## Greater Nonwich Call for Sites Submission Fom

| FOR OFFICIALUSE ONLY |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Response Number: | 0354 |
| Date Received: |  |

This form is to be filled out by any interested parties who want to promote a site for a specific use ordevelopment to be allocated in the Greater Norwich Local Plan.

Only one form should be submitted foreach individual site i.e. it is not necessary for a separate form to be completed foreach landowner on a single site in multiple ownerships. However, a separate form must be completed foreach individual site submitted.

Your completed form should be retumed to the Greater Norwich Local Plan team no later than 5pm on Friday 8 July 2016.

By ema il: callforsites@gnlp.org.uk
Or, if it is not possible submit the form electronic a lly,
By Post to:
Greater Norwich Local Plan Team
PO Box 3466
Norwich
NR7 7NX
The responses received as part of the Greater Norwich Local Plan C all for Sites will be published and made available for public viewing. By submitting this form you are consenting to the details about you and your individual site(s) being stored by Norfolk County Council and shared with Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk District Council, and that the deta ils of the site will be published forconsultation purposes.

Further advice and guidance can be obtained by visiting the Greater Norwich Local Plan website or by contacting the Greater Norwich Local Plan team directly:

Website: www.greatemorwic hloc alplan.org.uk
E-mail: callforsites@gnlp.org.uk
Telephone: 01603306603

| 1a. Contact Details |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title | Mr |
| First Name | Paul |
| Last Name | Ahite |
| Job Title (where relevant) | Technical Director |
| Organisation (where <br> relevant) | Euston Tower <br> 286 Euston Road <br> London |
| Address |  |
| Post Code | NW1 3AT |
| Telephone Number | 020 7121 2144 |
| Email Address | paul.white@atkinsglobal.com |


| lb. I am... |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Owner of the site <br> $\square$ | Parish/Town Council <br> Developer <br> $\square$ <br> Land Agent <br> $\square$ <br> Planning Consultant <br> $\square$ <br> Other (please specify): <br>  |


| 1c. Client/ Landowner Details (if different from question 1a) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title |  |
| First Name |  |
| Last Name |  |
| Job Title (where relevant) |  |
| Organisation (where <br> relevant) |  |
| Address |  |
| Post Code |  |
| Telephone Number |  |
| Email Address |  |


| 2. Site Details |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Site location / address and post } \\ \text { code } \\ \text { (please include as an attachment } \\ \text { to this response form a location } \\ \text { plan of the site on an scaled OS } \\ \text { base with the bounda nes of the } \\ \text { site clearly shown) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Land at Johnson's Farm } \\ \text { Wymondham } \\ \text { Norfolk } \\ \text { NR18 9PJ }\end{array}$ |
| A scaled site location plan has been attached |  |
| to this form. A separate plan has also been |  |
| attached which shows the wider landholding |  |
| (edged in red) which this site sits within. |  |$]$| Grid reference (if known) | TG 10089 00848 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site area (hectares) |  |


| Site Ownership |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a. I (ormy client).... |  |  |
| Is the sole owner of the site | Is a part owner of the site | Do/Does not own (or hold a ny legal interest in) the site whatsoever |
| $0$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 3b. Please provide the name, address and contact details of the site's landowner(s) and attach copies of all relevant title plans and deeds (if available). See 1c |  |  |
| 3c. If the site is in multiple landownerships do all landowners support your proposal for the site? | Yes <br> $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 3d. If you answered no to the above question please provide details of why not all of the sites owners support your proposals for the site. |  |  |


| Curent and Historic Land Uses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a. Current Land Use (Please desc ribe the site's current land use e.g. a gric ulture, employment, unused/va cant etc.) |  |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |
| 4b. Has the site been previously developed? | Yes <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |

4c. Describe any previous uses of the site. (please provide details of any relevant historic planning applications, including a pplication numbers if known)

Not applicable

## Proposed Future Uses

5a. Please provide a short description of the development or land use you proposed (if you are proposing a site to be designated as local green space please go directly to question 6)

Residential development including community facilities and public open space

5b. Which of the following use or uses are you proposing?

| Market Housing $\quad$, | Business \& offices | Recreation \& Leisure $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordable Housing $\quad \checkmark$ | General industrial | Community Use |
| Residential Care Home | Storage \& distribution | Public Open Space |
| Gypsy \& Traveller Pitches | Tourism | Other (Please Specify) |

5c. Please provide further details of your proposal, including details on number of houses and proposed floorspace of commercial buildings etc.

Development comprising of about 400 dwellings, school, community facilities and public open space

## 5d. Please describe any benefits to the Local Area that the development of the site could provide.

The site would provide a sustainable urban extension to Wymondham with an average distance of 1 km from the town centre. It would provide an integrated and functional location for residential development which would assist in meeting the identified future housing requirement of Wymondham.

## Local Green Space

If you are proposed a site to be designated as Local Green Space please complete the following questions. These questions do not need to be completed if you are not proposing a site as Local Green Space. Please consult the guidance notes for an explanation of Local Green Space Designations.

6a. Whic $h$ community would the site seme and how would the designation of the site benefit that community.
Not applicable

6b. Please describe why you consider the site to be of partic ular local significance e.g. recreational value, tranquillity or ric hness in wildlife.

Not applicable

## Site Features and Constraints

Are there any features of the site or limita tions that may constra in development on this site (please give details)?
7a. Site Access: Is there a current means of access to the site from the public highway, doesthis access need to be improved before development can take place and are there any public rights of way that cross or adjoin the site?

Yes - good access from London Road and Preston Avenue. A public right of way, Bradman's Lane crosses the site.

7b. Topography: Are there any slopes or signific ant cha nges of in levels that could affect the development of the site?
None

7c. Ground Conditions: Are ground conditions on the site stable? Are there potential ground conta mination issues?

No known ground contamination or ground condition issues.

7d. Food Risk: Is the site liable to river, ground water or surface water flooding and if so what is the nature, source and frequency of the flooding?

No

7e. Legal Issues: Is there la nd in third party ownership, or ac cess rights, which must be acquired to develop the site, do any restric tive covenants exist, are there any existing tenancies?

None

7f. Environmental Issues: Is the site located next to a watercourse ormature woodland, are there any signific ant trees or hedgerows crossing or bordering the site are there any known features of ecological or geologic al importance on or adjacent to the site?
Other than hedgerow and hedgerow trees there are none of the above features on or directly adjacent to the site

7g. Heritage Issues: Are there any listed build ings, C onservation Areas, Historic Parklands or Schedules Monuments on the site or nearby? If so, how might the site's development affect them?
There are none of the above on or directly adjacent to the site. There is an additional opportunity to provide 15 hectares of water meadows and other land between the town and the site which could be used to protect the setting of Wymondham Abbey and provide Public Open Space.
7h. Neighbouring Uses: What are the neighbouring uses and will either the proposed use or neighbouring uses have any implications?
Open farmland except to the east which is formed of residential development at Abbey Road and Preston Avenue.

7i. Existing uses and Buildings: a re there a ny existing buildings or uses that need to be relocated before the site can be developed.
None

## 7j. Other. (please spec ify):

None

## Utilities <br> 8a. Which of the following are likely to be readily available to service the site and enable its development? Please provide details where possible.

|  | Yes | No | Unsure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ma ins water supply | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Mains sewerage | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Electric ity supply | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Gassupply | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Public highway | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Broadband intemet | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

Other (please spec ify):

8b. Please provide any further information on the utilities available on the site:

| Availability |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9a. Please indicate when the site could be made available forthe land use or development proposed. |  |
| Immedia tely | $\bigcirc$ |
| 1 to 5 years (by Ap ril 2021) | - |
| 5-10 years (between April 2021 and 2026) | ) |
| 10-15 years (between April 2026 and 2031) |  |
| 15-20 years (between April 2031 and 2036) |  |
| 9b. Please give reasons for the answer given above. |  |
| The site is unencumbered in terms of develop being all within one ownership, it therefore co within a five year period. | from <br> nt |


| Market Interest |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 10. Please choose the most appropriate category below to indic ate what level of <br> market interest there is/has been in the site. Please include relevant dates in the <br> comments section. | Yes | Comments |
|  |  |  |
| Site is owned by a <br> developer/promoter |  |  |
| Site is under option to a <br> developer/promoter | $\bigcirc$ | Discussions have been had with potential developers |
| Enquiries received |  |  |


| Site is being marketed | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| None | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Not known | $\bigcirc$ |  |


| Delivery |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11a. Please indic ate when you antic ipate the proposed development could be begun. |  |
| Up to 5 years (by April 2021) | - |
| 5-10 years (between April 2021 and 2026) | $\bigcirc$ |
| 10-15 years (between April 2026 and 2031) | $\bigcirc$ |
| 15-20 years (between April 2031 and 2036) | $\bigcirc$ |
| 11b. Once started, how many years do you think it would take to complete the proposed development (if known)? |  |
| Up to 5 years |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Viability } & & \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { 12a. You acknowledge that there are likely to be policy requirements } \\ \text { and Community Infrastruc ture Levy (CIL) costs to be met which will be in } \\ \text { addition to the other development costs of the site (depend ing on the }\end{array} \\ \text { type and scale of land use proposed). These requirements are likely to } \\ \text { include but are not limited to: Affordable Housing; Sports Pitc hes \& } \\ \text { Children's Play Space and Community Infrastructure Levy }\end{array}\right)$

12e. Please attach any viability assessment or development appraisal you have undertaken for the site, or any other evidence you consider helps demonstrate the viability of the site.
See attached viability statement. It should be noted that although this was prepared for a proposed allocation for 150 dwellings in 2013, advice has been taken from the consultants who prepared the assessment who are of the view that the site still remains viable.

## Other Relevant Information

13. Please use the space below to for additional information orfurther explanations on any of the topics covered in this form

| Check List |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Your Details | $\checkmark$ |
| Site Deta ils (including site location plan) | $\checkmark$ |
| Site Ownership | $\nu$ |
| Current and Historic Land Uses | $\checkmark$ |
| Proposed Future Uses | $\checkmark$ |
| Local Green Space (Only to be completed forproposed Local Green Space Designations) | $\checkmark$ |
| Site Features and Constraints | $\nu$ |
| Utilities | $\checkmark$ |
| Ava ilability | $\nu$ |
| Market Interest | $\checkmark$ |
| Delivery | $\nu$ |
| Viability | $\checkmark$ |
| Other Relevant Information | $\checkmark$ |
| Declaration | $\checkmark$ |

## 14. Declaration

I understand that:

## Data Protection and Freedom of Information

The Data Controller of this information under the Data Protection Act 1998 will be Norfolk County Council, which will hold the data on behalf of Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk District Council. The purposes of collecting this data are:

- To assist in the preparation of the Greater Norwich Local Plan
- To contact you, if necessary, regarding the answers given in your form.
- To evaluate the development potential of the submitted site for the uses proposed within the form.


## Disc laimer

The responses received as part of the Greater Norwich Local Plan "Call for Sites" will be published and made a vailable for public viewing. By submitting this form you are consenting to the details about you and your individual sites being stored by Norfolk County Council, and the details being published for consultation puposes. Any information you consider to be confidential is clearly marked in the submitted response form and you have confirmed with the Council(s) in advance that such information can be kept confidential as instructed in the Greater Norwich Local Plan Call for Sites Response Form Guidance Notes.

I agree that the details within this form can be held by Norfolk County Council and that those detailscan be shared with Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk District Council for the purposes specified in this declaration.

| Na me Paul White | Date 7th July 2016 |
| :--- | :--- |


$\checkmark$ Promap



Euston Tower
286 Euston Road
London
NW1 3AT

Tel:
02071212144

Greater Norwich Local Plan Team
paul.white@atkinsglobal.com
PO Box 3466
www.atkinsglobal.com
Norwich
NR7 7NX

6 July 2016

## Dear Sir / Madam

## Greater Norwich Plan: Call for Sites - Land at Johnson's Farm, Wymondham

Please find enclosed a completed copy of the Call for Sites Submission Form on behalf of our client RJ Baker and Son in reference to Land at Johnson's Farm, Wymondham.

As detailed within the form the site covers an area of 15.32 ha and has the ability to provide a sustainable urban extension to Wymondham with an average distance of 1 km from the town centre. It would provide an integrated and functional location for residential development which would assist in meeting the identified future housing requirement of Wymondham. The site also benefits from excellent deliverability due to its good access onto London Road and it being within one ownership meaning it could be available for development within 1-5 years.

Our client also has the availability of 15 ha of water meadows and other land between the town and the site at Johnson's Farm which could be used for Public Open Space and to protect the setting of Wymondham Abbey. The additional land can be identified on the additional site plan submitted with this form.

We trust the attached submission form and supporting evidence provides all the information you require at this stage however if you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

## Paul White

Technical Director

| Your ref: | Tel: | 02071212144 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Our ref: | 5032194/PMW | Fax: |
|  | Ext no: |  |
|  |  |  |
| Adam Nichols | info@atkinsglobal.com |  |
| Greater Norwich Local Plan Team | www.atkinsglobal.com |  |
| PO Box 3466 |  |  |
| Norwich |  |  |
| NR7 7NX |  |  |

18 Nov 2016

## Dear Mr Nichols

Greater Norwich Local Plan: Call for Sites - Land at Johnson's Farm, Wymondham
I refer to your recent telephone conversation with relating to the Call for Sites Submission Form which we submitted on 6 July in relation to land at Johnson's Farm, Wymondham. I understand that in your conversation you indicated to that it would be possible to provide an update to the July submission to show a larger area of land at Johnson's Farm suitable for residential development.

Please find attached a plan showing a 75.8ha area of land which could provide a sustainable urban extension to Wymondham. This site is an integrated and functional location for residential development which has the particular advantage of being located closer to Wymondham town centre than any other large site submitted in response to the call for sites. The site enjoys good access onto London Road and benefits from excellent deliverability, being in single ownership and potentially available for development within five years. The site includes 14ha of land situated between Johnson's Farm and the town centre which could provide public open space to benefit the community and serve to protect the setting of Wymondham Abbey.

Other than the change in land area from 15.32ha to 75.8 ha all the information included in the form submitted in July remains unchanged. It should be noted that, whilst the previously submitted viability assessment related to a smaller site, its findings apply equally to the larger site now proposed for development.

I trust that you can accept the update to our submission and that we have provided all the information you require. Should you have any queries please contact me on 02071212144 or by email (paul.white@atkinsglobal.com).

## Yours sincerely

Paul White<br>Technical Director

| Your ref: | Tel: 02071212144 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Our ref: | Fax: |
|  | Ext no: |
|  |  |
| Adam Nichols | info@atkinsglobal.com |
| Greater Norwich Local Plan Team | www.atkinsglobal.com |

PO Box 3466
Norwich
NR7 7NX

14 August 2017

Dear Mr Nichols

## Greater Norwich Local Plan: Call for Sites - Land at Johnson's Farm, Wymondham

I refer to our recent telephone conversation relating to the Call for Sites Submission Form which we submitted on 6 July 2016 in relation to land at Johnson's Farm, Wymondham. During our conversation you indicated that there was still time for further supporting information to be submitted before the consultation on the Call for Sites in October. In response, on behalf of our clients RJ Baker and Son, we wish the information in this letter and the attached Heritage Setting Appraisal report to be taken into consideration when you assess the suitability of the land at Johnson's Farm for inclusion in the published list of sites to meet future housing need.

When we spoke you advised that there was concern about the potential impact of proposed housing on the land at Johnson's Farm on views to and from Wymondham Abbey. To address those concerns we have carried out a detailed assessment of these views and undertaken an appraisal of the setting of the Abbey, having regard to relevant Government guidance and current good practice. The findings of our study are set out in the Heritage Setting Appraisal report and the key conclusions are set out below.

## Views from Wymondham Abbey

Existing views from the Abbey towards the proposed development site are shown in Appendix A of the report, view 5 and the expected roofline of the development is shown in the corresponding view in Appendix $B$. From the expected view it is clearly evident that the proposed development, which is assumed to be two storeys in height, would not be visible when viewed from the Abbey. This is because the presence of mature trees, particularly along the River Tiffey, would completely screen views of the development. As these trees are situated outside the proposed housing site they would not be affected by the development and would remain as an effective screen, obscuring the development in views from the Abbey.

In summary, a housing development on the land at Johnson's Farm would not be visible from Wymondham Abbey because of the presence of screening trees, which would remain.

## Views to Wymondham Abbey

In Appendix A of the Heritage Setting Appraisal report public viewpoints towards the Abbey from the south west are identified and photographs from these viewpoints are included. In Appendix B a viewshed analysis is presented and photomontages are included for existing and expected views from key viewpoints in and adjacent to the proposed development site.

Given that the proposed housing site lies to the west and south west of Johnson's Farm (shown as viewpoint 7) the existing views of the Abbey that would be affected by the development are views 9 to 15 . The likely impact on these views is as follows:

- View 9 in London Road - the existing glimpsed view of the top of one of the Abbey's towers would be largely obscured by the proposed development, although there would be some glimpsed views remaining as illustrated in Appendix B, view 9 .
- Views 10, 11 and 12 in Bradman's Lane - potentially these views towards the Abbey would be lost through the development of the land to the east. To mitigate this effect it is proposed to incorporate a view corridor into the development from which existing views of the Abbey could be enjoyed as illustrated in Appendix B, view 10.
- Views 13 and 14 in Bradman's Lane - as with views 10, 11 and 12 these views towards the Abbey would potentially be lost through the development of the land to the east. As above it is proposed to incorporate a view corridor into the development from which existing views of the Abbey could be enjoyed as illustrated in Appendix B, view 13.
- View 15 in Bradman's Lane - the existing view of the Abbey would remain unobscured but with housing visible in the foreground.

In summary, the development can be planned to safeguard existing views of the Abbey from locations in Bradman's Lane by incorporating view corridors towards the Abbey, free from obstruction.

## Setting of Wymondham Abbey

A number of characteristics contribute to the setting of Wymondham Abbey including its relationship with the landscape to the west and south west, where Johnson's Farm is situated. The Abbey's two towers are its most notable features, defining the prominence of the building within the landscape, which is a visual reminder of the former influence and power of the Abbey and its significance as a monumental building. The imposing nature of the building within the wider landscape and the visibility of the towers in long views from all directions are important aspects of its setting that contribute to its significance.

The Abbey towers are a prominent landscape feature along Bradman's Lane and the land beyond and the proposed development has the potential to impact the views currently enjoyed from these locations. The loss or filtering of these views would affect this element of the Abbey's setting and could detract from the extent to which the site derives significance from its presence in such views. However, the views impacted by the proposed development form a relatively small proportion of the Abbey's setting in relation to the wider landscape views and should be seen in that context. In addition a number of views from within the proposed housing site are already filtered by the screening of the existing tree cover east of Johnson's Farm and Lady's Lane and along the River Tiffey.

The proposed development would not impact on the tranquillity of the Abbey's immediate setting. The screening offered by the trees along the river and the proposed retention of open space east of the Johnson's Farm buildings would ensure that proposed development does not encroach on the tranquillity of the area around the Abbey. Furthermore, the proposed development would not have a significant visual or audible impact on people's experience of the Abbey and Abbey grounds.

Whilst the proposed development would have some impact on the landscape setting of the Abbey the overall impact would not detract significantly from the extent to which the building derives significance from its setting. Importantly, although there would be some impact arising from the proposed development it would not constitute significant harm to the setting of the Abbey.

## Conclusion

From our analysis we have demonstrated that:

- A housing development on the land at Johnson's Farm would not be visible from Wymondham Abbey because of the presence of screening trees, which would remain.
- The development can be planned to safeguard existing views of the Abbey from locations in Bradman's Lane by incorporating view corridors towards the Abbey, free from obstruction.
- Housing development at Johnson's Farm would not significantly harm the setting of the Abbey.

Having regard to the limited potential impact of the proposed development on views of Wymondham Abbey and its setting, there are no landscape and heritage reasons why the land at Johnson's Farm should not be allocated for housing. Given the site's sustainable location in close proximity to Wymondham town centre and public transport combined with the proposal to create a substantial and easily accessible public open space, it enjoys significant advantages over other sites around Wymondham.

I would be grateful if you would take account of these findings when assessing the suitability of the land at Johnson's Farm as a site for housing.

I trust that you can accept this update to our submission and that we have provided all the information you require. Should you have any queries please contact me on 02071212144 or by email (paul.white@atkinsglobal.com).

Yours sincerely,

Paul White
Technical Director

## LAND AT WYMONDHAM

## VIABILITY ASSESSMENT USING COUNCIL ASSUMPTIONS

## ASSUMPTIONS

1. Total site area approximately 5.26 hectares ( 13 acres).
2. Number of dwellings -150 .
3. $30 \%$ affordable housing requirement -45 leaving 105 free market dwellings.
4. Site developed over two year period. Site cost financed for two years. Development costs financed for $50 \%$ of duration of development.

## COUNCIL ASSUMPTIONS

1. Minimum threshold land value $£ 427,500 /$ ha ( $£ 173,007 / \mathrm{ac}$ ).
2. 45 affordable houses of which $15 \%$ shared equity and remainder affordable for rent.
3. Sales value $£ 2,170 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(£ 201.6 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ for free market and $£ 1,076 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(£ 100 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ for affordable dwellings.
4. Area per private dwelling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
15 \% \text { at } 656 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & 9,840 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
31 \% \text { at } 721 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & 22,351 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
35 \% \text { at } 875 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & 30,625 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
19 \% \text { at } 1,092 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & \underline{20,748 \mathrm{ft}^{2}} \\
& 83,564 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \div 100=835,6 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Area per affordable dwelling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
30 \% \text { at } 592 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & 17,760 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
30 \% \text { at } 818 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & 24,540 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
20 \% \text { at } 936 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & 18,720 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
10 \% \text { at } 1,109 \mathrm{ft}^{2}= & \frac{11,090 \mathrm{ft}^{2}}{72,110 \mathrm{ft}^{2}} \div 100=721.1 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. BCIS costings for fourth quarter 2012 - estimated cost for mixed housing development $£ 867 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $£ 81 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) multiplied by 1.03 for Norfolk regional variation plus $£ 250$ allowance for Code Level 4 requirements equates to a build cost of $£ 83.74 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.
7. S106 costs at $£ 750$ per dwelling.
8. CIL at $£ 75 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for free market dwellings.
9. Infrastructure at $15 \%$ of build cost.
10. Developer's finance at $7 \%$.
11. Developer's profit at $20 \%$ of total costs.

## VALUE OF SITE

Gross Development Value:

| 105 dwellings at $835.6 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ each $\times £ 201.6 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}=$ | $£ 17,675,280$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 45 dwellings at $721.1 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ each $\mathrm{E} \quad £ 100 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}=$ | $£ 3,244,950$ <br>  <br>  <br> less sale fees at $3.5 \%$ <br> Net Sale Proceeds |

Build Costs:

| 105 dwellings at $835.6 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ each $\times £ 81.30 / \mathrm{ft}^{2} \times 1.03=$ 45 dwellings at $721.1 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ each $\times £ 81.30 / \mathrm{ft}^{2} \times 1.03=$ | $£ 7,347,092$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £2,717,280 |  |
|  | £10,064,372 |  |
| Prof fees at 10\% | £1,006,437 |  |
| Contingency at $2.5 \%$ | £251,609 |  |
| Section 106 at $£ 750$ per dwelling | £112,500 |  |
| CIL - 7,763.3m² $\mathrm{m}^{\text {¢ }}$ 75/m | £582,248 |  |
| Infrastructure at 15\% of build cost | £1,509,656 |  |
| Total Build Costs | £13,526,822 |  |
| Developer's finance at 7\% over 2 yrs at 50\% | £946,877 |  |
| Total Build Costs inc finance |  | $£ 14,473,699$ |
| Site Acquisition Costs: |  |  |
| Site Value - 5.26ha at $£ 427,500 / \mathrm{ha}$ | £2,248,650 |  |
| Land acquisition fees at 1.5\% | £33,730 |  |
| SDLT at 4\% | £89,946 |  |
| Finance on land acquisition at 7\% for 2 yrs | £332,126 |  |
| Total Land Cost inc finance |  | £2,704,452 |
| Developer's profit at 20\% of total land and build costs |  | £3,435,630 |
| Net Proceeds |  | $(£ 425,759)$ |
| Therefore, site value | £2,248,650 |  |
| Less deficit | £425,759 |  |
| Site Value | £1,822,891 | ( $£ 140,222 / \mathrm{ac}$ |

JAS/6843
19 August 2013
Site $1 \begin{aligned} & \text { base Viability Spreadsheet.xlsm } \\ & \text { Site Viability August } 2013\end{aligned}$


| ASH FLOW FOR CII ADDITINAL PROFT Year 1 |  |  |  |  |  | Year 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year 4 |  |  |  |  | Year 5 |  | Year 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| income As Above | ${ }^{01}$ | O2 | ${ }^{\text {Q3 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q4 }}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | Q2 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{04}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ | Q2 | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q4 }}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 02 | ${ }^{03}$ | Q4 | ${ }^{1}$ | 02 | $0^{3}$ | ${ }^{4}$ |
| INCOME ASAbove | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,689,888 | 4,483,146 | 4,483, 146 | ${ }^{4,483,146}$ | 4,483,146 | 4,483,146 | 6,276,404 | ${ }_{6,276,404}$ | 6,276,404 | 6,276,404 | 6,276,404 | 6,276,404 | $5.379,775$ | 4,483,146 | 4,483,146 | 4,483,146 | 4,483,146 | 3,566,517 |
| EXPENDITURE Land | 16.24 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stamp Duty Easements etc. Legals Acquisitior | $\begin{gathered} 812,250 \\ \substack{0 \\ 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | : | : |  | \% | \% | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | : |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | : |
| Planning Fee Architects QS Planning Consultant: Other Professiona Other Professiona |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \% | : |  |  | : |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | : | 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ! |  |  | 0 |  | : | 0 | 0 0 0 0 | - | 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Build Cost - BCIS Bast POTENTIAL CIL | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{4}^{448.808}$ | 1,196,822 | 1,944,835 | 2,244,041 | 2,244,041 | 2,244,041 | 2,543,246 | 2.842,452 | 3,414,657 | 3,441, | 3,141,657 | 3,141,657 | 2,992,054 | 2,692,449 | 2,39,643 | 2,244,041 | 2,24,0,0 | 2,094,438 | 1,346,424 | 598,411 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Post CIL 106 Contingenc, Abnormals | : | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{11,220}{0}$ | $\stackrel{29,921}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84,493 \\ & 48,021 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140,821 \\ 5,101 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140,821 \\ 56,101 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140,821 \\ 5,101 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140,821 \\ 6,381 \\ \hline 681 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140,821 \\ 71,061 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197,149 \\ & 78,541 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197,149 \\ 78,541 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197,149 \\ & 78,541 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197,149 \\ 78,541 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197,149 \\ 74,801 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197,149 \\ 67.239 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 168,985 \\ 59881 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140,821 \\ & 56,101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140,821 \\ 56,101 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{140,821 \\ 52,361 \\ 0}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{140,821 \\ 33,661}}{0.0}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112,657 \\ 14,960 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Finance Fees Legal and Valuatio | ${ }_{\substack{10,500}}^{7,500}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | : | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | : | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Agents } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Legars } \\ \text { Misca } \end{array} \end{aligned}\right.$ | 0 | ! | 0 0 5.000 | \% | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80,697 \\ 13,449 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134,494 \\ & 22,416 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134,494 \\ & 22,416 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134,494 \\ & 22,416 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134,494 \\ 22,416 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134,494 \\ & 22,416 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188,292 \\ 31,882 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188,292 \\ 31,382 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,292 \\ 3,1,382 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188,292 \\ 31,382 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188,292 \\ 31,382 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188,292 \\ 31,382 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161,393 \\ 26,899 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134,494 \\ 22,416 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134,494 \\ 2,416 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134,494 \\ 22,416 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134,494 \\ 22,416 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107,596 \\ & 17,933 \end{aligned}$ |
| COSTS BEFORE LAND ITT ANO PROFII | 19,821,067 | 0 | 4.6.42, 842 | $\stackrel{0}{1,226,742}$ | $2.077,949$ | ${ }^{2.440,963}$ | 2,535,109 | 2.597783 | ${ }_{2} 2.904 .558$ | 3,211.244 | 3,574.258 | $\stackrel{0}{3,54,258}$ | $\stackrel{0}{3.637,022}$ | 3,637,022 | 3,483,679 | 3,176,993 | $\stackrel{\text { 2,882,144 }}{ }$ | 2,660,637 | $\underline{2.629,255}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.444 .530}$ | $\underline{1,677816}$ | 882,938 | $\stackrel{156,910}{ }$ | $\frac{0}{125,528}$ |
| For CLL calculation $\begin{gathered}\text { Profitioness } \\ \text { Proft on covi }\end{gathered}$ |  | 346,869 | ${ }^{352,339}$ | 277,866 | 304,196 | 34, 884 | 394,654 | 398,851 | 372,839 | 351,738 | 335,636 | 325,604 | 315,396 | 274,726 | 233,345 | 188,566 | 137,616 | 79,924 | 18,047 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{c\|} 0 \\ 17,932,584 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} -19,821,067 \\ -19,821,067 \end{array}$ | -346,869 $-20,67.935$ | 4,289,903 -15878,032 | $-1,504,688$ $-17,382,640$ | ${ }^{-2,382,145}$ | ${ }^{-2,78,846}$ | $-239,875$ $-22,99.506$ | $1.488,422$ <br>  <br> $-21,305084$ | $1,205,749$ $-20,09935$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {920,164 }}$ |  | 58,285 <br> $-18.02,634$ | 2,323,986 -15.986 .648 | 2,364,656 $-13,33,929$ | 2,559,380 $-10,74.611$ | 2,91,855 $-7.886,756$ | ${ }^{3,2986,645}$ |  | 2,732473 $1,701,205$ | 2,38,616 3,739821 | 2,80, 3 30 6,54, 51 | 3,600,208 10,445,59 | ${ }^{4.326,236}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14,471,595 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |

