


Part 1. Personal Details

Representations can not be considered anonymously. All representations made will be available for public inspection by appointment, and will be published on the GNDP website. However, this will exclude address, telephone number and email address of respondents which will be used for GNDP purposes¹ only and will be removed from the published representations.

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

*if an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title and Name boxes in below, but complete the full contact details of the agent in column 2.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Title | Mrs | |
| First Name | Daphne | |
| Last Name | Wyatt | |
| Job Title (where relevant) | Parish Clerk | |
| Organisation (where relevant) | Salhouse Parish Council | |
| Address Line 1 |  | |
| Line 2 | | |
| Line 3 | | |
| Line 4 | | |
| Post Code | | |
| Telephone number | | |
| Email address | | |

¹ The above personal data will be processed in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998 and will only be used by the Greater Norwich Development Partnership, and its constituent bodies, for the purposes of contacting you about the Joint Core Strategy. It will not be passed on to any third parties.

Part 2a. Your Comments on Legal Compliance

3. Are the Main Modifications to the Joint Core Strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk: Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area legally compliant? (please refer to the guidance notes below for explanation)

Yes

No

No Comment

NC

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments:

Part 2b. Your Representation on the Schedule of Main Modifications

Please use a separate sheet for each reference number.

4. Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1). If your comment relates to the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum, HRA Addendum or the Additional (minor) Modifications please state this clearly in the box.:

| |
|-----|
| MM1 |
|-----|

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

5. Do you consider the Main Modification you have referenced above to be 'Sound'?
(please refer to the guidance notes for explanation of the term)

Yes

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

No

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

6. If you consider the Main Modification to be unsound please specify your reason below: (tick all that apply)

A. It has not been positively prepared*

| |
|---|
| A |
|---|

B. It is not justified*

| |
|---|
| B |
|---|

C. It is not effective*

| |
|---|
| C |
|---|

D. It is not consistent with national policy*

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

* An explanation of the Tests of Soundness is provided in the guidance notes.

7. Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the soundness of the Main Modification, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Salhouse Parish Council welcome the link now proposed between the massive housing expansion within the growth triangle area GTA and the construction of the northern distributor road NDR and postwick hub PH.

Concerns arise in two main areas - firstly the whole of the consultation process to date has not been based upon the link between the GTA NDR and PH. We contend that if the consultation had been based upon these links it would have been likely to change our comments to each consultation. Based on this then there should be a further period of consultation to enable consultees to be able to consider how they would have been influenced by these new links and how their submissions may have changed.

We also submit that the proposals for the amount of development that can be allowed are flawed. Specifically with regard to quantity of allowable development related to the PH, which does not include a transportation network that would deal with the generation of traffic movements from these new houses - unless they are specifically built alongside the PH itself.

The strategy should link not only confirmation of the PH and NDR, but physical provision of those projects allowing pockets of development only when adjacent physical provision of the PH and NDR is available for use.

8. Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) to the Main Modification you consider necessary to make it sound and why. Please suggest revised wording.

1. A consultation should be reopened with such a fundamental change
2. Development allowable based upon confirmation of the PH and NDR should only be within the areas that directly border onto the PH and NDR site, or be on a clear link to that provision
3. Development should be scaled based upon physical provision of operational parts of the PH and NDR, and located in development areas adjacent to those physical provisions

The Inspector will decide if further public hearing sessions are required as part of the examination process.

Part 2b. Your Representation on the Schedule of Main Modifications

Please use a separate sheet for each reference number.

4. Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1). If your comment relates to the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum, HRA Addendum or the Additional (minor) Modifications please state this clearly in the box.:

MM6

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

5. Do you consider the Main Modification you have referenced above to be 'Sound'?
(please refer to the guidance notes for explanation of the term)

Yes

No

No

6. If you consider the Main Modification to be unsound please specify your reason below: (tick all that apply)

A. It has not been positively prepared*

 A

B. It is not justified*

C. It is not effective*

D. It is not consistent with national policy*

* An explanation of the Tests of Soundness is provided in the guidance notes.

7. Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the soundness of the Main Modification, please also use this box to set out your comments.

As the potable water solution was available by the end of 2012, why is it not available with this document?

8. Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) to the Main Modification you consider necessary to make it sound and why. Please suggest revised wording.

Simply include the potable water study as indicated in table 1

The Inspector will decide if further public hearing sessions are required as part of the examination process.

All representations on matters of soundness will be fully considered by the Inspector. You may choose to request to appear at a public hearing to clarify your comments on the Main Modifications.

9. Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? (If reopening the hearing is required by the Inspector)

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

No

Yes, I do wish to participate at the oral examination

10. The Inspector may hold further examination hearings as a result of the representations. If you wish to participate at any examination hearing, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

11. Do you wish to be notified of the following? (please tick as appropriate)

The publication of the
Inspector's Final Report

Yes

The adoption of the Joint Core
Strategy for the Broadland part of
the Norwich Policy Area

Yes

| | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------|--------------------|
| Signature: |  | Date: | 21 10 13 2.55pm |
|-------------------|---|--------------|--------------------|