office-led development in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan 2014 under reference CC17b). The site is now subject to an amended submission by Savills on behalf of Jarrolds and Hill Residential for a housing led scheme under reference GNLP0409R.

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of how best this key city centre brownfield site can meet the relative needs for housing and employment development in the plan period, the deliverability of any mix of uses proposed, the progress of current emerging detailed proposals for the site and the feedback from public consultation on the amended submission.

15818 Object

Summary of representations:

Opposition to any change of plans for this site on the basis that the adjoining land at Barrack Street has been subject to proposals for homes and offices involving the loss of 50 existing homes but no development has been progressed and the site is fenced off.

Response:

Note that this response relates only to Code Development Planners' original submission on behalf of Jarrolds to deallocate the site from the local plan and to not identify it for any purpose in the GNLP for reasons of flexibility (the site is currently allocated for office-led development in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan 2014 under reference CC17b). The site is now subject to an amended submission by Savills on behalf of Jarrolds and Hill Residential for a housing led scheme under reference GNLP0409R.

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of how best this key city centre brownfield site can meet the relative needs for housing and employment development in the plan period, the deliverability of any mix of uses proposed, the progress of current emerging detailed proposals for the site and the feedback from public consultation on the amended submission.

Adjoining land at Barrack Street is not being treated as a prospective new allocation at this stage as it is subject to an existing mixed use allocation in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan (site CC17a) as well as having benefit of outline planning permission. Decisions on whether to carry this site forward in the GNLP and whether any change should be made to the mix of uses proposed will be informed by a review of existing local plan allocations which is ongoing.

16609 Comment

Respondent: mr colin irons [14627]

Summary of representations:

Opposition to loss of housing on the Barrack Street site, its long term vacancy over 10 years and its unjustified use for private car parking for Jarrolds.

[Note that this objection does not relate in the main to the proposal site but to the adjacent land which is an existing allocation in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan 2014]

Response:

Note that this response relates only to Code Development Planners' original submission on behalf of Jarrolds to deallocate the site from the local plan and to not identify it for any purpose in the GNLP for reasons of flexibility (the site is currently allocated for office-led development in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan 2014 under reference CC17b). The site is now subject to an amended submission by Savills on behalf of Jarrolds and Hill Residential for a housing led scheme under reference GNLP0409R.

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of how best this key city centre brownfield site can meet the relative needs for housing and employment development in the plan period, the deliverability of any mix of uses proposed, the progress of current emerging detailed proposals for the site and the feedback from public consultation on the amended submission.

Adjoining land at Barrack Street is not being treated as a prospective new allocation at this stage as it is subject to an existing mixed use allocation in the adopted Norwich Site Allocations and Site Specific Policies Local Plan (site CC17a) as well as having benefit of outline planning permission. Decisions on whether to carry this site forward in the GNLP and whether any change should be made to the mix of uses proposed will be informed by a review of existing local plan allocations which is ongoing.

16345 Object

Respondent: Ms Katrina Young [16841]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments on Site GNLP0451. Site suitable for low-rise residential or residential led mixed use.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted regarding sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15617 Comment

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

Norwich, GNLP0453

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site GNLP0453. Expressions of interest secured from a retail operator and a number of food operators. This type of development would create jobs and expand the range of retail and eating out opportunities for local residents and workers at the Bowthorpe Industrial Area, reducing the need to travel. The designation of the site within the Bowthorpe Employment Area is a hangover from previous plans and has acted as a barrier to sustainable redevelopment schemes coming forward.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

16738 Support

Respondent: Honeyview Investments Ltd [16211]

Agent: Emery Planning Partnership (Mr Gareth Salthouse, LP Contact) [14128]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections made by Hellesdon Parish Council and a number of individual objectors in conjunction with other site proposals in Hellesdon parish itself. Objections are raised on the grounds of: (1) Likely increase in traffic congestion and pollution in Fifers Lane and on the surrounding network (2) Impact on amenities, services and facilities in particular the GP practice.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

In relation to this proposal the site has since been subject to a detailed planning application to Norwich City Council for a 125 bed hotel, approved in December 2017. Decisions on whether to allocate the site in the GNLP in the longer term for hotel use or for any other purpose will depend on any progress made on implementing the permission and delivering the approved scheme

13163	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]	
13435	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Grady [14429]	
15134	Object
Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]	
16114	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Bonnick [16757]	-
16128	Object

Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]

Summary of representations:

Proposal supported - would provide jobs in the service sector; could improve the appearance and footfall in the area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

In relation to this proposal the site has since been subject to a detailed planning application to Norwich City Council for a 125 bed hotel, approved in December 2017. Decisions on whether to allocate the site in the GNLP in the longer term for hotel use or for any other purpose will depend on any progress made on implementing the permission and delivering the approved scheme.

13111 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Angela Cossey [14551]

Norwich, GNLP0506

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections to and comments on the proposal on the grounds of (1) Principle: It constitutes another example of an "ugly, failed scheme" of the kind which litter Norwich and have been imposed on the area for many years; it will only serve to generate profits for developers; an influx of wealthy urban professionals will gentrify and corporatize the Magdalen Street area and "devastate" the existing community and its artistic life and culture focused on small businesses and enterprises meeting the needs of a high proportion of poor and disadvantaged people who have no other choices; it will complete the destruction of an area which has been in long term retail decline but is undergoing a revival and is known for its vibrancy, diversity and human "urban village" scale (2) Design: the 1200+ dwelling scheme is over intense and dominant in particular the excessive height of the 25-storey tower [as proposed in the original planning application] is strongly objected to; unacceptable visual impact on long views and the setting of Norwich Cathedral as viewed from the Grade II* listed Catton Park; the Norwich Green Party and others suggest a smaller scale mixed use development of the kind proposed in the Northern City Centre Area Action Plan would be more acceptable; a number of objectors argue for restoration and refurbishment of the existing buildings as a noted example of 1960s brutalist architecture; others say a scheme with more personality and imagination reflecting the local vernacular and character is required. (3) Inappropriate mix of uses: not enough emphasis on community provision and schools resulting in an unacceptable impact on services and facilities, no justification for additional retail floorspace when there are so many empty shops. GL Hearn on behalf of Infrared Retail consider the proposed 20,000 sq.m of retail floorspace is unjustified contrary to the Anglia Square Policy Guidance Note and out of scale with the Large District Centre which should perform a complementary role focused on convenience shopping serving the needs of the local area;; fear that the impact of such a scale of retail development on the city centre will not be subject to proper scrutiny.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that the Anglia Square site is now subject to detailed proposals for development which are the subject of a current and a revised planning application to Norwich City Council. Any decision regarding the longer term allocation of the site will need to take account of the form and content of any scheme or schemes subsequently granted planning permission, progress made on delivering those schemes and advice in Norwich City Council's published Anglia Square Policy Guidance Note (PGN).

16436 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

16690 Object

Respondent: InfraRed UK Nominee 3 Ltd and InfraRed UK Nominee 4 Ltd (InfraRed) [16554]

Agent: GL Hearn (Mr Richard Robeson) [16552]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments made in support of the proposal - beneficial development which is much needed for the local area and which would be so much better than what is there currently.

Response:

Comments will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that the Anglia Square site is now subject to detailed proposals for development which are the subject of a current and a revised planning application to Norwich City Council. Any decision regarding the longer term allocation of the site will need to take account of the form and content of any scheme or schemes subsequently granted planning permission, progress made on delivering those schemes and advice in Norwich City Council's published Anglia Square Policy Guidance Note (PGN).

15146	Support
Respondent: PAUL STEPHENS [16298]	
15190	Support
Respondent: Mr Robert Greenwood [16318]	
15192	Support

Respondent: Debs Hale [16321]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections to and comments on the proposal on the grounds of (1) Principle: It constitutes another example of an "ugly, failed scheme" of the kind which litter Norwich and have been imposed on the area for many years; it will only serve to generate profits for developers; an influx of wealthy urban professionals will gentrify and corporatize the Magdalen Street area and "devastate" the existing community and its artistic life and culture focused on small businesses and enterprises meeting the needs of a high proportion of poor and disadvantaged people who have no other choices; it will complete the destruction of an area which has been in long term retail decline but is undergoing a revival and is known for its vibrancy, diversity and human "urban village" scale (2) Design: the 1200+ dwelling scheme is over intense and dominant in particular the excessive height of the 25-storey tower [as proposed in the original planning application] is strongly objected to; unacceptable visual impact on long views and the setting of Norwich Cathedral as viewed from the Grade II* listed Catton Park; the Norwich Green Party and others suggest a smaller scale mixed use development of the kind proposed in the Northern City Centre Area Action Plan would be more acceptable; a number of objectors argue for restoration and refurbishment of the existing buildings as a noted example of 1960s brutalist architecture; others say a scheme with more personality and imagination reflecting the local vernacular and character is required. (3) Inappropriate mix of uses: not enough emphasis on community provision and schools resulting in an unacceptable impact on services and facilities, no justification for additional retail floorspace when there are so many empty shops. GL Hearn on behalf of Infrared Retail consider the proposed 20,000 sq.m of retail floorspace is unjustified contrary to the Anglia Square Policy Guidance Note and out of scale with the Large District Centre which should perform a complementary role focused on convenience shopping serving the needs of the local area;; fear that the impact of such a scale of retail development on the city centre will not be subject to proper scrutiny.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that the Anglia Square site is now subject to detailed proposals for development which are the subject of a current and a revised planning application to Norwich City Council. Any decision regarding the longer term allocation of the site will need to take account of the form and content of any scheme or schemes subsequently granted planning permission, progress made on delivering those schemes and advice in Norwich City Council's published Anglia Square Policy Guidance Note (PGN).

15104 Object

Respondent: George Mahood [16262]

15132 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Haggerty [16293]

Agent: Mr Paul Haggerty [16293]

15140 Object

Respondent: Miss Jemma Watts [16287]

Respondent: Miss Sandy Lovelock [16316]

15212 Object

Respondent: St Augustines Community Together (ACT) (Mr Stuart McLaren, Chairman) [13675]

15631 Comment

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

15664 Object

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

15819 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration)

[15951]

16048 Comment

Respondent: Helen Leith [15741]

16302 Object

Respondent: Mrs. Karen Vincent [16678]

Object

Summary of representations:

I have concerns that the development would result in more use of the private Taylors Lane which is already in a poor state of repair. If Taylors Lane was improved to Highways Standards I would not object.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16088 Object

Respondent: Mrs. Karen Vincent [16678]

Object

Summary of representations:

The site has been subjected to many times by the developer. See attached.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15897 Object

Respondent: KM Enginee Lynda Pelham [16631]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding archaeology. Site is part of the Mousehold Heath glaciofluvial outwash plain and is close to the find of a Neanderthal handaxe. If development were granted then plans should be made conditional upon a watching brief for possible Palaeolithic archaeological interest.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

14682 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of Site GNLP0570. Great site, would be good to see lots of homes here. Close to amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15551 Support

Respondent: Helen Moore [16475]

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted regarding Site GNLP0570. Concern at the proposal for development on the Gothic Club (former Family Church) site in view of site forming part of the heath and the football pitch having become overgrown. Vigilance is needed to ensure a satisfactory outcome.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Comments submitted regarding sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan'

16611 Comment

Respondent: mr colin irons [14627]

Norwich, GNLP1010

Support

Summary of representations:

Support suggestion to retain existing use as a community garden.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

16437 Support

Support

Summary of representations:

The site is well used and is subject to a 20 year lease to the existing Wensum Sports Centre as well as benefiting from Sport England-funded repairs. Accordingly it should be retained and developed as a community sports facility and designated as a strategic site for leisure use, to avoid over intensification of residential use in the area and allow room for expansion. Protection and specific designation for sports use is explicitly supported by Sport England, the Norwich Green Party and a number of private individuals.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. Retention and protection of local community facilities and other services in their current use would not involve any new development or change of use - it may therefore be more appropriate to achieve this through review of DM policy designations in district-specific local plans rather than through the GNLP.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16384	Support
Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [124	415]
13443	Support
Respondent: Paul Woolnough [15001]	
14541	Support
Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]	
15622	Support
Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]	
15812	Support

16429 Support

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

Norwich, GNLP1061

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections made by Hellesdon Parish Council and a number of individual objectors in conjunction with other site proposals in Hellesdon parish itself. Objections are raised on the grounds of: (1) Likely increase in traffic congestion and pollution (2) Impact on amenities, services and facilities in particular the GP practice (3) Impact on traffic safety. General concern was raised in addition by one objector about development on greenfield sites in the countryside [although this site is neither]. The Norwich Green Party and an individual objector consider that the site's proximity to Norwich Airport and poor transport links make it unsuitable for anything other than employment land: any site specific policy should demonstrate how the units in the development can be made accessible by sustainable transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of the overall need for employment development to support job growth in the plan period, the supply of sites available to meet those needs and the need to support growth of the Airport.

16428 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

13164 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Toseland [14811]

15135 Object

Respondent: Hellesdon Parish Council (Mrs Mo Anderson-Dungar, Acting Clerk) [12581]

15620 Comment

Respondent: Mr Simeon Jackson [13158]

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

16115 Object

Respondent: Mr Philip Bonnick [16757]

16132 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jane Woods [16760]

Support

Summary of representations:

Representation in support of the proposal by the site promoter Barton Wilmore on behalf of Norwich International Airport. Site 4 (the former Aviation Park site) is promoted for potential allocation for a more flexible range of B class employment uses to more readily attract commercial busuto, and support the growth of, the Airport. Justification is provided by reference to a limited supply of readily available employment sites in the vicinity and the unsuitability of existing stock and other potential sites and allocations.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In this case such an assessment would need to take account of the overall need for employment development to support job growth in the plan period, the supply of sites available to meet those needs and the need to support growth of the Airport.

16711 Support

Respondent: Norwich International Airport [11124]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Ms Victoria Yeandle) [16976]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Alpington & Yelverton, GNLP0400

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12922 Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]

13684 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sharon Black [15272]

14542 Object

Respondent: Audrey Chappell [14632]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The HELAA conclusion that the site is considered suitable for development is welcomed, however the HELAA constraints analysis for site GNLP0400 incorrectly categorises certain issues as amber when they should be green for example accessibility to services and highways.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

Respondent: Otley Properties [16980]

Agent: John Long Planning (Mr John Long, Owner) [13586]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15005 Object

Respondent: Alpington with Yelverton Parish Council (Mr Jeremy McNulty, Chairman) [15954]

15533 Object

Respondent: Mr David Whitehead [16190]

15666 Object

Respondent: Mrs Renata Thornber [16525]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Alpington & Yelverton, GNLP0433

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues. Roads are unsuitable and narrow with poor visibility. Concern that the form and character of the village would be damaged by development and it will become another sprawling urban village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12923 Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]

14544 Object

Respondent: Audrey Chappell [14632]

15008 Object

Respondent: Alpington with Yelverton Parish Council (Mr Jeremy McNulty, Chairman) [15954]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site GNLP0433. To overcome perceived historic environment constraint it is proposed to set the new development back from listed cottage in Reeders Lane and include a significant tree belt.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

13019 Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Alpington & Yelverton, GNLP0434

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues. Roads are narrow with poor visibility and there are concerns about pedestrian safety. The site is outside the development boundary and development would irrevocably damage the form and character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12908	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
12924	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
14556	Object
Respondent: Audrey Chappell [14632]	
15009	Object
Respondent: Alpington with Yelverton Parish Council (Mr Jeremy McNulty, Chairman) [15954]	
15536	Object

Respondent: Mr David Whitehead [16190]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site GNLP0434. To overcome the perceived access constraint it is proposed to create a new footpath to create a stronger pedestrian link to the school and centre of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

13021 Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Alpington & Yelverton, GNLP0435

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues. Roads are narrow with limited visibility and there are no footpaths or street lights. The site is outside the development boundary and the form and character of the village would be irrevocably damaged by this development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12925 Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]

15012 Object

Respondent: Alpington with Yelverton Parish Council (Mr Jeremy McNulty, Chairman) [15954]

Respondent: Mrs Sophie Getley [16194]

15535 Object

Respondent: Mr David Whitehead [16190]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Alpington & Yelverton, GNLP0529

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues. Concern due to proximity to the school and the fact that there are no footpaths or street lights. The form and character of the village would be irrevocably damaged by development and further extension of the development boundary in this location should not be allowed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12907	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
14549	Object
Respondent: Audrey Chappell [14632]	
15014	Object
Respondent: Alpington with Yelverton Parish Council (Mr Jeremy McNulty, Chairman) [15954]	
15532	Object
Respondent: Mr David Whitehead [16190]	
15733	Object

Respondent: Mr David Holdham [16567]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Alpington & Yelverton, GNLP1012

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues. Roads are narrow with limited visibility. There are few footpaths and no street lighting. The site is outside the development boundary and the form and character of the village would be irrevocably damaged by development. Current building in the vicinity is already pushing existing services and facilities to the limit.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12909	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
13350	Object
Respondent: Mr. Ivan Green [14977]	Object
15016	Object
Respondent: Alpington with Yelverton Parish Council (Mr Jeremy McNulty, Chairman) [15954]	
15534	Object

Respondent: Mr David Whitehead [16190]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site GNLP1012. The site is considered suitable for development as it is within walking distance of local services and amenities and has good access. The site would benefit from a sympathetically built and positioned set of dwellings and development here would not have an adverse impact on its surroundings.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13683	Support
Respondent: Mrs Elaine Horn [15264]	
13699	Support
Respondent: Cherry Dene Farm Ltd (Mrs Beryl Rout, Company Secretary) [15282]	
13756	Support
Respondent: Mrs Claire Rallison [15319]	
13781	Support
Respondent: Mrs Suzanne Battle [14433]	
14066	Support
Respondent: Miss Elizabeth Lester [15595]	
13811	Support
Respondent: Mr Ashley Battle [15238]	
14506	Support
Respondent: A Staley [15910]	

14962 Support

Respondent: Miss Claudia Battle [15286]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP0213

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating there are already approved planning applications, effect of increased traffic, lack of services (shops, doctors, dentist and others), essential use of car travel due to limited bus service and already several vacant properties and other for sale.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19777 Object

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP0233

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating existing infrastructure within Stoke holy Cross is unable to handle development, substantial traffic issues with developments in Poringland and Framingham Earl still to be completed, lack of local services and infrastructure, sewerage issues, water pressure and overall development will not be sustainable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19744 Object

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Anita Rose) [18602]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP0234

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by The Woodland Trust stating the site is adjacent to ancient woodland.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16793 Object

Respondent: The Woodland Trust (Mr Nick Sandford, Regional External Affairs Officer) [16539]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections by The Woodland Trust and one private individual on the grounds that the site is adjacent to ancient woodland.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. In relation to this site the HELAA has highlighted the proximity of ancient woodland as a potentially significant constraint which would require appropriate mitigation were the site to be allocated.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12804 Object

Respondent: Mr John Fleetwood [14434]

12805 Object

Respondent: Mr John Fleetwood [14434]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating there are a number of already approved planning applications and the effects of the increase in construction traffic. See full submission. Ashwellthorpe has a pub on the A1113 at the far east end of the village. Apart from this there are no shops, doctors, dentists or other services in the village and a car is essential given the extremely limited bus service (3 per day). There is already a number of vacant properties and others up for sale.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19778 Object

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP0236

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding development in near by Wymondham and increase in traffic from this, vacant properties and those for sale in Ashwellthorpe, there are already 57 properties either under construction, approved and awaiting construction and approved fro construction with 6 awaiting approval. It is likely there will be an increase in housing stock by 63 homes over next 2 years from the current 220-283. There is a lack of facilities, an essential use of the car and the reaming sites proposed take the last fields away.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19779 Object

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP0239

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding development in near by Wymondham and increase in traffic from this, vacant properties and those for sale in Ashwellthorpe, there are already 57 properties either under construction, approved and awaiting construction and approved fro construction with 6 awaiting approval. It is likely there will be an increase in housing stock by 63 homes over next 2 years from the current 220-283. There is a lack of facilities, an essential use of the car and the reaming sites proposed take the last fields away.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19781 Object

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP0242

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding development in near by Wymondham and increase in traffic from this, vacant properties and those for sale in Ashwellthorpe, there are already 57 properties either under construction, approved and awaiting construction and approved fro construction with 6 awaiting approval. It is likely there will be an increase in housing stock by 63 homes over next 2 years from the current 220-283. There is a lack of facilities, an essential use of the car and the reaming sites proposed take the last fields away.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19780 Object

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall, GNLP0598

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding development in near by Wymondham and increase in traffic from this, vacant properties and those for sale in Ashwellthorpe, there are already 57 properties either under construction, approved and awaiting construction and approved fro construction with 6 awaiting approval. It is likely there will be an increase in housing stock by 63 homes over next 2 years from the current 220-283. There is a lack of facilities, an essential use of the car and the reaming sites proposed take the last fields away.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19782 Object

Respondent: Ashwellthorpe & Fundenhall Parish Council (mr nick de spon, Parish Councillor) [18446]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Aslacton, GNLP0459

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by Aslacton Parish Council stating their support for the proposal in general terms as already agreed through the Parish Plan but have concerns over scale and would prefer a development more akin to the Hastoe Housing scheme at Tivetshall.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14862 Support

Respondent: Aslacton Parish Council (Mrs J F Webb, Clerk) [14215]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Brown and Co on behalf of the promoter stating they have submitted further evidence in a landscape appraisal to address concerns about heritage impact and on form and character- see the documentation attached to the full response.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan'

16819 Comment

Respondent: Brown & Co (Mr Edward Plumb, Senior Associate) [13484]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Aslacton, GNLP1041

Object

Summary of representations:

Aslacton Parish Council object to the proposal - considered unsuitable due to poor drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14857 Object

Respondent: Aslacton Parish Council (Mrs J F Webb, Clerk) [14215]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Aslacton, GNLP1042

Object

Summary of representations:

Aslacton Parish Council object to the proposal on the grounds of difficulty of access, over-development and an unsuitable form of development for the village, with back to back housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14858 Object

Respondent: Aslacton Parish Council (Mrs J F Webb, Clerk) [14215]

Object

Summary of representations:

An individual objector raises concerns over dwelling numbers and access, adding that there would be a child safety issue with an access positioned so close to the school. Site GNLP0459 considered a better option.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15561 Object

Respondent: Mr stephen milligan [16482]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barford, GNLP0416

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating that they are pleased to see biodiversity constraints but there is a need to mitigate impacts on adjacent CWS through the provision of a buffer.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16504 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the development being detrimental to open church setting and open landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15406 Object

Respondent: Su Waldron [15186]

15570 Object

Respondent: Professor Keith Waldron [15165]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding safe pedestrian access, traffic speed, pedestrian safety issues, need to use car for transport to school, view of church will be damaged, flooding and surface water drainage issues and unsuitable roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13567 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Mary Dorrell [15168]

13608 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Thomson [15128]

16558 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Broomhead [16921]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating detrimental impact of increased traffic, no safe cycling route, poor public transport links, increase in use of cars, flooding and drainage issues and impact on church setting/landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15763 Comment

Respondent: Wramplingham Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12696]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barford, GNLP0552

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Development would double the size of the village footprint - inappropriate and out of scale resulting in urbanisation of open countryside and Tiffey valley landscape resulting in destruction of the rural character of Barford and erosion of the gap between Barford and Wramplingham; (2) Location close to the Tiffey Valley would divert surface water runoff and worsen fluvial flooding; despite recent investment in flood alleviation measures, sewerage infrastructure inadequate - sewage farm already at capacity; (3) Harmful impact on an environmentally sensitive wildlife corridor habitat supporting a number of "red listed" bird species at risk (4) Impact on traffic and pedestrian safety and increase in traffic volumes and congestion on B1108 (5) Local infrastructure and services completely inadequate to support the scale of development proposed - school already full; (6) unsustainable in transport terms: poor availability of public transport and no safe and viable cycling routes into Norwich (7) contrary to planning policy, contrary to the GNLP sustainability appraisal indicators protecting river valleys, strategic gaps and undeveloped approach to Norwich (8) Would be prudent to minimise building on green belt farmland (sic) in the interests of protecting land for food production: brownfield sites and existing commitments should be used first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13178	Object
Respondent: MR Bradley Ireson [14825]	
15581	Object
Respondent: Professor Keith Waldron [15165]	
13249	Object
Respondent: Mr. Charles Harrold [14878]	
16077	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]	
13357	Object

Respondent: Louise Jenkins [14985]

Summary of representations:

Conditional support of the site by Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership gives qualified support and indicates the presence of rare interglacial geological deposits in the Tiffey Valley - it is requested that development be conditional on these being researched and investigated.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan'.

14686 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Development would double the size of the village footprint - inappropriate and out of scale resulting in urbanisation of open countryside and Tiffey valley landscape resulting in destruction of the rural character of Barford and erosion of the gap between Barford and Wramplingham; (2) Location close to the Tiffey Valley would divert surface water runoff and worsen fluvial flooding; despite recent investment in flood alleviation measures, sewerage infrastructure inadequate - sewage farm already at capacity; (3) Harmful impact on an environmentally sensitive wildlife corridor habitat supporting a number of "red listed" bird species at risk (4) Impact on traffic and pedestrian safety and increase in traffic volumes and congestion on B1108 (5) Local infrastructure and services completely inadequate to support the scale of development proposed - school already full; (6) unsustainable in transport terms: poor availability of public transport and no safe and viable cycling routes into Norwich (7) contrary to planning policy, contrary to the GNLP sustainability appraisal indicators protecting river valleys, strategic gaps and undeveloped approach to Norwich (8) Would be prudent to minimise building on green belt farmland (sic) in the interests of protecting land for food production: brownfield sites and existing commitments should be used first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13516 Object

Respondent: Mr John Mansfield [15119]

13573	Object
Respondent: Mr Graham Appleton [15181]	
13591	Object
Respondent: Mrs Christine Jones [15190]	
13609	Object
Respondent: Mr James Thomson [15128]	
13775	Object
Respondent: Mr K Dawson [12940]	
13993	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Maynard [15164]	
14425	Object
Respondent: Su Waldron [15186]	
15137	Object
Respondent: Mr Edward Holdback [16034]	
16306	Object
Respondent: MR Edward Snow [16720]	
16561	Object
Respondent: Mrs Valerie Broomhead [16921]	

·

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding (1) location close to the Tiffey Valley would divert surface water runoff and worsen fluvial flooding; despite recent investment in flood alleviation measures, sewerage infrastructure inadequate - sewage farm already at capacity; (2) Harmful impact on an environmentally sensitive wildlife corridor habitat supporting a number of "red listed" bird species at risk (3) Impact on traffic and pedestrian safety and increase in traffic volumes and congestion on B1108 (4)) Local infrastructure and services completely inadequate to support the scale of development proposed - school already full.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13515

Respondent: Mr Anthony Bone [15115]

13566

Respondent: Mrs Mary Dorrell [15168]

14694

Comment

Respondent: Professor Keith Waldron [15165]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating (1) Location close to the Tiffey Valley would divert surface water runoff and worsen fluvial flooding; despite recent investment in flood alleviation measures, sewerage infrastructure inadequate - sewage farm already at capacity; (2) Harmful impact on an environmentally sensitive wildlife corridor habitat supporting a number of "red listed" bird species at risk (3) unsustainable in transport terms: poor availability of public transport and no safe and viable cycling routes into Norwich.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15766 Object

Respondent: Wramplingham Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12696]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by Lanpro Services on behalf of the promoter Silfield Limited accompanied by technical evidence seeking to justify the site's suitability for residential development (see full submission). Since the Call for Sites in 2016 additional technical input has been undertaken to inform an updated indicative masterplan. This site would be seeking to deliver a country park which could include new walkways, tree planting, play equipment and recreational spaces. New pedestrian links through the park will be designed to increase connectivity between the villages of Barford and Wramplingham. This area is in the region of 29ha. This is a significant public benefit which would deliver a piece of infrastructure which is in great demand in the Greater Norwich area. The site has now been subject to a number of technical assessments and demonstrates that there are no fundamental constraints to the development of the site for residential and it is therefore considered suitable for development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16692 Support

Respondent: Silfield Limited [16967]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Jane Crichton, Associate Planner) [12905]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barford, GNLP1013

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating; (1) there are potential biodiversity constraints regarding semi-natural habitats.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16505 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised included (1) Proposal would extend the area already due for development of 10 dwellings; (2) Additional development would worsen flood risk and put pressure of sewerage infrastructure; (3) Lack of a safe footpath access; would lead to increased traffic on unsuitable narrow rural roads; access from Back Lane unsafe with hazardous junction onto main road (4) Concerns over capacity of local services; (5) Site topography includes a sharp change in level.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13569 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Mary Dorrell [15168]

13610 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Thomson [15128]

16560 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Broomhead [16921]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating (1) increase in traffic to parish on narrow roads; (2) no safe cycling route; (3) poor public transport provisions; (4) sewage system at capacity and there is sewerage egress in to residents gardens.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15756 Comment

Respondent: Wramplingham Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12696]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barnham Broom, GNLP0055

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Unsuitable road access - no footpath, traffic congestion in village; highway and pedestrian safety risk and no capacity for increased traffic flow on Spur Road and other local roads, which are poorly maintained (2) Would overload an ageing sewerage and drainage system prone to blockage; also poor electricity supply and broadband infrastructure (3) Impact on wildlife - particularly birds - through loss of hedgerow (4) Scale of development excessive especially in conjunction with other proposed and allocated sites: would destroy the character and rural setting of the village; objection to the number of dwellings not being specified in the proposal; smaller scale development with cheaper homes for young families or elderly people - linear development along Norwich Road preferred (5) Significant risk of surface water flooding due to high water table/impermeable soils (6) Services and facilities in Barnham Broom inadequate; primary school at capacity; no childcare, no garage in the village as indicated in the settlement summary which will affect how development suitability would be assessed: this needs to be revisited.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that there is no longer a garage in Barnham Broom and this will be taken into account as part of this process when considering accessibility to services.

16073	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sally Escrader [16376]	
13494	Object
Respondent: mr Robert Nairn [15106]	
14038	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Hurn [15588]	
14133	Object
Respondent: David Ford [15649]	
16078	Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

Respondent: Ms Catherine Hayes [15109]

14107 Object

Respondent: Mrs Louise Young [15618]

15942 Object

Respondent: Mr D Banyard [16649]

16311 Object

Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding (1) Scale of development excessive especially in conjunction with other proposed and allocated sites: would destroy the character and rural setting of the village; objection to the number of dwellings not being specified in the proposal; (2) Unsuitable road access - no footpath, traffic congestion in village; highway and pedestrian safety risk and no capacity for increased traffic flow on Spur Road and other local roads, which are poorly maintained; (3) Services and facilities in Barnham Broom inadequate; primary school at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13765 Comment

Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]

13877 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Linda Clarke [15405]

14160 Comment

Respondent: mrs sheila claydon [15518]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating (1) object due to current poor infrastructure and over-development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14933 Object

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barnham Broom, GNLP0174

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Unsuitable road access - site is landlocked, no footpath and highway safety issue in Bell Road which has high banks and a dangerous blind corner and a substandard junction; no capacity for increased traffic flow on local roads, which are poorly maintained (2) Would overload an ageing sewerage and drainage system prone to blockage; also poor electricity supply and broadband infrastructure (3) Impact on wildlife - particularly birds - through loss of hedgerow (4) Scale of development excessive especially in conjunction with other proposed and allocated sites including 24 home development on allocation BARN1 under construction: would destroy the character and rural setting of the village (5) Frequent incidents of surface water flooding in Bell Road (6) Services and facilities in Barnham Broom inadequate; primary school at capacity with consequent car parking problem near school; no childcare, no garage in the village as indicated in the settlement summary which will affect how development suitability would be assessed: this needs revisiting.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that there is in fact no garage in Barnham Broom as indicated in the settlement summary and this will be taken into account when considering the accessibility of development sites to services as part of this assessment.

16079 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sally Escrader [16376]

Support

Summary of representations:

Representation by Millard Tuddenham on behalf of the landowner in support of the proposal. Site GNLP0172 (sic) represents sustainable development as set out in NPPF Paragraph 7 and is deliverable in terms of NPPF Footnote 11. The site would provide much needed market and affordable housing to meet the housing requirements of Broadland District Council (sic). The Site provides an opportunity to extend a consented development logically and provide coordinated long term growth. In all six of the growth options identified in the growth options consultation document there is a requirement to facilitate growth in locations such as Barnham Broom, and therefore The Site should be allocated to meet part of the identified housing requirement.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16784 Support

Respondent: Millard Tuddenham (Mr James Millard) [14994]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Unsuitable road access - site is landlocked, no footpath and highway safety issue in Bell Road which has high banks and a dangerous blind corner and a substandard junction; no capacity for increased traffic flow on local roads, which are poorly maintained (2) Would overload an ageing sewerage and drainage system prone to blockage; also poor electricity supply and broadband infrastructure (3) Impact on wildlife - particularly birds - through loss of hedgerow (4) Scale of development excessive especially in conjunction with other proposed and allocated sites including 24 home development on allocation BARN1 under construction: would destroy the character and rural setting of the village (5) Frequent incidents of surface water flooding in Bell Road (6) Services and facilities in Barnham Broom inadequate; primary school at capacity with consequent car parking problem near school; no childcare, no garage in the village as indicated in the settlement summary which will affect how development suitability would be assessed: this needs revisiting.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that there is in fact no garage in Barnham Broom as indicated in the settlement summary and this will be taken into account when considering the accessibility of development sites to services as part of this assessment.

13495	Object
Respondent: mr Robert Nairn [15106]	
10.107	
13497	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivor White [15081]	
14112	Object
Respondent: Mrs Louise Young [15618]	
14134	Object
Respondent: David Ford [15649]	
16081	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]	
13503	Object
Respondent: Ms Catherine Hayes [15109]	
,	
14163	Object
Respondent: Mrs Donna Maddox [15635]	
15960	Object
Respondent: Mr D Banyard [16649]	
16006	Ohioat
16086	Object
Respondent: Mr D Banyard [16649]	
16312	Object
Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]	-

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating (1) encroachment on the Yare Valley; (2) Unsuitable road access - site is landlocked, no footpath and highway safety issue in Bell Road which has high banks and a dangerous blind corner and a substandard junction; no capacity for increased traffic flow on local roads, which are poorly maintained; (3) quantity of homes is far too high for this area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13770 Comment

Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding (1) poor infrastructure and over-development of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14936 Object

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barnham Broom, GNLP0196

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Unsuitable and potentially unsafe road access - highway safety issue in Bell Road which has high banks and a dangerous blind corner and a substandard junction; no capacity for increased traffic flow on local roads (2) Would overload an ageing sewerage and drainage system prone to blockage; (3) Impact on wildlife - particularly birds - through loss of hedgerow, loss of flower species also highlighted (4) Scale of development excessive especially in conjunction with adjoining site GNLP0174 - 24 home development on allocation BARN1 under construction: would destroy the character of the village and disregard the welfare of local people; additionally the assessment of the site as unsuitable in the HELAA suggests development is inappropriate (5) Services and facilities in Barnham Broom inadequate; primary school at capacity with consequent car parking problem near school; no childcare, no garage in the village as indicated in the settlement summary which will affect how development suitability would be assessed: this needs revisiting. (6) Landscape impact on the superior Yare valley landscape and rural views (7) immediate impact on listed farm and mill buildings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. Sites already deemed unsuitable in the HELAA are less likely to be taken forward.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that there is in fact no garage in Barnham Broom as indicated in the settlement summary and this will be taken into account when considering the accessibility of development sites to services as part of this assessment.

16083 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sally Escrader [16376]

Support

Summary of representations:

Representation of support by Millard Tuddenham on behalf of the landowner. The Site represents sustainable development as set out in NPPF Paragraph 7 and is deliverable in terms of NPPF Footnote 11.

The Site would provide much needed market and affordable housing to meet the housing requirements of Broadland District Council (sic). The Site provides an opportunity to extend a consented development logically and provide coordinated long term growth. In all 6 of the growth options identified in the growth options consultation document there is a requirement to facilitate growth in locations such as Barnham Broom, and therefore The Site should be allocated to meet part of the identified housing requirement.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. Sites deemed unsuitable in the HELAA are less likely to be taken forward for the detailed assessment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16785 Support

Respondent: Millard Tuddenham (Mr James Millard) [14994]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Unsuitable and potentially unsafe road access - highway safety issue in Bell Road which has high banks and a dangerous blind corner and a substandard junction; no capacity for increased traffic flow on local roads (2) Would overload an ageing sewerage and drainage system prone to blockage; (3) Impact on wildlife - particularly birds - through loss of hedgerow, loss of flower species also highlighted (4) Scale of development excessive especially in conjunction with adjoining site GNLP0174 - 24 home development on allocation BARN1 under construction: would destroy the character of the village and disregard the welfare of local people; additionally the assessment of the site as unsuitable in the HELAA suggests development is inappropriate (5) Services and facilities in Barnham Broom inadequate; primary school at capacity with consequent car parking problem near school; no childcare, no garage in the village as indicated in the settlement summary which will affect how development suitability would be assessed: this needs revisiting. (6) Landscape impact on the superior Yare valley landscape and rural views (7) immediate impact on listed farm and mill buildings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. Sites already deemed unsuitable in the HELAA are less likely to be taken forward.

Action:

40474

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that there is in fact no garage in Barnham Broom as indicated in the settlement summary and this will be taken into account when considering the accessibility of development sites to services as part of this assessment.

13471	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivor White [15081]	
13504	Object
Respondent: Ms Catherine Hayes [15109]	
13769	Object
Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]	
14135	Object
Respondent: David Ford [15649]	
13496	Object
Respondent: mr Robert Nairn [15106]	

Respondent: Mrs Louise Young [15618]

14165 Object

Respondent: Mrs Donna Maddox [15635]

15958 Object

Respondent: Mr D Banyard [16649]

16314 Object

Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating (1) poor infrastructure and over-development for village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14937 Object

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Barnham Broom, GNLP0324

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating; (1) there is no garage in Barnham Broom, this needs to be updated; (2) insufficient infrastructure; (3) inadequate road widths; (4) negative impact on wildlife; (5) localised flooding and surface-water runoff; (6) back filling of land going against rural character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16085 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Sally Escrader [16376]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised included (1) Unsuitable and potentially unsafe road access - Spur Road narrow and dangerous, poor visibility on Norwich Road, poorly maintained road network (2) Would overload an ageing sewerage and drainage system prone to blockage; (3) Impact on wildlife - particularly birds - through loss of hedgerow, loss of flower species also highlighted (4) Scale of development excessive especially in conjunction with other sites proposed in the village - 24 home development on allocation BARN1 under construction: would destroy the character of the village: smaller scale development with cheaper homes for young families or elderly people - linear development along Norwich Road preferred (5) Services and facilities in Barnham Broom inadequate; primary school at capacity with consequent car parking problem near school; no childcare, no garage in the village as indicated in the settlement summary which will affect how development suitability would be assessed: this needs revisiting. (6) Significant risk of surface water flooding due to high water table/impermeable soils.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. We acknowledge that there is in fact no garage in Barnham Broom as indicated in the settlement summary and this will be taken into account when considering the accessibility of development sites to services as part of this assessment.

Respondent: David Ford [15649]

13502 Object

Respondent: Ms Catherine Hayes [15109]

15949 Object

Respondent: Mr D Banyard [16649]

16313 Object

Respondent: mrs josephine nairn [15329]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding (1) poor infrastructure and over-development of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14939 Object

Respondent: Barnham Broom Parish Council (Mrs B Boorman, Clerk) [12484]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bawburgh, GNLP0484

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised: (1) Development impinging on Yare Valley (2) Flooding potential in north-west corner. Could support if area vulnerable to flooding excluded.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15752 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Wilkinson [16557]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bawburgh, GNLP0581

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site stating it is suitable for mixed use development. Access preferable via Bowthorpe roundabout enabling provision of bus link. Site generally supported as no impact on Tud valley. Minimum of two exits needed. Noted that the labelling of the adjoining road as New Road and not Long Lane could lead to confusion. Potential for inclusion of adjoining site (abandoned solar farm).

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In relation to the road naming issue, New Road borders the south of the site and Long Lane borders the north.

16760 Support

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating; (1) support in recognition of constraints for river valley.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16515 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Loss of green space and natural areas; impacts on wildlife (2) unnecessary contribution to urban sprawl.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14824 Object

Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]

15764 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Wilkinson [16557]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site stating it is suitable for mixed use development. Access preferable via Bowthorpe roundabout enabling provision of bus link. Site generally supported as no impact on Tud valley. Minimum of two exits needed. Noted that the labelling of the adjoining road as New Road and not Long Lane could lead to confusion. Potential for inclusion of adjoining site (abandoned solar farm).

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. In relation to the road naming issue, New Road borders the south of the site and Long Lane borders the north.

16598 Support

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by parish council stating; (1) access should be via Bowthorpe; (2) power cables underground; (3) development avoids flood plains due to raised location; (4) potential use of S106 and CIL funding to support infrastructure improvements; (5) development needs a minimum of 2 exits.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13060 Support

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating; (1) vehicle access should only come from Dereham Road side; (2) green spaces maintained for local community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13068 Comment

Respondent: Mr Harry Mach [14760]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP0122

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Highway safety and traffic on Cookes Road, a single carriageway with no passing places; site previously rejected for allocation on two separate occasions; additional traffic on already congested A146 and safety issues on junction with Mill Road. (2) No mains drainage. (3) Heritage impact: Forms part of historic parkland formerly in curtilage of listed Washingford Barn (4) No precedent for development north of Cookes Road outside of settlement boundary; inappropriate greenfield site; would adversely affect dispersed rural character of settlement and encourage further out of character infill. (5) Impact on wildlife, pond adjoining of significant wildlife interest attracting a wide range of water birds; on site tree cover removed and ponds infilled in recent years apparently in anticipation of development should be reinstated; (6) Prone to flooding, boggy and poorly drained site (7) no local amenities, primary school already at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12902 Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]

13660	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hilary Ling [15253]	
13665	Object
Respondent: Mr Kip Bertram [15257]	
13971	Object
Respondent: Christopher Meynell [15512]	
14022	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]	
14029	Object
Respondent: Mr David Skedge [15311]	
14060	Object
Respondent: mrs Sandra Schroder [15583]	
14095	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Cushing [15622]	
14537	Object
Respondent: Audrey Chappell [14632]	
14562	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Crome [12907]	
14577	Object
Respondent: Mrs Stephanie Crome [15958]	
14866	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Back [15248]	

15556	Object
Respondent: John Ling [15217]	
15669	Object
Respondent: Mrs Renata Thornber [16525]	
15677	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Thornber [16542]	
15837	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Gosling [16505]	
16044	Object
Respondent: Ms Annie Whiteman [16115]	
16191	Object
Respondent: MR KARL RICH [15343]	
16305	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Finegan [16718]	

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Finegan [16/18]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding; (1) previously rejected under JCS; (2) site forms part of century old parkland and in cartilage of listed building; (3) no extended development boundary to north of Cookes Road; (4) road is single track with no passing places; (5) no mains drains; (6) located on greenfield land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP0203

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Highway safety and traffic on the local road network a major concern, Church Road narrow with numerous bends, poor visibility at Mill Road crossroads. (2) No mains sewerage. (3) Heritage impact: three listed houses immediately behind site whose character and outlook would be harmed (4) Inappropriate greenfield site close to social housing but previously rejected for potential allocation, adjoining brownfield site more suitable - no change in circumstances since; would lead to further urbanisation of settlement. (5) Impact on wildlife, Barn Owl frequents village; (6) Poor drainage in village (7) no local amenities, nearest GP five miles away, primary school already at capacity, infrequent public transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12903	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
14026	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]	
14030	Object
Respondent: Mr David Skedge [15311]	
14065	Object
Respondent: mrs Sandra Schroder [15583]	
14565	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Crome [12907]	

14579	Object
Respondent: Mrs Stephanie Crome [15958]	
15680	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Thornber [16542]	
16084	Object
Respondent: Ms Annie Whiteman [16115]	
16193	Object
Respondent: MR KARL RICH [15343]	
16231	Object
Respondent: Ms Diane Hinkly [16793]	
16234	Object

Respondent: Ms Diane Hinkly [16793]

Support

Summary of representations:

Representations in support. Site considered favourable because of its location close to social housing, would enhance this area of the village, well suited for development for young people and families; mains drainage available.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13661 Support

Respondent: Mrs Hilary Ling [15253]

15674 Support

Respondent: Mrs Renata Thornber [16525]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating; (1) the ite is close to centre of the village and adjacent to local authority housing; (2) greenfield site; (3) mains drainage available; (4) proposed under JCS but not selected.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13959 Object

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP0210

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating; (1) they are pleased to see that impacts on the CWS, protected woodland and species are seen as a major constraint.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16506 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Highway safety and traffic issue, Welbeck Road busy with dangerous bend and difficult junction with poor visibility, potential additional traffic onto A146. (2) Heritage impact: major harmful impact on setting of Grade II star listed parish church adjacent, contemporary estate housing inappropriate to village with diverse character and mixed styles and ages of buildings; (3) Major harmful impacts on established woodland and nature reserves (conservation trust land), county wildlife site and protected species, fundamental impact on rural landscape character and relative isolation of this part of village; (4) Difficult topography, sloping site (5) Inappropriate not to specify dwelling numbers in such a sensitive location.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12904	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
13662	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hilary Ling [15253]	
13975	Object
Respondent: Christopher Meynell [15512]	
14023	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]	
14031	Object
Respondent: Mr David Skedge [15311]	
14063	Object

Respondent: mrs Sandra Schroder [15583]

14064	Object
Respondent: mrs Sandra Schroder [15583]	
14101	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Cushing [15622]	
14567	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Crome [12907]	
14581	Object
Respondent: Mrs Stephanie Crome [15958]	
15565	Object
Respondent: John Ling [15217]	
15685	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Thornber [16542]	
15849	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Gosling [16505]	
16052	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Davy [16437]	
16092	Object
Respondent: Ms Annie Whiteman [16115]	
16224	Object
Respondent: mr keith mann [16750]	
16639	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Waters [16942]	

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating; (1) location near a listed church; (2) CWS and protected species within the vicinity; (3) impact on established woodland; (4) local road network unsuitable due to busy route to recycling centre; (5) development on a greenfield site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13960 Object

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Burgh Apton Conservation Trust stating; (1) impact on CWS; (2) adjacent to Church Plantation and up-slope of Valley Marsh; (3) down-slope drainage from site would degrade water quality and impact marshland biodiversity; (4) would impact rare species and impact valued amenity for local people.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14593 Object

Respondent: Bergh Apton Conservation Trust (Professor Anthony Davy, Chairman) [15960]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP0412

Support

Summary of representations:

Support for site stating the site is the best option for development overall, would offer option for mix of size and type of dwellings, development would be confined to brownfield part of site. Opportunity for regeneration of an eyesore, accessible site close to amenities and existing housing and on a bus route, mains drainage available. Some concerns re traffic impact despite in principle support.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12905	Support
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
13663	Support
Respondent: Mrs Hilary Ling [15253]	
14027	Support
Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]	
14033	Support
Respondent: Mr David Skedge [15311]	
14560	Cupport
14569	Support
Respondent: Mr Richard Crome [12907]	
15583	Support
Respondent: John Ling [15217]	
15670	Support
Respondent: Mrs Renata Thornber [16525]	

15856 Support

Respondent: Mrs Julie Gosling [16505]

15857 Support

Respondent: Mrs Julie Gosling [16505]

16223 Support

Respondent: mr keith mann [16750]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised include: (1) Highway safety and traffic on the local road network a concern, Church Road narrow with numerous bends, poor visibility at Mill Road crossroads, Slade Lane narrow and queues back to A146. (2) No mains drainage in street.(3) Impact on wildlife, countryside, nature conservation and protected species, Barn Owl frequents village; (4) no local amenities, primary school already at capacity, infrequent public transport. (5) Likely to have adverse visual impact notwithstanding that it would be regenerating a brownfield site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16194 Object

Respondent: MR KARL RICH [15343]

16232 Object

Respondent: Ms Diane Hinkly [16793]

16235 Object

Respondent: Ms Diane Hinkly [16793]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised included: (1) Number of dwellings felt to be excessive, 4 to 5 maximum preferred (2) More affordable and mid price homes needed rather than executive housing (3) Suburban housing out of keeping with the rural setting of Bergh Apton (4) Highway safety and traffic issues: poor visibility at Mill Road crossroads, Mill Road narrow and dangerous.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

'Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan'.

14079	Comment
Respondent: mrs Sandra Schroder [15583]	
14102	Comment
Respondent: Mr Richard Cushing [15622]	
14535	Comment
Respondent: Audrey Chappell [14632]	
14583	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Stephanie Crome [15958]	
15689	Comment

Respondent: Mr Paul Thornber [16542]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support for the site submitted by site agent FW Properties. Site best option for development overall, would offer option for mix of size and type of dwellings, concerns re historic contamination and drainage upgrades factored into viability assessment and can be addressed, development would be confined to brownfield part of site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13023 Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support submitted by parish council stating; (1) favoured site for development in village; (2) highway is adequate with passing places; (3) mains drainage available; (4) brownfield site would better utilise location and improve aesthetics of village; (5) range of dwelling types beneficial to village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13961 Support

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bergh Apton, GNLP0533

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Highway safety and congestion: road is narrow with no footpath and prone to speeding: uncontrolled parking issues evident, used by horse riders and walkers; additional traffic on already congested A146 and safety issues on junction with Mill Road. (2) No mains drainage. (3) Inappropriate greenfield site: over-development would have a harmful impact on the character of The Street and further suburbanise the village with continuous linear development in combination with two sites already under construction in the vicinity. (4) Loss of last remaining hedgerow with impact on wildlife and biodiversity, Barn Owl frequents village. (5) No amenities and facilities in village, inadequate bus service, primary school already at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12906	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Barfe [14660]	
13667	Object
Respondent: Mr Kip Bertram [15257]	
14024	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Skedge [15308]	
14034	Object
Respondent: Mr David Skedge [15311]	
14045	Object
Respondent: mrs Sandra Schroder [15583]	
14104	Object
D	

Respondent: Mr Richard Cushing [15622]

14540	Object
Respondent: Audrey Chappell [14632]	
14570	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Crome [12907]	
14585	Object
Respondent: Mrs Stephanie Crome [15958]	
15679	Object
Respondent: Mrs Renata Thornber [16525]	
15695	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Thornber [16542]	
15865	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Gosling [16505]	
16072	Object
Respondent: Ms Annie Whiteman [16115]	
16192	Object
Respondent: MR KARL RICH [15343]	
16233	Object
Respondent: Ms Diane Hinkly [16793]	

410 / 877

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating; (1) would lead to continuous development of east side of The Street and would spoil the street scene; (2) no mains drainage available; (3) highway adjacent is narrow and there are already speeding and parking issues; (4) development on a greenfield site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13962 Object

Respondent: Bergh Apton Parish Council (Mrs J Gosling, Clerk) [12708]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bixley, GNLP0323

Support

Summary of representations:

Supporting representation on behalf of the site promoter Arminghall Settlement. Findings of HELAA contested: Client has sufficient landholdings in the area to ensure that adequate highway access can be created. Site provides an opportunity to serve an alternative employment market to that catered for by sites on the edge of Norwich, which command higher rents. Furthermore, it enables employment uses to be provided closer to existing settlements to the south of Norwich and will assist in reducing journey times and trip lengths to access such facilities - not acknowledged in the HELAA. HELAA Amber rating for landscape impact acknowledges impacts can be mitigated: site well-screened and surrounded by land within the same ownership therefore potential to mitigate any potential landscape impact. Site is low lying and screening acts to limit views of the existing farm buildings from publicly accessible areas. A carefully designed layout would work to limit both short range and long-range views towards the development. The design would also work with the locally characteristic vegetation noted in the published Landscape Character Assessment, such as small areas of woodland and hedgerows with trees, to further limit or mitigate views. Amber rating for townscape impact in the HELAA can be similarly mitigated although it is not clear which aspect of townscape is likely to be impacted on.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

16798 Support

Respondent: Trustees of Arminghall Settlement [15661]

Agent: Pegasus Planning Group (Ms Nicky Parsons, Regional Director) [13847]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating; (1) Will attract additional traffic on already congested B1132 (2) Would contribute to spread of urbanisation into the countryside (3) Housing requirement in the area already met: further development unnecessary [NB housing is not in fact included as part of this proposal] (4) No local infrastructure to support scale of development proposed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14877 Comment

Respondent: Ms Ann Symonds [16146]

15712 Object

Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by both Bixley Parish Council and Poringland Parish Council stating; (1) Remote, site with poor unsuitable access from the highway, Poringland PC would oppose on these grounds but development otherwise welcome.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13676 Object

Respondent: Bixley Parish Council (Ms Tina Eagle, Clerk) [13007]

16420 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bixley, GNLP1032

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of the site from Pegasus Planning Group on behalf of Arminghall Settlement and one other: (1) Poringland offers a range of facilities supporting Stoke Holy Cross and Framingham Earl in addition; the speed and volume of traffic on the B1332 is of concern and positioning new accessible mixed use development north of the village likely to encourage use of these facilities and discourage commuting further into Norwich. The supporting rep from Pegasus Planning includes further technical evidence in relation to transport, landscape impact and drainage, in conjunction with neighbouring site GNLP0321 at Framingham Earl - see full text.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16718 Support

Respondent: Trustees of Arminghall Settlement [15661]

Agent: Pegasus Planning Group (Ms Nicky Parsons, Regional Director) [13847]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating; (1) there may be a biodiversity constraint in relation to habitats on site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16507 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Additional traffic generation on the already busy B1332; (2) Use of valuable Grade 2 agricultural land required for food production; (3) Unnecessary as local housing needs already met without further development being required; (5) Local infrastructure not in place to support such a development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14873 Object

Respondent: Ms Ann Symonds [16146]

15748 Object

Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of the site from Pegasus Planning Group on behalf of Arminghall Settlement and one other: (1) Poringland offers a range of facilities supporting Stoke Holy Cross and Framingham Earl in addition; the speed and volume of traffic on the B1332 is of concern and positioning new accessible mixed use development north of the village likely to encourage use of these facilities and discourage commuting further into Norwich. The supporting rep from Pegasus Planning includes further technical evidence in relation to transport, landscape impact and drainage, in conjunction with neighbouring site GNLP0321 at Framingham Earl - see full text.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16372 Support

Respondent: Mr John Joyce [15003]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Bixley Parish Council stating; (1) development not appropriate due to extension of linear form and promotes further growth to the north of existing urbanised settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13677 Object

Respondent: Bixley Parish Council (Ms Tina Eagle, Clerk) [13007]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by Poringland Parish Council stating; (1) location of site to north of village; (2) no through traffic into village; (3) matches up other side of road; (4) against use of grade 2 agricultural land and contribution to linar vision of village. Generally support.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16401 Support

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment stating; (1) site contributing to linear nature of village; (2) use of Grade 2 agricultural land; (3) drainage problems; (4) site could provide industrial and employment spaces which is required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16567 Comment

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP0026

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from Bracon Ash and Hethel Parish Council do not object to site GNLP0026 being included in the local plan.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16376 Comment

Respondent: Bracon Ash and Hethel Parish Council (Carole Jowett) [16900]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP0195

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised (1) General disruption and congestion on already very dangerous junction on B1113 with blind bend; (2) needs to take account of proposed onshore wind farm grid development at Swardeston and employment development at Harford Bridges (3) Site vulnerable to flooding and would increase pollution and waste (4) General objection to the scale of development in Bracon Ash in conjunction with other site proposals - intolerable pressure on infrastructure: GP surgeries and schools at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16814 Object

Respondent: Mrs Celia Sheldrake [15923]

16207 Object

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Chris & Marina Carter [16790]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Mulbarton Parish Council regarding; (1) no access to south of plot; (2) highways standards with dangerous junction on B1113 and no access to existing infrastructure; (3) dispute the RAG assessment which does not accurately address the sites constraints and impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19735 Object

Respondent: Mulbarton Parish Council (Miss A Phillips, Clerk) [13463]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Bracon Ash PC stating; (1) Separated from Bracon Ash and closer to Mulbarton - development would erode strategic gap between the settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16375 Object

Respondent: Bracon Ash and Hethel Parish Council (Carole Jowett) [16900]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted stating; (1) Although site is relatively small scale and well related to existing housing, constraints likely to weigh against its suitability; (2) dangerous junction on B1113 with blind bend; (3) Site vulnerable to flooding and would increase pollution and waste; (4) On common land (sic) and a valuable green space for the community as footpath to allotments etc.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16554 Comment

Respondent: Mr. Ian Haigh [16920]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP0299

Object

Summary of representations:

Bracon Ash and Hethel Parish Council raised objections (1) Allocation unnecessary; site already has a subsequent planning consent for 15 homes including 7 with detailed approval. Parish council object to this being in the GNLP.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16374 Object

Respondent: Bracon Ash and Hethel Parish Council (Carole Jowett) [16900]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Mulbarton Parish Council regarding the site as being a self-build plot.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19734 Comment

Respondent: Mulbarton Parish Council (Miss A Phillips, Clerk) [13463]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating: (1) small scale and not badly situated in relation to other housing; (2) Housing being pushed further from the traditional centre of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16555 Comment

Respondent: Mr. Ian Haigh [16920]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP0549

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Bracon Ash Residents Group stating; (1) HELAA suitability assessment flawed and takes no account of previous refusals of permission.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

16524 Object

Respondent: Bracon Ash Residents Group (Mr Luke Stone) [16918]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised (2) Separation from nearest services and facilities in Mulbarton would encourage unsustainable car travel (3) Hawkes Lane prone to flooding (4) Impact on adjoining common and county wildlife site (4) Highway safety: Access unsuitable: narrow lane with no footpath and dangerous junction with B1113 (5) Heritage impact on setting of Grade II and II star listed buildings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13840 Object

Respondent: Mr Steve Horton [15388]

13992 Object

Respondent: Mrs Zoe Barr [15538]

16266 Object

Respondent: Mr Alfred Barnes [15616]

Support

Summary of representations:

Supporting representation on behalf of the site promoter. This site is still available for consideration for residential development - it could come forward for either private or self-build/custom build dwellings. Dwellings could be positioned within the northern part of the site to relate well to the existing housing. An access point could be proposed off Hawkes Lane which will connect into the existing public right of way which runs along the western boundary. Scope to introduce widening of parts of Hawkes Lane which will be of benefit to the development and existing residents.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15296 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Jane Crichton, Associate Planner) [12905]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Jane Crichton, Associate Planner) [12905]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Bracon Ash Parisg Council stating; (1) Site previously refused planning permission on several occasions (2) Separation from nearest services and facilities in Mulbarton would encourage unsustainable car travel due to lack of availability of footpaths (3) Hawkes Lane prone to flooding, no riparian rights to enable surface water drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16373 Object

Respondent: Bracon Ash and Hethel Parish Council (Carole Jowett) [16900]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bracon Ash, GNLP1055

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating; (1) Impact on green belt (sic) and wildlife; NWT have specific concerns re impact and recreational pressures on Ashwellthorpe Wood SSSI; Lizard and Silfield County Wildlife Sites and Oxford Common but welcome recognition in HELAA of impacts on protected sites and priority habitats; these are already under threat from growth in south Wymondham; NWT will object in the absence of appropriate mitigation.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16508 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Historic England stating; (1) Significant harm to setting of Stanfield Hall, local heritage significance of Hethel Airfield needs to be recognised; (2) further work required to identify constraint and opportunities and further archaeological and landscape impact assessment welcomed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16707 Comment

Respondent: Historic England (Eric Martin, Historic Environment Planning Adviser) [16932]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised; (1) Holding objection to the inclusion of Stanfield Hall in the proposal which has been done without his knowledge or consent of the owner [note that this was due to an inadvertent error by the GNLP team when replotting the site in our system: site boundary since corrected to remove the Hall and grounds] (2) Fundamental objection to development at this scale on greenfield land, would have wholly unacceptable environmental and traffic impacts on the surrounding area; development unnecessary as already sufficient potential for growth in other locations (3) Too close to Wymondham to be self-contained and will have a massive impact on already overstretched schools, services and facilities; (4) Road infrastructure not fit for purpose (5) No suitable access into Wymondham on foot or by bike; (6) Susceptibility to ground water flooding; (7) Impact on green belt.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12974 Object

Respondent: Mr Andre Serruys [14710]

14991 Object

Respondent: Mr Kevin Smith [16196]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Bracon Ash Parish Council stating; (1) Fundamental objection to development at this scale on greenfield land, would have wholly unacceptable environmental and traffic impacts on the surrounding area; development unnecessary as already sufficient potential for growth in other locations; (2) Significant harm to setting of Stanfield Hall, local heritage significance of Hethel Airfield needs to be recognised; (3) Road infrastructure not fit for purpose.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Bracon Ash and Hethel Parish Council (Carole Jowett) [16900]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bramerton, GNLP0366

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Highway safety issue: narrow, dangerous and busy roads through village, access onto The Street has substandard visibility, no suitable access into site - takes land in curtilage of affordable housing which would front a road if site developed; (2) longstanding drainage problem affecting Bramerton worsened by previous housing development not taking sufficient regard to these issues; drainage issue needs to be resolved before further development is contemplated, water and electricity supply issues also; (3) Environmental impact on fragile ecological area with variety and diversity of wildlife; (4) Heritage impact on adjacent Grade II listed building and on character and appearance of Conservation Area; (5) Development of site rejected on four previous occasions including twice on appeal, no change in circumstances since; (6) Backland development inappropriate in Bramerton.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16174	Object
Respondent: MRS LYNN SMEDLEY [15252]	
13519	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Barker [15120]	
13107	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Partridge [14776]	
13659	Object
Respondent: MRS LYNN SMEDLEY [15252]	
13697	Object
Respondent: Mr John Anderton [15278]	

Respondent: Mr John Barnes [14934]

13810 Object

Respondent: Mr John Barnes [14934]

13839 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jessica May [15389]

13841 Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Hawes [14651]

Agent: Mr Christopher Hawes [14651]

14344 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Shaw [15785]

16170 Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Hawes [14651]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding backland development without good access to main road, site within cartilage of grade 3 listed building with 3 planning applications previously turned down.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13666 Comment

Respondent: Mr Brian Ansell [15258]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Bramerton Parish Council stating; (1) poor vehicle access; (2) proximity to a listed building in a conservation area; (3) over-development of the village 'backland'.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15921 Object

Respondent: Bramerton Parish Council (Ms G McArthur) [16656]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brockdish, GNLP0385

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from Brockdish and Thorpe Abbots Parish Council re sites GNLP0385 and GNLP0464. Issues raised (1) No demonstrable need for significant new housing development in the village, referencing ongoing need assessment by Saffron Housing Association to determine exact level of need; (2) Lack of necessary infrastructure and services in the village to support major development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16366 Comment

Respondent: Brockdish & Thorpe Abbotts Parish Council (Mr Derek Clark, Parish Councillor) [16817]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brockdish, GNLP0464

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from Brockdish and Thorpe Abbots Parish Council re sites GNLP0385 and GNLP0464. Issues raised (1) No demonstrable need for significant new housing development in the villages, referencing ongoing need assessment by Saffron Housing Association to determine exact level of need; (2) Lack of necessary infrastructure and services in the village to support major development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16367 Comment

Respondent: Brockdish & Thorpe Abbotts Parish Council (Mr Derek Clark, Parish Councillor) [16817]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP0077

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council and one other regarding the site already having planning permission for 3 self-build dwellings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19637 Comment

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

19742 Comment

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP0432

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised regarding; (1) encroachment on countryside; (2) outside development boundary; (3) removal of agricultural land; (4) cause village to link with grounds of Brooke Lodge; (5) impact of character on northern approach to village; (6) ribbon style development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19712 Object

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment stating a request that consideration should be given to diverting speeding lorries away from the B1332 through Brooke in view of the potentially significant growth in housing numbers and traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. The traffic implications of any eventual allocations for housing or other forms of development in [Brooke] will need to be carefully assessed as part of that process. Where necessary, a requirement to contribute to appropriate traffic management and speed mitigation measures on the wider road network could form part of a site-specific allocation policy in the local plan.

13465 Comment

Respondent: Mr Richard Weston [15064]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Brooke Parish Council stating; (1) development in open countryside on agricultural land; (2) would reduce separation of village from Brooke Lodge; (3) impact character and form of village; (4) would be ribbon development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19737 Object

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP0490

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding; (1) 17 houses too many for site, lower number may be acceptable; (2) development of land rejected in the past for numerous reasons suggesting no development would be granted this time around; (3) site would impact very few other dwellings and has ready-made access although it is in a conservation area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19633 Comment

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

Summary of representations:

One objection to site GNLP0490 from a private individual. Issues raised (1) Access to Mereside is already dangerous from both directions; development likely to put further pressure on Hunstead Lane junction; absence of footpath is a highway safety hazard if drivers do not exercise care; (2) Wildlife impact: ducks around the Mere may be exposed to increased hazard from traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13391 Object

Respondent: mr graham panter [15014]

Support

Summary of representations:

Supporting representation to site GNLP0490 from Lanpro Services on behalf of the site promoter. The site will deliver some 0.76 ha of new permanent public open space alongside the 17 dwellings proposed, helping to address deficiencies in green infrastructure in the village. The green space proposed exceeds the normal policy requirement for a site of this size. Further supporting evidence has been submitted as part of the full submission.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16672 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Philip Atkinson, Director) [12609]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Brooke Parish Council stating; (1) encroachment beyond development boundary; (2) land within conservation area; (3) development on land has been previously rejected; (4) removal of high quality agricultural land; (5) impact on nearby CWS; (6) impact on form and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19738 Object

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP0579

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding impact on area of ancient woodland, unsustainable development due to isolation from village services, random development contrary to local and national planning policy and is a form of ribbon development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19636 Object

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

19625 Object

Respondent: Edward Jinks [18029]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Brooke Parish Council stating impact on area of ancient woodland, development unsustainable due to isolation from village, sporadic and ribbon development contrary to national and local planning policy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19741 Object

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP0583

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised (1) Inadequate road access from The Street; (2) Highway safety issue with dangerous junction at The Meres and Hunstead Lane (3) Potential danger to wildlife on and around The Meres from increased traffic (4) Out of scale with the village (5) Brooke characterised by areas of water, ditches and boggy ground - development may lead to displacement of water (6) Loss of agricultural land (6) Heritage impacts due to position adjoining conservation area (7) Lies across public right of way (8) Inadequate services and facilities in Brooke to support this scale of development: no dentist, GP surgery or secondary school.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan'.

19634 Object

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

13408 Object

Respondent: mr graham panter [15014]

Respondent: Mrs Angela Garner [15696]

19624 Object

Respondent: Edward Jinks [18029]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Brooke Parish Council stating; (1) significant encroachment beyond development boundary; (2) removal of high quality agricultural land; (3) negative impact on setting of conservation area; (4) adverse impact on form and character of village; (5) not suitable for vehicle access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19739 Object

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Brooke, GNLP0584

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding; (1) encroachment into open countryside; (2) removal of high quality agricultural land; (3) negative impact on conservation area; (4) out of character with form and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19635 Object

Respondent: W Walker [18700]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Brooke Parish Council stating; (1) removal of high quality agricultural land; (2) negative impact on conservation area; (3) adverse impact on forma and character of village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19740 Object

Respondent: Brooke Parish Council (Mrs Jo Andrews, Clerk) [18380]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Broome, GNLP0346

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating that they are please to see recognition of constraints relating to Broome Heath CWS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16509 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Representation in support of site GNLP0346 from Savills on behalf of the site promoter. Savills have presented detailed comments in respect of the amber ratings in the HELAA constraints and impacts analysis re access, transport and roads, flood risk, significant landscapes, biodiversity, utilities capacity and townscapes - see supporting documentation attached to full representation for details.

Response:

Comments submitted including those in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16741 Support

Respondent: Ditchingham Farms [16995]

Agent: Savills (Lynette Swinburne, Associate Director, Rural Consultancy Services) [16991]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bunwell, GNLP0009

Object

Summary of representations:

Issues raised:(1) Site incorporates a smaller local plan housing allocation (BUN2) fronting B1113; larger development promoted by the Parish Council previously rejected as inappropriate by a majority of residents; (2) Site separated from village centre and better alternatives exist.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13557 Object

Respondent: Mr Phil Gledhill [12749]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted stating the site is potentially suitable as a long term aspiration for self contained community but would require local open space on site as existing recreational provision unsuitably located.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13393 Comment

Respondent: Mr Allan Kerr [13226]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bunwell, GNLP0224

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection from an individual: issues raised (1) Site not well-related to settlement; (2) Likely light pollution from adjoining industrial area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13558 Object

Respondent: Mr Phil Gledhill [12749]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bunwell, GNLP0537

Comment

Summary of representations:

Representation in support of site GNLP0537 from Bidwells on behalf of the site promoter - site appropriate for housing with a mixture of housing types, sizes and tenures, being suitable, available, achievable and deliverable: Well located to village and accessible to local services and facilities: Will contribute to a strong vibrant and healthy community, scope for enhanced green infrastructure and CIL contributions toward necessary health and cultural facilities..

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13559 Comment

Respondent: Mr Phil Gledhill [12749]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment from an individual. Issues raised: (1) Industrial use of site likely to be incompatible with adjoining housing [NB this is not being suggested in this location - proposal is residential] (2) Housing development preferable as would round off development at this end of the village and maintain open views: accessible to local services and amenities

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15714 Support

Respondent: Mr Gedge [16813]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Simon Henry, Principal Planner) [13416]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Bunwell, GNLP0538

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from and individual: No reason why part of this site could not be used for housing development, including the area behind the affordable housing in Bunwell Street. Would retain break in the housing and preserve views - ideal for residents given proximity to village services. Assume could be connected. sewers

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13561 Comment

Respondent: Mr Phil Gledhill [12749]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Burston & Shimpling, GNLP0349

Mixed

Summary of representations:

One representation in support of site GNLP0349 from Savills on behalf of the site promoter and a comment from Burston and Shimpling Parish Council. HELAA assessment of site as suitable is welcomed; promoter considers site appropriate on grounds of upgrading of Burston to service village, good accessibility to services; primary school in easy reach, no significant flood risk or landscape constraints; public transport service to Diss; location adjacent to settlement boundary. The parish council supports development in principle but considers scale excessive - also lack of footpath of concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'.

Action:

Issues raised and comments submitted in support of sites will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16694 Support

Respondent: Thelveton Farms [16973]

Agent: Savills (Mr Gareth Watts, Agent) [14393]

Object

Summary of representations:

Four objections to site GNLP0349 from individuals. Issues raised (1) Scale of development excessive and inappropriate for size of village especially in conjunction with other promoted sites (albeit that one respondent supported the principle of a smaller scale of growth); (2) Traffic and highway safety issue: Gissing Road single track and unsuitable for traffic generated which would overload Burston - village already suffers from a high volume of commercial traffic, would also put additional traffic pressure on Diss; (3) Electricity supply issue - power supply vulnerable to failure; (4) Poor broadband coverage; (5) Public transport services are minimal; (6) Loss of greenfield land, wildlife impact particularly on a number of red listed bird species; (7) Despite claimed proximity to school there is no safe footpath access to or through the village and little scope to provide it.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: mr keith cowley [14690]

13246 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jenny Turner [14807]

16045 Object

Respondent: Mr Tim Cowan [16679]

16293 Object

Respondent: Mrs Norma Ajdukiewicz [15108]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

One representation in support of site GNLP0349 from Savills on behalf of the site promoter and a comment from Burston and Shimpling Parish Council. HELAA assessment of site as suitable is welcomed; promoter considers site appropriate on grounds of upgrading of Burston to service village, good accessibility to services; primary school in easy reach, no significant flood risk or landscape constraints; public transport service to Diss; location adjacent to settlement boundary. The parish council supports development in principle but considers scale excessive - also lack of footpath of concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'.

Action:

Issues raised and comments submitted in support of sites will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16697 Comment

Respondent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council [11137]

Agent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council (Nigel Frankland, Chair) [16975]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Burston & Shimpling, GNLP0386

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised: (1) Scale of development excessive and inappropriate for size of village especially in conjunction with other promoted sites, unreasonable not to specify number of homes; (2) Inappropriate extension of village envelope into open countryside beyond settlement boundary (3) Traffic and highway safety issue: single track lane unsuitable for traffic generated which would overload Burston - village already suffers from a high volume of commercial traffic; (4) Electricity supply issue - power supply vulnerable to failure; (5) Poor broadband coverage; (6) Despite claimed proximity to school there is no safe footpath access to or through the village and little scope to provide it.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12949

Respondent: mr keith cowley [14690]

13245

Respondent: Mrs Jenny Turner [14807]

16292

Object

Respondent: Mrs Norma Ajdukiewicz [15108]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Burston and Shimpling Parish Council regarding; (1) number of homes not being specified, could increase number of homes by 20%; (2) no real facilities and access via single track road with no footpath; (3) development would infill between Burston and Audley End.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16699 Object

Respondent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council [11137]

Agent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council (Nigel Frankland, Chair) [16975]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Burston & Shimpling, GNLP0560

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised (1) Scale of development excessive; inappropriate extension of development into open countryside beyond the settlement boundary, out of character with existing village form; (2) Adverse impact of development alongside ancient green lane through potential misuse and damage; (3) Traffic and highway safety issue: unsuitable for traffic generated - village already suffers from a high volume of commercial traffic, would also put additional traffic pressure on Diss and also on Shimpling from vehicles cutting through village to access A140; (4) Electricity supply under strain; (5) Poor broadband coverage; (6) School capacity limited; public transport services are minimal.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12950 Object

Respondent: mr keith cowley [14690]

Respondent: Mrs Jenny Turner [14807]

13309 Object

Respondent: Alison Wakeham [14709]

13892 Object

Respondent: Mr Danny Turner [15425]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Burston and Shimpling Parish Council stating; (1) no access to highway apart from single track Green Lane; (2) the site is beyond the present boundary of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16700 Object

Respondent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council [11137]

Agent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council (Nigel Frankland, Chair) [16975]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Burston & Shimpling, GNLP0561

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised (1) Scale of development excessive; inappropriate extension of development into open countryside beyond the settlement boundary, out of character with existing village form; (2) Adverse impact of development alongside ancient green lane - drain runs under this route so access to GNLP0560 would need to be provided from this site; (3) Traffic and highway safety issue: potentially dangerous site access, narrow footpaths unsuitable for traffic generated, potential congestion - village already suffers from a high volume of commercial traffic, would also put additional traffic pressure on Diss and also on Shimpling road from vehicles cutting through village to access A140; (4) Electricity supply under strain; (5) Poor broadband coverage; (6) School capacity limited, starter homes would by default put additional pressure on existing services and facilities; occupants of starter homes may be reliant on public transport services which are currently minimal.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12951	Object
Respondent: mr keith cowley [14690]	
13243	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jenny Turner [14807]	
13310	Object
Respondent: Alison Wakeham [14709]	
13893	Object
Respondent: Mr Danny Turner [15425]	
16294	Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Burston and Shimpling Parish Council regarding; (1) 30 homes would increase housing in village by 30%; (2) access to village is along a busy road with no footpath; (3) public transport is not sufficient; (4) starter homes would bring young families to the village which may swamp the primary school.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16701 Object

Respondent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council [11137]

Agent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council (Nigel Frankland, Chair) [16975]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Burston & Shimpling, GNLP0562

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised (1) Inappropriate extension of development into open countryside beyond the settlement boundary; (2) Impact of additional traffic: - would put additional pressure on congested village roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13894 Object

Respondent: Mr Danny Turner [15425]

Support

Summary of representations:

Representation of support to site GNLP0562 from an individual. Development would fit in with existing cluster of development on village edge - little or no impact anticipated.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13308 Support

Respondent: Alison Wakeham [14709]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Burston and Shimpling Parsh Council stating; (3) Greenfield development less preferable option for single house than development of existing farmyard which is a brownfield site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16702 Comment

Respondent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council [11137]

Agent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council (Nigel Frankland, Chair) [16975]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Burston & Shimpling, GNLP1028

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection from Burston and Shimpling Parish Council - site effectively beyond existing village; access would be via a heavily trafficked section of road with no footpaths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16703 Object

Respondent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council [11137]

Agent: Burston and Shimpling Parish Council (Nigel Frankland, Chair) [16975]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP0131

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues due to location on a bend on narrow road with poor viability, drainage concerns, previously rejected for housing, loss of natural habitat for wildlife, increased pressure on local services, housing quota for area has already been achieved, isolated development location, impact on rural landscape and reliance on private transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13644 Object

Respondent: Mr Ken Barnes [15232]

13767 Object

Respondent: Mr john Phillips [15125]

14155 Object Respondent: Mr Brian Grundy [15667] 14363 Object Respondent: Mr Paul Chapman [15801] 14875 Object Respondent: Ms Ann Symonds [16146] Object 15751 Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527] 15889 Object Respondent: R Woods [16637] 15944 Object Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432] 15945 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

Support

Summary of representations:

Support for this site provided that infrastructure is improved for pedestrians in order to have safe access as there are some road safety concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

13921 Support

Respondent: Miss Frances Kemp [15453]

14772 Support

Respondent: mr Barry Catchpole [15644]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues due to location on a bend on narrow road with poor viability, drainage concerns, previously rejected for housing, loss of natural habitat for wildlife, increased pressure on local services, housing quota for area has already been achieved, isolated development location, impact on rural landscape and reliance on private transport.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16569 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils stating planning permission for the site has been refused twice, not in-keeping with village, drainage issues, site access - with lack of public transport and no footpath making proposal unsustainable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Caistor St Edmund Parish Council (Simon Woods) [16375]

16403 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP0485

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment acknowledged about the recognition of constraints relating to CWS. Any country park development should ensure continued management and protection of the CWS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16532 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of development linking area with Norwich, increase traffic through village, condition of road surfaces, destroy the local rural landscape, extension of linear form, offer of a country park is unnecessary as it is already open countryside, no good access to Caistor Lane, loss of ancient woodland and farmland, destruction of wildlife habitats, no services to support this scale of development, location near ancient woodland and Boudacia Way, pollution of nearby chalk aquifer, surface water flooding and encroaches on greenbelt land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13327	Object
Respondent: Mrs Vicki Clover [14946]	
13481	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs Keith & Deborah Wilson [15089]	Object
Respondent. Will a Will's Return a Deborari Wilson [10007]	
13645	Object
Respondent: Mr Ken Barnes [15232]	
13761	Object
Respondent: Mr john Phillips [15125]	Object
Respondent. Wil John Phillips [13123]	
14388	Object
Respondent: sandra browne [15794]	
14156	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Grundy [15667]	
14773	Object
Respondent: mr Barry Catchpole [15644]	
14457	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Bowers [15435]	
14807	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Chapman [15801]	
14878	Object
Respondent: Ms Ann Symonds [16146]	
1==10	
15713	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Hartley [15705]	

15753	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]	
15892	Object
Respondent: R Woods [16637]	
15943	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
15941	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Gordon [16627]	
15967	Object
Respondent: Mr Clive Gordon [16621]	
16023	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	
15987	Object
Respondent: Mr Chris Troise [15351]	
16568	Object
Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]	
16685	Object
Respondent: Mr John Pitchford [16947]	

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of the site. The land is controlled by Glavenhill and is immediately available/deliverable to meet planned housing targets and to address existing acknowledged shortfalls in accessible public open space. 300 new dwellings, including affordable housing, and a large area of permanent green infrastructure in the form of the new Caistor Country Park.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16333 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Philip Atkinson, Director) [12609]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by three local parish councils stating; (1) development would fail to protect the strategic gap between settlements; (2) increase in volume of traffic and poor access to site; (3) services are already at capacity, the local school is full along with the GP Surgery even after a new building in Poringland; (4) negative impact on village feel; (5) reliance on private transport and impact on ancient woodland a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13886 Object

Respondent: Bixley Parish Council (Ms Tina Eagle, Clerk) [13007]

15331 Object

Respondent: Caistor St Edmund Parish Council (Simon Woods) [16375]

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP0491

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating part of site already has permission for a small number of houses, narrow access to site, any development here would be seen as infill development, not suited to scale of surrounding properties, no footpaths, surface water flooding would be exacerbated, loss of prime agricultural land, outside development boundary, negative impact on the form and character of the village, potential pollution of chalk aquifer and adverse impact of natural wildlife habitats.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13646	Object
Respondent: Mr Ken Barnes [15232]	
13760	Object
Respondent: Mr john Phillips [15125]	
14020	Object
Respondent: Mr Charles Bussey [15563]	
14158	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Grundy [15667]	
14774	Object

Respondent: mr Barry Catchpole [15644]

·	,
14817	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Chapman [15801]	
15047	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Bowers [15435]	
15754	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]	
15806	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Bowers [15435]	
15938	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
15939	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
15976	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	
16211	Object
Respondent: Ms Sue Butters [16791]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made about the concern over school capacity and ancient woodland if development goes ahead.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15898 Comment

Respondent: R Woods [16637]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating part of site already has permission for a small number of houses, narrow access to site, any development here would be seen as infill development, not suited to scale of surrounding properties, no footpaths, surface water flooding would be exacerbated, loss of prime agricultural land, outside development boundary, negative impact on the form and character of the village, potential pollution of chalk aquifer and adverse impact of natural wildlife habitats.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16570 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

16686 Object

Respondent: Mr John Pitchford [16947]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of the site by site agents Lanpro Services Ltd stating strategic site delivery of 99 dwellings, previously promoted, further designed to enable the creation of 3ha of GI to meet existing public open space deficiencies in this area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

16341 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Philip Atkinson, Director) [12609]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two local parish council stating excessive traffic congestion, scale of development is not in-keeping with village setting, very few existing amenities, services are already at capacity - no places left at local schools, promoting 'backland' development, no access to public transport and a lack of footpaths.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15335 Object

Respondent: Caistor St Edmund Parish Council (Simon Woods) [16375]

16404 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP0532

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by local parish council and district councillor stating agreement with the official assessment due to being an unjustifiable rural development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15716 Object

Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]

15917 Object

Respondent: Caistor St Edmund Parish Council (Simon Woods) [16375]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Caistor St Edmund, GNLP1047

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding disruption to wildlife and impact on the natural environment, noise/light pollution, devaluation of homes, disruption to way of life and privacy to existing residents, pressure on local services, destruction of existing green spaces and the area has already seen a large amount of development in recent years.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14844 Object

Respondent: Mr Danny Manthorpe [15570]

Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]

15896 Object

Respondent: R Woods [16637]

15934 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

15947 Object

Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]

16573 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by three local parish council regarding a recent increase in housing by 33%, limited facilities and infrastructure, allowing from GI the site has capacity for 60 homes, increase traffic on roads, inadequate footpaths, major intrusion into land outside settlement boundary, potential contamination on RAF site, dominated by mast towers, leads to further infill development and access is generally poor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15911 Object

Respondent: Caistor St Edmund Parish Council (Simon Woods) [16375]

14726 Object

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Mrs B Cattermole, Clerk) [12803]

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Carleton Rode, GNLP0438

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, pedestrian footpaths, access, lack of public transport, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern of damage to biodiversity and that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14859 Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Smith [16129]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Carleton Rode, GNLP0439

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding effect on local listed properties and sewage, danger to protected wildlife species, over-reliance on the use of car, unsuitable single track road, no demand for additional property in the village, no gas so dependant on oil and deliveries, over-development of greenfield site, proposed housing not in-keeping with bungalows, density inappropriate in rural area and does not continue linear pattern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14787 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janet Major [16078]

Respondent: Mr Matt Barrett [15394]

14863 Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Smith [16129]

15327 Object

Respondent: Mr David Watson [15676]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Carleton Rode, GNLP0547

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location on a dangerous bend with limited visibility, speed limit ignored, additional traffic with pedestrians having to walk down Turnpike Road, development of a greenfield site, remote from main village, surface water drainage inappropriate, scale of development and a smaller application was minded for refusal by SNC.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14215 Object

Respondent: Mr Douglas Allen [15711]

14867 Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Smith [16129]

16181 Object

Respondent: Mr Kevin Greenwood [16784]

16182 Object

Respondent: Mr Barry Garner [16785]

Respondent: Mrs Patrica Graham [16786]

16346 Object

Respondent: Mr David Watson [15676]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of proposal stating that the site owner will improve road safety by providing a lay-by for buses to pull in and a footpath from the rear of the site to Rode Lane.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14608 Support

Respondent: Mrs Susan Dennis [15939] **Agent**: Mr Peter Moore [15935]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Claxton, GNLP0530

Respondent: Mrs Gemma Bernau [16235]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding poor access and lack of existing infrastructure, isolated location causing intrusion into local countryside, narrow lanes with poor drainage meaning access is subject to flooding, increase in traffic on Green Lane with blind bends, lack of pavements and impact on wildlife and its habitat on agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

12862	Object
Respondent: Claxton Parish Council (Mr M Balmer, Clerk) [12637]	
13681	Object
Respondent: Professor Roland Kaye [15270]	
14015	Object
Respondent: Mr David Hamblin [15561]	
14074	Object
Respondent: Ms Jackie Kennedy [15397]	
14996	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anna Gamble [16204]	
15046	Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating the site is outside development area, status as an elevated greenfield site on high grade agricultural land, poor access to services, narrow single track road susceptible to flooding, development would create an unwelcome increase in traffic for agricultural vehicles and impact on wildlife and habitats on the site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14243 Object

Respondent: Claxton Parish Council (Mr M Balmer, Clerk) [12637]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0140

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is suitable, available and achievable. Represents a sustainable solution for NRP expansion and a link to the UEA. Sites A and B have planning permission for new sports pitches, clubhouse, storage and parking. There are also two newly submitted sites adjoining the Sainsubry Centre and Congregational Hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15189 Support

Respondent: University of East Anglia [16297]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr James Alflatt, Partner, Planning Team) [14389]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is subject for an existing planning consent for new sports facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14555 Support

Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is suitable, available and achievable. Represents a sustainable solution for NRP expansion and a link to the UEA. Sites A and B have planning permission for new sports pitches, clubhouse, storage and parking. There are also two newly submitted sites adjoining the Sainsubry Centre and Congregational Hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment'

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16683 Support

Respondent: UEA Estates & Buildings (Mr Roger Bond, Director of Estates) [12480]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr James Alflatt, Partner, Planning Team) [14389]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted stating that the potential development would infringe 'green' land on both sides of Yare Valley, the Yare Valley should be safeguarded for future generations and the green infrastructure corridor is much used by walkers. The area should be enlarged.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16563 Comment

Respondent: Dr daniels [16922]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. It should also be noted that there are flooding issues, parking problems and impact on pedestrians and cyclists.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13018 Object

Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]

13208 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Smith [14798]

13791	Object
Respondent: Ms Charlotte Devereux [15348]	
13945	Object
Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]	
14139	Object
Respondent: Miss Emma Bailey [15652]	
14239	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Smith [15719]	
14250	Object
Respondent: Sophie Frank [15733]	
14307	Object
Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]	
14417	Object
Respondent: Ms M Y [15846]	
14418	Object
Respondent: Steve Cook [15845]	
14432	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Harley [15852]	
14455	Object
Respondent: mr robert spence [15863]	
14507	Object
Respondent: Graham Barker [15897]	

14514	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]	
14614	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Chesters [15965]	
14680	Object
Respondent: Miss Deborah Nock [16014]	
14925	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
14791	Object
Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]	
15017	Object
Respondent: Mr Gavin Douglas [16210]	
15043	Object
Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]	
15070	Object
Respondent: Miss Devonne Gardiner [16245]	
15077	Object
Respondent: Krissie Fox [16263]	
15109	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15154	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Baker [16276]	

15168	Object
Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]	
15203	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]	
15255	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Dawson [15717]	
15257	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	
15284	Object
Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292]	
15326	Object
Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829]	
15439	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]	
15441	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]	
15444	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]	
15645	Object
Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]	
15827	Object
Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Developme [15951]	ent and Regeneration)

15974	Object
Respondent: Dr Tony Irwin [16636]	
16190	Object
Respondent: Mr David Rossi [16788]	
16322	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Groves [16835]	
16241	Object
Respondent: Mr David Taylor [16390]	
16006	Object
16326	Object
Respondent: Mrs Mary Groves [16839]	
16263	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Johnson [16804]	
16632	Object
Respondent: Dr Charlotte Turner [16940]	Object
Respondent. Di Chanotte Fumei [10940]	
16440	Object
Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration [15951]))
16666	Object
Respondent: Mr Justin Wood [15315]	
16773	Object
Respondent: Mr David Turner [17007]	

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0158

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Comment noted that it should not be looked at in terms of profit and that we need to be certain that the developments are what the community would like.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15105 Comment

Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development. The existing rugby pavilion, car park and access are already reducing protected green space and the amount of housing already planned for the local area.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13803 Object

Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]

13937 Object

Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]

14309	Object
Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]	
14517	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]	
14794	Object
Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]	
14917	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
15013	Object
Respondent: Mr Gavin Douglas [16210]	
15039	Object
Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]	
15071	Object
Respondent: Miss Devonne Gardiner [16245]	
15106	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15112	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15199	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]	
15252	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	

Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292]

15323 Object

Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829]

15641 Object

Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]

16238 Object

Respondent: Mr David Taylor [16390]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by the Yare Valley Society stating location in Green Infrastructure corridor, corridor vital for wellbeing for wildlife and humans, space is much used and the corridor should be increased to meet demand, large number of sites outside the GI have been proposed which should cover required growth and teh site would be substation removal of GI space.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15171 Object

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norwich Green Part stating the land should be part of a Norwich Greenbelt and protected from significant development as a protected green space.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15825 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0253

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lixin Ltd. It is stated that it will provide world leading research and technology to help improve independent living for all in older communities. It would be a two phase project with the housing funding the research facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15831 Support

Respondent: Mr Feng Li [13566] Agent: Mr Feng Li [13566]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment from Norfolk Wildlife Trust concerning constraints relating to impacts on existing CWS 235 and on floodplain which may be significant and should be recognised as factors potentially making this allocation unsuitable for the proposed development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation.

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

16511 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13804 Object

Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]

13938 Object

Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]

14311	Object
Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]	
14435	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Harley [15852]	
14518	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]	
14891	Object
Respondent: Dr Adriana Sinclair [16151]	
14919	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
15015	Object
Respondent: Mr Gavin Douglas [16210]	
15041	Object
Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]	
15111	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15201	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]	
15253	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	
15281	Object
Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292]	

Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829]

15442 Object

Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]

15642 Object

Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]

15776 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Wilkinson [16557]

16239 Object

Respondent: Mr David Taylor [16390]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norwich Green Party stating the land should be part of Norwich Greenbelt and therefore protected from significant development so that it is retained as protected green space.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15824 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Yare Valley Society stating and reduction in the GI corridor could impact its ability to function, it is vital for both wildlife and humans, there are a large number of sites outside of the area which should meet required growth need and extensions to existing approval take up substantial area of 'protected' green space.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15173 Object

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norwich Green Party stating the land should be part of Norwich Greenbelt and therefore protected from significant development so that it is retained as protected green space.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16438 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

16439 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0331

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16629 Comment

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by CODE Development Planners on behalf of Bullen Developments.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16779 Support

Respondent: Bullen Developments (longwater and NRP) [10979]

Agent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Ms Karen Beech, Planner) [12422]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding proposal going against area policy 1,2,7 and 8 along with specific policy for Cringleford for modest development and green infrastructure to enhance public access to countryside. It is also noted that it will be unsightly urban sprawl and will impact on the Norwich Southern Bypass Landscape Protection Zone.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14890 Object

Respondent: Dr Adriana Sinclair [16151]

15781 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Wilkinson [16557]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Colney Parish Council stating that the site could become a major residential and commercial development and they feel that the research aspects are already catered for in the NRP allocations. The proposals contradict national and local plans protecting sensitive environmental areas.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16817 Object

Respondent: Colney Parish Council (Mrs H Martin, Clerk) [13644]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Colney, GNLP0514

Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13806	Object
Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]	
13939	Object
Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]	
14312	Object
Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]	
14433	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Harley [15852]	
14519	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]	
14800	Object

14920	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
15019	Object
Respondent: Mr Gavin Douglas [16210]	
15110	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15147	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Baker [16276]	
15202	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]	
15254	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	
15283	Object
Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292]	
15325	Object
Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829]	
15443	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]	
15643	Object
Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]	
15786	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Wilkinson [16557]	

Respondent: Mr David Taylor [16390]

16638 Object

Respondent: Mrs Carole Williams [14259]

16772 Object

Respondent: Mr David Turner [17007]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support for development in the Yare Valley by ABZAG Ltd due to beneficial access to the city centre and other benefits of existing infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15684 Support

Respondent: ABZAG LTD (Mr Shaun Vincent) [16547]

Agent: ABZAG LTD (Mr Shaun Vincent) [16547]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted by Yare Valley Society stating location in the Yare Valley Green Infrastructure Corridor and could impact its ability to function effectively, vital to wellbeing of wildlife and humans, corridor is well used and should be increased to meet demand, large number of sites outside area should meet the required growth for the area and if granted would be a dangerous precedent for future green space development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15174 Comment

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0039

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the location within the River Tud Valley and outside of development boundary, the land creating a natural buffer between villages, chalk river valley meaning that SUDS is not an option (as seen on previous development), impact on local highway network, increased pollution and impact on already stretched services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16748 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

13872 Object

Respondent: Mrs Diana Bates [15402]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by the Friends of Tud Valley stating any development would impact the visual and landscape character of the valley and is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16590 Object

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the location within the River Tud Valley and outside of development boundary, the land creating a natural buffer between villages, chalk river valley meaning that SUDS is not an option (as seen on previous development), impact on local highway network, increased pollution and impact on already stretched services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14398 Object

Respondent: Mr Scot Grimmer [15831]

16612 Object

Respondent: Bryan and Sally Ulph [16934]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the High-Pressure Gas Main in the vicinity and Gas Pumping Station and potential flooding concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13050 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0206

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding development in the River Tud Valley, outside the development boundary, very bad access and on extremely busy road, the site forms a natural break between settlements, adverse visual impact on the landscape, chalk river valley meaning SUDS for drainage is not an option (seen from previous development), impact on local highway network, increased pollution, impact on already stretched local services, damage to wildlife habitats and there is a history of refusals along river valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16749 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding the High-Pressure Gas Main in the vicinity and Gas Pumping Station and flooding concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13051 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding development in the River Tud Valley, outside the development boundary, very bad access and on extremely busy road, the site forms a natural break between settlements, adverse visual impact on the landscape, chalk river valley meaning SUDS for drainage is not an option (seen from previous development), impact on local highway network, increased pollution, impact on already stretched local services, damage to wildlife habitats and there is a history of refusals along river valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13875 Object

Respondent: Mrs Diana Bates [15402]

14396 Object

Respondent: Mr Scot Grimmer [15831]

Respondent: Bryan and Sally Ulph [16934]

19790 Object

Respondent: Mr John Allaway [17225]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Friends of Tud Valley stating any development would effect the visual and landscape character of the valley and is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16593 Object

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0238

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by an agent on behalf of the site owner.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15789 Support

Respondent: Mrs Katrina Kozersky-Gillham [16555]

Agent: Mr Paul Wootton [16553]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating they are pleased to see constraints relating to CWS and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16512 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location in River Tud Valley and outside the development boundary, river valley should be protected, chalk valley not suitable for SUDS drainage strategy (as seen on previous development), applications on site have been previously rejected, impact on local highways, increased pollution, impacted on already stretched services and difficult and unsuitable access to site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16750 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating it is within a designated river valley, the site area regularly floods, contaminated land, previous application on site have been rejected, difficult and unsuitable access and impact on the visual landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13053 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location in River Tud Valley and outside the development boundary, river valley should be protected, chalk valley not suitable for SUDS drainage strategy (as seen on previous development), applications on site have been previously rejected, impact on local highways, increased pollution, impacted on already stretched services and difficult and unsuitable access to site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13862 Object

Respondent: Mrs Diana Bates [15402]

16614 Object

Respondent: Bryan and Sally Ulph [16934]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Friends of Tud Valley stating location in valley would have adverse impact on the landscape setting, it is outside the settlement boundary, planning applications have been previously refused for the site, boundary should be adjusted to include Farmland Road Site and to the boundary of East Hills Wood and policy should be in the plan to protect the valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16595 Object

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0243

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site location being outside the development boundary, if allocated would set a precedent for building behind existing properties in the area, location within the Tud Valley, chalk valley not being suitable for SUDS drainage schemes (as seen from another development), impact on highway network, increased pollution, impact on stretched services and precedent for backland development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16751 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

13865 Object

Respondent: Mrs Diana Bates [15402]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating it is within a designated river valley, the site area regularly floods, contaminated land, previous application on site have been rejected, difficult and unsuitable access and impact on the visual landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13054 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site location being outside the development boundary, if allocated would set a precedent for building behind existing properties in the area, location within the Tud Valley, chalk valley not being suitable for SUDS drainage schemes (as seen from another development), impact on highway network, increased pollution, impact on stretched services and precedent for backland development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16616 Object

Respondent: Bryan and Sally Ulph [16934]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16596 Object

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0266

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating they are please to see constraints recognised. Value of parts of proposal as GI corridor need to be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16513 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site as a mixed use development. Although there are concerns surrounding breaching capped landfill site, contaminated land, recent application to extend use as landfill would delay development, before capping gases killed livestock and affected farmers crops, high pressure gas main runs through site, no support for relief road and avoid protected Turnpike Woodland belt and north-west spur towards gold club (could be used as amenity land).

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16753 Support

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by parish council but with concerns relating to contaminated land from previous landfill usage and gas, site suffered from gasses before they were capped with farmers livestock being killed and crops affected, high pressure gas main runs across site, do not support a relief road in this location, protected woodland on A1074, north west spur towards gold course should not be developed. S106/CIL could provide infrastructure improvements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13055 Support

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Friends of Tud Valley stating they support the site for housing as it is outside the Tud Valley.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16597 Support

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0284

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location in the Tud Valley, outside development boundary, bad access to Town House Road, chalk river valley not suitable for SUDS (seen from previous development), impact on local highway, increased pollution, impact on stretched services, access at brow of a hill and impact on the character of village on on site of a listed church.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16754 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16765 Support

Respondent: Taylor Wimpey [11459]

Agent: Carter Jonas LLP (Mr Brian Flynn, Associate) [12669]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by the parish council regarding location in designated River Valley, impact on valuable landscape characteristics, impact on listed church, access from brow of a hill and two previous applications have been turned down.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

13056 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location in the Tud Valley, outside development boundary, bad access to Town House Road, chalk river valley not suitable for SUDS (seen from previous development), impact on local highway, increased pollution, impact on stretched services, access at brow of a hill and impact on the character of village on on site of a listed church.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13867 Object

Respondent: Mrs Diana Bates [15402]

14397 Object

Respondent: Mr Scot Grimmer [15831]

16615 Object

Respondent: Bryan and Sally Ulph [16934]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Friends of Tud Valley stating the site is within the Tud Valley and would have negative impact on the visual character of the valley and is outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16592 Object

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

499 / 877

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0468

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the location opposite Queens Hill bus lane exit, the area floods frequently, lies within the river valley, nearby tracks are not adopted, possibility of Costessey Pits being contaminated, no mains sewers in area and detached from further development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16755 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating the location opposite Queens Hill bus lane exit, the area floods frequently, lies within the river valley, nearby tracks are not adopted, possibility of Costessey Pits being contaminated, no mains sewers in area and detached from further development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13057 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0489

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating they are please to see constraints relating to river valley CWS are recognised. The site should not be allocated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16514 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the location of the site in the River Tud Valley, Anglian Water pipes running under the site, main sere pipes under site, attenuation tank under site, main drain from Bowthorpe in bottom corner and flooding occurs on site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16756 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating Anglian Water have multiple large pipes running under site, high pressure water pipe, main sewer pipe, attenuation tank, main drain from Bowthorpe and the site is liable to flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13058 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the location of the site in the River Tud Valley, Anglian Water pipes running under the site, main sere pipes under site, attenuation tank under site, main drain from Bowthorpe in bottom corner and flooding occurs on site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13873 Object

Respondent: Mrs Diana Bates [15402]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Friends of Tud Valley stating the site is in the Tud River Valley and would adversely affect the visual character of the valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16591 Object

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0510

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside the development boundary, previous proposals rejected for site, chalk river valley unsuitable for SUDS (as seen from previous development), impact on local highway network, increased pollution, impact on stretched services, backland development and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16757 Object

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. Clarification regarding previous information displayed should be noted. The site is not on a designated are of protected open space, this has been confirmed by South Norfolk Council. The site has suitable access, no flooding and will be considered for small scale development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12848 Support

Respondent: Mrs Allison Miles [13703]

12890 Support

Respondent: Mrs Allison Miles [13703]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council stating previous allocations have been turned down, would set a precedent for backland development, impact on landscape characteristics of the river valley, loss of green amenity land, busy and congested road for access and flooding issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13059 Object

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside the development boundary, previous proposals rejected for site, chalk river valley unsuitable for SUDS (as seen from previous development), impact on local highway network, increased pollution, impact on stretched services, backland development and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13870 Object

Respondent: Mrs Diana Bates [15402]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Friends of Tud Valley stating the site is located in the Tud Valley and would impact on the visual and landscape character of the valley. The site is also outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16594 Object

Respondent: Friends of Tud Valley (Mr John Newby) [13772]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside the development boundary, previous proposals rejected for site, chalk river valley unsuitable for SUDS (as seen from previous development), impact on local highway network, increased pollution, impact on stretched services, backland development and flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16617 Object

Respondent: Bryan and Sally Ulph [16934]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Costessey, GNLP0593

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development but raises concerns regarding access from Dereham Road. It states Cllr T Easts pecuniary interest of the site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16758 Support

Respondent: Ms Hilary Elias [13020]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by town council stating Cllr T East declared a pecuniary interest as the site impacts the area in which he lives. Access should be off Millcroft Close rather than Dereham Road as it is too congested. Tree belt on fringe should be retained.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13062 Support

Respondent: Costessey Town Council (Mrs Hilary Elias, Town Clerk) [14746]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Cringleford, GNLP0244

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils (Cringleford and Colney) stating they oppose the granting of planning permission on part of the site by SNDC for a rugby club and feel that university related uses and housing on the remained of the site would be detrimental to the Yare Valley removing further green space and woodland which would remove part of the woodland that acts as a water storage area reducing flooding in the area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16618 Object

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating it should not be included due to being plantation woodland and part of the Yare Valley GI corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16526 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by UEA and UEA Estates & Buildings. The site is deemed suitable, available, achievable and viable. It represents a sustainable location for development and is capable of producing a modern quantum of development. in addition there are two newly submitted sites through the Reg18 consultation adjoining the Sainsbury Centre and at the Congregational Hall within the main campus. Refer to attached report for full details.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15221 Support

Respondent: University of East Anglia [16297]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr James Alflatt, Partner, Planning Team) [14389]

16684 Support

Respondent: UEA Estates & Buildings (Mr Roger Bond, Director of Estates) [12480]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr James Alflatt, Partner, Planning Team) [14389]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12970	Object
Respondent: Elizabeth Edwards [14708]	
13020	Object
Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]	
13159	Object
Respondent: Deborah Wooller [14814]	
13202	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Smith [14798]	
13205	Object
Respondent: mr andrew pyper [14785]	
13262	Object
Respondent: Mr Aaron Bhavsar [14892]	
13825	Object
Respondent: Mr Oli Matthews [15377]	

13940	Object
Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]	
14177	Object
Respondent: Mr David Chaplin [15680]	
14180	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Bennett-Odlum [15682]	
14234	Object
Respondent: Mrs Louella Schooley [15716]	
14237	Object
Respondent: Laura Norton [15721]	
14240	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Smith [15719]	
14248	Object
Respondent: Mr Ross Hazard [15727]	
14314	Object
Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]	
14326	Object
Respondent: Rebecca Gorman [15770]	
14327	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Hopwood [15775]	
14342	Object
Respondent: Ms Julia Guy [15787]	

14345	Object
Respondent: Ms Rebecca Thorby [15753]	
14346	Object
Respondent: Mr Mike Allerton [15788]	
14357	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marie Walker [15796]	
14377	Object
Respondent: Dr Christopher Woollam [15810]	
14386	Object
Respondent: Mr Anthony Mullan [15816]	
14407	Object
Respondent: Prof Jo Drugan [15841]	
14411	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Cahir [15842]	
14414	Object
Respondent: Ms M Y [15846]	
14446	Object
Respondent: Mr Tobias Wilson [15860]	
14452	Object
Respondent: Mrs Emma Cluett [15872]	
14454	Object
Respondent: mr robert spence [15863]	

14480	Object
Respondent: miss elizabeth white [15888]	
14497	Object
Respondent: Graham Barker [15897]	
14503	Object
Respondent: Mr Martin Schooley [15884]	
14515	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]	
14531	Object
Respondent: Dr Paul Hann [15917]	
14600	Object
Respondent: Mr Graeme Carmichael [15972]	
14607	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amy King [15962]	
14623	Object
Respondent: Ms Linda Bissonnet [15909]	
14637	Object
Respondent: Mrs Mary Walker [15982]	
14641	Object
Respondent: Gail Hardingham [15916]	
14673	Object
Respondent: keith hood [16012]	
	<u> </u>

14689	Object
Respondent: Miss Deborah Nock [16014]	
14693	Object
Respondent: Mr David Messiter [16017]	
14700	Object
Respondent: Dylan Baldwin [16023]	
14695	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Gallacher [15871]	
14701	Object
Respondent: Caroline Roberts [16026]	
14704	Object
Respondent: Mr Kevin Hardingham [16031]	
14720	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Pyne [16042]	
14727	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kitty Temperley [16050]	
14728	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Butler [15986]	
14752	Object
Respondent: Dr Abdul Rashid [15894]	<u>-</u>
14757	Object
Respondent: Mrs Clare Chaplin [16079]	

14758	Object
Respondent: Mr Dennis Lister [16084]	
14789	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Roberts [16110]	
14803	Object
Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]	
14804	Object
Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]	
14822	Object
Respondent: Dr Anthony Gordon-Gray [15830]	
14825	Object
Respondent: Professor Howard Temperley [16125]	
14848	Object
Respondent: Mike russell [16126]	
14872	Object
Respondent: Mrs sarah braden [16067]	
14883	Object
Respondent: Dr Adriana Sinclair [16151]	
14888	Object
Respondent: Ms Alison Woods [16156]	
14909	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Moll [16155]	

14922	Object
Respondent: Sonja Welham [16174]	
14926	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
14964	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Dennis [16187]	
14990	Object
Respondent: Mr John Cahir [16201]	
15020	Object
Respondent: Mr Gavin Douglas [16210]	
15028	Object
Respondent: Dr Charles Jones [16215]	
15048	Object
Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]	
15074	Object
Respondent: Miss Devonne Gardiner [16245]	
15079	Object
Respondent: Krissie Fox [16263]	
15107	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15118	Object
Respondent: Patricia Winfield [16280]	

15142	Object
Respondent: Cringleford Hub (Rev. Tim Yau, Community Pioneer) [16295]	
15151	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Baker [16276]	
15159	Object
Respondent: mrs CATHY GIDDINGS [16301]	
15187	Object
Respondent: Ms Joanne Green [16314]	
15196	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]	
15198	Object
Respondent: James O'Donoghue [16319]	
15233	Object
Respondent: Mrs Daphne Ashton [16339]	
15245	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joan Sayer [16350]	
15258	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	
15264	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Dawson [15717]	
15285	Object
Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292]	

15291	Object
Respondent: Mr Roy Catchpole [16358]	
15318	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Peel [16377]	
15328	Object
Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829]	
15337	Object
Respondent: Mr Mike Hipperson [16176]	
15350	Object
Respondent: Mr David Jackson [16389]	
15361	Object
Respondent: Miss Dlana Day [16406]	
15363	Object
Respondent: mr albert king [16144]	
15366	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marion Dennis [16407]	
15388	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Bonser [16370]	
15408	Object
Respondent: Prof Nick Brewin [16418]	
15415	Object
Respondent: Miss Michelle Hoffman [16415]	

15428	Object
Respondent: Mr. Joseph Meldrum [16419]	
15432	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sally Monfourny [16427]	
15446	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]	
15453	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Lawrence [16304]	
15457	Object
Respondent: Mrs Fiona Baldwin [16434]	
15461	Object
Respondent: Ms Marie Green [16436]	
15494	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Hilary Thorby [16444]	
15537	Object
Respondent: Dr Janet Malcolm [16468]	
15538	Object
Respondent: Dr Janet Malcolm [16468]	
15557	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marianne Penn [13455]	
15560	Object
Respondent: Dr Paul Heppell [16481]	

15608	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ina Beurich [16499]	
15621	Object
Respondent: Zoë Chundun [16510]	
15646	Object
Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]	
15676	Object
Respondent: Mrs Abigail Du Plessis [16543]	
15744	Object
Respondent: Louise Hamilton [16564]	
15777	Object
Respondent: Dr Richard Pollok [16582]	
15846	Object
Respondent: Mr Lewis Allen [16614]	
15847	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Howell [16613]	
15884	Object
Respondent: Marianne Alexander [16640]	
15894	Object
Respondent: Dr Tony Irwin [16636]	
15992	Object
Respondent: Mr Dale Coleman [16669]	<u> </u>

16188	Object
Respondent: Mr David Rossi [16788]	
16236	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gillian Wright [16796]	
16325	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Groves [16835]	
16242	Object
Respondent: Mr David Taylor [16390]	
16328	Object
Respondent: Mrs Mary Groves [16839]	
16264	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Johnson [16804]	
16633	Object
Respondent: Dr Charlotte Turner [16940]	
16349	Object
Respondent: Dr Neil Dorward [16250]	
16642	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dorothy Wood [16943]	
16599	Object
Respondent: Mr Justin Wood [15315]	
16635	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carole Williams [14259]	

Respondent: Mrs Helen Wright [16946]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. Comments in regards to loss of wildlife areas, access road onto Colney Lane opposite committed proposal with two access roads which could cause congestion, impact on main traffic artery to hospital, development infringing greenbelt land, the Yare Valley should be safeguarded for future generations, impact on character and visual appearance of area and not considered a sustainable solution.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14141 Comment

Respondent: Miss Emma Bailey [15652]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16775 Object

Respondent: Mr David Turner [17007]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. Comments in regards to loss of wildlife areas, access road onto Colney Lane opposite committed proposal with two access roads which could cause congestion, impact on main traffic artery to hospital, development infringing greenbelt land, the Yare Valley should be safeguarded for future generations, impact on character and visual appearance of area and not considered a sustainable solution.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14666 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Annabelle Dixon [16005]

15840 Comment

Respondent: Revd Graham Wilkins [16602]

16565 Comment

Respondent: Dr daniels [16922]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Yare Valley Society stating location of site in the Yare Valley GI Corridor, any reduction in area could impact the corridor, the space is much used, site outside of the area that are being proposed should support the projected growth, loss of water holding woodland causing potential flooding issues, destruction of flora and fauna, destruction of wildlife habitats and impact on the environment.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

16184 Object

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mrs Jill Donley) [16787]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norwich Green Part stating the existing woodland should be protected, green space should be protected by Greenbelt policy and the site forms part of the strategic gap between Norwich and Cringleford.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15829 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils (Cringleford and Colney) stating they oppose the granting of planning permission on part of the site by SNDC for a rugby club and feel that university related uses and housing on the remained of the site would be detrimental to the Yare Valley removing further green space and woodland which would remove part of the woodland that acts as a water storage area reducing flooding in the area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Colney Parish Council (Mrs H Martin, Clerk) [13644]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norwich Green Part stating the existing woodland should be protected, green space should be protected by Greenbelt policy and the site forms part of the strategic gap between Norwich and Cringleford.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16441 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership stating the negative impact on the landscape character of the Wensum Valley, includes chalk pit of scientific interest, if development were granted there must be conditions that considerable chalk exposures are required as part of nature conservation and GI corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14687 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Cringleford, GNLP0307

Comment

Summary of representations:

Concerns raised by Cringleford Parish Council regarding the Southern Bypass Protection Zone and the much eroded Strategic gap between Hethersett and Cringleford, and

the high-tension electricity cables crossing the site on pylons. As well as noting that planning consent has already been granted for the site, but the parish council would argue that the southern portion of the site is not suitable for development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16621 Comment

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14801 Object

Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]

Respondent: Dr Adriana Sinclair [16151]

15484 Object

Respondent: Mrs Caroline taylor [16451]

15540 Object

Respondent: Dr Janet Malcolm [16468]

16818 Object

Respondent: Colney Parish Council (Mrs H Martin, Clerk) [13644]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Barratt David Wilson Homes stating the site area includes an existing commitment that has outline planning permission for a residential development of 650 homes. Further documents to support the application have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16423 Support

Respondent: Barratt David Wilson Homes [15660]

Agent: Pegasus Planning Group (Ms Nicky Parsons, Regional Director) [13847]

19661 Support

Respondent: Barratt David Wilson Homes [15660]

Agent: Pegasus Planning Group (Ms Nicky Parsons, Regional Director) [13847]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Cringleford, GNLP0327

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Cringleford Parish Council stating the site is un-allocated due to location to Southern Bypass and its protection zone, mixed development now proposed which is claimed will form a gateway to the settlement. Parish council would oppose commercial development and dislikes gateway concept as it would impact the character of the area and increase pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16622 Object

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding proposed development going against area planning policies 1, 2, 7, 8 and a specific policy for Cringleford that state modest development allowed and GI to enhance public access to Yare Valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14889 Object

Respondent: Dr Adriana Sinclair [16151]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Cringleford, GNLP0461

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating the site consists of semi-natural habitat, woodlands and grazed meadow. Also, adjacent land to the bottom is likely to be of CWS value and should be considered as such for constraints. The land should not be developed.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16516 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Cringleford Parish Council stating the site has previously been offered for development and been rejected on all occasions, the site lies within Yare Valley flood plain and risk of flooding on the site has increased in recent years.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16619 Object

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13022	Object
Respondent: Colin Baker [14732]	
13162	Object
Respondent: Deborah Wooller [14814]	
13203	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Smith [14798]	
13206	Object
Respondent: mr andrew pyper [14785]	
13263	Object
Respondent: Mr Aaron Bhavsar [14892]	
13311	Object
Respondent: DR Danielle Peat [14912]	
13827	Object
Respondent: Mr Oli Matthews [15377]	

13942	Object
Respondent: Ms Rachel Taylor [15479]	
14242	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Smith [15719]	
14315	Object
Respondent: Dr Jennifer Oey [15324]	
14329	Object
Respondent: Rebecca Gorman [15770]	
14333	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Millsted [15782]	
14413	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Cahir [15842]	
14416	Object
Respondent: Ms M Y [15846]	
14437	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Harley [15852]	
14447	Object
Respondent: Mr Tobias Wilson [15860]	
14449	Object
Respondent: mr robert spence [15863]	
14476	Object
Respondent: miss elizabeth white [15888]	

14501	Object
Respondent: Graham Barker [15897]	
14505	Object
Respondent: Mr Martin Schooley [15884]	
14516	Object
Respondent: Mrs Andrea Rippon [15911]	
14563	Object
Respondent: Dr Paul Hann [15917]	
14601	Object
Respondent: Mr Graeme Carmichael [15972]	
14643	Object
Respondent: Mrs Mary Walker [15982]	
14674	Object
Respondent: keith hood [16012]	
14699	Object
Respondent: Dylan Baldwin [16023]	
14705	Object
Respondent: Mr Kevin Hardingham [16031]	
14708	Object
Respondent: Piers Warren [16037]	
14719	Object
Respondent: Mr keith walker [16039]	

14744	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kitty Temperley [16050]	
14754	Object
Respondent: Dr Abdul Rashid [15894]	
14762	Object
Respondent: Mrs Clare Chaplin [16079]	
14763	Object
Respondent: Mr Dennis Lister [16084]	
14769	Object
Respondent: Mr Geoffrey Champion [16088]	
14792	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Butler [15986]	
14828	Object
Respondent: Professor Howard Temperley [16125]	
14830	Object
Respondent: Dr Anthony Gordon-Gray [15830]	
14831	Object
Respondent: Mike russell [16126]	
14871	Object
Respondent: Mrs sarah braden [16067]	
14887	Object
Respondent: Dr Adriana Sinclair [16151]	

14898	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Bennett-Odlum [15682]	
14928	Object
Respondent: Mrs Hatty Aldridge [16173]	
14965	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Dennis [16187]	
15051	Object
Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]	
15082	Object
Respondent: Krissie Fox [16263]	
15108	Object
Respondent: Dr Gordon Collins [16275]	
15144	Object
Respondent: Cringleford Hub (Rev. Tim Yau, Community Pioneer) [16295]	
15197	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maureen Hollis [16222]	
15200	Object
Respondent: James O'Donoghue [16319]	
15219	Object
Respondent: mr albert king [16144]	
15236	Object
Respondent: Mrs Daphne Ashton [16339]	

15249	Object
Respondent: Mrs Joan Sayer [16350]	
15259	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Livingstone [16352]	
15275	Object
Respondent: Mr Stephen Dawson [15717]	
15288	Object
Respondent: Miss Mary Watson [16292]	
15293	Object
Respondent: Mr Roy Catchpole [16358]	
15297	Object
Respondent: Mr Rex Richardson [16362]	
15320	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Peel [16377]	
15330	Object
Respondent: Miss Amelia Macfarlane [15829]	
15339	Object
Respondent: Mr Mike Hipperson [16176]	
15354	Object
Respondent: Miss Dlana Day [16406]	
15367	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marion Dennis [16407]	

15403	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Bonser [16370]	
15426	Object
Respondent: Miss Michelle Hoffman [16415]	
15447	Object
Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Aitchison [16429]	
15459	Object
Respondent: Mrs Fiona Baldwin [16434]	
15480	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline taylor [16451]	
15497	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline taylor [16451]	
15501	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline taylor [16451]	
15511	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Hilary Thorby [16444]	
15539	Object
Respondent: Dr Janet Malcolm [16468]	
15562	Object
Respondent: Dr Paul Heppell [16481]	
15647	Object
Respondent: Mrs T Radford Gore [16515]	

15678	Object
Respondent: Mrs Abigail Du Plessis [16543]	
15761	Object
Respondent: Louise Hamilton [16564]	
15770	Object
Respondent: Dr Richard Pollok [16582]	
15848	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Howell [16613]	
15869	Object
Respondent: Mr Lewis Allen [16614]	
15959	Object
Respondent: mr Martin Lawrence [16661]	
16187	Object
Respondent: Mr David Rossi [16788]	
16237	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gillian Wright [16796]	
16634	Object
Respondent: Dr Charlotte Turner [16940]	
16243	Object
Respondent: Mr David Taylor [16390]	
16641	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dorothy Wood [16943]	

Respondent: Mrs Janet Johnson [16804]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating the flora and fauna will need to be protected, the protection of the Yare Valley is important for future generations, the woodland area is is used as a water store and protects the surround areas from flooding, increase in traffic would cause problems to local infrastructure, development would infringe land on both sides of the Yare Valley and would have a detrimental impact on the GI corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14802 Comment

Respondent: Ms CHARLOTTE ABRAHAMS [16099]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development in the Yare Valley. The Yare Valley is a popular green space that is well used throughout the year and should be protected for wildlife and recreation. Rather than reducing its size every effort should be made to improve and protect it from encroaching development.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16600 Object

Respondent: Mr Justin Wood [15315]

Respondent: Mrs Carole Williams [14259]

16776 Object

Respondent: Mr David Turner [17007]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating the flora and fauna will need to be protected, the protection of the Yare Valley is important for future generations, the woodland area is is used as a water store and protects the surround areas from flooding, increase in traffic would cause problems to local infrastructure, development would infringe land on both sides of the Yare Valley and would have a detrimental impact on the GI corridor.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14892 Comment

Respondent: Ms Alison Woods [16156]

16566 Comment

Respondent: Dr daniels [16922]

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the Yare Valley Society stating the site lies within the GI corridor for the Yare Valley, reduction could cause impact on corridor, vital for wellbeing of both wildlife and humans, corridor is much used, the large number of sites outside the valley should meet growth needs, impact on wildlife species and their habitats and important to protect the Yare Valley for future generations.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15177 Object

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mr John Elbro, Chair) [14909]

16186 Object

Respondent: Yare Valley Society (Mrs Jill Donley) [16787]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norwich Green Party stating the existing woodland should be protected and open green space protected by Greenbelt policy and area forms part of the strategic gap between Norwich and Cringleford.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential development in the Yare Valley will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites with the aim of proposing final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment. The sites in the Yare Valley will be assessed with these principles in mind.

Action:

Issues raised in relation to development in the Yare Valley to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15832 Object

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

Respondent: Norwich Green Party (Simeon Jackson, Spokesperson on Development and Regeneration) [15951]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0063

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access via very narrow Harvey Lane, danger to pedestrians, safety issue for school children going to school will be worsened, Havey Lane and The Street junction dangerous, obstruct open views of the countryside, considerable traffic issues, already a site for 20 houses, lack of pavements, parking on road causing obstructions and road used by dog walkers and parents with prams etc.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12932	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]	
12966	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sandra Rope [14706]	
12997	Object
Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]	
13033	Object
Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]	
Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]	
13251	Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Connelly [14881]

13255	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13358	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13381	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]	
13384	Object
Respondent: Mr Davvid Reekie [15013]	
13410	Object
Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]	
13586	Object
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	
13822	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
13987	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Lamb [15534]	
14058	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Acres [15384]	
14348	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14545	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	

14904 Object

Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]

15211 Object

Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]

15930 Object

Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]

16033 Object

Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]

16070 Object

Respondent: Mr Trevor Jones [15316]

16339 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sonia Passfield [16783]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council stating they oppose on the grounds of road infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14603 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0199

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increase in traffic down a small country road (Rectory Road), destruction of amenities for existing residents, development should be to fringe of village, village hall car park not sufficient for school, previously been subject to flooding, impact on wildlife and part of geological and geographical moor.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12933	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]	
12934	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]	
12998	Object
Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]	
13034	Object
Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738] Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]	
13189	Object
Respondent: Mrs Thelma Knowles [14839]	
13269	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13298	Object
Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]	

13397	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13596	Object
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	
13823	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
14332	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
14335	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
14349	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14365	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14550	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	
14906	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	
15220	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15936	Object
Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]	

Respondent: Mrs Angie Jones [15423]

16061 Object

Respondent: Mr Trevor Jones [15316]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of the site stating this parcel of land is a natural place for the village to expand and making Harvey Lane a one-way street could help traffic issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13102 Support

Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]

13103 Support

Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating additional traffic on Rectory Road would be harmful to village infrastructure and a safety concern for residents. Wildlife would be affected.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0217

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered good as it is on the edge of the village, alleviates heavy traffic going up Rectory Road and Harvey Lane, little impact on village traffic. However, properties built should have parking spaces provided for at least 2 cars per house and include amenities for children and access with passing places.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12935 Support

Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]

13190 Support

Respondent: Mrs Thelma Knowles [14839]

13274 Support

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding not subject to flooding but would require waste water piping, car drivers would be more likely to use The Street to reach A140 and potential of improving safety by incorporating a roundabout at dangerous junction area.

Response:

Comments will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13038 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

13401 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]

13597 Comment

Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]

14554 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding developing village outside settlement boundary, loss of agricultural land, creation of urban sprawl, increase in traffic along village road and pressure on The Street and conservation area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13035	Object
Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738] Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]	
13104	Object
Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]	
13266	Object
Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]	
13346	Object
Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]	
13347	Object
Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]	
13824	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
14004	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Hill [15544]	

Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]

15226 Object

Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding additional traffic on The Street being harmful to the village infrastructure and dangerous to residents. The nearby wildlife site is an important amenity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14615 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0230

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments given regarding road safety and volume of traffic issues. One comment favours site off Ipswich Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13039 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

13403 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside settlement boundary, increase in traffic flow on the village, road infrastructure would not support development, land around site is part of Dickleburgh Moore so there would be wildlife impacts, impact on visual outlook of village and location of land on a flood plain.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13036 Object

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

13115	Object
Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]	
13826	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
14005	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Hill [15544]	
14337	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
14369	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
15232	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15487	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	
15948	Object
Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]	
16042	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. All traffic would go to the bypass without going through village, limits pressures of Rectory Road and Harvey Lane, little chance of flooding, properties should allow for parking of two cars.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13007	Support
Respondent: Mrs Sandra Rope [14706]	
13008	Support
Respondent: Mrs Sandra Rope [14706]	
13191	Support
Respondent: Mrs Thelma Knowles [14839]	
13277	Support
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13278	Support
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13585	Support
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	
14557	Support

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating they object due to impact of traffic on village centre.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14617 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0256

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised favouring site off the Ipswich Road - GNLP0361 and GNLP0498 - for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13040 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site being close to a fen and potential for flooding, traffic problems along Rectory Road would increase, any development should have easy access on a wide road with pavement to A140, impact on wildlife, school is over subscribed, no mention of affordable housing and lack of parking.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12936	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]	
13000	Object
Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]	
13270	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13300	Object
Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]	
13404	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13416	Object
Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]	
13599	Object

Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]

13828	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
14334	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
14367	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14560	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	
15235	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15493	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	
15929	Object
Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]	
15983	Object
Respondent: Mrs Angie Jones [15423]	
16063	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Jones [15316]	

555 / 877

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it is outside the village envelope.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13116 Support

Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council stating additional traffic on Rectory Road will be harmful to the village infrastructure and dangerous to residents. Nearby wildlife site is an important amenity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14618 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0257

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding flood risk seen on the site, the high water table and increased traffic on Rectory Road. One comment favours site off Ipswich Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13041 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

13600 Comment

Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating objections surrounding the additional traffic on Rectory Road being harmful to village infrastructure and dangerous to residents. The nearby wildlife site is an important amenity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14622 Comment

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increase in traffic on village, lack of services for increase in housing, outside the village envelope, parking issues on Rectory Road, loss of agricultural land, wildlife impacts, impact on vista and outlook from village and dangerous round junctions to A140.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12937	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]	
12999	Object
Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]	
13117	Object
Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]	
13192	Object
Respondent: Mrs Thelma Knowles [14839]	
13271	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13301	Object
Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]	
13405	Object
Decreased anti- Ma Michael Holden [14071]	

13414	Object
Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]	
13830	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
14336	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
14338	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
14571	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	
15237	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15495	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	
16065	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Jones [15316]	

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding additional traffic on Rectory Road being harmful to village infrastructure and dangerous to residents. The nearby wildlife site is an important amenity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14620 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0258

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding traffic on Rectory Road, dangerous road during school drop-off/pick-up, pedestrian safety, parking concerns, residents from proposed site would drive into village and better to develop along Ipswich Road due to less impact of traffic flows.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13119 Object

Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]

13193 Object

Respondent: Mrs Thelma Knowles [14839]

13272	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13299	Object
Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]	
13406	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13412	Object
Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]	
13475	Object
Respondent: Mr Des Gunton [15087]	
13576	Object
Respondent: Mr Joseph Self [15188]	
13758	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Nunn [15326]	
15242	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15500	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by the agent Durrants Ltd. The site is considered suitable for development due to providing significant economic and social benefits to the village without any adverse impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15194 Support

Respondent: Mr, Mrs Vic and Elaine Prewer [16317]

Agent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment favours a site off the Ipswich Road - GNLP0361 and GNLP0498 - for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13042 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council regarding additional traffic on Rectory Road being harmful to the village infrastructure and dangerous to residents. Favour development in the south of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14624 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0259

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development due to good access.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13386 Support

Respondent: Mr Davvid Reekie [15013]

Comment

Summary of representations:

The comment favours a site off the Ipswich Road - GNLP0361 and GNLP0498 for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13043 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside village envelope, development should be restricted to village fringes, increase in traffic on Rectory Road, dangerous road during school drop-off-pick-up, risk to pedestrian safety, traffic flow through village, residents likely to drive to village due to distance and development better position off Ipswich Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13120 Object

Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]

13194 Object

Respondent: Mrs Thelma Knowles [14839]

13273 Object

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

13302	Object
Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]	
13407	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13413	Object
Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]	
13577	Object
Respondent: Mr Joseph Self [15188]	
13601	Object
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	
13759	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Nunn [15326]	
10001	
13831	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
14573	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	
15256	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15502	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by parish council regarding additional traffic on Rectory Road being harmful to village infrastructure and residents safety. Favour development to the south of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14626 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0350

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by the site agent Savills stating they are pleased the site has been designated as suitable in the HELAA, village services and primary school within walking distance, good access to Ipswich Road, footpath along the length of site and adjacent to Dickleburgh's settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16696 Support

Respondent: Thelveton Farms [16973]

Agent: Savills (Mr Gareth Watts, Agent) [14393]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating good to develop along edge of village, easy access to A140 bypass, pedestrian access to village centre and school, does not impact traffic on village centre, size of site is good as it limits the number of dwellings and there is no risk of flooding.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13001	Support
Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]	
	_
13009	Support
Respondent: Mrs Sandra Rope [14706]	
13031	Support
Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]	
Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]	
13121	Support
Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]	
13252	Support
Respondent: Mr Alan Connelly [14881]	
13280	Support
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13376	Support
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	

13602	Support
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	
13832	Support
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
14067	Support
Respondent: Mrs Anne Acres [15384]	
14358	Support
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14578	Support
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	
14947	Support
Respondent: Mr Oliver Selvester [15760]	
15271	Support
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15504	Support
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	
15903	Support
Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]	
16133	Support
Respondent: Mr Barry Wright [15325]	
16336	Support
Respondent: Mrs Sonia Passfield [16783]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised state this is one of the better sites due to good access to the main road and less impact on the village and allows pedestrian access to village amenities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13304	Comment
Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]	
13344	Comment
Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]	
13382	Comment
Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]	
13423	Comment
Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]	
13982	Comment

Respondent: Mr Simon Lamb [15534]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development and access to existing footpaths across fields.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13387 Object

Respondent: Mr Davvid Reekie [15013]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of the site by parish council stating it will offer access to village without exacerbating traffic problems and of the right general scale.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14628 Support

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0361

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating there will be little impact on the village regarding traffic, pedestrian access to village centre and school, good use of brownfield site, safest traffic routes to A140, limit pressure on Rectory Road and Hamilton Lane, proposal must have parking for no' bedrooms in property, existing business should be preserved,

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12938	Support
Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]	
13032	Support
Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738] Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]	
13122	Support
Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]	
13276	Support
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13375	Support
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13603	Support
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	

Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]

14580 Support

Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]

15287 Support

Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]

15505 Support

Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]

16337 Support

Respondent: Mrs Sonia Passfield [16783]

16647 Support

Respondent: Mrs Judith Jenkins [16945]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site being unsuitable for development, location to junction of Harvey Road and Ipswich Road around safety, reduction in employment, traffic management, loss of local services for the community, surface water flood risk, location close to listed buildings, potential of asbestos on site, recent planning application for residential development on site rejected, parking concerns and sewerage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13002 Object

Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]

Respondent: Mr Davvid Reekie [15013]

13428 Object

Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]

14943 Object

Respondent: Mr Oliver Selvester [15760]

15920 Object

Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]

16350 Object

Respondent: Philip and Susan Sykes [16855]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment addressing this is a brownfield site so may be preferable to other greenfield sites and comment stating preference for a site off Ipswich Road over others in the area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13305 Comment

Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]

13345 Comment

Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site by parish council stating the development will provide residents with access to the village without adding considerable traffic problems.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14630 Support

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0389

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding poor location for development, impact of village traffic issues, detrimental impact on safety regarding Harvey Lane, poor visibility at junction of Harvey Lane, increase in traffic would increase safety concerns for pedestrians in area (especially school children and parents), loss or agricultural land and lack of pavements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12941 Object

Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]

12965 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sandra Rope [14706]

13003	Object
Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]	
13195	Object
Respondent: Mrs Thelma Knowles [14839]	
13256	Object
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13359	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13379	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]	
13389	Object
Respondent: Mr Davvid Reekie [15013]	
13411	Object
Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]	
13605	Object
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	
13834	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
13988	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Lamb [15534]	
14061	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Acres [15384]	<u>-</u>

14354	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14582	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	
15304	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15507	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	
15996	Object
Respondent: Mrs Angie Jones [15423]	
16037	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
16047	Object
Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]	
16340	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sonia Passfield [16783]	

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating it is outside the village envelope.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating impact of traffic infrastructure, danger to pedestrians and would prefer development off Ipswich Road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14605 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

14631 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0498

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding development outside village envelope, unspecified number of dwellings, scale of development seems too large and out of proportion to village, impact on character of village, impact on wildlife and concern regarding lack of affordable housing in village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13124	Object
Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]	
13835	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
4.4070	
14073	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Acres [15384]	
15311	Object
Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]	
15509	Object
Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]	
15919	Object
Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]	
16016	Object
Respondent: Mrs Angie Jones [15423]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council stating GNLP0361/0350 will provide adequate housing for village, if those site are not suitable we would support this site on the grounds it would not worsen traffic in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14632 Comment

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12939 Support

Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]

13004 Support

Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]

13006 Support

Respondent: Mrs Sandra Rope [14706]

13010	Support
Respondent: Mrs Sandra Rope [14706]	
13253	Support
Respondent: Mr Alan Connelly [14881]	
13279	Support
Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]	
13343	Support
Respondent: MR Allan Eavis [14893]	
13362	Support
Respondent: Ms Christina Percy [14995]	
13367	Support
Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]	
13607	Support
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]	
14364	Support
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14584	Support
Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]	
14950	Support
Respondent: Mr Oliver Selvester [15760]	
16134	Support
Respondent: Mr Barry Wright [15325]	

Respondent: Mrs Sonia Passfield [16783]

16648 Support

Respondent: Mrs Judith Jenkins [16945]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the size of development in relation to the village, need for long term sustainable employment opportunities, concerns regarding water supply already being an issue to residents, traffic safety problems, parking constraints, poor visibility at Chapel Road/Ipswich Road junction, pressure on local services, already the provision of pedestrian access to village and if development is required in the village this seems a sensible site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13044 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

13303 Comment

Respondent: Karen Barker [14577]

13383 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Lusie Ambler [14896]

13421 Comment

Respondent: Mr John Ambler [14897]

16064 Comment

Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]

16352 Comment

Respondent: Philip and Susan Sykes [16855]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Dickleburgh & Rushall, GNLP0516

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12940 Support

Respondent: Mr Darren Watling [14684]

13126 Support

Respondent: Rev John Adlam [14796]

13275 Support

Respondent: Mr Ivan Sanford [14868]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the scale of the site in relation to the village, unclear access opportunities, traffic from site would had to congestion in centre of the village and concerns regarding the mention of a GP practice and whether this would be possible.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13005	Object
Respondent: Pam Reekie [14718]	
13390	Object
Respondent: Mr Davvid Reekie [15013]	
13836	Object
Respondent: Mrs Zena Tinsley [15375]	
13986	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Lamb [15534]	
14352	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14353	Object
Respondent: Cathy Lamb [15792]	
14587	Object

Respondent: Mrs Julia Deighton [15933]

Respondent: Mr Oliver Selvester [15760]

15317 Object

Respondent: Mr David Leyserman [15063]

15906 Object

Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]

15907 Object

Respondent: Mrs Georgina Beaujeux [16325]

16004 Object

Respondent: Mr A Goodman [14704]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by parish council stating while there are positive elements of the application there are still concerns regarding the impact on traffic if cars enter/exit onto the Norwich Road this will increase traffic problems in the village. If the Burston Road were used it would be more favourable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14636 Object

Respondent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Agent: Dickleburgh and Rushall Parish Council (Mr Terence Blacker, Chairman) [15947]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding potential support of the site if additional safe vehicle access were implemented and traffic is kept away from the conservation area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13037 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

13045 Comment

Respondent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

Agent: Mr Terence Blacker [14738]

13366 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Holden [14971]

13642 Comment

Respondent: Mr Kenneth Deighton [15070]

15510 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Samantha Johnson [16160]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by La Ronde Wright Limited agents of the land owners who state that the amended vision statement reflects the changes made to mixed use development comprising a community facility, public open space, small

business unit and approximately 80 new homes incorporating affordable, self and custom build plots and retirement accommodation. Pedestrian/cycle uses would be improved.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16321 Support

Respondent: Mrs Nicole Wright [14312]

16740 Support

Respondent: Mrs Nicole Wright [14312]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0102

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council regarding previous refusal recommended by town council for residential use on the grounds of significant highways impact and a loss of employment land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15998 Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating location in the middle of Diss Strategic Employment designated for business only.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16160 Object

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0112

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by town council stating it is a very small site and would be suitable for very limited residential development with close proximity to railway station.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16000 Support

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site containing a small green area with trees, close proximity to railway bridge and should not be built on.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16162 Object

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0185

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by town council stating this particular site is suitable for housing development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16001 Support

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14279 Object

Respondent: Julia McCathie [15746]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0250

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding increase in pressure on local amenities, increase traffic on roads, impact on the form and character of landscape and the growth would be unprecedented.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14372 Object

Respondent: Julia McCathie [15746]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment received from Pegasus Planning Ltd with further comments on site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19628 Comment

Respondent: Pegasus Planning Group (Ms Nicky Parsons, Regional Director) [13847]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Pegasus Planning Ltd confirming access being from Heywood Road, surface water requirement fully deliverable, provision of a landscape framework and willingness to work with adjoining land owners.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16709 Support

Respondent: Peter Rudd [15663]

Agent: Pegasus Planning Group (Ms Nicky Parsons, Regional Director) [13847]

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site from town council stating if the site was considered along with GNLP0119, 0291 & 0342 with additional land allowed for expansion of Diss Cemetery, direct link road between Heywood Road, Shelfanger Road and Louie's Lane then this site would be supported.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16003 Support

Respondent: Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site due to bordering a road that could be upgraded to become a northern relief road. However, a portion of the land will be required to expand the cemetery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16155 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0341

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the scale of the development proposed for this site including increased volume of traffic and road safety issues. If a small amount of development were permitted, with the rest of the land being required to for use as public amenity space and an area left for extending the health centre then it would be more likely to meet the needs of the whole community.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan

15798 Comment

Respondent: Angela Lamb [15751]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site being seen as an important open space in the South Norfolk Local Plan and conservation area, development for residential use would adversely affect the open character and appearance of site, mature trees located on site, wildlife impacts, proximity to heritage area, seen as the green lung on the town and a refuge of green space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14017 Object

Respondent: Mr. Philip Baker [15559]

14283 Object

Respondent: MRS MARGARET SMITH [15754]

14289	Object
Respondent: Mr P Macbay [15756]	
14291	Object
Respondent: Anna Tonkin [15758]	
14296	Object
Respondent: Mrs Shirley Farrow [15762]	
14299	Object
Respondent: MRS Jackie Fisher [15765]	
14300	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rachel Holliday [15766]	
14303	Object
Respondent: Mrs Rebecca Whatley [15767]	
14350	Object
Respondent: Mrs Emma Goddard [15747]	
14370	Object
Respondent: Julia McCathie [15746]	
14489	Object
Respondent: Mr Lee Fairweather [15895]	
14776	Object
Respondent: Mr Timothy Holt-Wilson [16060]	
14780	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Brocklehurst [16016]	

15042	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]	
15083	Object
Respondent: Ms Sara Muldoon [16265]	
15122	Object
Respondent: Peter Tucker [16217]	
15123	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Rolfe [16277]	
15125	Object
Respondent: Mr Anthony Callender [16283]	
15485	Object
Respondent: Mr Darren Nicholas [16454]	
15750	Object
Respondent: Mr Jim Bootman [16332]	
15900	Object
Respondent: Professor Tom Williamson [16645]	
15915	Object
Respondent: Mr Gilbert Addison [16646]	
16071	Object
Respondent: Mr Barry Pardue [16620]	
16562	Object
Respondent: mrs hazel dormer [15777]	

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

16589 Object

Respondent: Ms Roella Trudgill [16926]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will address the housing needs of older people and allow for expansion and improvement of the medical centre. Additional information was submitted during stage B consultation. Further updated information has also been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16301 Support

Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Paul Webster, Director) [16826]

Agent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Paul Webster, Director) [16826]

19673 Support

Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Richard Martin, Associate Director - Land) [13647]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by town council stating it is unsuitable for a large residential/commercial development even though it is in private ownership. An extension oft he health centre would be supported.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16012 Object

Respondent: Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating this is an area of open green space that is currently protected, the area is likely to have local biodiversity value, priority habitat woodland value, veteran trees could be present on site and the site should not be allocated as it is important open green space for the town and biodiversity reasons.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16335 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0342

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the infrastructure of Shelfanger Road and Diss not being able to support number of houses, the open green space should be retained and preserved for future generations to enjoy.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14298 Object

Respondent: Mrs Shirley Farrow [15762]

15582 Object

Respondent: Mrs Katie St John-Clarke [16493]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by M Scott Properties Ltd providing relevant information relating to updates on the site and adjoining sites in supporting documents.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19676 Support

Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Richard Martin, Associate Director - Land) [13647]

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by town council stating if the site were considered in conjunction with GNLP0250 with additional land included for expansion of the cemetery and a direct link road between Heywood Road, Shelfanger Road and Louie's Lane then these would be supported.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16014 Support

Respondent: Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site stating it is suitable because it borders a road which could be upgraded to become a northern relief road and a portion of the land would be needed to expand the cemetery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16156 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0599

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council stating that without major road improvements development of this land could not be supported with the adjacent development causing major road issues and a local group has been set up to campaign for better road infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16018 Object

Respondent: Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating the site should be retained as green space. It is one of the few remaining open green spaces in Diss.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16159 Object

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP1003

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating support for the recognition on constraints in regards to biodiversity but contributions to GI improvement should be considered. Mitigation may be required on CWS due to recreation impact.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16527 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by town council stating that without major improvements to infrastructure development for residential use could not be supported. Current development has worsened road infrastructure issues and a residents group has been set up to improve infrastructure provision.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16019 Object

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating the site providing Walcot Green has been updated to include a more suitable twin track road and due to congestion on Victoria Road, Walcot Green is used as a preferred route into town.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16158 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP1044

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating support of the recognition of biodiversity constraints but note that GI enhancement should be considered along with potential recreational impact on CWS. Mitigation measures would be required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16528 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Turner Morum LLP clarifying highway constraints stating the landowner of GNLP0599 would consider working together with this site to provide a highway corridor linking Walcot Green and relevant services and opportunity to link into Persimmon Development. Noise mitigation measures suggest that the majority of teh development is sufficient distance from railway with eastern most corner providing structural tree planting to help.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15268 Support

Respondent: Thelveton Estate [16357]

Agent: Turner Morum LLP (Mr John Turner, Planning Consultant) [14367]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding Neighbourhood Plan, road safety issues, local road network, access and infrastructure and comments that the western half should be retained as green space and there would be significant resident objections to development on this site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16020 Object

Respondent: Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

16157 Object

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP1045

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding recognition that constraints regarding to biodiversity need to be addressed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16529 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development given its proximity to Diss Railway station.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15290 Support

Respondent: Thelveton Estate [16357]

Agent: Turner Morum LLP (Mr John Turner, Planning Consultant) [14367]

16022 Support

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment/green space.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16161 Object

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Diss, GNLP0606

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment states no concerns about this small development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16363 Comment

Respondent: Ms Dawn Messenger [16896]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the location of the site being in the parishes of Roydon and Heywood and not Diss.

Development here would exacerbate pollution, increase traffic dangers, increase congestion, pressure on services, would urbanise green space, wildlife impacts and scale of sites for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13130 Object

Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]

19786 Object

Respondent: Vera Proudlove [18690]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by town council stating that development in these locations would be supported providing that GNLP 0119, 0291, 0342 & 0250 are integrated. See further notes.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16024 Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site stating the site is one off he most suitable as it borders a road which could be upgraded to become the northern relief road. A portion of the land would need to be set aside for expansion of the cemetery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16151 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ditchingham, GNLP0343

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by the agent Savills with attached documentation.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16742 Support

Respondent: Ditchingham Farms [16995]

Agent: Savills (Lynette Swinburne, Associate Director, Rural Consultancy Services) [16991]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ditchingham, GNLP0345

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding destruction on wildlife habitats, access issues, devaluation of existing property, not in keeping with landscape, lack of facilities, landed gentry, loss of mature trees and hedging and loss of agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13083 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Douglas [14780]

16360 Object

Respondent: Mr P J Moore [16870]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by the agent Savills with supporting documentation.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16744 Support

Respondent: Ditchingham Farms [16995]

Agent: Savills (Lynette Swinburne, Associate Director, Rural Consultancy Services) [16991]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - East Carleton, GNLP0428

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding destruction of woodland, impact on wildlife habitats, increase risk of further road flooding, road drainage insufficient, increase in traffic through Rectory Road, road/pedestrian safety due to increased traffic, no bus service and limited services with no mains gas or drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13052 Object

Respondent: mr mike cannon [14694]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - East Carleton, GNLP0600

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating better choice than Rectory Road, although similar problems to Rectory Road, increase in traffic through Hethersett/ Wymondham/ Rectory Road, no bus service and limited services with no gas or drainage.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13061 Support

Respondent: mr mike cannon [14694]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Easton, GNLP0456

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership stating the site includes a gravel pit of geological interest, notable expose of the 'canon-shot' gravels, if development were to go ahead there should be conditions placed that adequate exposure of the 'canon'shot' gravels are allowed for as part of GI conservation area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14690 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ellingham, GNLP0303

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the Broads Authority regarding the impact of new dwellings extending in to the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16394 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ellingham, GNLP0304

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the Broads Authority regarding the impact of new dwellings extending in to the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16395 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ellingham, GNLP0305

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding foul water and storm water drains are running at capacity, road surface and pavements breaking up, traffic issues by play field, parking issues during school hours and brownfield sites should be used first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15309 Object

Respondent: Mrs E Moore [13728]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the Broads Authority regarding the impact of new dwellings extending in to the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16396 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Flordon, GNLP0566

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site with additional information after closing date of consultation.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

17329 Support

Respondent: Mrs Patricia London [13583]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13135 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road access to site should only be via The Fields and thereby on the Norwich Road other access would not be suitable due to single track nature.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14596 Object

Respondent: Dr Mike Merrick [15825]

15091 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Butcher [16249]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13136 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access, water pressure and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14598 Object

Respondent: Dr Mike Merrick [15825]

15090 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Butcher [16249]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road access which would only be acceptable if along The Fields and Norwich Road other wise access would be along single track roads that would be inappropriate.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14599 Object

Respondent: Dr Mike Merrick [15825]

15093 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Butcher [16249]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13137 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13139 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP0536

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the being labelled wrongly and the correct site was submitted in the previous Local plan call for sites.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13173 Comment

Respondent: Ms Carol Sharp [14168]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13140 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP0559

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating extra land is available to improve access to site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14115 Support

Respondent: Mr Joe Darrell [15625]

Agent: Mr Joe Darrell [15625]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13141 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP1002

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding deemed unsuitable in the HELAA, isolated for communities, would create urban sprawl, destruction of rural nature of Forncett, sites here should not be considered as they go against SNC policy, location between ancient settlements, development would be out of character for village, would almost double the acreage of developed land, sites all adjoin minor roads and traffic congestion and further issues would make the road network struggle.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13695 Object

Respondent: Mr Geoffrey NORMAN Stevens [15235]

13744 Object

Respondent: A STEVENS [15234]

Respondent: Dr Mike Merrick [15825]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13142 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Forncett, GNLP1039

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13143 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by parish council stating they have decided not to make comments on individual sites. The parish council do not rule out modest future development but should be in keeping with village and take in to account village services and scale of development. Road access tends to be via single track roads so road access would be a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13144 Comment

Respondent: Forncett Parish Council (Mr Brian Frith, Chair) [14806]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Framingham Earl & Framlington Pigot, GNLP0003

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils. One stating it is located in isolated open countryside and should not be considered a valid site. The other notes it is outside the building boundary of Framingham Earl, access problems from narrow lane, more than 2 miles safe walking distance to primary school and dangerous access to site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16553 Object

Respondent: Framingham Earl Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14288]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside the development boundary, lack of access via pavements, water-logging of land, dangerous narrow access, outside 2 mile safe walking distance, extra traffic impact on infrastructure, lack of connection to local services, increased pollution, out of character for rural setting, impact on ecology of area and residents would rely on car travel.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16208 Object

Respondent: G Newman [16792]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils. One stating it is located in isolated open countryside and should not be considered a valid site. The other notes it is outside the building boundary of Framingham Earl, access problems from narrow lane, more than 2 miles safe walking distance to primary school and dangerous access to site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16414 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding location outside the development boundary, lack of access via pavements, water-logging of land, dangerous narrow access, outside 2 mile safe walking distance, extra traffic impact on infrastructure, lack of connection to local services, increased pollution, out of character for rural setting, impact on ecology of area and residents would rely on car travel.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14319	Object
Respondent: mrs janet motley [15771]	
14755	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Brook [16070]	
14846	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Mills [16121]	
15528	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sophie Getley [16194]	
15530	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sophie Getley [16194]	
16580	Object
Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]	
16586	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Lucas [13600]	

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Framingham Earl & Framlington Pigot, GNLP0321

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of the site by two parish councils. One stating the location north of the village would not increase traffic through village, balances the street scene but contributes to linear nature of the village. The other stating it is opposite another development, would not increase traffic in village, traffic management would be required, there would still be a woodland buffer and extension of the built boundary is a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16549 Support

Respondent: Framingham Earl Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14288]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by Trustees of Arminghall Settlement stating they have put forward three sites although two are adjacent. Further information has been uploaded regarding the sites.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16716 Support

Respondent: Trustees of Arminghall Settlement [15661]

Agent: Pegasus Planning Group (Ms Nicky Parsons, Regional Director) [13847]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site stating that development on it would compromise the visual and recreational amenity of Poringland Woods.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14852 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Mills [16121]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of the site by two parish councils. One stating the location north of the village would not increase traffic through village, balances the street scene but contributes to linear nature of the village. The other stating it is opposite another development, would not increase traffic in village, traffic management would be required, there would still be a woodland buffer and extension of the built boundary is a concern.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16407 Support

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Bixley Parish Council stating the site is not appropriate for development as it would extend the liner form of Poringland and promote further linear growth.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13890 Object

Respondent: Bixley Parish Council (Ms Tina Eagle, Clerk) [13007]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating that any new development should be places at the Norwich end in relation to current facilities, would give balance to the street scene, woodland buffer required along with traffic management for turning right towards Norwich. It is noted that it is on the edge of the built boundary of Framingham Earl.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15205 Support

Respondent: Mrs Linda Brook [16070]

16371 Support

Respondent: Mr John Joyce [15003]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding detachment from conurbation by Poringland Wood and could offer employment and business opportunities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16574 Comment

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Framingham Earl & Framlington Pigot, GNLP0391

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site being water-logged, no pedestrian access on Burgate Lane, restricted vision accessing Hall Road/Rectory Lane, does not lie within development boundary, location away from services, development would block access to the farm, outside safe walking distance to school, development would increase pedestrian danger, would destroy rural outlook of village, impact on wildlife and its habitat, impact on local infrastructure, impact on listed building and flooding due to high water table.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16209 Object

Respondent: G Newman [16792]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils, Poringland and Framingham Earl. Poringland Parish Council stating flooding issues, road infrastructure will not cope, semi-detached from the village, flooding/drainage concerns and impact on landscape value/views between Framingham Earl and St Andrews Church. Framingham Earl Parish Council state issue of flooding, access on rural roads with no pavements, increase in traffic, distance to primary school, near boundary of listed church which would spoil the landscape view and concerns for wildlife and their habitat.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16552 Object

Respondent: Framingham Earl Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14288]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site being water-logged, no pedestrian access on Burgate Lane, restricted vision accessing Hall Road/Rectory Lane, does not lie within development boundary, location away from services, development would block access to the farm, outside safe walking distance to school, development would increase pedestrian danger, would destroy rural outlook of village, impact on wildlife and its habitat, impact on local infrastructure, impact on listed building and flooding due to high water table.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14320 Object

Respondent: mrs janet motley [15771]

14132 Object

Respondent: Mr Bruce Wellings [14423]

14688	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marianne Roper [16015]	
14391	Object
Respondent: Mr Terence Mann [14419]	
14770	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Brook [16070]	
14855	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Mills [16121]	
15247	Object
Respondent: Mr Duncan Rush [14385]	
15393	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sonia Rush [16417]	
15527	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sophie Getley [16194]	
15531	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sophie Getley [16194]	
15662	Object
Respondent: Mr David Crawford [16530]	
15726	Object
Respondent: Mrs Amy Freeman [16422]	
15736	Object
Respondent: mr stephen freeman [16573]	

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

16579 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

16584 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Lucas [13600]

16587 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Lucas [13600]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils, Poringland and Framingham Earl. Poringland Parish Council stating flooding issues, road infrastructure will not cope, semi-detached from the village, flooding/drainage concerns and impact on landscape value/views between Framingham Earl and St Andrews Church. Framingham Earl Parish Council state issue of flooding, access on rural roads with no pavements, increase in traffic, distance to primary school, near boundary of listed church which would spoil the landscape view and concerns for wildlife and their habitat.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16411 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

16413 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Framingham Earl & Framlington Pigot, GNLP0589

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils, Poringland and Framingham Earl. Framingham Earl Parish Council state that there are issues regarding traffic increase, hazardous junction between Pigot Lane and Long Road becoming worse, surface water and drainage problems. Poringland Parish Council state impact on scenic value.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16550 Object

Respondent: Framingham Earl Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14288]

16551 Object

Respondent: Framingham Earl Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14288]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of infrastructure for development, worsening of traffic issues at Pigot Lane with Long Road, impact on wildlife and its habitat, increase in air pollution, change the rural nature of the village, flooding issues and an extension of linear growth of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13079 Object

Respondent: Mr James Lawrence [14775]

13085	Object
Respondent: MRS Joanna Kurek [14783]	
13583	Object
Respondent: Mr William Dye [15195]	
14321	Object
Respondent: mrs janet motley [15771]	
14660	Object
Respondent: Mrs Isabel Parsons [15556]	
15925	Object
Respondent: Mrs Linda Brook [16070]	
16576	Object
Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]	
16581	Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Lucas [13600]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments regarding loss of significant landscape value. Will have significant impact upon the subterranean drainage flow and surface water drainage system. The overall triangle site has already been intruded upon and there is no reason not to develop whole area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site from Poringland Parish Council stating that it would be a logical development but would include a loss of green space and impact on surface water drainage issues.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16410 Support

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made by John Long Planning on behalf of Otley Properties raised comments regarding some inaccuracies on constraints analysis regarding the RAF base and contamination issues. Issues regarding access, drainage and sewerage are being considered in more detail.

Response:

Comments submitted regarding potential inaccuracies or inconsistencies with the HELAA will be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment of sites to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any obvious errors found with the HELAA assessment will be corrected, however it should be recognised that sites must be assessed in accordance with the published HELAA methodology and a suitable/unsuitable rating in the HELAA does not correspond with the suitability, or otherwise, of a site for allocation

Action:

Issues raised regarding the rating of sites in the HELAA to be looked at prior to undertaking a more detailed assessment to identify suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan. Any errors found will be corrected.

16731 Comment

Respondent: Otley Properties [16980]

Agent: John Long Planning (Mr John Long, Owner) [13586]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by two parish councils, Poringland and Framingham Earl. Framingham Earl Parish Council state that there are issues regarding traffic increase, hazardous junction between Pigot Lane and Long Road becoming worse, surface water and drainage problems. Poringland Parish Council state impact on scenic value.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16408 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Geldeston, GNLP0207

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding potential development stating the land has previously been well used by local residents for leisure. It is an important piece of open space that should not be lost.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13254 Object

Respondent: Mrs Lisa Fairhead [14883]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Geldeston, GNLP0437

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by FW Properties stating that another development they are currently working on opposite the site will provide an adopted highway for access to this site. Surface water flood risk can be tackled in the same way as the site currently being developed.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13024 Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Geldeston, GNLP1004

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating access problems to this site preclude development of 4 to 5 houses.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14818 Object

Respondent: MRS Jennifer Fletcher [16094]

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding extending the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads and is Located within the Geldeston Conservation area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16393 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support of site by Firstplan stating they believe suitable access can be provided and further work is being carried out confirm this.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15191 Support

Respondent: Firstplan (Ms Beverley Bateman, Associate) [14346]

Agent: Firstplan (Ms Beverley Bateman, Associate) [14346]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Gillingham, GNLP0274

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flooding and drainage concerns making the site unsuitable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14816 Object

Respondent: MRS Jennifer Fletcher [16094]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by the Broads Authority regarding an unspecified number of properties to be developed and would be extending the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16392 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding an increase in traffic being sited at 33% on A143/A146, increase in residents adding to noise pollution, more frequent road congestion, safety concern regarding Gillingham junction, worry that more houses will follow, number of houses proposed in relation to the size of Gillingham and flood risk in area over time.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19685 Object

Respondent: Lorraine Greenwood [18714]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Great Melton, GNLP0014

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Wramplingham Parish Council stating the site has the potential to be completely submerged, increased traffic and impact on village, no safe cycling route or footpath, poor public transport links and Barford sewage system at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15767 Object

Respondent: Wramplingham Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12696]

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding requirement of significant drainage work as flooding is an issue as well as the impact on local narrow roads for access to site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16559 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Broomhead [16921]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised regarding distance of site from main village, lack of safe pedestrian route along B1108 and impact on undeveloped open landscape and wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15596 Object

Respondent: Professor Keith Waldron [15165]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Great Moulton, GNLP0554

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the local heritage, road safety issues, access and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13447 Object

Respondent: Mr Geoff Timms [14788]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional information has been submitted in support.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16667 Support

Respondent: ESCO DEVELOPMENTS [14614]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

19682 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Anastasia Safronoff, Planner) [18712]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Great Moulton, GNLP0555

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional information has been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16668 Support

Respondent: ESCO DEVELOPMENTS [14614]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional information has been submitted in support.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19683 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Anastasia Safronoff, Planner) [18712]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Great Moulton, GNLP0557

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16669 Support

Respondent: ESCO DEVELOPMENTS [14614]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and additional information has been submitted in support.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19684 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Anastasia Safronoff, Planner) [18712]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Haddiscoe, GNLP0414

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership stating that the site includes a gravel pit and it is an important site for geological succession in SE Norfolk. If development were granted on this site we request that plans be made conditional upon providing adequate geological exposures of this geology, as part of a nature conservation area contributing to Green Infrastructure and supporting wildlife as well as geology.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14692 Object

Respondent: Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership (Mr Tim Holt-Wilson, Geologist) [13108]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Haddiscoe, GNLP0455

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Broads Authority regarding potential for visual impact on the Broads landscape and extension of built up area. Would welcome early discussions regarding these issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16391 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hales & Heckingham, GNLP0308

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk from surface water, development already being carried out at other sites, infrastructure unable to cope with increase in population, Briar Lane is single track and popular with dog walkers, pressure on services, impact on visual qualities of area, privacy to houses backing onto site due to level of land, dangerous site access point and would increase congestion issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14882	Object
Respondent: Mrs Clare Pontais [16153]	
14118	Object
Respondent: Shirley Gates [15438]	
14994	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gillian Burwood [16202]	
15375	Object

Respondent: Mr Sam Matthews [16396]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Hayles and Heckingham Parish Council stating it is a significant revision to the development boundary, not required as area will meet need through existing identified sites, justification for spending on infrastructure, number of homes would change character of Hayles and concern about access and safety.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13926 Object

Respondent: Hales & Heckingham Parish Council (Mr John Herring, Clerk) [15295]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP0209

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding privately-owned retirement residences or social housing fitting well with the neighbouring dwellings. Comments regarding access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16678 Comment

Respondent: Ian Carstairs [16966]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Harleston Parish Council stating previously rejected application on site by highways, loss of ancient hedgerows, disturbance to colony of bats, increase in traffic at dangerous junction, close to boundary of existing listed building. Would prefer land to be limited to retirement complex.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15208 Object

Respondent: Harleston Town Council (Mrs Frances Bickley, Chairman) [16330]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Harleston, GNLP0263

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Harleston Parish Council stating a large part of the site is a car park and there is limited parking in the area. The existing car parking space should be designated as such and purchased either by private treaty or compulsorily. We would be interested in this land if ever it became available to use as a community facility.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15757 Object

Respondent: Harleston Town Council (Mrs Frances Bickley, Chairman) [16330]

Summary of representations:

Comments regarding parking and an acceptable place for new housing as it is in easy walking distance to town for shopping.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16679 Comment

Respondent: Ian Carstairs [16966]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of and demolition of Apollo Centre which is much needed community space and should not go.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19663 Object

Respondent: Nicola Knight [18710]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hempnall, GNLP0147

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the site owner as it is believed the site may not solely belong to the client. Other concerns are access, lack of essential services, Silver Green is a single track roads and the entrance is on the bend. There are significant number of mature trees on the site and bats are regular visitors. The site has drainage problems, flood risk is a concern and the local infrastructure would not be able to cope. Other concerns include traffic congestion, stretched schools and surgeries and lack of mobile phone & internet cover.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16660

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

16358

Respondent: Mr. Keith Davey [16875]

Object

Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Driver [17078]

Summary of representations:

The parish council opposes development for this site. Concerns raised regarding infrastructure, no mains sewerage, drainage problems, flood risk, rural nature of village, low mains water pressure, poor internet service, poor roads & access, the impact on school capacity, surgeries & wildlife and the site is outside the development boundary. Inaccuracies in site boundary also highlighted. The proposal contravenes parish planning policies which aim to restrict development to within current development boundaries and discourage large scale developments of this nature.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14918 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hempnall, GNLP0178

Object

Summary of representations:

The parish council opposes development as the site is outside the existing development area. Concerns raised regarding infrastructure, no mains sewerage, drainage problems, flood risk, rural nature of village, low mains water pressure, poor internet service, poor roads & access, the impact on school capacity, surgeries & wildlife and the site is outside the development boundary. Inaccuracies in site boundary also highlighted. The proposal contravenes parish planning policies which aim to restrict development to within current development boundaries and discourage large scale developments of this nature.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14923 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hempnall, GNLP0220

Object

Summary of representations:

The parish council objects 0220 for development. Saffron Housing, in association with Hempnall Parish Council, have undertaken a housing need survey in Hempnall which has demonstrated the need for Affordable Housing in the village. The provision of this housing is supported by the Parish Council as long as it is provided on an exceptions site. The obvious location for such a development would be on land that SNC owns adjacent to existing social housing at Millfields. However SNC has put this land forward for inclusion in the GNLP for market housing. The Parish Council does not support the allocation of new sites outside the current development boundary and therefore objects to the inclusion of this site in the GNLP for market housing. The District Council should play its part in addressing the need for affordable housing in the village and prioritise the proven need for an Exceptions site above an aspiration to profit from the development of the site for market housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16661 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by parish council regarding this site to be developed for social housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

14921 Comment

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

Object

Summary of representations:

The parish council objects 0220 for development. Saffron Housing, in association with Hempnall Parish Council, have undertaken a housing need survey in Hempnall which has demonstrated the need for Affordable Housing in the village. The provision of this housing is supported by the Parish Council as long as it is provided on an exceptions site. The obvious location for such a development would be on land that SNC owns adjacent to existing social housing at Millfields. However SNC has put this land forward for inclusion in the GNLP for market housing. The Parish Council does not support the allocation of new sites outside the current development boundary and therefore objects to the inclusion of this site in the GNLP for market housing. The District Council should play its part in addressing the need for affordable housing in the village and prioritise the proven need for an Exceptions site above an aspiration to profit from the development of the site for market housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16802 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating location from service on Alburgh Road, site 2 miles from village, affordable homes better in Hemphall, access onto fast road, site regularly floods and waste water disposal is a problem, broadband connection is poor, and there would be significant costs involved for developing site and statements of viability are untrue.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16804 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Driver [17078]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Hempnall Parish Council regarding the site being considered not suitable for development because they are outside the existing development area and many of the problems identified in respect of site GNLP0147 above also applied at these locations.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14924 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

16663 Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Savills on behalf of G H Allen Ltd stating agreement with the HELAA. Matters of access, flood risk and biodiversity can be adequately addressed. The site remains available for residential development and the site can be delivered in the emerging plan period.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16673 Support

Respondent: G H Allen (Farms) Ltd [16961]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Hempnall Parish Council regarding the site contravening with parish council planning policies restricting development in current development boundaries, concern regarding traffic problems and negative visual impact on conservation area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14927 Object

Respondent: Hemphall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

16656 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Hempnall Parish Council because the proposal contravenes the parish council's planning policies which aim to restrict development to within current development boundaries. Residents are concerned regarding traffic problems and the visual impact on a listed building (Hempnall Church).

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14929 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

16657 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made by Savills on behalf of land owner. The proposer has indicated that in view of the findings of the HELAA assessment for sites GNLP1016 and GNLP1017, the GNDP is asked to consider sites GNLP1015 (for which additional evidence is submitted) and GNLP1018 only

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16674 Comment

Respondent: G H Allen (Farms) Ltd [16961]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating that the site is outside the village plan, impact on open countryside, dangerous road junction, removal of existing established hedging which should be safeguarded, lack of footpath provisions, site is isolated from village centre, problems with surface water run-off and drainage and possible disturbance of livestock from building construction.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14423 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Jeary [15849]

16359 Object

Respondent: Mr P J Moore [16870]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Additional evidence has been submitted by Savills on behalf of the land owner. The proposer has indicated that in view of the findings of the HELAA assessment for sites GNLP1016 and GNLP1017, the GNDP is asked to consider sites GNLP1015 (for which additional evidence is submitted) and GNLP1018 only.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16675 Comment

Respondent: G H Allen (Farms) Ltd [16961]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Hempnall Parish Council because the proposal contravenes the parish council's planning policies which aim to restrict development to within current development boundaries and which discourages large scale development. Residents have concern regarding traffic issues and the location on greenfield land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14930 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

16658 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hempnall, GNLP1018

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Hempnall Parish Council because it contravenes the parish council's planning policies which aim to restrict development to within current development boundaries. Residents are concerned regarding traffic problems, access via Field Lane/Mill Road and the scale of development in relation to size of rural village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14931 Object

Respondent: Hempnall Parish Council (Mr I J Nelson, Clerk) [13769]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of the site by Savills on behalf of the land owner. The proposer has indicated that in view of the findings of the HELAA assessment for sites GNLP1016 and GNLP1017, the GNDP is asked to consider sites GNLP1015 (for which additional evidence is submitted) and GNLP1018 only. Additional documents submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16676 Support

Respondent: G H Allen (Farms) Ltd [16961]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0135

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating the development n inappropriate for the site and is import visually to the village and setting of the hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13086 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Utting [14784]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells. The site is suitable, available, achievable and viable. The sites key constraints, namely the heritage assets and tree protection order can be used to frame the residential development with the size of site lending itself to residential development and would be achievable in the medium-term.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16680 Support

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr James Alflatt, Partner, Planning Team) [14389]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0177

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating concern to constraints regarding CWS 2132/233 are not recognised. These both require grazing management and incorporation as green space within amenity green space will not provide this.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16530 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding size/location of site breaching separation between Norwich/Cringleford and Hethersett, if large development is required GNLP0177-A or GNLP0454 would appear to have a better chance of keeping Hethersett as a distinct entity. Map for 0177A is incorrectly shown and needs to be updated, 0177A would provide a 'green wall' with woodland planted to the south of the new road.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13210 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Smith [14798]

14995 Object

Respondent: Ms Eileen Mulvaney [13751]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells on behalf of Pigeon stating that it should be allocated for up to 1500 new homes, open space, infrastructure and a range of community benefits. The site is suitable, available and achievable. Documents submitted Delivery Statement prepared by Bidwells; Concept Masterplan prepared by Pigeon; Strategic Ecological Assessment prepared by Hopkins Ecology; Cultural Heritage Assessment prepared by CgMs; Strategic Landscape and Visual Appraisal prepared by Liz Lake Associates; Transport Appraisal prepared by AECOM; Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment and Surface Water Drainage Strategy prepared by Pigeon; Utilities and Services Report prepared by Pigeon.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16104 Support

Respondent: Pigeon Investment Management Ltd [10998]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Iain Hill, Partner) [16273]

16778 Support

Respondent: Pigeon Investment Management Ltd [10998]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Darren Cogman, LP Contact) [12857]

19686 Support

Respondent: Pigeon Investment Management Ltd [10998]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Darren Cogman, LP Contact) [12857]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted stating that it is an inappropriate development because the village is struggling with existing development commitments which have yet to be fully realise.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13087 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Utting [14784]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0358

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells stating the site is available immediately, achievable and has a realistic prospect of employment space being delivered on site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13530 Support

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr John Coates, Associate, Rural) [12847]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Cringleford Parish Council stating it is located in Hethersett, but the development of the site for employment purposes would simply strengthen the cluster of employment-related activities around the Thickthorn interchange.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16626 Object

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised regarding the size of the site radically changing the area, it would encroach on the "firebreak" in development between Hethersett, Wymondham and Norwich leading to urban sprawl.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13209 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Smith [14798]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0394

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating that the area west of Hethersett should be left as farmland, traffic is already too heavy and another development will just heighten the issue.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15580 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding inappropriate further development in a village that has yet to see all previous allocations built.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13088 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Utting [14784]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0454

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding loss of green space for dog owners to walk their dog, impact on pollution, village cannot cope with existing housing projections and there are plenty of Brownfield sites within the A47 that should be developed first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13048 Object

Respondent: Miss Donna Clements [14741]

13175 Object

Respondent: Mr T Larkowsky [14822]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0462

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of open space, recently application was dismissed, impacts on the natural environment, pollution and air quality as well as destroying protected space for wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13082	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Fox [12689]	
13084	Object
Respondent: Dr Ketan Dhatariya [14782]	
13176	Object
Respondent: Mr T Larkowsky [14822]	
13234	Object
Respondent: Mr James Tullett [14860]	
13237	Object
Respondent: Dr Sarah Gough [14863]	Object
15455	Object
Respondent: Mrs Marianne Young [16424]	Object
15456	
15456	Object
Respondent: James Young [14781]	

17110	
15463	Object
Respondent: Mr Edward Delatte [16426]	
15478	Object
Respondent: Mes Marie Rushbrook [16443]	
15491	Object
	Object
Respondent: Dr Simon Rushbrook [16456]	
15585	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Bond [16496]	
15016	Object
15816	Object
Respondent: MRS Nicola Haste [16605]	
15826	Object
Respondent: MRS Nicola Haste [16605]	-
15070	
15972	Object

Respondent: Mr John Hamey [16662]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating that a recent appeal has determined that development on the paddock would severely effect the visual amenity of the site, it is zoned public open space and the open green space should be left undeveloped.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Respondent: Mr James Utting [14784]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0480

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating there should be no more development between Hethersett and Wymondham, existing traffic is too heavy and it should be left as farmland with Ketts Oak protected.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15584 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro Ltd on behalf of Glavenhill Strategic Land stating the site creates a logical extension to the village, is of an appropriate scale, it could be developed for 40 dwellings and/or sheltered housing and/or housing with care for the elderly and a 3.08 ha park, site could be combined with neighbouring site GNLP0481 to provide a care home, both sites are under the same land owner.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16409 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Beccy Rejzek) [16106]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating inappropriate further development of the village which is struggling to cope with existing allocations.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13090 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Utting [14784]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0481

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding no more development between Wymondham and Hethersett, traffic already too heavy, should be left as farmland with Ketts Oak protected, loss of important green space, existing separation allows each place to have its own identity and there are already too many allocations for area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15587 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

15803 Object

Respondent: James Young [14781]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro Ltd on behalf of Glanvenhill Strategic Land stating the site makes a logical extension to the village, is of the appropriate scale, one option is 50 dwellings and/or a care home, and/or sheltered housing and/or housing with care for the elderly and a 3.14 ha park and site can be combined with GNLP0480 to provide housing with care.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16417 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Beccy Rejzek) [16106]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted stating inappropriate further development of village that is struggling with existing allocations.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13091 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Utting [14784]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP0486

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Cringleford Parish Council stating half the site lies within Hethersett and both councils should be contacted, development of employment would further increase the urbanisation of the site, would erode southern bypass protection zone and strategic gap.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16624 Object

Respondent: Cringleford Parish Council (Miss Sonya Blythe, Clerk) [12471]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the land being part of an original deal to benefit from recreation and leisure land, poor highway infrastructure cannot be overcome, there are a number of brownfield sites within A47 that should be developed first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13177 Object

Respondent: Mr T Larkowsky [14822]

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted stating inappropriate further development of the village which is struggling with existing allocations. Additional traffic would put further strain on interchange.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13092 Comment

Respondent: Mr James Utting [14784]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hethersett, GNLP1023

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding use of greenfield land for development which has public rights of way which are used by local residents and the increase in pollution if people have to travel to find other locations.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13047 Object

Respondent: Miss Donna Clements [14741]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0273

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the location of the site has no safe pedestrian access, detrimental impact on wildlife and environment and would not benefit Hingham.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15717 Object

Respondent: MR D Miller [16532]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0298

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the land being located on the busy B1108, increase in traffic, negative impact on wildlife and environment, strain on existing services and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15709 Object

Respondent: MR D Miller [16532]

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Hingham Parish Council regarding worsening existing issues with safe walking routes and crossing the B1108.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16811 Comment

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0310

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding ruining the character of Seamere Road, increasing the risk of flooding, scale of site out of proportion to the character of the village, if all plots were developed an unsustainable number of dwellings would be built, impractical location due to distance to town centre and amenities, impact on listed building and spoil the aesthetic approach to Hingham from the east.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13324 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham McQueen [14941]

13450 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Paine [15042]

Respondent: Miss Dawny Christien [14885]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Hingham Parish Council stating any existing housing on top of developments currently being built will add to surface water flooding issues, issues with safe walking routes which are already being raised by residents for existing developments.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16810 Comment

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0335

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the use of agricultural land for a proposed development, impact on wildlife and environment from encroaching development and the impact it would have on views on the approach to Hingham, by destroying the rural character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15698 Object

Respondent: MR D Miller [16532]

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding safe walking routes and controlled crossings along the B1108.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16812 Comment

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0395

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding a danger of over development of prime agricultural land, access problems to site, negative impact on the historic townscape, impact on wildlife from destruction of hedgerows, stretched infrastructure and an increased pressure on services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15687 Object

Respondent: MR D Miller [16532]

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Hingham Parish Council stating any land directly north of Hingham Cemetery should be allocated for cemetery expansion in the future, this site could provide extension and a car park for the cemetery and part of the site could be made into a nature area to increase biodiversity in the town.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16808 Comment

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0501

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access via Springfield Way being severely constrained, impact on a greenfield site that is close to an SSSI, impact on wildlife habitats, impact on local services and an increase in the volume of traffic through Hingham.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13763 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joanne Copplestone [15173]

14611 Object

Respondent: mrs pat green [15970]

Respondent: Mr Alan Juby [16765]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Hingham Parish Council stating it is proposed that an area of land directly to the North of the current playing field on Watton Road including sites GNLP 0502 and GNLP 0501 should be allocated for the future extension of the current playing field and a larger car park, and not allocated for the provision of housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16806 Comment

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Hopkins Homes Ltd state that the landowner has provided consent to join sites GNLP0501 & GNLP0502 to enable the development of 91 dwellings and there have been discussions with land owners to the south to provide suitable access to the site. The site lies in a sustainable area close to main western radial route where public transport services are provided. Therefore, the site should be allocated for residential development within the GNLP.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19669 Support

Respondent: Hopkins Homes Limited (Mr Chris Smith, Development Planner) [14202]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0502

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access via Springfield way being constrained, impact on wildlife and habitats, location close to SSSI, increase in traffic from development and the site being a greenfield plot.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13764 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joanne Copplestone [15173]

14612 Object

Respondent: mrs pat green [15970]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Hingham Parish Council stating the site could be used to increase the the playing field space to NPFA Standards. Therefore sites GNLP 0502 and GNLP 0501 should be allocated for the future extension of the current playing field and a larger car park, and not allocated for the provision of housing.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16807 Comment

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Hopkins Homes Ltd stating GNLP501/502 are now promoted for 91 dwellings and there have been discussions with land owners to the south to ensure suitable access can be achieved. The sites are in a sustainable location close to the main western radial route and village with public transport available. The site should be allocated for residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19670 Support

Respondent: Hopkins Homes Limited (Mr Chris Smith, Development Planner) [14202]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0503

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access via Springfield Way being severely constrained, impact on wildlife and habitats, location close to an SSSI, size of development out of proportion to village, impact on the character of a conservation area and building on greenfield land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13766 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joanne Copplestone [15173]

15707 Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Nixon [16519]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0520

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells on behalf of Abel House stating the site should be allocated for residential use. It is suitable, available, achievable and viable in a sustainable location and able to provide a modest quantum of development. Technical evidence has been prepared to show there are no constraints.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15627 Support

Respondent: Abel Homes [16516]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Iain Hill, Partner) [16273]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, disturbing wildlife and habitats, excessive number of homes, out of scale to village, little local employment within walking distance, increase in traffic, impact on the character of the village, Seamere Road provides significant amenity for walkers and dog walkers, impact on listed buildings, impact on visual approach to Hingham and the impact on the landscape cannot be mitigated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12920 Object

Respondent: Dr Antony Jackson [14671]

13326 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham McQueen [14941]

Respondent: Mr Mark Nixon [16519]

13451 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Paine [15042]

14209 Object

Respondent: Miss Dawny Christien [14885]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Hingham Parish Council stating existing developments under construction have added considerably to surface water drainage issues and any further development would make the situation worse. Issues surrounding safe walking routes and crossing of the B1108 and safety concerns surrounding pedestrian and car travel.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16809 Comment

Respondent: Hingham Town Council (Mrs A Doe, Clerk) [12974]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Hingham, GNLP0544

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the long term suitability due to increase in traffic movement, more suitable sites adjoin the main Norwich to Watton Road, inadequate access to accommodate number of houses, lorries blocking narrow roads, site traffic needing to come through village, damaged pavements, blind bend for site access causing safety concerns and no location for a footpath.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12962	Object
Respondent: Mr Oliver Hunt [14705]	
13049	Object
Respondent: Mr Jonathan Cane [14742]	
13238	Object
Respondent: Mrs Karen Schwartz [14509]	
13653	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katherine Greenaway [15242]	
13595	Object

Respondent: Mr Steve Schwartz [15207]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Keswick & Intwood, GNLP0497

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Keswick and Intwood Parish Council stating it should not be allocated due to the previous rejection of the planning application by SNDC, impact on the Southern Bypass Landscape Protection Zone and landscape setting of Norwich conflicting policy in the SNDC Local Plan 2015.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14809 Object

Respondent: Keswick and Intwood Parish Council (Mr P Brooks, Clerk) [12506]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding development in the middle of a green zone being obtrusive and visually damaging to the landscape, impact on marshland habitats and wildlife, loss of marshland for people to enjoy, location close to a protected area and Yare Valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13264 Object

Respondent: Mr Aaron Bhavsar [14892]

14434 Object

Respondent: mr David Thomas [15853]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating that a previous planning application for the site was rejected by SNDC stating the concern that the job creation and highways work did not outweigh the policy conflicts. The GNLP evidence base stating no need for additional capacity of job creation means that the GNLP should respect the decision of SNDC.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14732 Comment

Respondent: Mr David Hill [14534]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro Ltd on behalf of MAHB Capital stating the site presents the opportunity along with existing allocations (KES2) to provide additional employment floor space in a sustainable location to meet the target of providing 45,000 jobs in Greater Norwich. The site could deliver circa 1000 new jobs.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16665 Support

Respondent: MAHB Capital [16954]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Ian Douglass, Head of Planning) [12984]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ketteringham, GNLP0245

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the current proposals from Highways England to modify the A11/A47 junction at Thickthorn do not provide an adequate route for northbound traffic from this site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14059 Object

Respondent: Mr Anthony Griffiths [15280]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ketteringham, GNLP0473

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the high water table in the area, no public transport, inadequate roads linking to services, site is outside the development boundary, pre-application submitted but does not meet policy found in the JCS, NPPF and NDF.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14056 Object

Respondent: Mr Anthony Griffiths [15280]

14091 Object

Respondent: Mr Alexander Rowell [14108]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ketteringham, GNLP0513

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the GNLP consultation document suggests 6 dwellings but SNDC have recently only accepted 3 dwellings, drainage mound is not sustainable drainage solution, site along with adjoining sites is listed as an important development gap for the village, location to listed structures, poor drainage and detrimental impact on village character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14052

Respondent: Mr Anthony Griffiths [15280]

14094

Respondent: Mr Alexander Rowell [14108]

14356

Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Sharpe [15798]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Ketteringham, GNLP0528

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding previous planning applications being rejected, land forms important gap in development for village, impact on heritage assets, landscaping would not mitigate constraints and back land development should be limited.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13080	Object
Respondent: Mr Tim Cave [14778]	
13268	Object
Respondent: Mrs Wendy Whitwham [14895]	
14051	Object
Respondent: Mr Anthony Griffiths [15280]	
14090	Object
Respondent: Mr Alexander Rowell [14108]	
14238	Object
Respondent: Mr James Whitwham [15720]	
14359	Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Sharpe [15798]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Kirby Cane & Ellingham, GNLP0344

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Savills on behalf of Trustees of Major JS Crisp stating our client would like to re-emphasise the importance of housing in rural communities and its importance in contributing to the maintenance and continuing provision of local services and facilities for community use. As such it is pertinent to refer to national planning policy and guidance, namely that set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, National Planning Practice Guidance and Housing White Paper February 2017.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16737 Support

Respondent: Trustees of Major JS Crisp [16989]

Agent: Savills (Lynette Swinburne, Associate Director, Rural Consultancy Services) [16991]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Kirby Cane & Ellingham, GNLP0348

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Savills on behalf of J Fenwick Esq stating Our client would like to reemphasise the importance of housing in rural communities and its importance in contributing to the maintenance and continuing provision of local services and facilities for community use. As such it is pertinent to refer to national planning policy and guidance, namely that set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, National Planning Practice Guidance and Housing White Paper February 2017.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16739 Support

Respondent: J Fenwick Esq [16992]

Agent: Savills (Mr Gareth Watts, Agent) [14393]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Kirby Cane & Ellingham, GNLP0396

Object

Summary of representations:

Respondent: Mr Tim Scruton [16673]

Objections raised concerning no pathways, no street lighting, traffic congestion, private road, funeral business & visitors parked cars, access, exit of Newgate in to Mill Road dangerous, surface water, not possible to widen road or introduce pathway and change of character to the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12870	Object
Respondent: Mr Dermot Chapman [14605]	
13016	Object
Respondent: Mr lain Wright [14309]	
13064	Object
Respondent: Mr James Lally [13266]	
13587	Object
Respondent: mr antony arnold [15197]	
16031	Object

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP0182

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells stating the site should be allocated for residential development. The site is suitable, available, achievable and viable and in a sustainable location. Technical evidence has been produced to show there are no constraints.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15693 Support

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr Simon Henry, Principal Planner) [13416]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP0340

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating there would be a loss of green space, impact on natural biodiversity, loss of village and landscape character and contribution to urban sprawl.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15793 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Wilkinson [16557]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Scott Properties stating the allocation could provide protection of the woodland area, surface water flood risk is not significant as it can be mitigated, vehicle traffic could be diverted to B1108, could help expansion/improvement of primary school, provision of public open space, deliver of a health hub and local centre.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16357 Support

Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Paul Webster, Director) [16826]

Agent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Paul Webster, Director) [16826]

19678 Support

Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd (Mr Richard Martin, Associate Director - Land) [13647]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP0477

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro on behalf of Glavenhill Strategic Land stating both sites have been considered under site references: GNLP0495 and GNLP047 and the proposed allocation site has undergone preapplication advice for residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16459 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Ms Hannah Smith) [16907]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP0488

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating this is prime agricultural land, borders ancient woodland, access is poor, adjacent Poringland and Framingham Earl are saturated with development which has impacted roads and local services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16210 Object

Respondent: Ms Sue Butters [16791]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Little Melton, GNLP0495

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro on behalf of Glavenhill Strategic Land stating both sites have been considered by under site references: GNLP0495 and GNLP047 and the proposed allocation site is currently the subject of an outline planning application for residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16458 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Ms Hannah Smith) [16907]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0463

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of infrastructure improvements with current house building in area, access to site is problematic in bad weather, very rural area with poor infrastructure, access from Langley Road would need traffic lights due to busy periods during school, spoil open views of countryside, inadequate drainage, impact on stretched services, impact on footpaths between Snows Lane and Langley Park, the sites elevated position dominating development, impact on surrounding bungalows, impact on roads and quality of life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14152	Object
Respondent: Mr peter samain [15656]	
14255	Object
Respondent: Mr John Scent [15737]	
14276	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Herring [15744]	
14743	Object
Respondent: MR TIMOTHY JOHNSON [16059]	
14403	Object
Respondent: Mrs Louise Watkins [15837]	
14829	Object

Respondent: Mrs Barbara Phillips [15869]

14786	Object
Respondent: Mr David Bolam [16097]	
14894	Object
Respondent: Mrs Teresa Mays [16154]	
15054	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Pond [16233]	
15069	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Allsop [12572]	
15602	Object
Respondent: Mr Colin Bibey [16497]	
15874	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]	
15923	Object
Respondent: mr michael davies [16650]	
16303	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Schopp [16829]	

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Chedgrave Parish Council stating residents comments were broadly in favour as long as development was not all together, mixed types of housing, mixed tenure and ownership, housing density is as per village at the moment, site would not accommodate 70 dwellings and there was some interest in retail development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13812 Support

Respondent: Chedgrave Parish Council (Ms Hayley Goldson, Clerk) [14322]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by Brown & Co on behalf of ESCO Developments stating additional information submitted in relation to consultation and landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19681 Support

Respondent: ESCO DEVELOPMENTS [14614]

Agent: Brown & Co (Anastasia Safronoff, Planner) [18712]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0541

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding problematic road access, need for a sensitive development in tune with rural setting, part of land in conservation area, impact on character of the village, lane is part of the Wherrymans Way and well used, location close to listed church, population increase, unsafe access to highway, scale is inappropriate to rural setting, borders the Broads and there are more suitable locations for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14146	Object
Respondent: Mr peter samain [15656]	
14161	Object
Respondent: Mr. Maolcolm Spaul [15668]	
14181	Object
Respondent: Mr Thomas Goodison-Gates [15686]	
14330	Object
Respondent: Mr Julian Gregory [15736]	
14475	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Birbeck [15521]	
14547	Object
Respondent: mr chris sinfield [15931]	
15045	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Parravani [16227]	

15064 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Allsop [12572]

15875 Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]

15924 Object

Respondent: Ms Kathryn Mirner [16633]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Chedgrave Parish Council stating that residents were broadly in favour of small scale development as long as houses are not all together, mixed types of property, mixed tenure for rental, mixed ownership, housing density remains the same and there is some interest in retail development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13813 Comment

Respondent: Chedgrave Parish Council (Ms Hayley Goldson, Clerk) [14322]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Vello Ltd stating that they have reviewed the site constraints and submitted documents of the comments.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16355 Support

Respondent: Vello Ltd (Mr Neil Macnab, Development Manager) [16859]

Agent: Vello Ltd (Mr Neil Macnab, Development Manager) [16859]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment stating that the roads are already too busy, exit to A146 would be chaotic and outside the development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14277 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Herring [15744]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by the Broads Authority stating location to border of Broads, would be extending the built up area in a way that could affect the broads, potential for visual impact. Would welcome early discussions.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16397 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP1014

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating there may be biodiversity constraints relating to stream habitats.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16510 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the roads being too busy, exit onto A146 would be chaotic, outside development boundary and area already subject to over development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14278 Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicola Herring [15744]

15871 Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating it would be a sensible place for development in the village, adjacent to current development boundary, good road access and opposite existing development. A mix of housing would be preferred.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14290 Support

Respondent: Mrs Kay Mason Billig [15759]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Chedgrave Parish Council stating residents favour small scale development that means houses are not all together, mixed types of property, mixed tenure for rental, mixed ownership, housing density stay the same, density numbers need to be clarified and some retail development may be supported.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13814 Comment

Respondent: Chedgrave Parish Council (Ms Hayley Goldson, Clerk) [14322]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating the site currently provides an openness to the village approach, if development is necessary this site seems to be the only potential option, no impact on two conservation areas, ready access to A146, two sites in Loddon seem more suitable being closer to schools and other shared facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15085 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Allsop [12572]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0008

Object

Summary of representations:

One objection raised concerns regarding over development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15878 Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0312

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the natural environment, road safety issues, access and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14610 Object

Respondent: Dr Peter Floyd [15973]

14823 Object

Respondent: MRS Jennifer Fletcher [16094]

15052 Object

Respondent: mrs Louise Wicks [16239]

15078	Object
Respondent: Miss Charlotte Wicks [16255]	
15000	Object
15080	Object
Respondent: Mr Stuart Amey [16264]	
15161	Object
Respondent: D Yeomans [16247]	
15217	Object
Respondent: Olwen Jennison [16306]	
15240	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael ROE [16341]	
4.50.50	
15250	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349]	Object
	Object
	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349]	
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349] 15292 Respondent: MR TREVOR PICKERING [16359]	
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349] 15292	
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349] 15292 Respondent: MR TREVOR PICKERING [16359]	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349] 15292 Respondent: MR TREVOR PICKERING [16359] 15880 Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349] 15292 Respondent: MR TREVOR PICKERING [16359] 15880 Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349] 15292 Respondent: MR TREVOR PICKERING [16359] 15880 Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Lane [16349] 15292 Respondent: MR TREVOR PICKERING [16359] 15880 Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]	Object

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating impact on amenities for some properties on Norton Road, the views toward the Chet Valley and Loddon Church should be protected, a smaller scheme on lower section of site could be acceptable, any chosen site should have good access to A146, the sites disadvantage is its elevation, impact on wildlife, facilities are stretched, increase in traffic,

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13788 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Hardy [15301]

15121 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Allsop [12572]

16356 Comment

Respondent: Mr. Michael Watts [16861]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Hopkins Home Limited stating the site is in a sustainable location adjacent to main employment area with existing frontage footpath to Beccles Road and public transport available. The site should be allocated from residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19627 Support

Respondent: Hopkins Homes Limited (Mr Chris Smith, Development Planner) [14202]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating it may be worth reconsidering this as part of a larger scheme, since it would enable resolution of the current access constraint on site 0313.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13789 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Hardy [15301]

13790 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Hardy [15301]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0313

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding traffic congestion, impact on listed building & conservation area, over development, access, road safety and the area already had two major developments.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14616 Object

Respondent: Dr Peter Floyd [15973]

14826 Object

Respondent: MRS Jennifer Fletcher [16094]

15138 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Allsop [12572]

15881 Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]

16643 Object

Respondent: Mrs Rosemary Armatage [16944]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating it may be worth reconsidering this as part of a larger scheme, since it would enable resolution of the current access constraint on site 0313.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14795 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Valerie Hardy [15301]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Loddon & District Business Association stating more flexible consideration should be given to the site in connection with uses for town centre. The association welcomes further residential development but is mindful of needs in relation to addition commercial/social uses.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15615 Comment

Respondent: Loddon & District Business Association (Mr David Johnson, Community Support) [16512]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by the Broads Authority stating this is near the Broads border. Would welcome early discussions on this. Would be extending the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads. More limited potential for visual impact.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16398 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0314

Respondent: Mrs Rosemary Armatage [16944]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding flood area/zone, over development, traffic congestion, road safety and loss of Live Stock

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13857	Object
Respondent: Mark Richardson [15399]	
15882	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]	
15883	Object
Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]	
16644	Object

705 / 877

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding the site having advantages of current infrastructure, not impacting the Loddon conservation area. Potential for development to have no impact on traffic levels in the village, but current immediate access would have negative traffic implications.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15150 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Allsop [12572]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0347

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over development in Loddon/Chedgrave.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15885 Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Loddon & Chedgrave, GNLP0372

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding flood risk, over development, access, road safety and traffic congestion.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14625 Object

Respondent: Dr Peter Floyd [15973]

15886 Object

Respondent: Mr Jeremy Moores [16632]

16645 Object

Respondent: Mrs Rosemary Armatage [16944]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding two current large developments are already stretching services, if development is required the only sites that have potential are those with good access to A146. This particular site is on lower ground so would have less visual impact.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15128 Comment

Respondent: Mr Michael Allsop [12572]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Long Stratton (including parts of Tharston and Hapton parish adjoining Long Stratton), GNLP0509

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Armstrong Rigg Planning on behalf of Orbit Homes stating comments are made in light of the fact that no additional sites in Long Stratton were submitted as part of the previous 'Growth Options document and Site Proposals' in early 2018 and in light of our client's ongoing promotion of Land at St Mary's Road, Long Stratton.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16788 Support

Respondent: Orbit Homes [10994]

Agent: Armstrong Rigg Planning (Mr Geoff Armstrong, Director) [15285]

19677 Support

Respondent: Orbit Homes [10994]

Agent: Armstrong Rigg Planning (Mr David Jones, Senior Planner) [15904]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Marlingford & Colton, GNLP0424

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding dispensation from the District Council as without this there would not have been development on Marlingford Road or no justification for development. Other issues raised include: the site is green-belt agricultural land providing countryside walks, cycling & horse-riding, increase in carbon-footprint, impacts on the environment and wildlife, no street lighting and permission was given for only 4 homes to be built outside the settlement boundary. Any further development would be contrary to this agreement.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13153	Object
Respondent: Mrs Liz Plater [14802]	
14524	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tanera Birchall [15920]	
15004	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Dunn [15870]	
15383	Object
Respondent: mrs Natalie Hewitt [15537]	
16258	Object

Respondent: Dr Robert Curtis [16802]

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating the site is prime green-belt agricultural land, provides good green amenity state, impact on village feel, removal of food-producing land, increasing carbon footprint and scale of development on top of Food Hub.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15673 Comment

Respondent: mr G Dunn [16230]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Marlingford Parish Council stating there was no support for the proposal. Issues raised included the site wrapping around existing dwellings, proposed density seems inappropriate of 40 per hectare, existing housing located on exception site so further housing should be affordable as well. Other issues outlined in the HELAA.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14148 Object

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Marlingford & Colton, GNLP0425

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the form of development in a rural area, impacts on the greenbelt, wildlife, drainage issues, noise pollution, infrastructure and destruction the of natural beauty.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16800 Object

Respondent: Mr Chris Alderson [17057]

15006 Object

Respondent: Mrs Ann Dunn [15870]

15385 Object

Respondent: mrs Natalie Hewitt [15537]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating it should be single storey dwellings with boundary fence minimum height of 1.8m, careful consideration to site access, site layout and external appearance of properties.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13369 Comment

Respondent: Mr Mark Shingles [15002]

Respondent: Mr Justin Ritchie [14969]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Marlingford and Colton Paris Council stating support for fiver (or less) single storey residences, retention of natural hedging, support for community, adding to diversity of dwellings. Would object to larger scale executive housing and attention should be paid to significant drainage problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14145 Comment

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Marlingford & Colton, GNLP0474

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating although promoted as being appropriate around a present small development this latter group must be recognised as quite exceptional having been accepted as affordable housing. Without this dispensation from the District Council there would certainly have been no development in Marlingford Road and there is no justification for development now as the reservations in the assessment dearly suggest. Other issues raised include concerns regarding agricultural land, destroying the character of the village, impacts of wildlife, traffic congestion and road safety.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13148 Object

Respondent: Mrs Liz Plater [14802]

Object 13505 Respondent: Ms Catherine Hayes [15109] Object 14040 Respondent: Mrs Ann Hurn [15588] 14374 Object Respondent: Mrs Helen Maynard [15164] 15392 Object Respondent: mrs Natalie Hewitt [15537] 15649 Object Respondent: Mrs Judith Woods [15086] 15653 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Respondent: Mrs Judith Woods [15086]

Objection raised by Marlingford and Colton Parish Council stating if used for residential development, the site would be separated from any existing settlement and therefore would have limited access to existing services. There would be no 'accessible' primary school. If used for holiday accommodation and/or staff accommodation, the site is remote from Barnham Broom Golf and Country Club, with a corresponding increase in traffic between the two sites. Several areas are subject to flooding. Part of the site is grade 2 agricultural land.

Response

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14151 Object

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Marlingford & Colton, GNLP0475

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating planning permission has been refused in the past, lack of mains drainage, sewage system at capacity, increased risk of flooding, local roads to not have capacity for increased traffic or widening, outside the development envelope of village, impact on form and character of rural setting, no transport or school in Colton, no footpath from Colton to Barford for school pupils, impact on wildlife, scale of development,

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13150	Object
Respondent: Mrs Liz Plater [14802]	
13506	Object
Respondent: Ms Catherine Hayes [15109]	
13989	Object
Respondent: Mrs Viv Ashley [15526]	
14041	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Hurn [15588]	
15394	Object
Respondent: mrs Natalie Hewitt [15537]	
15654	Object
Respondent: Mrs Judith Woods [15086]	
16259	Object
Respondent: Dr Robert Curtis [16802]	

16782 Object

Respondent: Dr D F Curtis [17013]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Cornerstone Planning on behalf of Barnham Broom Golf and Country Club stating the site is intended as mix of affordable housing, staff accommodation for the G&CC, and market housing and the constraints and impacts should reflect this in the site suitability document.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16713 Comment

Respondent: Barnham Broom Golf and Country Club [16978]

Agent: Cornerstone Planning Ltd (Mr Alan Presslee, Director) [13498]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Marlingford and Colton Parish Council stating it is outside the development boundary. If used for residential development, the site might have 50 dwellings: a 60% increase in the number of dwellings in Colton, which does not have an 'accessible' primary school. If used for holiday accommodation and/or staff accommodation, the site is remote from Barnham Broom Golf and Country Club, with a corresponding increase in traffic between the two sites on very narrow roads. The site is grade 2 agricultural land.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14149 Object

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Marlingford & Colton, GNLP0476

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding Church Lane providing very narrow access with blind corners and steep sections, flooding issues, no mains drainage, development would destroy the rural character of the area and impact on wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13070	Object
Respondent: Dr Isabelle Grote [14663]	
13146	Object
Respondent: Mrs Liz Plater [14802]	
13507	Object
Respondent: Ms Catherine Hayes [15109]	
14043	Object
Respondent: Mrs Ann Hurn [15588]	
14378	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Maynard [15164]	
15400	Object

Respondent: mrs Natalie Hewitt [15537]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Marlingford and Colton Parish Council stating if used for residential development, the site is outside the defined development boundary and remote from any settlement, with no 'accessible' primary school. The local road, Church Lane, is unsuitable. Large parts of the site are located within the designated river valley, the Yare Rural River Valley. The whole area is particularly visually attractive, with wide views over the countryside, correspondingly the proposed development would be visible from a very wide area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14150 Object

Respondent: Marlingford and Colton Parish Council (Mrs H Frary, Clerk) [12695]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Cornstone Planning on behalf of Barnham Broom Golf and Country Club stating the proposal is to for a combination of Independent/active/keep healthy, retirement living for the Over 55s (to 80s) with supporting services, care and leisure which would be extended to others who live in the parishes of Barnham. Broom and Colton, combined with second/holiday home ownership and limited general market housing, where viability dictates.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16714 Comment

Respondent: Barnham Broom Golf and Country Club [16978]

Agent: Cornerstone Planning Ltd (Mr Alan Presslee, Director) [13498]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Morley, GNLP0356

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro on behalf of land owner stating The promoter does not consider that the site is remote from village services as indicated in the HELAA. Highways concerns over the practicality of creating a suitable access can be addressed and a technically compliant access is achievable. Development would not affect designated landscapes and any impact can be mitigated by supplementary planting. Site is best placed of those in Morley provide a small scale 30 dwelling allocation for the service village which could also deliver a footpath link to Wymondham College as well as affordable housing for local people.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16721 Support

Respondent: Nigel Hannant [16983]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Ian Reilly, Senior Associate Planner) [14057]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Mulbarton, GNLP0315

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding site being previously allocated when Bluebell Road estate was built in the 1970's. However, currently the infrastructure would not cope with further development, more cars on narrow roads, rural views from the common would be disrupted and impact on historic cluster of houses.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14730 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Jill Wright [16055]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, strain on infrastructure, inadequate roads, lack or full services, inadequate transport links, economic impact would be poor, traffic congestions and ecological impacts. The proposed windfarm on-shore grid station development in Swardeston should also be taken into account. It is against approved and adopted neighbourhood plan and is against the former joint core strategy. Brownfield sites in Norwich should be developed first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12863	Object
Respondent: 3582183 Nigel Howell [14580]	
12964	Object
Respondent: Mrs Heken Davies [14707]	
13108	Object
Respondent: Anne Rayner [14436]	
13984	Object
Respondent: Mr Patrick Floyd [15060]	-
15059	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]	
15120	Object
Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]	
16198	Object
Respondent: Mr Gerald Peachy [16780]	

16202 Object

Respondent: Mrs Helen Peachy [16781]

16205 Object

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Chris & Marina Carter [16790]

16813 Object

Respondent: Mrs Celia Sheldrake [15923]

16557 Object

Respondent: Mr. Ian Haigh [16920]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Mulbarton Parish Council stating they dispute the RAG assessment which does not accurately reflect the constraints and impacts of building on this site, inadequate roads, limited access due to single track road, access to B1113 or A140 is poor due to traffic from surrounding developments.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19733 Object

Respondent: Mulbarton Parish Council (Miss A Phillips, Clerk) [13463]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Mulbarton, GNLP0496

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro on behalf of Glavenhill Strategic Land stating the site can accommodate 180 dwellings including new doctors, burial ground extension and 9.81 ha of Green Infrastructure, benefit of affordable housing, support to local shops/services and delivery of new roundabout and highway footpath improvements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16400 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mrs Beccy Rejzek) [16106]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding there is no information regarding the plans for land on named site. Satisfactory location but concern regarding the scale, infrastructure and housing being built on greenfield site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16556 Comment

Respondent: Mr. Ian Haigh [16920]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding pressure on village services, greenfield sites. Since 2000 there has already been development of almost 400 houses, with 180 in the process of development. Other concerns include inadequate roads, lack or full services, inadequate transport links, economic impact would be poor, traffic congestion and ecological impacts. The proposed windfarm on-shore grid station development in Swardeston should also be taken into account.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12963	Object
Respondent: Mrs Heken Davies [14707]	
13983	Object
Respondent: Mr Patrick Floyd [15060]	Object
14182	Object
Respondent: Mr Patrick Floyd [15060]	
14729	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jill Wright [16055]	
16199	Object
Respondent: Mr Gerald Peachy [16780]	
16201	Object
Respondent: Mrs Helen Peachy [16781]	
16206	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs Chris & Marina Carter [16790]	

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Mulbarton Parish Council stating . If 30 per hectare, then 770 houses We dispute the RAG assessment which we do not accurately reflect the constraints and impacts of developing this site. We are of the opinion that the unclassified roads will cause extra vehicular traffic to an already at capacity unclassified road. Planning application 2018/0872 already submitted -Original 170 houses for eastern section of overall plot.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19736 Object

Respondent: Mulbarton Parish Council (Miss A Phillips, Clerk) [13463]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Newton Flotman, GNLP0594

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Newton Flotman Parish Council stating access to this site is very close to the Flordon Road/A140 junction which is recognised as a dangerous junction - 6th most dangerous in Norfolk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12881 Object

Respondent: Newton Flotman Parish Council (Mrs J King, Clerk) [13241]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Woods Hardwick Planning stating the proposal is for 33 residential with 11 affordable allowing people to remain in the village, location is identified as a service village so therefore a sustainable location and the additional homes would support the provisions of local services.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13532 Support

Respondent: Woods Hardwick Planning Ltd (Miss Kerry Brody, Assistant Planner) [15127]

Agent: Woods Hardwick Planning Ltd (Miss Kerry Brody, Assistant Planner) [15127]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Poringland, GNLP0169

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Millard Tuddenham on behalf of the land owner stating the site would provide much needed market and affordable housing, community benefit from residential care, employment space and open space, suitable and well connected location and it extends development in a logical form.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16786 Support

Respondent: Millard Tuddenham (Mr James Millard) [14994]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding distance between services and site, extension into the open countryside, unsuitable road network, impact on the townscape, local services, heritage and open space. Other issues include pressure on services such as school capacity, stretched GP surgeries as well as traffic congestion and road safety.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13478	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs Keith & Deborah Wilson [15089]	
101=	
13657	Object
Respondent: Susan Astbury [15006]	
13748	Object
Respondent: Ms Gwenda Porter [14847]	Object
Respondent. We owended to the [14047]	
13749	Object
Respondent: Ms Gwenda Porter [14847]	
15950	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
15952	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
16016	Ohioot
16216	Object
Respondent: Ms Sue Butters [16791]	

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Poringland Parish Council stating it would contribute to the disjointed form of development of the conurbation. Extends beyond the comfortable walking/ cycling distance to schools, doctors and shopping. Makes the village an 'octopus' with its tentacles extending into open countryside. Dominant over the village approaches from Shotesham.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16416 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Poringland, GNLP0223

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, shape it would give Poringland after development, drainage issues, flood risk, local infrastructure not being able to cope, and lack of services. The site is also located outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15467 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

16051 Object

Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]

16582 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Poringland Parish Council stating access problems with no comfortable access through the Norfolk Homes development, would alter 'shape' of the conurbation, would reduce the distinctions between Poringland and Stoke, would have significant Governance issues between Stoke and Poringland, would negatively alter the drainage problems of Boundary Way.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16415 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Poringland, GNLP0280

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding access, drainage issues, disconnected from the built form of the contribution, traffic congestion, road safety and stretched services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13480 Object

Respondent: Mr & Mrs Keith & Deborah Wilson [15089]

15954 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

16588 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Poringland Parish Council stating some problems over access, perhaps requiring the demolition of one house. Drainage problems. Disconnected from the built form of the conurbation. Would contribute to the linear form of the conurbation.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Poringland, GNLP0316

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding stretched infrastructure, services at capacity, impact on wildlife and habitats especially around the River Chet source pond.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15302 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Bunn [16354]

Support

Summary of representations:

Additional information provided including an initial site plan and aerial plan and information in relation to Ecology and Landscape. Another support suggested the impacts of development in this location are not considered insurmountable and can be addressed as part of detailed design solutions for the site. Can be delivered by a developer within the first five years of the emerging Local Plan period.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16671 Support

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Bulmer [16958]

Agent: Savills (Lydia Voyias, Associate) [16956]

19675 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Anastasia Safronoff, Planner) [18712]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding impacts on environmental assets, wildlife, landscape value, traffic congestion, road safety, oversubscribed services, flood risk and access. The site is not in accordance with NPPF in conserving the natural environment.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12800	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Coombs [14408]	
12921	Object
Respondent: Mrs Maggie Mouncer [14672]	
13198	Object
Respondent: Mrs hayley coombs [14841]	
13172	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Fawcett [14818]	
13479	Object
Respondent: Mr & Mrs Keith & Deborah Wilson [15089]	
15956	Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]

Respondent: Mrs Sheila Hoyle [15220]

16585 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Poringland Parish Council stating this land has significant environmental assets, hedges ponds - it would require a significant environmental audit. Would contribute to the perceived linear vision of the conurbation. Site has significant landscape value as the headwaters of the Well Beck and is one of the few views of landscape available to the road traveller between Poringland and Brooke.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16418 Object

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding conserving the historic and natural environment, road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13792	Object
Respondent: Mr Adrian Weller [15349]	
13793	Object
Respondent: mr Joe hewett [15347]	
13876	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sarah Weller [15409]	
14117	Object
Respondent: Miss Holly Weller [15629]	
16227	Object

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Grint [14791]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by Brown & Co on behalf of the land owner. It is noted that the site is classified as being suitable for housing development in the Council's Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA) 2017. However, a number of technical issues are identified as potential constraints on development, and these issues are addressed in attached reports.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16319 Support

Respondent: Mr West [16836]

Agent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Pulham St Mary, GNLP0363

Object

Summary of representations:

One objection raised concerns regarding overcrowding site. When the factory was redeveloped with Parish Council objected strongly objected. Facilities are already stretched.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14496 Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

Object

Summary of representations:

Two objections raised concerning the site was previously access under South Norfolk Local Plan and considered unsuitable. Other concerns include narrow access, land slopes down towards the beck and it is set within the conservation zone.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14510 Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

15450 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Moore [16166]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Pulham St Mary, GNLP0430

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Brown & Co on behalf of the land owner stating it is noted the site is classified as being suitable for housing development in the Council's HELAA assessment, however a number of technical issues are identified as potential constraints on development and these issues are addressed in the report they have submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16334 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Mr Nick Moys, Senior Associate) [14364]

734 / 877

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the site previously being rejected under the SNC Local Plan as access and egress is via a narrow opening and the site is located close to a dangerous meeting place. Other concerns raised include access by Anglian Water to pumping station and sewage service provision. Other concerns include unsustainable site, public transport, services, safe walking routes, access, junctions, excessive noise and pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19665

Respondent: Miss Anneka Hardy [17684]

14511

Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

Object

Respondent: Mrs Annalize Ide [16485]

Support

Summary of representations:

One comment submitted in support of site. This site is currently a semi-smallholding with residential properties to either side of it. Of the seven sites proposed in Pulham St Mary it is possibly the only one that has merit. The main difficulty would appear to be that it is served by a fairly narrow lane, so an addition 8-10 properties would, perhaps, present their own difficulties with access and egress that would need sympathetic design. That many dwellings would be acceptable for the size of the site and not cause too severe strain on the infrastructure of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14525 Support

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Pulham St Mary, GNLP1027

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding being rejected by previous SNDC Local Plan, main issue is access, 20 properties is too many for site and limited facilities in Pulham St Mary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14491 Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, prime arable land, and bend in road, lack of services and oversubscribed schools and doctors.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14494 Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Durrants stating it is suitable, available, achievable and viable. It provides a suitable opportunity for development in the area and should be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16297 Support

Respondent: Durrants (Mr R Cole) [16811]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the site is part of working farmland and is needed to feed the population and brownfield sites should be used first.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14522 Object

Respondent: Mrs Andrea Donnison [15893]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Rockland St Mary, GNLP0165

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the site located on a sharp bend and the site slopes. Other issues raised include flood risk, safe access, inadequate infrastructure & amenities, road network, environmental & wildlife impacts, pollution, limited public transport, traffic congestion, scale of development and the site is located outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16149 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Martin [15118]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support stating Rockland St Mary needs additional housing to increase the population of the village to provide the support needed to maintain the viability of the school, bus service, doctor's surgery, post office, shop and other local services. This proposed site is not ideal, but in the absence of other more suitable sites I would support the development of this site in the greater interest of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13112 Support

Respondent: Mr Malcolm Robinson [14722]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments stating this site is adjacent to entrance to the village with its views of "The Norman" -a grade 2 listed building and the Village Church. The access from the site would be onto a narrow meandering road with limited visibility. The site is hilly and does not lend itself to development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13669 Comment

Respondent: Mr Brian Ansell [15258]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the site located on a sharp bend and the site slopes. Other issues raised include flood risk, safe access, inadequate infrastructure & amenities, road network, environmental & wildlife impacts, pollution, limited public transport, traffic congestion, scale of development and the site is located outside the settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12850	Object
Respondent: Mrs Clare Brett [14489]	
12851	Object
Respondent: Mr Tom Brett [14545]	
13076	Object
Respondent: Mr Matthew Piper [14771]	
13437	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Hayward [15040]	
13486	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Ellis [14840]	
13510	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116]	
13517	Object
Deependents Mrs Ares Debinson [15110]	

Respondent: Mrs Amy Robinson [15112]

13539	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alison Evans [15124]	
13562	Object
Respondent: Mr David Edwards [15171]	
13565	Object
Respondent: Tim Beaumont [15175]	
13579	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Briddon [14638]	
13623	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Morris [15225]	
13640	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Plaw [14876]	
13635	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Kippin [15230]	
13658	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Huxtable [15251]	
13802	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Davey [15367]	
13815	Object
Respondent: Paddy Hann [15373]	
13848	Object
Respondent: Ms Jackie Kennedy [15397]	

13880	Object
Respondent: Mr Tristen Read [14519]	
13881	Object
Respondent: Mr Marcus Read [15416]	
13943	Object
Respondent: Miss Jocelyn Carter [15480]	
13953	Object
Respondent: Mr John Sayles [15491]	
13995	Object
Respondent: Mrs CAROLINE RINGWOOD [15132]	
14207	Object
Respondent: Mrs Valerie Soer [15707]	
14220	Object
Respondent: David Gregory [14658]	
14292	Object
Respondent: Ms Catherine Bevington [15761]	
14493	Object
Respondent: Mrs Laura LeFevre-Gregory [14982]	
14508	Object
Respondent: Miss Leanne Stephens [15908]	
14654	Object
Respondent: Mrs Michaela Martin [14762]	

14672	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Cook [16000]	
14697	Object
Respondent: Mr David Fairbourn [15213]	
14735	Object
Respondent: Mr Sebastian Shelton [14930]	
14819	Object
Respondent: Mr D Richardson [16120]	
14865	Object
Respondent: Mrs Dawn Read [16142]	
14907	Object
Respondent: Mr Martyn Bumstead [16168]	
14940	Object
Respondent: Miss Lauren Read [16182]	
14942	Object
Respondent: Mr Ross Mitchell [16184]	
14945	Object
Respondent: mr russell gregory [16172]	
15062	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gemma Bernau [16235]	
15225	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alyson Wilson [16336]	

15332	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Pulfer [16381]	
15697	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Brooks [15697]	
16074	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carla Harper [16659]	
19672	Object
Respondent: J DRAKE [18453]	
16167	Object
Respondent: Mr P D Clarke [16778]	
16172	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Kippin [15230]	
19692	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Ellis [17320]	
19701	Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Rockland St Mary with Hellington Parish Council objects to this site on the grounds that it is not viable for development as the dangerous corner location and impossibility of creating a safe access point make it totally unsuitable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14419 Object

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Rockland St Mary, GNLP0531

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Broads Authority stating this site is up to the border with the Broads and is of a large scale. Early discussion is welcome while there is potential for significant visual impact on the Broads landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16389 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding agricultural land, access (through a winding and blind section of the road), and wildlife as it borders the Broads National Park (sic). Village is classed as a service village but has limited facilities and reservations raised regarding over-development, road suitability, narrow pavements, lack of public transport, traffic congestion, food risk, environmental impacts, infrastructure, pollution, lack of services and the school is already at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13484 Object

Respondent: Mr Philip Ellis [14840]

16150 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Martin [15118]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections rased by both Rockland St Mary and Claxton Parish Councils stating Rockland St Mary with Hellington Parish Council object as the site is high-density development that is incompatible with key environmental neighbouring uses, the road capacity is highly unsuitable and there is no safe or suitable access point to development of this size. The land is on a slope having water drainage problems even though it is agricultural land. The size of development will ultimately change the character of the village. The proposal is also outside the settlement boundary. The site would be car dependent due to its distance from urban centres and facilities. It would be a separate entity. Rockland is a National Cycleway and has environmental conservation sites.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Rockland St Mary Parish Council (Mrs M Armstrong, Clerk) [12600]

Support

Summary of representations:

Two comments in support of site on the grounds that access would be easier than the other sites submitted. The village infrastructure could not absorb the proposed 200, so 50 have been suggested. Though, roads, drains, pavements etc. need to be updated. Rockland St Mary needs additional housing to increase the population of the village to provide the support needed to maintain it.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13113 Support

Respondent: Mr Malcolm Robinson [14722]

13556 Support

Respondent: Mrs June Green [15161]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating it does not provide safe access, services and local facilities will not be able to cope, rural nature of village will be lost, destroy village life and increase size of village by 50%.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13239 Comment

Respondent: Mr and Mrs David Richardson [14873]

13671 Comment

Respondent: Mr Brian Ansell [15258]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding agricultural land, access (through a winding and blind section of the road), and wildlife as it borders the Broads National Park (sic). Village is classed as a service village but has limited facilities and reservations raised regarding over-development, road suitability, narrow pavements, lack of public transport, traffic congestion, food risk, environmental impacts, infrastructure, pollution, lack of services and the school is already at capacity.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12891	Object
Respondent: Mr Steve Jones [14631]	
12919	Object
Respondent: Belinda Davies [14670]	
12976	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Thomas [14711]	
13065	Object
Respondent: mr ingo wagenknecht [14747]	
13077	Object

Respondent: Mr Matthew Piper [14771]

13014	Object
Respondent: HALSTEAD PHILIP HALSTEAD [14724]	
10001	
13201	Object
Respondent: Paul Sanford [14843]	
13015	Object
Respondent: HALSTEAD Harriet HALSTEAD [14730]	
13247	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Plaw [14876]	
10005	
13295	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Davies [14905]	
13364	Object
	<u> </u>
Respondent: mr kevin stirling [14996]	,
Respondent: mr kevin stirling [14996] Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996]	
	Object
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996]	
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996] 13511 Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116]	
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996] 13511	
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996] 13511 Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116]	Object
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996] 13511 Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116] 13446	Object
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996] 13511 Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116] 13446 Respondent: Mrs Pia Saunders [15045]	Object
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996] 13511 Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116] 13446 Respondent: Mrs Pia Saunders [15045]	Object
Agent: mr kevin stirling [14996] 13511 Respondent: Mr Richard Sadd [15116] 13446 Respondent: Mrs Pia Saunders [15045]	Object

13563	Object
Respondent: Mr David Edwards [15171]	
13485	Object
Respondent: Dr Joanne Norris [15096]	
13570	Object
Respondent: Tim Beaumont [15175]	
13489	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Evans [15095]	
13580	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Briddon [14638]	
13584	Object
Respondent: Mr Michael Hayward [15040]	
13594	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jane Henry [15203]	
13625	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Morris [15225]	
13638	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Plaw [15231]	
13641	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Plaw [15231]	
13643	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Plaw [15231]	

13647	Object
Respondent: Ms Kaarin Wall [15237]	
13664	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Ewell [15218]	
13682	Object
Respondent: Professor Roland Kaye [15270]	
13689	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sharon Black [15272]	
13751	Object
Respondent: G Muratore [15303]	
13798	Object
Respondent: MR Kevin Rhead [15363]	
13809	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Davey [15367]	
13816	Object
Respondent: Paddy Hann [15373]	
13852	Object
Respondent: Ms Jackie Kennedy [15397]	
13898	Object
Respondent: mr peter loades [15430]	
13899	Object
Respondent: mr peter loades [15430]	

13965	Object
Respondent: Mrs Brenda Richardson [15499]	
13990	Object
Respondent: Mrs CAROLINE RINGWOOD [15132]	
14114	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Cullum [14947]	
14204	Object
Respondent: Mr Roger Brooks [15697]	
14208	Object
Respondent: Mrs Valerie Soer [15707]	
14211	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Bailey [15709]	
14294	Object
Respondent: Ms Catherine Bevington [15761]	
14241	Object
Respondent: David Gregory [14658]	
14499	Object
Respondent: Mrs Laura LeFevre-Gregory [14982]	
14509	Object
Respondent: Miss Leanne Stephens [15908]	
14679	Object
Respondent: Miss Rebecca Cook [16000]	

14651	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kirstie Perfitt [15856]	
14698	Object
Respondent: Mr David Fairbourn [15213]	
14655	Object
Respondent: Mrs Michaela Martin [14762]	
14739	Object
Respondent: mr johnny fincham [16061]	
14742	Object
Respondent: Mr Sebastian Shelton [14930]	
14813	Object
Respondent: Mr D Richardson [16120]	
14842	Object
Respondent: Mrs Charlotte Brooks [16136]	
14893	Object
Respondent: Christine Owens [16157]	
14912	Object
Respondent: Mr Martyn Bumstead [16168]	
14935	Object
Respondent: Mr Jason McKnespiey [16180]	
14938	Object
Respondent: mr russell gregory [16172]	

14978	Object
Respondent: Mr Peter Armitage [16193]	
14983	Object
Respondent: Individual Jane Armitage [16198]	
14998	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anna Gamble [16204]	
15060	Object
Respondent: Mrs Gemma Bernau [16235]	
15266	Object
Respondent: Mrs Penny Ellis [16355]	
15338	Object
Respondent: Mrs Alyson Wilson [16336]	
15574	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Ewles [16477]	
16013	Object
Respondent: Mr James Wretham [14931]	
15364	Object
Respondent: Miss J C Richardson [15219]	
15788	Object
Respondent: Mrs. emily ireson [16586]	
16102	Object
Respondent: Mrs Carla Harper [16659]	

16168	Object
Respondent: Mr P D Clarke [16778]	
16225	Object
Respondent: Mrs Francesca Underhill [16751]	
16173	Object
Respondent: Mr Nigel Kippin [15230]	
16296	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Henderson [16810]	
16300	Object
Respondent: mr Christopher Tusting [16821]	
16602	Object
Respondent: Mrs. M Simpson [16929]	
19693	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Ellis [17320]	
19702	Object
Respondent: Brenda Packman [17963]	

Summary of representations:

Objections rased by both Rockland St Mary and Claxton Parish Councils stating Rockland St Mary with Hellington Parish Council object as the site is high-density development that is incompatible with key environmental neighbouring uses, the road capacity is highly unsuitable and there is no safe or suitable access point to development of this size. The land is on a slope having water drainage problems even though it is agricultural land. The size of development will ultimately change the character of the village. The proposal is also outside the settlement boundary. The site would be car dependent due to its distance from urban centres and facilities. It would be a separate entity. Rockland is a National Cycleway and has environmental conservation sites.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16226 Object

Respondent: Claxton Parish Council (Mr M Balmer, Clerk) [12637]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Roydon, GNLP0104

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access to site via Sandstone Way being unsuitable, proximity to A1066 would mean site suffers from a lot of noise pollution, 2/3 of site outside the development envelope, archaeological interest on site would be destroyed, site bordered by The Angels Way, increase in traffic and congestion on rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12871 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Franks [14607]

12875 Object Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Laifa [14612] Object 12879 Respondent: Mr Alan Franks [14607] 12968 Object Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693] Object 13634 Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222] 14164 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Shorter [15675]

Object 15044

Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site for self-build homes.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16165 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding proximity to main road, potential to cause congestion, impact on struggling local infrastructure and impact on services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13618 Comment

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Roydon Parish Council stating the area contains remains of Bronze Age Settlement and Roman Villa. There are concerns with traffic implication on the A1066 caused by an increase in traffic from the development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13668 Object

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Suffolk Wildlife Trust stating the site is within 300m of Roydon Fen which is a Suffolk Wildlife Trust Reserve, a designated CWS. Development could have a range of adverse impacts on the fen from increased recreational pressure. Impacts should be assessed before a decision is made and if harm would result it should not be allocated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15691 Object

Respondent: Suffolk Wildlife Trust (Mr James Meyer, Senior Conservation Planner) [16523]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Roydon, GNLP0119

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding exit from site onto main road, transport links unsuitable, safety issues for pedestrians, services (especially doctors and schools) are at capacity, building on a greenfield site, poor access and further planned development on much larger sites nearby would worsen traffic concerns.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12878 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Laifa [14612]

12969 Object

Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating the site would compliment GNLP0342, potential for good access, site borders a road that could become a Northern Relief Road and a portion of land should be used o expand the cemetery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14167 Support

Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Shorter [15675]

15032 Support

Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]

16152 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site by Roydon Parish Council stating development on site should have no adverse effects on existing residents and amenities, track to east could provide access to the east away from Shelfanger Road. Comments the Parish Council have made are without prejudice to the outcome of the Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13674 Support

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

13927 Support

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Roydon, GNLP0291

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site feeding onto a main road with safety concerns and congestion, unsuitable transport links, safety of pedestrians in village, already dense housing in the area, local services are over subscribed, area used by local community as green space and should remain this way.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12877 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Laifa [14612]

12971 Object

Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]

13631 Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted stating proximity to main road, increased traffic congestion, impact on local infrastructure which is struggling to cope and further development would make issues worse.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13615 Comment

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating the site would compliment GNLP0119/0342, reasonable plot with potentially good access, borders a road which could become a Northern Relief Road but a portion of land needs to be set aside to expand cemetery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14168 Support

Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Shorter [15675]

15034 Support

Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]

16153 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments in support of site by Roydon Parish Council stating development of the site would have no adverse impact on the local residents and amenities, existing track to the east could be altered to allow access away from Shelfanger Road. Comments the Parish Council have made are without prejudice to the outcome of the Neighbourhood Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13675 Support

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

13928 Support

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by Hopkins Homes Ltd stating there is an agreement with the land owner that the site can be promoted for residential development of 21 new dwellings and potential access further east for further residential development. The site is in a sustainable location on the edge of Diss adjacent to main northern radial route with public transport services. The site should be allocated for residential development in the GNLP.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19666 Support

Respondent: Hopkins Homes Limited (Mr Chris Smith, Development Planner) [14202]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Roydon, GNLP0362

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by Bidwells on behalf of G N Rackham and Sons Ltd stating the site should be supported for residential development. The site is suitable, available, achievable and viable. It is in a sustainable location and can provide a modest quantum of development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15244 Support

Respondent: G.N. Rackham and Sons Ltd [16812]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Simon Henry, Principal Planner) [13416]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted stating development on a greenfield site a concern, impact of extra traffic on the narrow Louis Lane, high density of dwellings, services at capacity, impact on infrastructure, impact on wildlife and no pedestrian footpath down the lane.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13323 Comment

Respondent: Mr Derek Button [12552]

13613 Comment

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

13614 Comment

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

13678 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Sarah Briscoe [15267]

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the development feeding onto a main road, development on a greenfield site, impact on views across open countryside, strain on local services, potential density of development being very high, rural countryside taken away from local development, road and pedestrian safety concerns, impact on wildlife and habitats, local infrastructure struggling to cope, impact on quality of life for residents and poor public transport mean people will rely on cars.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12874	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Laifa [14612]	
12972	Object
Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]	
13114	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Powell [14803]	
13196	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Courtier [14838]	
13619	Object

13629	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]	
12620	Object
13630	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]	
13796	Object
Respondent: Mr David Fiddy [15039]	
14169	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Shorter [15675]	
14304	Object
Respondent: Mrs Victoria McClure [15768]	
14310	Object
Respondent: Miss Katie McClure [15769]	
14331	Object
Respondent: Mrs Shirley Farrow [15762]	-
14498	Object
Respondent: Mr Lee Fairweather [15895]	
15040	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]	
4.5570	
15579	Object
Respondent: Glyn Robinson [15832]	
16361	Object
Respondent: Ms Dawn Messenger [16896]	

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Diss Community Woodland Project stating a request that they will be consulted on the incorporation of wildlife land allocation as part of any development that adjoins Quaker Wood, to ensure permanent and adequate links between Quaker Wood and the larger rural environment.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19650 Object

Respondent: Diss Community Woodland Project (Mr Richard Pither) [17152]

Mixed

Summary of representations:

Objection made by Roydon Parish Council stating they cannot support development of the whole site comprising of 400 homes, the rural views enjoyed by this part of the community should be preserved, housing behind current houses on Louis Lane not suitable, not wish to see ribbon development. Could support low density housing (up to 40) on the site.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13673 Object

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

13929 Support

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of the site stating it is suitable as it borders a main road which would become a Northern Relief Road but a portion of the land will need to be used to expand the cemetery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16154 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Roydon, GNLP0526

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells on behalf of the land owner stating the site should be allocated for residential development. The site is suitable, available, achievable and viable. It represents a sustainable location and can provide a modest quantum of development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15227 Support

Respondent: Bidwells (Mr Simon Henry, Principal Planner) [13416]

Agent: Bidwells (Mr Simon Henry, Principal Planner) [13416]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust stating potential recreational impacts on Roydon Fen CWS and mitigation measures may be required, concern regarding water quality issues from surface water run-off, area has woodland and scrub which is home to protected species and there may be further comments from Suffolk Wildlife Trust.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16533 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site feeding onto main road, increase in traffic congestion, safety of pedestrians (especially school children), impact on wildlife area being 200m from Roydon Fen, flood plain issues from River Waveney, impact on infrastructure, sites acts as a natural buffer between village and SSSI, water run-off and pollution of the fen, detrimental impact on views and increased environmental pressure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12873 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Laifa [14612]

12880 Object

Respondent: Mr. Richard Alasia [14616]

12973	Object
Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]	
13197	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Courtier [14838]	
13632	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]	
14170	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Shorter [15675]	
14410	Object
Respondent: Glyn Robinson [15832]	
14500	Object
Respondent: Mr Lee Fairweather [15895]	
15025	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]	
16053	Object
Respondent: Mr Nick Atkins [16574]	
16089	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Atkins [16685]	
16362	Object
Respondent: Ms Dawn Messenger [16896]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding location close to a main road causing congestion, entrance very close to primary school, impact on wildlife near Roydon Fen, local infrastructure struggling to cope, impact on rare wetland habitat and an increase in people using the wetland for recreation could cause impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13616 Comment

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

15796 Comment

Respondent: Angela Lamb [15751]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Suffolk Wildlife Trust stating the proximity to Roydon Fen a designated CWS and recreational impact and pollution from contaminated surface water reaching the site. These impacts must be assessed prior to any development allocation being made.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15682 Object

Respondent: Suffolk Wildlife Trust (Mr James Meyer, Senior Conservation Planner) [16523]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Roydon Parish Council stating the Waveney Valley is a valuable asset to the community and would be seriously affected by housing on this site, there is poor access, loss of current vistas and would have a detrimental impact on the area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13670 Object

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of the site stating it is suitable for development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16164 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Roydon, GNLP1038

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site stating they are very small sites and would not support many homes but would be suitable for self-build development, and there is no clause that states this site cannot be used for housing development as the land cannot currently be used for agriculture due to size of site not being suitable for modern machinery.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16166 Support

Respondent: Nr Eric Taylor [16777]

16783 Support

Respondent: Mr Chris Joyce [14363]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted stating no concern around small development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16364 Comment

Respondent: Ms Dawn Messenger [16896]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site feeding onto a main road, transport links are unsuitable, pedestrian safety in village (especially children), pressure on local services, access to site is only suitable for agricultural traffic, site is surrounded by single track lanes and Diss Football Club, impact on wildlife sites, impact on rural feeling of area, approach roads to site are very narrow, noise and light pollution from football club and comment that the site was sold with clause of no residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12876	Object
Respondent: Mrs Kirsty Laifa [14612]	
12975	Object
Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]	
13199	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janet Courtier [14838]	
13633	Object
Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]	
14171	Object
Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Shorter [15675]	
15030	Object
Respondent: Mr Paul Curson [16214]	
15782	Object
Respondent: Angela Lamb [15751]	

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Clarke & Simpson stating the site has been put forward as a potentially suitable site for a mix of affordable and market housing which would be in-keeping with houses on Rectory Lane. Development could allow for housing for local families to stay in the area. Site was previously agricultural land but has undergone further enhancement.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15968 Comment

Respondent: Clarke & Simpson (Mr James Baker, Land Agent) [15354]

Agent: Clarke & Simpson (Mr James Baker, Land Agent) [15354]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating the location of the site to a main road being of concern, local infrastructure struggling to cope and not a suitable site for development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13617 Comment

Respondent: Mr Mark Emsden [15222]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Roydon Parish Council stating it is important to maintain green space between Diss and Roydon and particularly the historic Brewers Green, potential issues with access and traffic flow and that fact that the site adjoins Diss Football Club which may not be ideal for residents.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13672 Object

Respondent: Roydon Parish Council (Mr Gareth Roderick-Jones) [15260]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by Diss Town Council stating we comment on this site without prejudice to the above and our comment on this specific site is that we would support the view of Roydon Parish Council and Roydon residents.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16029 Comment

Respondent: Diss Town Council (Mrs Sarah Richards, Town Clerk) [14137]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Saxlingham Nethergate, GNLP0198

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Saxlingham Nethergate Parish Council stating they endorse the assessment that the site does not have suitable access from the highway, which puts deliverable into question.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14536 Object

Respondent: Saxlingham Nethergate Parish Council (Mrs J King, Clerk) [13242]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised stating there is not the access to support dwellings in this part of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13654 Object

Respondent: Mrs SJ Burton [15246]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Scole, GNLP0338

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Savills on behalf of Thelveton Farms stating that they agree with the site being suitable in the previous HELAA and that the reduction in site area would further contribute to the suitability of the site. Scole is identified as a service village, primary school within walking distance, access of Bungay Road could be upgraded and the site is well screened and adjacent to settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16698 Support

Respondent: Thelveton Farms [16973]

Agent: Savills (Mr Gareth Watts, Agent) [14393]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Scole Parish Council regarding the site being too densely developed, has poor access and is outside the Settlement boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15341 Object

Respondent: Scole Parish Council (Mr. Graham Moore, Chairman) [15568]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Scole, GNLP0339

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Savills on behalf of Thelveton Farms stating the site has been deemed as suitable in the HELAA. Reviewing the comments we can confirm that Scole is a service village, no known constraints on site, access of Low Road can be upgraded and there is residential development on either flank.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16693 Support

Respondent: Thelveton Farms [16973]

Agent: Savills (Mr Gareth Watts, Agent) [14393]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Scole Parish Council stating proposed housing density too high, Access poor, Flooding Risk and outside Settlement Boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15431 Object

Respondent: Scole Parish Council (Mr. Graham Moore, Chairman) [15568]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Scole, GNLP0511

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Scole Parish Council stating the number of homes is too high for rural development. Reinstate the original proposal of 18.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14021 Object

Respondent: Scole Parish Council (Mr. Graham Moore, Chairman) [15568]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Scole, GNLP0527

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Scole Parish Council stating proposed housing density too high, access poor and site rejected on a previous occasion.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15440 Object

Respondent: Scole Parish Council (Mr. Graham Moore, Chairman) [15568]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Seething Parish Council stating concerns regarding density having a negative impact on the character of Seething and exceeding the capacity of its existing facilities and narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13220 Object

Respondent: Seething Parish Council (Mrs J Andrews, Clerk) [12586]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted by John Long Planning in support of suitability for small-scale development (HELAA). Issues addressed in the HELAA (access, utilities, water infrastructure/drainage, heritage & biodiversity) are being considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16727 Comment

Respondent: Otley Properties [16980]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Seething Parish Council stating concerns regarding density having a negative impact on the character of Seething and exceeding the capacity of its existing facilities and narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13221 Object

Respondent: Seething Parish Council (Mrs J Andrews, Clerk) [12586]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by John Long Planning stating the conclusion that small-scale development in suitable in the HELAA is welcomed. Taking into account local character considerations, the combined number for these 3 sites would be up to 10 dwellings. A lower number would also take into account the issues over the suitability of the local road network to accommodate traffic arising from the 3 sites. Issues including access, drainage and sewerage are being considered in more detail.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16728 Comment

Respondent: Otley Properties [16980]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Seething Parish Council stating concerns regarding density having a negative impact on the character of Seething and exceeding the capacity of its existing facilities and narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13222 Object

Respondent: Seething Parish Council (Mrs J Andrews, Clerk) [12586]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by John Long Planning stating agreement with the HELAA that the site is considered suitable for small scale development. Taking into account local character considerations, the combined number for these 3 sites would be up to 10 dwellings. A lower number would also take into account the issues over the suitability of the local road network to accommodate traffic arising from the 3 sites. Issues including access, drainage and sewerage are being considered in more detail.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16729 Comment

Respondent: Otley Properties [16980]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Seething Parish Council stating concerns regarding density having a negative impact on the character of Seething and exceeding the capacity of its existing facilities and narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13223 Object

Respondent: Seething Parish Council (Mrs J Andrews, Clerk) [12586]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by John Long Planning stating conclusions from the HELAA that the site is suitable for small scale development is welcomed. Taking into account local character the combined number would be up to 10 dwellings. Issues including access, drainage and sewerage have been dealt with in a recent planning application. The main reason for refusal of the application was that it constituted development in the countryside when the Council had a 39.6 year housing supply, although since the application was considered this situation has changed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16732 Comment

Respondent: Otley Properties [16980]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections by Seething Parish Council stating concerns regarding density having a negative impact on the character of Seething and exceeding the capacity of its existing facilities and narrow rural roads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13224 Object

Respondent: Seething Parish Council (Mrs J Andrews, Clerk) [12586]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by John Long Planning stating the Seething Settlement Summary does not include site GNLP1035 in the list of sites that are considered to be suitable for small scale development. This is at odds with the HELAA conclusion for the site, which suggest that the site is considered suitable. The Seething Settlement summary should be amended to reflect the fact the site abuts the village's playing fields and is adjacent newly constructed properties and should be considered suitable, as established in the HEELA.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16730 Comment

Respondent: Otley Properties [16980]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Shelfanger, GNLP0399

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment made regarding the density proposed at this location and the potential impact to the landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12967 Comment

Respondent: Dr G M Courtier [14693]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Shotesham, GNLP0534

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding site used as focus for village activities, site and proximity to village centre is too far, lack of village amenities, poor surface drainage, site exit onto busy street, impact on visual character, increased traffic through village, detrimental to conservation area, outside development boundary, access road is narrow and close to bend of another junction and poor visibility.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13236 Object

Respondent: Ms Gwenda Porter [14847]

13322 Object

Respondent: Ms Gwenda Porter [14847]

786 / 877

Respondent: Mrs. Sara Webber [14935]

13487 Object

Respondent: MR Andrew Lynwood [15100]

13656 Object

Respondent: Susan Astbury [15006]

13754 Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Munday [15318]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Shotesham, GNLP0590

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding previous planning application for site which was rejected by residents, poor drainage off land, lack of sewerage system, limited visibility on exit to road, detrimental visual impact on the area, insufficient local services, lack of demand for housing in village, field has established utility being used for village events, development would dominate the area, increased traffic through village, risk of site drainage impacting SSSI, impact on environment and wildlife and less homes or better quality and well designed would be more suitable.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13218 Object

Respondent: Ms Gwenda Porter [14847]

13219 Object

Respondent: Miss Alice Campbell Davis [14848]

13267 Object

Respondent: Rachel Hope [14894]

13321	Object
Respondent: Mr B Porter [14936]	
13363	Object
Respondent: Mrs. Sara Webber [14935]	
13627	Object
Respondent: MR Andrew Lynwood [15100]	
13655	Object
Respondent: Susan Astbury [15006]	
13755	Object
Respondent: Mr Ian Munday [15318]	
13757	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Oughton [15321]	
13785	Object
Respondent: Mr Tom Higgin [15334]	
13897	Object
Respondent: Mrs Emma Muntingh [15025]	
13970	Object
Respondent: Mr John Hope [15516]	
14062	Object
Respondent: Mr Kenneth Holbeck [15450]	
14235	Object
Respondent: Mrs Thelma Hope [15522]	

Respondent: Mr Tim Drake [15609]

14371 Object

Respondent: Mr Martijn Muntingh [15800]

15496 Object

Respondent: Mr Bruce Jones [16442]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0404

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells on behalf of site owner stating The site is considered suitable for development as its will reduce pressure on other more sensitive sites in the village and is a sustainable location.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14477 Support

Respondent: Mr James Alston [15892]

Agent: Bidwells (Mrs Sarah Hornbrook, Associate) [14444]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access, behind exiting houses, question around affordable housing and infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12910 Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

14047 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

15295 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0444

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Barton Willmore stating the collection of sites will provide parkland and children's play areas, additional school land, allotments, public car parking, new footpath links and a retail unit to serve local village need; set within a robust landscape, sympathetic to the existing village and the local landscape character. Plus a highly attractive and well-designed modern living environment which respects the local vernacular design.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16482 Support

Respondent: Trustees of JM Greetham No.2 Settlement [16914]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Mr James Caffrey, Associate Planner) [16584]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised on the grounds of it being a rural situations, lacks infrastructure. The Environment agency's website shows the site is subject to high and medium flood risk from surface water.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12911 Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

14048 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0445

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised on the grounds the current field is nearly always flooded so drainage is going to be a struggle, it is 3 to 4 foot lower than some peoples gardens and acts as a tributary. The area lacks utilities and services. Station road is constantly busy by lorries and cars parking due to passing difficulties.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12913 Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

13473 Object

Respondent: Mrs Bridget Mann [15079]

14049 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Barton Willmore stating the collection of sites will provide parkland and children's play areas, additional school land, allotments, public car parking, new footpath links and a retail unit to serve local village need; set within a robust landscape, sympathetic to the existing village and the local landscape character. Plus a highly attractive and well-designed modern living environment which respects the local vernacular design.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16485 Support

Respondent: Trustees of JM Greetham No.2 Settlement [16914]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Mr James Caffrey, Associate Planner) [16584]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0446

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access and consideration to busy crossroad and church.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15300 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Barton Willmore stating the collection of sites will provide parkland and children's play areas, additional school land, allotments, public car parking, new footpath links and a retail unit to serve local village need; set within a robust landscape, sympathetic to the existing village and the local landscape character. Plus a highly attractive and well-designed modern living environment which respects the local vernacular design.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16486 Support

Respondent: Trustees of JM Greetham No.2 Settlement [16914]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Mr James Caffrey, Associate Planner) [16584]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0447

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding scale of development, person privacy and the site is on a flood plan. The site lacks infrastructure to support this level of development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12912 Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

14050 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

Respondent: Mr Steven Dye [16223]

15305 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

15412 Object

Respondent: Mrs Josie Dye [16411]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Barton Willmore stating the collection of sites will provide parkland and children's play areas, additional school land, allotments, public car parking, new footpath links and a retail unit to serve local village need; set within a robust landscape, sympathetic to the existing village and the local landscape character. Plus a highly attractive and well-designed modern living environment which respects the local vernacular design.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16487 Support

Respondent: Trustees of JM Greetham No.2 Settlement [16914]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Mr James Caffrey, Associate Planner) [16584]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0448

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access issues, no pavement, flood risk, poor infrastructure, School Lane is too narrow and Environment agency recognises this area as a high flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

12914	Object
Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]	
14087	Object
Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]	
14355	Object
Respondent: Mr stephen grint [15795]	
14431	Object
Respondent: Dr Simon Findlay [15851]	
15308	Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Barton Willmore stating the collection of sites will provide parkland and children's play areas, additional school land, allotments, public car parking, new footpath links and a retail unit to serve local village need; set within a robust landscape, sympathetic to the existing village and the local landscape character. Plus a highly attractive and well-designed modern living environment which respects the local vernacular design.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16489 Support

Respondent: Trustees of JM Greetham No.2 Settlement [16914]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Mr James Caffrey, Associate Planner) [16584]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0567

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development and outline planning permission for 8 dwellings reference 2017/1321 has been granted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13968 Support

Respondent: Mr Trevor Cullum [15517]

Agent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk. Concerns regarding 7 houses already having permission to be built on this site bordering busy Station Road and opposite the school.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14053 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

15310 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0568

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of infrastructure, high flood risk (environment agency website) and dangerous access.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12915 Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

14054 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for residential development and represents a suitable and sensitive site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13967 Support

Respondent: Mr Trevor Cullum [15517]

Agent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Spooner Row, GNLP0569

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding over development, lack of infrastructure and flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12916 Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Halls [13343]

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

15313 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable and sensitive for allocation for future residential development.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13973 Support

Respondent: Mr Trevor Cullum [15517]

Agent: Durrants Ltd (Mr Christopher Hobson, Principal Planner) [14480]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Stoke Holy Cross, GNLP0197

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support for the site by A Squared Architects stating flexibility in terms of density, the site has suitable access and there is enough space to provide SUDS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15594 Support

Respondent: A Squared Architects (Mr Rob McVicar, Architect) [13682]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding existing number of housing allocations being sufficient, water supply and sewerage stretched, surface water run off concerns, narrow roads which will not cope with development, very limited amenities and proposed development having a significant visual impact.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15701 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Anne Harper [16420]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding building on greenfield sites with lack of infrastructure to support them. The site is outside the settlement boundary and would cause loss of prime agricultural land, water supply/sewerage is already overstretched, amenities are limited, site has drainage issues and A140 has no footpaths for pedestrians. Option 1 JCS seems the only sensible one to maintain the balance between city and country. Other concerns include insufficient road network, visual impacts, road safety and Green infrastructure - not required.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13783	Object
Respondent: Ms Lauren Parker [15340]	
13991	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Harper [15482]	
13844	Object
Respondent: Ms Carina Cobbold [15320]	
14036	Object
Respondent: Mrs Diane Barrell [15585]	
14037	Object
Respondent: Mr Ken Barrell [15589]	
14383	Object
Respondent: Mrs Christine Parnell [15700]	
14711	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Monument [16036]	

14444	Object
Respondent: miss sandy collins [15850]	
4.4070	
14979	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	
15462	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lyn Marsh [15562]	
15307	Object
Respondent: Heather Fitzsimons [16351]	
15737	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]	
15961	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
10101	
19694	Object
Respondent: Phil and Jenny Beaumont [17790]	
1.010	
16212	Object
Respondent: Ms Sue Butters [16791]	
10707	
19787	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Harvey [18641]	

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council stating limited facilities, village under pressure from recent housing development of 140 homes. A further 100 dwellings would worsen problems of infrastructure and concerns around congestion and narrow roads, impact on local services, air pollution and the intrusion of the development on the countryside.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14166 Object

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Mrs B Cattermole, Clerk) [12803]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Stoke Holy Cross, GNLP0202

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised by A Squared Architects stating the landowner would be flexible in terms of density if the site were allocated, landscaping could provide a soft edge and the existing hedgerows would be retained, site could be accessed by the existing Salamanca Site. Happy to work with stakeholders to develop a suitable scheme.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15601 Comment

Respondent: A Squared Architects (Mr Rob McVicar, Architect) [13682]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of agricultural land, site is outside the settlement boundary and is a green site. Other issues include noise pollution, road network deemed unsuitable, services and infrastructure are already over stretched, roads and footpaths would be to be either upgraded or installed. Visually the development would spoil the view of the countryside.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13784	Object
Respondent: Ms Lauren Parker [15340]	
13845	Object
Respondent: Ms Carina Cobbold [15320]	
14009	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Harper [15482]	
14039	Object
Respondent: Mr Ken Barrell [15589]	
14462	Object
Respondent: Mrs Christine Parnell [15700]	
14715	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Monument [16036]	
14981	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	

15470	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lyn Marsh [15562]	
1.5700	
15702	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Harper [16420]	
15732	Object
Respondent: Mrs Diane Barrell [15585]	
15740	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]	
15962	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
16213	Object
Respondent: Ms Sue Butters [16791]	
19696	Object
Respondent: Phil and Jenny Beaumont [17790]	
10700	Object
19788	Object
Respondent: Mr Alan Harvey [18641]	

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council stating a further substantial development would put increased pressure on local services and infrastructure. Traffic would exit onto Long Lane and pass the school which gets very congested being narrow with no pavements and the development would intrude on the valley landscape.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14305 Object

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Mrs B Cattermole, Clerk) [12803]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Stoke Holy Cross, GNLP0494

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by both Stoke Holy Cross and Poringland Parish Council. SHCPC state that the infrastructure cannot cope with any further development, infrastructure that is provided with developments tends not to meet requirements. Any further development would make a bad situation worse without the lack of local services and infrastructure issue being addressed, and also worsen the existing problems with sewerage and water pressure, in parts of the village. In short these proposed developments would not be sustainable in Stoke Holy Cross.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19743 Object

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Anita Rose) [18602]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding adverse impact on traffic safety, sewerage concerns, surface water run off flowing down Long Lane during heavy rain, visual impact of development, development would join Stoke Hold Cross and Poringland, outside of existing development boundary, services are already at capacity, no footpath connecting site with village or school, impact on wildlife and woodland sites and surface water flood risk.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13786	Object
Respondent: Ms Lauren Parker [15340]	
14042	Object
Respondent: Mr Ken Barrell [15589]	
14691	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	
14717	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Monument [16036]	
15458	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
15704	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Harper [16420]	
15741	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]	

Respondent: Ms Sue Butters [16791]

16571 Object

Respondent: Mr John Henson [15048]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment in support for the site by Lanpro on behalf of Glavenhill Strategic Land stating outline planning permission has been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16525 Support

Respondent: Glavenhill Strategic Land [16837]

Agent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Ms Hannah Smith) [16907]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by both Stoke Holy Cross and Poringland Parish Council. SHCPC state that the infrastructure cannot cope with any further development, infrastructure that is provided with developments tends not to meet requirements. Any further development would make a bad situation worse without the lack of local services and infrastructure issue being addressed, and also worsen the existing problems with sewerage and water pressure, in parts of the village. In short these proposed developments would not be sustainable in Stoke Holy Cross.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Poringland Parish Council (Mrs Faye LeBon, Clerk) [13727]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Stoke Holy Cross, GNLP0524

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding sufficient development and allocation in area already (33% increase in households), impact on water and sewerage from further development, road access.egress from site on busy narrow road causing safety issues, surface water run off will increase and cause flooding concerns, Long Lane Park amenity is not required, impact on the character of area and windfall sites should not be added.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13229	Object
Respondent: Mr Andrew Baker [14853]	
13787	Object
Respondent: Ms Lauren Parker [15340]	
13843	Object
Respondent: Ms Carina Cobbold [15320]	
14016	Object
Respondent: Mrs Lyn Marsh [15562]	
14010	Object
Respondent: Mr Simon Harper [15482]	
14044	Object
Respondent: Mr Ken Barrell [15589]	

14196	Object
Respondent: Caroline Read [15691]	
14442	Object
Respondent: miss sandy collins [15850]	
14466	Object
Respondent: Mrs Christine Parnell [15700]	
14718	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Monument [16036]	
14899	Object
Respondent: Linda Read [16159]	
14976	Object
Respondent: Lynette Yaxley [16009]	
15706	Object
Respondent: Mrs Anne Harper [16420]	
15742	Object
Respondent: Mr Trevor Lewis [16527]	
15743	Object
Respondent: Mrs Diane Barrell [15585]	
15965	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Dennis [16432]	
16215	Object
Respondent: Ms Sue Butters [16791]	

Respondent: Phil and Jenny Beaumont [17790]

19751 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Harvey [18641]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council stating there has recently been new development that has seen housing numbers increase by 33% in the area. Any further development would put too much pressure on existing services and infrastructure causing additional traffic along Long Lane and impact on the visual character of the valley.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14322 Object

Respondent: Stoke Holy Cross Parish Council (Mrs B Cattermole, Clerk) [12803]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Surlingham, GNLP0030

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, aesthetics, losing rural village, access, flood risk, sewage, traffic congestion, facilities and lack of pavements. The site is out of keeping with the precedent of liner development in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

13747	Object
Respondent: Fwbo Surlingham (Dh. Sanghanistha Heddle, Chairman) [15276]	
13879	Object
Respondent: mrs Helen Selleck [15099]	
10017	
13917	Object
Respondent: Eur Ing Paul Swift [15448]	
10004	
13924	Object
Respondent: Dr Louise Swift [15376]	
13974	Object
Respondent: Mrs Caroline Ellis [15520]	
14747	Object
Respondent: ms j g [16072]	
15055	Ohiosi
15055	Object
Respondent: Mr Neil Lewis [16229]	

Respondent: Mrs Karin Rundle [16238]

15160 Object

Respondent: Mr NEIL BALDWIN [16305]

15303 Object

Respondent: Miss Alice Merrywest [16361]

15591 Object

Respondent: Mr Norman Prain [16498]

15734 Object

Respondent: Mr David Allen [15101]

15797 Object

Respondent: Mrs Vanessa Sewell-Allen [15999]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Surlingham, GNLP0374

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding flood risks, infrastructure, traffic, pollution, nature reserves, access and sewage disposals.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13746 Object

Respondent: Fwbo Surlingham (Dh. Sanghanistha Heddle, Chairman) [15276]

Respondent: Eur Ing Paul Swift [15448]

14019 Object

Respondent: Mrs Caroline Ellis [15520]

14750 Object

Respondent: msjg[16072]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding extending the built up area in a way that could affect the Broads.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16388 Comment

Respondent: Broads Authority (Ms Natalie Beal, Planning Policy Officer) [12415]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swainsthorpe, GNLP0191

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by FW Properties. The site is considered suitable for development as the amalgamated parcels of land means that the whole site can now be utilised.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14738 Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, location on flight path of Norwich City Airport, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts. Other concerns include the change of character it would bring while residents to do agree with the JCS classification.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14932 Object

Respondent: Mr ian paton [16158]

15027 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]

15086	Object
Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]	
15694	Object
Respondent: mrs kay mills [16540]	
15711	Object
Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]	
15731	Object
Respondent: mrs kay mills [16540]	
15738	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Toms [16568]	
15739	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Toms [16568]	
15749	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Chandler [16550]	
16043	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey Bocz [15821]	
16057	Object
Respondent: Dr Margaret Robins [16677]	
19716	
13710	Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Swainsthorpe Parish Council stating the lack of facilities and the already strained infrastructure. Access to the A140 is difficult with large queues forming, particularly at rush hour. The parish council strongly feel that the infrastructure needs to be improved before any further development is considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14712 Object

Respondent: Swainsthorpe Parish Council (Nicola Ledain) [16041]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swainsthorpe, GNLP0542

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding lack of infrastructure to support further development, traffic congestion, road safety, impacts on wildlife, loss of green arable land, type of building presented (taking away from the current Victorian buildings). The feel is the Swainsthorpe does not meet the classified 'other village' outlined in the JCS.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15031 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]

15089 Object

Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]

Object 15721 Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545] 19623 Object Respondent: mr nigel dowdney [18130] Object 19710 Respondent: Miss Jenna Clarke [18242] Object 19711 Respondent: Mr James Thurlow [18333] 19713 Object Respondent: Miss Chloe Myhill [17568] 19714 Object Respondent: Miss & Mr Chloe & Gary Myhill & Clark [18300] 19715 Object

Respondent: Mrs Bonnie Toogood [17757]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Swainsthorpe Parish Council stating the impact of any further development in the village, given the lack of facilities and the already strained infrastructure. Access to the A140 is difficult with large queues forming, particularly at rush hour. The parish council strongly feel that the infrastructure needs to be improved before any further development is considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Respondent: Swainsthorpe Parish Council (Nicola Ledain) [16041]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swainsthorpe, GNLP0603

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding loss of green arable land, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

15011	Object
Respondent: Mr ian paton [16158]	
1-0-1	
15036	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]	
15095	Object
Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]	
15686	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Graver [16536]	
15710	Object
Respondent: Mr Guy Hudson [16558]	
15729	Object
Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]	

Respondent: Mrs Katie Toms [16568]

16050 Object

Respondent: Mrs Tracey Bocz [15821]

16066 Object

Respondent: Dr Margaret Robins [16677]

16094 Object

Respondent: J Baker [16687]

16099 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Bocz [16690]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by CODE Development Planners. The site is considered suitable for development as additional development to help deliver the smaller sites within the 'Norwich Policy Area' allowance.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16722 Support

Respondent: Mr Ben Burgess [16985]

Agent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grant Heal) [16984]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Swainsthorpe Parish Council stating concern over the impact of further development on village due to lack of facilities, strained infrastructure, access to A140 is difficult and infrastructure needs improvement before any further development is considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14714 Object

Respondent: Swainsthorpe Parish Council (Nicola Ledain) [16041]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swainsthorpe, GNLP0604

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding, traffic congestion, road safety, lack of facilities, access (Church Lane onto A140 is unsafe), site it outside development boundary, environmental and infrastructure issues, pollution, wildlife impacts, scale of development, no medical centre, shop, post office or school and agricultural impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15010 Object

Respondent: Mr ian paton [16158]

15050 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elaine Parkinson [16090]

15116	Object
Respondent: Mr Robin Parkinson [16269]	
1	
15703	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Graver [16536]	
15720	Object
Respondent: Mr Guy Hudson [16558]	
15722	Object
Respondent: Mr Nicholas Chandler [16550]	
15725	Object
Respondent: MR ANTHONY TALBY [16528]	
15747	Object
Respondent: Mr robert mills [16545]	
15755	Object
Respondent: Mrs Katie Toms [16568]	
16075	Object
Respondent: Dr Margaret Robins [16677]	

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by CODE Development Planners. The site is considered suitable for development as an employment site by Ben Burgess as headquarters and technical documents have been provided in support of this.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16725 Support

Respondent: Ben Burgess Ltd [16987]

Agent: CODE Development Planners Ltd (Mr Grant Heal) [16984]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Swainsthorpe Parish Council stating concern around the impact of further development given a lack of facilities and strained infrastructure with difficult access to the A140. Infrastructure needs to be improved before any further development is considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14716 Object

Respondent: Swainsthorpe Parish Council (Nicola Ledain) [16041]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Swardeston, GNLP0426

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding lack of any infrastructure, B1113 is unable to cope with traffic from south due to existing development and parish boundary's would be eroded.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12889 Object

Respondent: Mr Derek Barber [14628]

12957 Object

Respondent: Dominic Everett [14697]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Concerns raised by Mulbarton Parish Council regarding current loading of the B1113.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19732 Comment

Respondent: Mulbarton Parish Council (Miss A Phillips, Clerk) [13463]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tacolneston & Forncett End, GNLP0084

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding road width, access, and traffic congestion, lack of services and poor water pressure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12821 Object

Respondent: Mr Julian Roots [14098]

15087 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Butcher [16249]

16449 Object

Respondent: Mr michael potter [14597]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tacolneston & Forncett End, GNLP0545

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments raised in support of keeping site as green space, maintain the unique character surrounding the old, listed building.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13392 Support

Respondent: Mr Allan Kerr [13226]

15073 Support

Respondent: Mr Paul Butcher [16249]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tacolneston & Forncett End, GNLP0546

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments raised in support of keeping site as green space and keeping wildlife, maintain the unique character surrounding the old, listed building.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15072 Support

Respondent: Mr Paul Butcher [16249]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tacolneston & Forncett End, GNLP0602

Support

Summary of representations:

One comment submitted in support of site as long as it was done in conjunction with already committed adjacent sites - TAC1 in order for both sites to utilise road access via the fields with traffic existing onto the Norwich Road (B1113).

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14606 Support

Respondent: Dr Mike Merrick [15825]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tacolneston & Forncett End, GNLP1057

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro. It would utilise brownfield land already functioning as residential or former agricultural buildings which would be converted under PD rights.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16040 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Ian Reilly, Senior Associate Planner) [14057]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tasburgh, GNLP0005

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of site, harming the landscape, access onto A140 at the Church road junction and facilities being able to cope.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14178 Object

Respondent: Mr Steven Beckett [15681]

14970 Object

Respondent: Mr Neil Fisher [16183]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Tasburgh Parish Council stating access to services is not accessible by foot. Furthermore the development is separated from the rest of Tasburgh and there are concerns with access onto A140 for a site with 475 dwellings when 1800 homes have already been allocated to the Long Stratton development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13590 Object

Respondent: Tasburgh Parish Council (Mrs T Eagle, Clerk) [13006]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tasburgh, GNLP0267

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding site access and would feel separate from the rest of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14971 Object

Respondent: Mr Neil Fisher [16183]

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Tasburgh Parish Council stating that access from the development to services is not accessible by foot. Furthermore the development is separated from the rest of Tasburgh and there are concerns with access onto A140 for a site with 475 dwellings when 1800 homes have already been allocated to the Long Stratton development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13592 Object

Respondent: Tasburgh Parish Council (Mrs T Eagle, Clerk) [13006]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tasburgh, GNLP0413

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the site doesn't meet the aim of point 3 in Tasburgh PC's Development Policy and would be classed as 'Lower Tasburgh'. Other concerns include flood risk, no local amenities and no transport links, scale of development, visual impacts, road suitability and wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13581 Object

Respondent: Mr stuart trayhorn [15194]

14179 Object

Respondent: Mr Steven Beckett [15681]

Respondent: Mrs Diana Hill [16177]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Tasburgh Parish Council stating it is the preferred site of the three options. It unites upper and lower Tasburgh, conditions ensure vehicle access and improvements to Grove Lane to accommodate increase in traffic, heritage site should not be disturbed, a mix of well designed affordable housing and incorporation of surface water drainage proposal.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13593 Comment

Respondent: Tasburgh Parish Council (Mrs T Eagle, Clerk) [13006]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the site doesn't meet the aim of point 3 in Tasburgh PC's Development Policy and would be classed as 'Lower Tasburgh'. Other concerns include flood risk, no local amenities and no transport links, scale of development, visual impacts, road suitability and wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15735 Object

Respondent: Mr Nick Leighton [16383]

Respondent: Mr Nick Leighton [16383]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised stating the site seems better located than others but the scale of proposal is too large for the village meaning Upper and Lower Tasburgh would no longer be separated.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14972 Comment

Respondent: Mr Neil Fisher [16183]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP0142

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Tharston and Hapton Parish Council stating the infrastructure is very poor, local roads used as 'ratruns' and are a safety concern for residents and speeding concerns from lack of infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14832 Object

Respondent: Tharston and Hapton Parish Council (Mr Alan Arber, Parish Clerk) [16133]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site being more suitable for commercial development than residential.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13685 Object

Respondent: Christopher Hewitt [15239]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP0201

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site to be used for residential dwellings only.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13691 Object

Respondent: Christopher Hewitt [15239]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding sensitive development supported. Any industrial fronting that road will be an eye-sore and create on-street parking problems.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13651 Comment

Respondent: Christopher Hewitt [15239]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP0255

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Tharston and Hapton Parish Council stating the infrastructure is very poor and roads being used as 'rat-runs' meaning residents have safety concerns. There is also a speeding problem due to lack of infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14843 Object

Respondent: Tharston and Hapton Parish Council (Mr Alan Arber, Parish Clerk) [16133]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP0272

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding good for industrial use, but only in conjunction with site 0142.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13686 Comment

Respondent: Christopher Hewitt [15239]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP0458

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Tharston and Hapton Parish Council stating infrastructure is very poor and local roads are used as 'rat-runs' meaning residents are concerned for road safety. There is also a problem with speeding due to lack of infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14841 Object

Respondent: Tharston and Hapton Parish Council (Mr Alan Arber, Parish Clerk) [16133]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding road safety improvements required if site is developed.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13650 Comment

Respondent: Christopher Hewitt [15239]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP0576

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding mixed use may be better.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13690 Comment

Respondent: Christopher Hewitt [15239]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP1050

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site but the junction needs to be improved and new homes connected by footpath.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13649 Support

Respondent: Christopher Hewitt [15239]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Tharston and Hapton Parish council stating the infrastructure is very poor and the road is used as a 'rat-run' causing resident safety concerns. There is also an issue with speeding due to lack of infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14838 Object

Respondent: Tharston and Hapton Parish Council (Mr Alan Arber, Parish Clerk) [16133]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tharston & Hapton, GNLP1051

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Tharston and Hapton Parish Council stating the infrastructure is very poor and the roads are used as a 'rat-run' causing residents safety concerns. There is also an issues with speeding due to lack of infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14837 Object

Respondent: Tharston and Hapton Parish Council (Mr Alan Arber, Parish Clerk) [16133]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised regarding no facilities or services in the rural village, nearest are 2 miles away, road network is made up of narrow lanes. A further development would add to traffic problems, is totally unsustainable and would spoil the rural character.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14736 Object

Respondent: Mrs B Cattermole [16063]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Thurton & Ashby St Mary, GNLP0029

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding access, surface flooding, wildlife and environmental impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16610 Object

Respondent: Mr Kenneth Nockolds [13787]

16304 Object

Respondent: Mrs Lucinda Sawhney [14441]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by Thurton and Ashby St Mary stating capacity, traffic congestion on A146, inadequacy of junctions, flood risk and no pavements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13438 Object

Respondent: Thurton Parish Council (Mrs T Higlett, Clerk) [13427]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Thurton & Ashby St Mary, GNLP0470

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Thurton and Ashby St Mary Parish Council stating capacity, traffic congestion on A146, inadequacy of junctions, flood risk and no pavements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13439 Object

Respondent: Thurton Parish Council (Mrs T Higlett, Clerk) [13427]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Thurton & Ashby St Mary, GNLP0472

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections by Thurton and Ashby St Mary Parish Council stating capacity, traffic congestion on A146, inadequacy of junctions, flood risk and no pavements.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13440 Object

Respondent: Thurton Parish Council (Mrs T Higlett, Clerk) [13427]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tibenham, GNLP0365

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised by Tibenham Parish Council stating location away from the centre of the village and building at the furthest point wont bring benefits to residents.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14244 Object

Respondent: Tibenham Parish Council (Miss M Purdy, Clerk) [13507]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tivetshall St Mary, GNLP0317

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning employment opportunities, public infrastructure and extension of 'ribbon development'.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19697 Object

Respondent: X Paul&Sally Lovett [17792]

19755 Object

Respondent: Phil May [18667]

19704 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tivetshall St Mary, GNLP0318

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning employment opportunities, public infrastructure and extension of 'ribbon development'. Issues raised include public transport, water pressure, sewage, electricity, gas, ditches, broadband, doctors, dentists etc. There is a risk of losing character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19698 Object

Respondent: X Paul&Sally Lovett [17792]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the site backing on to properties and not being in keeping with development. Would change the character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16095 Object

Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]

16100 Object

Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]

Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerning employment opportunities, public infrastructure and extension of 'ribbon development'. Issues raised include public transport, water pressure, sewage, electricity, gas, ditches, broadband, doctors, dentists etc. There is a risk of losing character of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19756 Object

Respondent: Phil May [18667]

Comment

Summary of representations:

One comment had no objection if sensitive housing development is adopted as the site would enhance this area with a possible tree belt to give a buffer space to existing bungalows.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19705 Comment

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Tivetshall St Mary, GNLP0319

Object

Summary of representations:

Respondent: Phil May [18667]

Objections raised regarding road safety issues, access, flooding, drainage and infrastructure. Concern that the form and character of the village would be changed by development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19699	Object
Respondent: X Paul&Sally Lovett [17792]	
15498	Object
Respondent: Mrs Laura Adam [16440]	
15588	Object
Respondent: Mrs Nicola Boast [16494]	
15665	Object
Respondent: Mrs Pamela Carpenter [16531]	
16103	Object
Respondent: Ros Hill [16622]	
19757	Object

Comment

Summary of representations:

One comment had no objection if sensitive housing development is adopted as the site would enhance this area with a possible tree belt to give a buffer space to existing bungalows.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19706 Comment

Respondent: Mr Paul Playford [17984]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Toft Monks, GNLP0518

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Wingfield Planning Consultancy stating consultation has been undertaken with Norfolk County Council Community and Environment Services in order to understand a way of having safe highways access.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15807 Support

Respondent: Wingfield Planning Consultancy Ltd (Mr Ben Willis, Owner) [14268]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Toft Monks, GNLP1031

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding a TPO constraint recognised and value as grassland habitat associated with trees should be considered.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16534 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wicklewood, GNLP0232

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding flood risk, drainage systems, traffic congestion, sewage systems, infrastructure, and overdevelopment, lack of footpaths, ecosystems and noise pollution. Further objections raised regarding little local employment, services oversubscribed, no shops, rural setting, loss of village history, loss of birdwatch areas, village identity,

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14093 Object

Respondent: Mrs Deborah Roberts [15619]

14360 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Hammond [15745]

14362	Object
Respondent: Mr Brian Salway [15797]	
14426	Object
Respondent: Mrs Susan Swanston [15848]	
14453	Object
Respondent: mr mark keryell [15875]	
14456	Object
Respondent: Mrs Julie Field [15883]	
14529	Object
Respondent: Dr Ann-Katrin Liu [15918]	
14896	Object
Respondent: Dr JEREMY CORFE [16161]	
14902	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracey Blanchflower [15820]	
14884	Object
Respondent: louise downton [16149]	
15314	Object
Respondent: johanne south [16367]	
15239	Object
Respondent: Ms Jennifer Copeland [16333]	
15329	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tracy Lake [16380]	

15343	Object
Respondent: Carolyn Davies [16386]	
15355	Object
Respondent: Mr Robert Thackeray [16398]	
15411	Object
Respondent: Mrs Donna Capleton [16412]	
15421	Object
Respondent: Mr Rob Morrey [16425]	
15438	Object
Respondent: Mrs Brenda Hunt [16428]	
15486	Object
Respondent: Ms Teresa Bearton [16310]	
15508	Object
Respondent: Mrs Tazim Hussain [16459]	
15543	Object
Respondent: Miss Nicola Palmer [16470]	Object
15550	Object
Respondent: Mr Laurence Richman [16473]	
15715	Object
Respondent: Ms Anthea Sherwood [16533]	
15870	Object
Respondent: Mr John Tipper [16585]	

Respondent: Leila Kemp [16658]

16572 Object

Respondent: Dr Rosemary Carpenter [16923]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wicklewood, GNLP0535

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding traffic congestion, no paths, minimal services, narrow roads, poor road quality, and services at capacity already, infrastructure and alternation of the nature of the village.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14895	Object
Respondent: Dr JEREMY CORFE [16161]	
14963	Object
Respondent: Mr Richard Gilligan [16186]	
14967	Object
Respondent: Mr David Dunn [15776]	
14969	Object
Respondent: Mrs Barbara Dunn [16192]	
15216	Object

Respondent: Mrs Victoria Anthoni [15687]

Respondent: Mr John Tipper [16585]

16076 Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicole Andrews [16167]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wicklewood, GNLP0577

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding insufficient services, traffic concerns, no paths, services at capacity and safeguarding implications.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14897 Object

Respondent: Dr JEREMY CORFE [16161]

15272 Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Mower [16353]

15890 Object

Respondent: Mr John Tipper [16585]

16080 Object

Respondent: Mrs Nicole Andrews [16167]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Winfarthing, GNLP0556

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding impact on wildlife, lack of village facilities, impact on dog walkers/hikers and visual impact on area.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15056 Object

Respondent: Mr Shaun Patterson [16241]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Woodton, GNLP0150

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding buffer within development if this allocation is taken forward.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16535 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Woodton Parish Council stating this is one of three sites that may be suitable, adequate drainage would be required and no impact on The Street.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16248 Support

Respondent: Woodton Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14289]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by Rural Solutions regarding attached documents relating to the site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16769 Support

Respondent: Euston Estates [17002]

Agent: Rural Solutions (Mr Christoper Binns, Planner) [17004]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Woodton, GNLP0278

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site and amending site boundary. The site is considered suitable for development and would provide a shop/office, link to the school and playing field, together with potentially funding and expansion of the primary school. The site is subject to a Promotion Agreements with ESCO Developments Ltd.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16777 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Mr Paul Clarke, Associate Partner) [12840]

19668 Support

Respondent: Brown & Co (Anastasia Safronoff, Planner) [18712]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Woodton, GNLP0452

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Woodton Parish Council. However, adequate drainage would need to be provided. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16249 Support

Respondent: Woodton Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14289]

853 / 877

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Woodton, GNLP1009

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding conserving the natural environment. Impacts on County Wildlife Site 94 may require mitigation.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16536 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Woodton Parish Council. However, adequate drainage would need to be provided. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16250 Support

Respondent: Woodton Parish Council (Mrs Y Wonnacott, Clerk) [14289]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wortwell, GNLP0047

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised stating the impact development would have on open rural space and impact on village life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19752 Object

Respondent: Bryan & Richard James & May [18657]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wortwell, GNLP0056

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection. raised stating an objection to the historic environment being rated 'Green' in the HELAA. One other noting the impact it would have on open rural space and village life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12849 Object

Respondent: Prof Murray Gray [14544]

19753 Object

Respondent: Bryan & Richard James & May [18657]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wortwell, GNLP0057

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding the land being at a higher level than neighbouring properties causing privacy issues, issues with lower level access, concerns regarding surface water run off, impact of increase in car numbers on cul-de-sac, impact on wildlife and noise pollution. As well as impact on open rural space and impact on village life.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12956 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sally-Anne Bishop [14695]

19754 Object

Respondent: Bryan & Richard James & May [18657]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wreningham, GNLP0093

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the land being waterlogged, scale of development, suitable roads, loss of picturesque views and disruption for dog walkers and cyclists.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15234 Object

Respondent: Mr Dan Hinds [16342]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. A Desktop Heritage Assessment has been submitted. The site is not waterlogged and is adjacent to the main village road. Also should note there are no public rights of way across the site. Modest scale proposal for self-build homes.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15785 Support

Respondent: Mr Will Lockwood [13582]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the land being waterlogged, scale of development, suitable roads, loss of picturesque views and disruption for dog walkers and cyclists.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15322 Object

Respondent: Miss Rosie Tallent [16371]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site. A Desktop Heritage Assessment has been submitted. The site is not waterlogged and is adjacent to the main village road. Also should note there are no public rights of way across the site. Modest scale proposal for self-build homes.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16251 Support

Respondent: Mr Will Lockwood [13582]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wreningham, GNLP0187

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding site being isolated from the village, Agglomeration of an 'estate' design style, traffic congestion, no footpaths, access and insufficient passing places for traffic.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13320 Object

Respondent: Mr Will Lockwood [13582]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wreningham, GNLP0431

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site by FW Properties believing they can overcome the comment in the suitability assessment in relation to the linear form of this site by adjusting the proposed allocation area if required.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13025 Support

Respondent: FW Properties (Mr Julian Wells, Director) [14226]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding road maintenance, traffic congestion, danger to pedestrians, flood risk, passing places, visibility, development no in character of village and access, site being isolated from the village, Agglomeration of an 'estate' design style, traffic congestion, no footpaths, access and insufficient passing places for traffic. Policy 15 of JSC recommends only 10-12 dwellings, at least 15 have already been built, also NCC Highways also recommended no more than 10 houses, this number has already been exceeded.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13188 Object

Respondent: Mr Will Lockwood [13582]

15544 Object

Respondent: Mr Scott Tuttle [16471]

Respondent: Mrs J Watkins [16119]

15836 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Duffy [16506]

16107 Object

Respondent: Ms Sarah Lidington [15464]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised regarding land being very wet, ditches act as holding area for surface water, single track road access and site never dries out.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13762 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Lynda Craig [15327]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wreningham, GNLP1055

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding impact on current high levels of biodiversity, impact on existing hedgerows an woodland and disturbance of wildlife.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14245 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kay Southgate [15722]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP0006

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Bidwells on behalf of Welbeck Strategic Land III Ltd stating the site should be allocated for residential development. Site is suitable, available, achievable and viable and in a sustainable location for growth. Technical evidence has been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15728 Support

Respondent: Welbeck Strategic Land III Ltd [16266]

Agent: Bidwells (Mrs Sarah Hornbrook, Associate) [14444]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding intrusion into the open countryside destroying the boundary of the built-up area. The open space is crossed by a footpath and is used by Tuttles Lane. Topography means it would be high visible over a considerable distance. Other issues include traffic congestion and lack of infrastructure.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14903 Object

Respondent: Dr JEREMY CORFE [16161]

15592 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

15918 Object

Respondent: Professor Tom Williamson [16645]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP0032

Object

Summary of representations:

One objection raised concerns regarding the direction of development of the town.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15598 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP0092

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site due to being small scale development and will not adversely impact local services.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13399 Support

Respondent: Mrs Sue Rowland [14109]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP0200

Object

Summary of representations:

One objection raised concerns as they already have a housing estate that doesn't fit in.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15600 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Sport England as the site will provide enhanced replacement facilities for Wymondham RFC, enabling the club to expand to meet growing demand and provide higher quality facilities. Facilities will need to meet Sport England technical guidance.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14568 Support

Respondent: Sport England (Mr Philip Raiswell, Planning Manager) [13516]

Object

Summary of representations:

One objection raised concerns regarding scale of development, traffic, noise and pollution issues.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15603 Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site as it supports the local community through leisure facilities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13400 Support

Respondent: Mrs Sue Rowland [14109]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP0320

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development and effect on the landscape setting of Gonville Hall.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

14126 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Dunn Hughes [13001]

15595 Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Carter Jonas LLP on behalf of the site owner stating the site is available and there is a strong interest from developers and house builders for sites in Wymondham. The constraints for development in Wymondham we believe do not affect the land south of Gunvil Hall Farm and the same approach could be taken at this site.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16768 Support

Respondent: Mr Martin Skidmore [16053]

Agent: Carter Jonas LLP (Mr Brian Flynn, Associate) [12669]

South Norfolk, South Norfolk - Wymondham, GNLP0354

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding limited accessible green space, areas to be protected and buffered from development impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16538 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, road safety, destroying a popular walking/cycling route, pressure added onto already stressed services, loss of privacy, pollution, environmental damage, impacts on wildlife and destruction of grade 1 listed buildings.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

19626	Object
Respondent: Mr Christopher Lawrence [17374]	
14901	Object
Respondent: Dr JEREMY CORFE [16161]	
15316	Object
Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]	
15604	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]	
19616	Object
Respondent: Mr Philip Norton [17373]	
19620	Object
Respondent: The National Trust (Mrs Nina Crabb, Head of Planning - East of England) [12960]	
19687	Object

Respondent: Mr and Mrs S Shutt [18715]

Respondent: Keith McNaught [17507]

19646 Object

Respondent: Peter Matthews [18705]

19690 Object

Respondent: Dr & Mrs C Thorman [18716]

19647 Object

Respondent: Carole Daniels [18706]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Atkins Ltd stating The site has clear advantages for housing due to proximity to town centre, potential to provide new park, development without significant harm to setting and views of Wymondham Abbey. Therefore, it should be identified as a preferred site for housing. Further documents have been submitted.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15876 Support

Respondent: Atkins Ltd. (Mr Paul White, Director of Planning) [14238]

19664 Support

Respondent: R.J Baker and Sons (Mr R J Baker, Director) [12634]

Agent: Atkins Ltd. (Miss Kirsti Johnson, Senior Planning Consultant) [17567]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Pigeon Investment Management. Whilst reference to the railway underpass is noted, it will be upgraded through existing allocations and the proposed development of approximately 21 new homes will have a minimal impact. The proposals are for a modest development of 21 homes, a natural extension of the current allocations and comprise sustainable development. The site will continue to benefit from access from Rightup Lane as an alternative approach. It is not clear why the site should score amber for utilities capacity. Comments related to CWS are noted but the site is small compared to other development here.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16745 Support

Respondent: Pigeon Investment Management Ltd (Mr Graham Bloomfield, Principal Planner) [12468]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comment submitted in support of site stating it is small scale development so is acceptable without major impact on town.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13395 Support

Respondent: Mrs Sue Rowland [14109]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised by Norfolk Wildlife Trust regarding limited accessible green space. Areas need to be protected and buffered from development impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted in will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16537 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Two objections raised concerns regarding inappropriate location, traffic congestion and services not being able to cope.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13398 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sue Rowland [14109]

15605 Object

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding no access to A11, loss of green spaces, over urbanisation and pollution.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15606 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]

16097 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comment raised stating the site needs road access directly to A11, services can't cope and there is concern even for mid sized developments on Wymondham.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

13402 Comment

Respondent: Mrs Sue Rowland [14109]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by dha Planning stating documents have been attached regarding Framework Plan and Phased Location Plan.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16761 Support

Respondent: Pelham (South Wymondham) Ltd [16970]

Agent: dha Planning (David Bedford) [16969]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objection raised by The Woodland Trust stating development must be kept as far as possible away from ancient woodland with a buffer area maintained which is outlined by Natural England guidelines.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16794 Object

Respondent: The Woodland Trust (Mr Nick Sandford, Regional External Affairs Officer) [16539]

Summary of representations:

Objections raised concerns regarding the scale of development being out of character, impacts to the environment, traffic congestion, access, loss of arable farmland and stretched local services.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

12808	Object
Respondent: Mr John Fleetwood [14434]	
13394	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Rowland [14109]	
13396	Object
Respondent: Mrs Sue Rowland [14109]	
14013	Object
Respondent: Ms Helen Keegan [15554]	
15609	Object
Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Fletcher [16488]	
15616	Object

Comment

Summary of representations:

Comments raised regarding limited accessible green space. Areas need to be protected and buffered from development impacts.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted will be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16539 Comment

Respondent: Norfolk Wildlife Trust (Mr John Hiskett, Senior Conservation Officer) [13084]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding huge expansion of Wymondham, impact on quiet countryside, change character of Wymondham, damaging to the environment, poor access and would erode present development boundary.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

15053 Object

Respondent: Dr Jeremy Bartlett [12400]

15315 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janis Raynsford [13526]

15619 Object

Respondent: Professor Tom Williamson [16645]

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Barton Wilmore stating the site is in a sustainable location and can deliver a significant level of housing and solution to Wymondham's Secondary Education constraint. Could provide 1,500 homes, mixed employment land, a local centre, land for primary/high school, areas of informal/formal space. Would enhance the area of Ketts Oak through expansion with recreational opportunities.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16677 Support

Respondent: Landowners Group Ltd [10906]

Agent: Barton Willmore (Joshua Mellor, Senior Planner) [16965]

Object

Summary of representations:

Objections raised regarding dangerous access from Hethel Road, loss of important arable land, loss of amenity and landscape, impact on wildlife, insufficient resources in Wymondham, noise and light pollution, gas pipe travels through adjacent fields, services oversubscribed, new sewerage works would be required, flood risk and better to connect on to existing development.

Response:

Comments submitted will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment of sites in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned key services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Issues raised to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

Object
Object

Support

Summary of representations:

Comments submitted in support of site by Lanpro stating it is well related to the A11, site is within single ownership, sustainable location and support its own range of services minimising additional housing growth on other settlements.

Response:

Comments submitted in support of sites will be taken forward and considered as part of a more detailed assessment in order to propose final allocations for the Local Plan. We will be looking for deliverable sites which are well related to existing or planned services/facilities with minimal constraints and sites which can accommodate growth without significant negative impact on the surrounding environment.

Action:

Comments submitted in support of sites to be taken into account during the detailed site assessment process which will result in the identification of suitable sites to be allocated in the adopted Local Plan.

16320 Support

Respondent: Lanpro Services Ltd (Mr Ian Douglass, Head of Planning) [12984]