# Greater Norwich <br> Development <br> Partnership 

Mr D Vickery<br>The Planning Inspectorate,<br>3/25 Hawk Wing,<br>Temple Quay House,<br>2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6PN

Dear Mr Vickery,

## Proposed Main Modifications to the emerging part Joint Core Strategy

Alongside the publication of the further evidence in relation to housing supply and viability the Councils are now in a position to submit the schedule of proposed main modifications to you for consideration. These are submitted in the attached Appendix, using the table suggested, although for technical reasons most of the modifications are attached separately rather than inserted within the table.

The main modifications and reasons for them are summarised below:

| MM | Modification | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Change to existing para 7.16 <br> and subsequent table | Update and for clarity arising from the <br> hearing in relation to agenda matter 2, <br> para 4 |
| 2 | New policy to apply PINS <br> model presumption wording <br> and possible future focussed <br> local plan review. | Arising from the hearing in relation to <br> agenda matter 1, para 9 and agenda <br> matter 2, para 6 |
| 3 | Note added to existing <br> Appendix 6 on Housing <br> Trajectory | Arising from the hearing in relation to <br> agenda matter 2, para 6-9 |
| 4 | Changes to growth locations <br> table within Appendix 6 to <br> reflect deletion of Broadland <br> part of NPA from Appendix | Arising from the hearing in relation to <br> agenda matter 2, para 6-9 |
| 5 | Insertion of new Appendix 6a <br> concerning housing delivery <br> trajectory from Broadland NPA <br> proposals | Arising from the hearing in relation to <br> agenda matter 2, para 6-9 |
| 6 | Change to existing Appendix 7 <br> on Implementation Framework | Arising from the hearing in relation to <br> agenda matter 2, para 13 |


|  | to remove references to <br> infrastructure in part needed to <br> support delivery of Broadland <br> NPA proposals |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | Insertion of new Appendix 7a <br> Implementation Framework <br> with regard to infrastructure in <br> part needed to support delivery <br> of Broadland NPA proposals | Arising from the hearing in relation to <br> agenda matter 2, para 13 |
| 8 | Change to existing Appendix 8 <br> with regard to monitoring of <br> objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8 and 9 | Arising from GNDP response to <br> Inspector's original matter 2, para 1.19 <br> and to reflect MM2 |

The rationale for the main modifications should be clear from the previous hearing and submitted evidence.

However, there is a need to provide some commentary in relation to MM4 to explain the changes between Appendix 7 and Appendix 7a. The revised appendix (7a) represents the most up to date understanding of infrastructure requirements, funding and delivery related to growth in the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area (NPA). This supersedes document reference number DV7 that was submitted during the previous hearing.

The information is drawn from the current Local Investment Plan and Programme (LIPP) published in February 2012 (INF 3.1). Where it has been possible to gain more up to date information, such as on timing and costs of the Northern Distributor Road (NDR) the latest position is shown. Once the outcome of the current examination is known the councils will refresh the LIPP to ensure it remains current and relevant to the adopted development plan.

Appendix 7 was produced in 2010. The differences between Appendix 7 and Appendix 7a reflect that passage of time. The phasing of transportation projects has been adjusted to reflect the likely delivery of sites. For the NDR and Postwick schemes (T1, T2a and T2b) the appendix shows the latest cost and delivery timetable of those live projects. The changes to Green Infrastructure projects are informed by the Green Infrastructure and Recreational Open Space Topic Paper (CIL evidence library ref EV3). The Water Cycle Study identified options for waste water treatment upgrades. Where an option has been chosen those options no longer under consideration have been deleted (eg. Scheme ref SP6).

To date there has been no further work on Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of the proposed main modifications. However it is considered that SA will only be necessary in relation to MM2. It is our intention to do the SA work necessary in advance of the hearings being resumed so that consultation can follow the hearings promptly if the proposed modifications are considered appropriate.

It should also be noted that these main modifications incorporate and supersede all draft modifications previously proposed by the Councils in evidence or at Examination.

Yours sincerely,


Sandra Eastaugh
Greater Norwich Development Partnership Manager
t: 01603223264
e. s.eastaugh@gndp.org.uk

## Appendix - Main Modifications

The modifications below are expressed either in the conventional form of strikethrough for deletions and underlining for additions of text, or by specifying the modification in words in italics.

The page numbers and paragraph numbering below refer to the Joint Core Strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk: Submission Document February 2013, and do not take account of the deletion or addition of text.

| Ref | Page | Policy/ <br> Paragraph | Main Modification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MM1 | 95 | Para 7.16 <br> and table <br> below | Make changes attached as MM1 |
| MM2 | 96 | New <br> section <br> Paras 7.19- <br> 20 and <br> Policy 21 | Insert new section attached as MM2 |
| MM3 | 108 | Appendix 6 | Insert following note next to title: <br> This appendix illustrates the trajectory as anticipated in |
| MM4 | 113 | Table <br> headed <br> Growth <br> Locations | Mo10. For up to date information please see the Annual <br> Monitoring Report. For an updated trajectory for the <br> Broadland part of the NPA see Appendix 6a. |
| MM5 | - | New <br> section | Insert new Appendix 6a, following Appendix 6, as <br> attached at MM5 |
| MM6 | 114 <br> 147 | Appendix 7 | Make changes attached at MM6 |
| MM7 | - | New <br> section | Insert new Appendix 7a, following Appendix 7, as <br> attached at MM7 |
| MM8 | $148-$ <br> 160 | Appendix 8 | Insert new monitoring indicators for spatial planning <br> objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8 and 9. Changes attached at <br> MM8 |

Please note - where new sections are inserted text is not underlined.
7.16 Pending clarification of the NDR's delivery or otherwise, the existing commitment of 1400 dwellings in the Sprowston Fringe can take place without improvements to Postwick Junction. Subject to acceptable improvements to Postwick junction (in the form of Postwick Hub or a suitable alternative) there is significant potential for further development in the growth triangle-before confirmation of the delivery of the NDR. The table below summarises the current understanding of this potential.

| Location | Level of growth <br> supported by <br> current evidence | Constrained development |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Growth <br> Triangle | At least 1600 <br> dwellings (plus 200 <br> exemplar at <br> Rackheath prior to <br> Postwick junction <br> improvements) | New employment allocation at <br> Rackheath |  |
| Smaller sites <br> in Broadland <br> NPA | Delivery of the <br> smaller sites <br> allowance will be <br> dealt with on a site <br> by site basis |  |  |
| Broadland <br> Business Park | Development of <br> existing allocation <br> and new allocation <br> (approx 18ha incl <br> E50,000m2 B1) |  |  |
| Airport area |  |  |  |

Insert following text:

Pending clarity on Postwick Hub's and the NDR's delivery, the table below summarises the understanding of development potential offered by the strategic locations in the Broadland NPA as at 2013. The delivery of the smaller sites allowance in the Broadland NPA will be dealt with on a site by site basis as the dependence on Postwick junction and the NDR will vary with location.

Development that can come forward in advance of Postwick Junctions: Growth Triangle 1440 committed dwellings in the Sprowston Fringe

| Rackheath | 200 dwellings on the proposed <br> exemplar development at Rackheath <br> (in addition to 94 existing consented <br> dwellings) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Further development that can come forward following Postwick Junction in <br> advance of confirmation of delivery of the NDR: |  |
| Growth Triangle | At least 1600 dwellings |
| Broadland Business Park | Development of existing allocation <br> and new allocation (approx. 18ha <br> including c50,000m2 B1) |
| Development that cannot come forward until confirmation of delivery of the <br> NDR: | All remaining housing in the Growth <br> Triangle and new employment <br> allocation at Rackheath |
| Growth Triangle | New employment allocation |
| Airport Area |  |

# Implementation within the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area 

7.19 Following the adoption of the Joint Core Strategy in March 2011 a court judgment remitted parts of the previously adopted plan for further consideration. This judgment remitted specific elements of the proposals within the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area and in particular: a) the Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle (including employment growth at Rackheath but excluding employment land at Broadland Business Park and the airport); and b) 2000 homes proposed on smaller sites throughout the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area. These proposals were resubmitted for further examination. Policy 21 was considered necessary to be included in the resubmitted proposals for them to be sound in the light of government policy and other material considerations. For the avoidance of doubt policy 21 below applies only to the proposals previously remitted by the Court order.
7.20 Between the original adoption of the JCS and consideration of remitted proposals, key infrastructure items serving the Broadland part of the NPA were not progressed at the rate envisaged in the original JCS. Because of this, and the further scrutiny of the remitted elements of the plan in the light of updated government guidance about the housing land supply and deliverability of the plan proposals, it was considered necessary to strengthen policy with regard to a positive approach to sustainable development and monitoring. Progress regarding delivery of development land will be rigorously monitored against the targets in the monitoring framework. If monitoring reveals that the Broadland part of the NPA is likely to significantly under deliver by 2026 (by more than 1000 homes or 10ha of employment land), and this shortfall is not likely to be addressed by delivery elsewhere within the NPA, then action will be taken to address this.

## Policy 21: Implementation of proposals in the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area

When considering development proposals in their part of the Norwich Policy Area Broadland District Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. It will always work proactively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area.

Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Local Plan (and, where relevant, with polices in neighbourhood plans) will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise - taking into account whether:

- Any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole; or
- Specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted.

If, notwithstanding this positive approach to managing development, any annual monitoring report produced after three full years from the adoption of this plan demonstrates that there is likely to be a significant shortfall of deliverable sites for housing and/or employment growth in the Broadland part of the NPA a focussed Local Plan identifying alternative allocations for growth in the Norwich Policy Area for delivery before 2026 will be commenced unless:
a) Work has already commenced on a local plan to replace the Joint Core Strategy; or
b) Monitoring demonstrates any shortfall in the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area is likely to be addressed by delivery elsewhere

Any focussed Local Plan will identify preferred allocations for growth in accordance with the settlement hierarchy set out in para 6.2 of the JCS."

Growth locations (excluding the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area)

| District | Total number of units per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Averageannualbuild rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 20061 \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20071 \\ 08 \end{gathered}$ | 2008/09 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20101 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20121 \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20131 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20141 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20151 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 / \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20171 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 / \\ \hline 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 / \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20201 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 / \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ 123 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20231 \\ 24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20241 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20251 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | Total units |  |
| Broadland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 230 | 230 | 580 | 680 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 764 | 9,600 | 582 |
| Rackheath |  |  |  |  |  | 180 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 3,400 | 227 |
| Remainder of Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle (inside NDR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125 | 225 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 3,850 | 321 |
| Additional small <br> sites around <br> Broadland NPA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | ${ }^{130}$ | 2000 | 167 |
| Additional sites around rural Broadland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 55 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 650 | 54 |
| Norwich | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 3,000 | 176 |
| Norwich ( 3,000 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 3,000 | 250 |
| South Norfolk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 525 | 655 | 875 | 950 | 1,039 | 1,128 | 978 | 898 | 778 | 778 | 778 | 683 | 10,065 | 592 |
| Wymondham $(2,200)$ $(2,200)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 165 | 2,200 | 183 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Long Stratton } \\ & (1,800) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 140 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 1,800 | 200 |
| Hethersett ( 1,000 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 90 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 100 | 60 |  |  |  |  | 1,000 | 125 |
| Cringleford (1,200) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 100 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 50 | 150 | 1,200 | 109 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Easton/ Costessey } \\ & (1,000) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 90 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 100 | 60 |  |  |  |  | 1,000 | 125 |
| Additional smaller sites around South Norfolk NPA $(1,800)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 1,800 | 150 |
| Additional sites around Rural South Norfolk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 1,000 | 83 |
| Additional urban capacity in rural South Norfolk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 230 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 230 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,355 \\ & 830 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,585 \\ \mathbf{9 6 0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,929 \\ & 1179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,004 \\ & 1254 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,093 \\ & 1343 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,182 \\ & 1432 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,032 \\ & 1282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,952 \\ & 1202 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,832 \\ & 1082 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,832 \\ & 1082 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,832 \\ & 1082 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,697 \\ & \hline 987 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,965 \\ & 13715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,871 \\ & 1143 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

## MM5

Appendix 6a
Trajectory of delivery of Homes expected from previously remitted Growth Locations in Broadland part of NPA

|  | 14/15 | 15/16 | 16/17 | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | 24/25 | 25/26 | TOTAL | Av build |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rackheath | 77 | 77 | 70 | 185 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 2249 | 187 |
| Remainder of Old <br> Catton, Sprowston, <br> Rackheath, Thorpe St <br> Andrew Growth <br> Triangle (inside NDR) | 20 | 163 | 234 | 373 | 435 | 440 | 515 | 590 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 497 | 4857 | 405 |
| Additional small sites around Broadland NPA | 98 | 148 | 161 | 266 | 328 | 300 | 225 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 25 | 0 | 2001 | 167 |
| Total | 195 | 388 | 465 | 824 | 993 | 970 | 970 | 970 | 910 | 910 | 785 | 727 | 9107 | 759 |

# Appendix 7: Implementation Framework and Critical Path Outside of the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area 


#### Abstract

The framework lists infrastructure required to facilitate development promoted in this JCS. It is early work and is not intended to be an exhaustive or precise list of the entire infrastructure that will be needed by 2026. Additional infrastructure will be needed beyond this date-including in the growth triangle where 3,000 dwellings are proposed after 2026. This table was correct at late 2010 and relates only to infrastructure that is not required to deliver any aspect of the plan that was previously remitted. Updated information on the schemes listed is contained within the published LIPP available on the GNDP website. Updated information on infrastructure schemes needed in part to support some element of the previously remitted growth proposals in the Broadland part of the NPA is available in appendix


 7 a .The GNDP will manage a delivery programme supporting the implementation of this Joint Core Strategy. The programme will be developed through the Local Investment Plan and Programme (LIPP). As decisions are made locally and nationally on prioritisation and funding of infrastructure, the content, phasing and priorities of this list will be amended accordingly. This will happen via the LIPP process which will be subject to regular review.
The definition of the three levels of priority is derived from the Greater Norwich Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study (INF 1: in particular see Page 194) but expands the Study's definition to explicitly recognise the differential impact on the overall strategy. Consequently, the categories are:
Priority 1 Infrastructure is fundamental to the strategy or must happen to enable physical growth. It includes key elements of transport, water and electricity infrastructure and green infrastructure requirements from the Habitats Regulation Assessment. Failure to deliver infrastructure that is fundamental to the strategy would have such an impact that it would require the strategy to be reviewed. This particularly applies to the NDR and the associated package of public transport enhancement. The sustainable transport requirements of the strategy and much of the development to the north of the built up area is dependent on these key elements of NATS.
Priority 2 Infrastructure is essential to significant elements of the strategy and required if growth is to be achieved in a timely and sustainable manner. Failure to address these infrastructure requirements is likely to result in the refusal of planning permission for individual growth proposals, particularly in the medium term as pressures build and any existing capacity is used up.

Priority 3 Infrastructure is required to deliver the overall vision for sustainable growth but is unlikely to prevent development in the short to medium term. The overall quality of life in the area is likely to be poorer without this infrastructure. Failure to address these infrastructure requirements is likely to result in the refusal of planning permission for individual growth proposal.
Table 1 - Infrastructure Framework shows infrastructure requirements to support growth across the JCS period.

Table 1 - Infrastructure Framework
Infrastructure Framework: Priority 1 projects 2008-2016
The base date for the Strategy is 2008. This table includes projects from 2008-2011 (the adoption of the Strategy) and 2011-2016 (the first 5 years of delivery post adoption)

| Waste Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { £m } \end{aligned}$ | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| SP1 | Sewerage upgradesolutions subject to ongoing discussions with Anglian Water | Rackheath | Developer | tba | Develope HAW provision | 2016 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2: B |
| SP3 | Whitlingham Upgrade (Option 1) | Norwich Policy Area | Anglian Water | 42.9 | Developer/ AW provision | 2016 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2: B |
| SP4 | Whitlingham Upgrade (Option 2) | Norwich | Anglian Water | 5 | Developer/ AW provision | 2016 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2: B |
| SP5 | Wymondham upgrade (Option 2) | Wymondham | Anglian Water | 13.8 | Developer/ AW provision | 2016 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2: B |
| SP6 | Rackheath (Option 2) | Rackheath | Anglian Water | 48 | Develope HAW provision | 2016 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2: B |

## Potable Water

Water supply is adequate for growth in the short-term. Supply will require enhancement in the longer term, Anglian Water are committed to finding a solution by 2012. Solutions will be funded through the AMP process and developer contributions.

| Green Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total <br> Cost <br> £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| GI 15 | Enhance public access to Yare Valley and Bawburgh Lakes | Overall scale of growth | Local Authorities/ Developer | tba | Local authority/ Developer contribution s | 2016 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| G\| 16 | Retention and re-creation of Mousehold Heath to the surrounding countryside | Overall scale of growth in particular Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Local <br> Authoritiest <br> Developer | tba | Local authority/ Developer contributio ns | 2016 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| G1 17 | Broads Buffer Zone | Overall scale of growth in particular Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Local <br> Authoritiest <br> Developer | tba | Local authority/ Developer contributio ns | 2016 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |

## Electricity

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery body | Total <br> Cost <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery <br> dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| T1 | Norwich Northern Distributor Road | Overallscale of growth in particular Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle - Broadland: Smaller sites in the NPA (2000 dwellings) - Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of BRT Broadland Business Park - Airport employment allocation | Norfolk County Gouncil | 106.2 | DFT $£ 67.5 \mathrm{~m} \bullet$ <br> Growth Point - <br> Developer <br> Gontributions - <br> Norfolk County <br> Council | 2016 | NATS |
| F2a | Postwick Junction improvements | Overall Scale of Growth. Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle - Broadland: Smallor sites in the NPA (2000 dwellings) - Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of BRT - Broadland Business Park • Airport employment allocation | Norfolk County Council | 19 | DfT•Growth Point 3.5 Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2b | Postwick Park and Rice Junction improvements | Overall Scate of Growth. Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangleBroadland: Smaller sites in the NPA (2000 dwellings) Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of BRT - Broadland Businoss Park Airport employment allocation | Norfolk County Counci | 6 | Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T4 \& } \\ & \text { T17 } \end{aligned}$ | Thickthorn junction improvement including bus priority and park and ride improvements | Wymondham, Hethersett and Cringleford Growth Locations | Norfolk County Council/ Highways Agency | 30 | Developer contributions | 2016 (scheme expected to be phased) | NATS |
| T5 | Longwater junction improvements | West Growth Location | Norfolk County Council/ Highways Agency | 30 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| T6 | Norwich Research Park transport infrastructure phase 1 | Norwich Research Park | Norfolk County Council/ Highways Agency | 5 | Growth Point • Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| T7 | Grapes Hill bus improvements | Overall Growth | Norfolk County Council | 0.18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Growth Point/ } \\ & \text { EEDA } \end{aligned}$ | Delivered | NATS |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T7 | Bus improvements Newmarket Road | Wymondham, Hethersett and Cringleford • Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of BRT | Norfolk County Council | 0.4 | Growth Point | Delivered | NATS |
| T7 | City centre bus improvements phase 1 | Overall Growth | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 1 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| T8 | Bus Rapid Transit via Fakenham Road - A1067 Phase 1 | Broadland Fringe Growth (subject to location of growth) | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 2.5 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| T9 | Bus Rapid Transit via Dereham Road - Phase 1 | West Growth Location | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 1.25 | Growth Point | 2010-2011 | NATS |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery body | Total <br> Cost £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery <br> dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | NATS


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T14 | Bus priority route via B1172 phase 1 | Wymondham, Hethersett Growth Location | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 1.7 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| T15 | Development Link Broadland Business Park to Salhouse Road | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Developer Lead | 2.5 | Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| T16 | Bus priority approach to Harford Junction | Long Stratton Growth Location | Norfolk County Council/ Highways Agency | 2 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| T18 | Pedestrian / Cycle links to Longwater | West Growth Locations | Norfolk County Council | 1.5 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2016 | NATS |
| N/A | Lady Julian Bridge | NATS • City centre | Norwich City Council | 2.58 | Growth Point • S106 • EEDA | Delivered | NATS |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | Barrack Street ringroad improvement works | Overall Growth | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 1.3 | Growth Point | Delivered | NATS |
| N/A | St Augustine's Gyratory | Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of BRT <br> - City centre bus enhancements | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 3.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Growth point } \\ & 2.42 \text { @ LTP } 1.04 \\ & \bullet \text { S106 .03 } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 | NATS |
|  | Totals |  |  | $\frac{155.30}{341.30}$ |  |  |  |

```
Infrastructure Framework: Priority 1 projects 2016-2021
The base date for the Strategy is 2008. This table includes projects from 2008-2011 (the adoption of the Strategy) and 2011-2016 (the first 5
years of delivery post adoption)
```

| Waste Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost $£ m$ | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| SP1 | Sewerage upgrade -solutions subject to ongoing discussions with Anglian Water | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Developer | TBA | Developert AW provision | 2021 | Water Cycle Study Stage $2 b$ |
| SP2 | Sewerage upgrade solutions subject to ongoing discussions with Anglian Water | Hethersett, Cringleford, Easton/ Costessey | Developer | TBA | Developer/ AW provision | 2021 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2b |
| SP7 | Whitlingham Upgrade (Option 1) | Norwich Policy Area | Anglian Water | 14.4 | Developer/ AW provision | 2021 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2b |
| SP8 | Whitlingham Upgrade (Option 2) | Norwich | Anglian Water | 0.8 | Developer/ AW provision | 2021 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2b |
| SP9 | Wymondham upgrade (Option 2) | West growth locations | Anglian Water | 22.4 | Developer/ AW provision | 2021 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2b |

## Potable Water

Water supply is adequate for growth in the short-term. Supply will require enhancement in the longer term, Anglian Water are committed to finding a solution by 2012. Solutions will be funded through the AMP process and developer contributions.

## Electricity

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U2 | Now primary substation on newsite (Norwich Airport north) | Expansion of the employment area-airport business park Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Androw Growth triangle | EDF energy | 6.3 | Developer contributions | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| U3 | New grid substation on existing sites (Norwich East) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Androw Growth triangle | EDF energy | 17 | 100\% AMP | 2021 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study |

## Green Infrastructure

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment and Utilities. All potable water improvements are delivered through the AMP process and are not included in this table.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gl 15 | Enhance public access to Yare Valley and Bawburgh Lakes | Overall scale of growth in particular Wymondham, Hethersett and Cringleford Growth Locations | Local authorities/ Developers | tba | Local authorities/ Developer contribution | 2021 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| Gl16 | Retention and reereation of Mousehold Heath to the surrounding countryside | Overall scale of growth in particular Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe-St Androw Growth Triangle | Local authoritiest Developers | tba | Local authoritiest Developer contribution | 2021 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| Gl17 | Broads Buffer Zone | Overall scale of growth in particular Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Local authoritiest Developers | tba | Local authoritiest Developer contribution | 2024 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |

## Transportation

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment and Utilities. All potable water improvements are delivered through the AMP process and are not included in this table.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery body | Total <br> Cost £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery <br> dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T3 | Long Stratton bypass <br> A140 including <br> improvement at <br> Hempnall cross-roads | Long Stratton Growth <br> Locations | Developer/ <br> Norfolk County <br> Council | 20 | Developer <br> contributions | 2021 | Developer |
| T6 | Norwich Research Park <br> transport infrastructure <br> phase 2 | Norwich Research Park | Norfolk County <br> Counci// <br> Highways <br> Agency | 8 | Growth Point • <br> Developer <br> contributions | 2021 | NATS |
| T7 | Bus priority - approach to <br> Harford Junction | Overall Growth | Norfolk County <br> Council | 2 | Developer <br> contributions | 2021 | NATS |
| T7 | City centre bus <br> improvements phase 1 | Overall Growth | Norfolk County <br> Council/ <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 2.6 | Growth Point • <br> LTP • <br> Developer <br> contributions | NATS |  |
|  |  | T7 | City centre bus <br> improvements phase 2 | Overall Growth | Norfolk County <br> Counci// <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 6 | Growth Point • <br> LTP <br> Developer <br> contributions |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T8 | Bus Rapid Transit via Fakenham Road - A1067 - Phase 2 | Broadland Fringe Growth | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 5 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2021 | NATS |
| T9 | Bus improvements Dereham Road phase 2 | West Growth Locations | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 2.6 | Growth Point • Developer contributions | 2021 | NATS |
| T10 | Bus Rapid Transit via Yarmouth Road - Phase 2 | Broadland Business Park Expansion | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 5 | Norfolk County Council • DfT <br> - Growth Point <br> - Developer contributions | 2021 | NATS |
| T11 | Bus improvements via Salhouse Road and Gurney Road phase 2 | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk Gounty Council/ Norwich Gity Council | 2.6 | Developer contributions - Rackheath PoD | 2021 | NATS |
| F12 | Bus Rapid Transit via Norwich airport A140 to City centre - Phase 2 | Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk Gounty Gouncil/ Norwich City Council | 5 | Norfolk County Council DfT Growth Point - Developer contributions | 2021 | NATS |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery body | Total <br> Cost £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery <br> dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
Infrastructure Framework: Priority 1 projects 2021-2026
The base date for the Strategy is 2008. This table includes projects from 2008-2011 (the adoption of the Strategy) and 2011-2016 (the first 5
years of delivery post adoption)
```


## Waste Water

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP1 | Sewerage upgradesolutions subject to ongoing discussions with Anglian Water | Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Androw Growth triangle | Developer | tba | Developert AW provision | 2026 | Water Gycle Study Stage 2 B |
| SP2 | Sewerage upgrade solutions subject to ongoing discussions with Anglian Water | Hethersett, Cringleford, Easton/ Costessey | Developer | tba | Developer/ AW provision | 2026 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Water Cycle } \\ \text { Study Stage } \\ \text { 2 B } \end{array}$ |
| SP10 | Whitlingham Upgrade (Option 1) | Norwich Policy Area | Anglian Water | 4.3 | Developer/ AW provision | 2026 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2 B |
| SP11 | Whitlingham Upgrade (Option 2) | Norwich | Anglian Water | 0.4 | Developer/ AW provision | 2026 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2 B |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery body | Total <br> Cost £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery <br> dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SP12 | Wymondham upgrade <br> (Option 2) | West growth locations | Anglian Water | 0.5 | Developer/ AW <br> provision | 2026 | Water Cycle <br> Study <br> 2 B |
| SP13 | Sewerage upgrade - <br> solutions subject to <br> ongoing discussions <br> with Anglian Water | Long Stratton | Anglian Water | Tba | Developer/ AW <br> provision | 2026 | Water Cycle <br> Study <br> 2 B |

## Potable Water

Water supply is adequate for growth in the short-term. Supply will require enhancement in the longer term, Anglian Water are committed to finding a solution by 2012. Solutions will be funded through the AMP process and developer contributions.

## Electricity

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U4 | New primary substation on new site (Sprowston / Rackheath) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrews growth triangle | EDF energy | 4.3 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| U5 | Replacement of transformers and switchgear in existing site (Hapton) | Long Stratton | EDF energy | 2.53 | 83\% AMP • 17\% Developer contributions | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| U6 | Replacement of transformers and switchgear in existing site (Wymondham) | SW Growth location | EDF energy | 2.53 | 67\% AMP • 33\% Developer contributions | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |

## Green Infrastructure

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery <br> body | Total <br> Cost £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery dates <br> by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G1 16 | Retention and re-creation <br> of Mousehold Heath to <br> the surrounding <br> countryside | Overall scale of growth in <br> particular Old Gatton, <br> Sprowston, Rackheath <br> and Thorpe St Andrew <br> Growth Triangle | - | tba | - | 2026 | Green <br> Infrastructure <br> Delivery Plan |

## Transportation

The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery body | Total <br> Cost £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery dates <br> by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T7 | City centre bus <br> improvements phase 3 | Overall Growth | Norfolk County <br> Counci// <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 6 | Norfolk County <br> Council $\bullet$ DfT <br> $\bullet$ Growth Point <br> $\bullet$ Developer <br> contributions | $2021-2026$ | NATS |
| T8 | Bus Rapid Transit via <br> Fakenham Road - A1067 - <br> Phase 3 | Broadland Fringe Growth | Norfolk County <br> Counci// <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 2.5 | Norfolk County <br> Council • DfT <br> $\bullet$ Growth Point <br> $\bullet$ Developer <br> contributions | $2021-2026$ | NATS |
| T9 | Bus improvements <br> Dereham Road phase 3 | West Growth Location | Norfolk County <br> Council/ <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 2.6 | Growth Point • <br> LTP $\bullet$ <br> Developer <br> contributions | $2021-2026$ | NATS |
| T10 | Bus Rapid Transit via <br> Yarmouth Road - Phase 3 | Broadland Business Park <br> Expansion | Norfolk County <br> Council/ <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 2.5 | Norfolk County <br> Council • DfT <br> $\bullet$ Growth Point <br> $\bullet$ Developer <br> contributions | $2021-2026$ | NATS |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T11 | Bus improvements via Salhouse Road and Gurney Road phase 3 | Old Catton, <br> Sprowston- <br> Rackheath, and <br> Thorpe St Andrew <br> Growth triangle | Norfolk Gounty Gouncilt Norwich City Gouncil | 0.6 | Rackheath PoDDeveloper contributions | 2021-2026 | NATS |
| T12 | Bus Rapid Transit via Norwich airport A140 to City centre - Phase 3 | Old Catton. <br> Sprowston, <br> Rackheath, and <br> Thorpe St Andrew <br> Growth triangle | Norfolk Gounty Gouncill Norwich City Gouncil | 2.5 | Norfolk <br> Gounty <br> Council - <br> DfT- <br> Growth <br> Point <br> Developer contributions | 2021-2026 | NATS |
|  | Totals |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{23.86}{31.26} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

## Infrastructure Framework: Priority 2 projects 2008-2016

## Education

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery <br> body | Total <br> Cost £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery <br> dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ED3 | 60 place pre-school | Norwich City | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council | 0.54 | Developers | 2011 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and <br> Funding <br> Study |
| ED4 | 60 place pre-school | Norwich City | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council | 0.54 | Developers | 2016 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and <br> Funding <br> Study |

## Healthcare

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure. The Health Authority will take a flexible approach to the provision of hospital beds. Locations will be determined by the Health Authority at a later date. It is presumed funding will come through the AMP

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC1 | GPs Surgery (3 GPs) | Norwich City | Health Authority | 1.03 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2011 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study |
| HC2 | Dentists surgery (4 Dentists) | Norwich City | Health Authority | 1.25 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2016 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study |
| HC6 | Expansion of existing facilities (2 GPs and 2 Dentists) | Broadland Elsewhere | Health Authority | 0.9 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2016 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| HC12 | Expansion of existing facilities ( 7 GPs and 7 Dentists) | South Norfolk Elsewhere | Health Authority | 3.5 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2016 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study |
| HC13 | Hospital bed requirements | Overall scale of growth | Health Authority | 10 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2016 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study |

## Green infrastructure

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure. Green infrastructure projects are being assessed following completion of the Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan Open space will be planned in relation to each growth location and planned in line with development.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | Wensum River Parkway | Overall scale of growth | HEART/ <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 0.07 | Growth Point | Delivered | Green Infrastructure Steering Group |
| N/A | Catton Park visitor centre and park improvements | Overall scale of growth | Catton Park Trust/ Norfolk County Council | 0.37 | Growth Point | Delivered | Green Infrastructure Steering Group |
| N/A | Whitlingham Country Park Access Improvements | Overall scale of growth | Norfolk County Council | 0.12 | Growth Point | Delivered | Green Infrastructure Steering Group |
| N/A | Wooded ridge | Overall scale of growth | Norwich City Council | 0.04 | Growth Point | Delivered | Green Infrastructure Steering Group |
| GI 1-14 | Green infrastructure projects and open space | Overall scale of growth | Various | tba | Local authorities <br> - Developers contributions Other funding sources to be identified | 2016 | Green Infrastructure Steering Group |


| Totals |  | 18.36 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Education

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { £m } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED1 | 60 place pre-school | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Gouncil | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED1 | 60 place pre-school (co-location with community space) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Androw Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED1 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Androw Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2024 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED1 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED5 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Norwich City | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED5 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Norwich City | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED7 | 30 place pre-school | Wymondham | Norfolk County Council | 0.285 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED7 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Wymondham | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED7 | 60 place pre-school | Hethersett | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED7 | 60 place pre-school | Easton | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED9 | 1400 secondary school with 280 sixth form places colocated with $4 x$ indoor sports courts phase 1 | Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 26 | Developer contribution | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |



| Ref | Scheme | Dependencies | Promoter/ <br> Delivery <br> body | Total <br> Cost <br> £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Green infrastructure

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure. Green infrastructure projects are being assessed following completion of the Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Open space will be planned in relation to each growth location and planned in line with development.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth <br> in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery <br> body | Total <br> Cost <br> £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery dates by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gl 1- <br> 14 | Green infrastructure <br> projects and open space | Overall scale of growth | Various | tba | Local <br> authorities • <br> Developers <br> contributions <br> Other funding <br> sources to be <br> identified | Green Infrastructure <br> Steering Group |


| Totals |  | $\frac{40.19}{80.90}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Education

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED2 | 60 place pre-school | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Gounci | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED2 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Catton, <br> Sprowston, <br> Rackheath and <br> Thorpe St Andrew <br> Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Gouncil | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED2 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Catton, <br> Sprowston, <br> Rackheath and <br> Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk Gounty Council | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED6 | 60 place pre-school colocated with 600sqm combined community centre and library | Norwich City | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED8 | 60 place pre-school | Wymondham | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED8 | 2FE place primary | Cringleford | Norfolk County Council | 2.3 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED8 | 1 FE place primary | Hethersett | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council | 2.3 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED8 | 60 place pre-school | Long Stratton | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED9 | 1400 secondary school with 280 sixth form places co-located with $4 x$ indoor sports courts phase 2 | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk Gounty Gouncil | 13 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED8 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place preschool co-located with combined community centre and library | Long Stratton | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED8 | 60 place pre-school | Cringleford | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council | 0.54 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| ED8 | 1FE primary | Easton | Norfolk County Council | 2.5 | Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth <br> in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery <br> body | Total <br> Cost <br> £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery dates by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ED10 | Expanded secondary <br> school provision | Wymondham, <br> Hethersett, Cringleford, <br> Costessey / Easton, <br> Long Stratton, rest of <br> South Norfolk NPA | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council | 10 | Developer <br> contribution | Infrastructure Needs and <br> Funding Study and <br> ongoing assessment of <br> options |

## Healthcare

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure. The Health Authority will take a flexible approach to the provision of hospital beds. Locations will be determined by the Health Authority at a later date. It is presumed funding will come through the AMP.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H65 | Primary Gare-Centre (5 GPs and 4 Dentists) | Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Health Authority | 3.35 | Health <br> Authority $/$ Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| HC8 | Combined surgery (2 GPs and 2 Dentists) | Long Stratton | Health Authority | 1.5 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| HC10 | Expansion of existing facilities (1 GP and 1 Dentists) | Cringleford | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Health } \\ & \text { Authority } \end{aligned}$ | 0.55 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2021-2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |


| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC12 | Expansion of existing facilities (1 GP ) | South Norfolk Elsewhere | Health Authority | 0.6 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| HC13 | Hospital bed requirements | Overall scale of growth | Health Authority | 12 | Health Authority / Developer contribution | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |

## Green infrastructure

The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 3 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 1 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure. Green infrastructure projects are being assessed following completion of the Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Open space will be planned in relation to each growth location and planned in line with development.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth <br> in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery <br> body | Total <br> Cost <br> Em | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gl 1- <br> 14 | Green infrastructure <br> projects and open space | Overall scale of growth | Various | tba | Local <br> authorities <br> Developers <br> contributions <br> OTher <br> funding <br> sources to be <br> identified | 2026 | Green Infrastructure <br> Steering Group |


| Totals |  | $\frac{39.05}{66.22}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Community facilities

The table below lists the Priority 3 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 2 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 3 are Community facilities and Community services.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { £m } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GF1 | Community facilitios | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Androw Growth Triangle | Broadland District Gouncil | 0.5 | Private companies <br> Developers <br> - Local authoritios | 2011-16 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| CF2 | Community facilities | Norwich | Norwich City Council | 1 | Private companies Developers Local authorities | 2011-16 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| CF3-5 | Community facilities | South Norfolk | South Norfolk Council | 4 | Private companies Developers Local authorities | 2011-16 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
|  | Totals |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5.50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

## Community facilities

The table below lists the Priority 3 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 2 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 3 are Community facilities and Community services.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GF1 | Community facilities | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Broadland District Gouncil | 2 | Private companies <br> Developers <br> -Local authorities | 2016-2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| CF2 | Community facilities | Norwich | Norwich City Council | 3.05 | Private companies • Developers Local authorities | 2016-2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| CF3-5 | Community facilities | South Norfolk | South <br> Norfolk <br> Council | 3.1 | Private companies • Developers Local authorities | 2016-2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |

## Community services

The table below lists the Priority 3 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 2 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 3 are Community facilities and Community services.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth <br> in: | Promoter/ <br> Delivery <br> body | Total <br> Cost <br> £m | Funding <br> sources | Estimated <br> delivery dates by | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Totals |  | $\frac{11.40}{13.40}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Community facilities

The table below lists the Priority 3 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 2 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 3 are Community facilities and Community services.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GF1 | Community facilities | Old Gatton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Broadland District Council | 2 | Private companies <br> Developers <br> - Local authorities | 2021-2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| CF 2 | Community facilities | Norwich | Norwich City Council | 3.05 | Private companies <br> Developers <br> - Local authorities | 2021-2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| CF 3-5 | Community facilities | South Norfolk | South Norfolk Council | 3.1 | Private companies <br> Developers <br> - Local authorities | 2021-2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |

## Community services

The table below lists the Priority 3 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 2 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 3 are Community facilities and Community services.

| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in: | Promoter/ Delivery body | Total Cost £m | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl 12 | Fire Service | Overall scale of growth | Norfolk County Council | TBA | Norfolk County Council / Developer Contribution | 2021-2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| Cl 13 | Ambulance Service | Overall scale of growth | Norfolk <br> Ambulance <br> Service | TBA | Norfolk Ambulance Service / Developer contribution | 2021-2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} 1- \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | Police Safer <br> Neighbourhood teams - <br> Broadland (18 officers) <br> Norwich (44 officers) <br> South Norfolk (64 officers) | Overall scale of growth | Norfolk Constabulary | 5.25 | Norfolk Constabulary / Developer Contribution | 2021-2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study |


| Totals |  |  | 13.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## MM7

## Proposed new appendix:

## Appendix 7a: Implementation Framework and Critical Path Inside of the Broadland part of the Norwich Policy Area

The framework lists infrastructure required to facilitate development promoted in this JCS. It is early work and is not intended to be an exhaustive or precise list of the entire infrastructure that will be needed by 2026. Additional infrastructure will be needed beyond this date, including in the growth triangle where at least 3,000 dwellings are proposed after 2026. This table was correct at June 2013 and relates only to infrastructure that is required to deliver any aspect of the plan that was previously remitted. Updated information on the schemes listed is contained within the published LIPP available on the GNDP website.

The GNDP will manage a delivery programme supporting the implementation of this Joint Core Strategy. The programme will be developed through the Local Investment Plan and Programme (LIPP). As decisions are made locally and nationally on prioritisation and funding of infrastructure, the content, phasing and priorities of this list will be amended accordingly. This will happen via the LIPP process which will be subject to regular review.

The definition of the three levels of priority is derived from the Greater Norwich Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study (INF 1; in particular see Page 194) but expands the Study's definition to explicitly recognise the differential impact on the overall strategy. Consequently, the categories are:

Priority 1 Infrastructure is fundamental to the strategy or must happen to enable physical growth. It includes key elements of transport, water and electricity infrastructure and green infrastructure requirements from the Habitats Regulation Assessment. Failure to deliver infrastructure that is fundamental to the strategy would have such an impact that it would require the strategy to be reviewed. This particularly applies to the NDR and the associated package of public transport enhancement. The sustainable transport requirements of the strategy and much of the development to the north of the built up area is dependent on these key elements of NATS.
Priority 2 Infrastructure is essential to significant elements of the strategy and required if growth is to be achieved in a timely and sustainable manner. Failure to address these infrastructure requirements is likely to result in the refusal of planning permission for individual growth proposals, particularly in the medium term as pressures build and any existing capacity is used up.

Priority 3 Infrastructure is required to deliver the overall vision for sustainable growth but is unlikely to prevent development in the short to medium term. The overall quality of life in the area is likely to be poorer without this infrastructure. Failure to address these infrastructure requirements is likely to result in the refusal of planning permission for individual growth proposal.
Table 1 - Infrastructure Framework shows infrastructure requirements to support growth across the JCS period.

| Infrastructure Framework: Priority 1 projects 2008-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The base date for the Strategy is 2008. This table includes projects expected to be delivered from the base date up to 2016 (the first 5 years of delivery post adoption of the non-remitted parts of the JCS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in | Promoter/ delivery body | Total cost $£ m$ | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| Waste water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SP1 | Sewerage upgrade - Anglian Water propose a north east trunk sewer to manage growth in the north east as a result of the detailed study 'Water Cycle Study 2B' | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | AW/ Developer | 61.6 (across whole period) | AW provision/ Developer | in phases up to 2026 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2B |
| Green Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GI16 | Retention and re-creation of Mousehold Heath and link to the surrounding countryside | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Local Authorities/ Developer | 0.033 | Local authority/ CIL/ On-site design | 2016 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| GI17 | Broads Buffer Zone | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Local <br> Authorities/ Developer | 0.21 | Local authority/CIL /Developer land contributions | 2016 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | Norwich Northern Distributor Road | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> - Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe | Norfolk County Council | 107 <br> (spend up to 2016) | DFT $£ 67.5 \mathrm{~m}$ /CIL /Norfolk County Council | 2016 (continues to 2021) | NATS |


|  |  | St Andrew Growth triangle <br> - Broadland: Smaller sites in the NPA (2000 dwellings) depending on site specifics <br> - Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of BRT <br> - Airport employment allocation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2a | Postwick Junction improvements | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> - Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle <br> - Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of NDR and expanded Postwick Park and Ride <br> - Broadland Business Park and expansion | Norfolk County Council | 21.0 | DfT funding /Norfolk County Council | 2016 | NATS |
| T11 | Bus Rapid Transit via Salhouse Road and Gurney Road | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 1.8 | Rackheath <br> PoD <br> (Broadland <br> District <br> Council)/ <br> Norfolk County <br> Council/ DfT /CIL | 2016 | NATS |
| T15 | Completion of local development Link Road | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Developer <br> Lead | 2.5 | Developer Funded | 2016 | NATS |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infrastructure Framework: Priority 1 projects 2016-2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ref | Scheme | Required for growth in | Promoter/ delivery body | Total cost $£ m$ | Funding sources | Estimated delivery dates by | Source |
| Waste Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SP1 | Sewerage upgrade - north east trunk sewer | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | AW/ Developer | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { See } \\ & 2008- \\ & 16 \\ & \text { period } \end{aligned}$ | AW provision/ Developer | in phases up to 2026 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2: B |
| Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U2 | New primary substation on new site (Norwich Airport north) | - Airport employment allocation <br> - Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | UK Power Networks | 6.3 | UKPN | 2021 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| U3 | New grid sub-station on existing sites (Norwich East) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | UK Power Networks | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \text { AMP } \\ & \text { funded } \end{aligned}$ | 2021 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and <br> Funding <br> Study 2009 |
| Green Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GI 16 | Retention and re-creation of Mousehold Heath to the surrounding countryside | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Local authorities/ Developers | 0.033 | Local authority/ CIL/ On-site design | 2021 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| GI 17 | Broads Buffer Zone | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> Old Catton, Sprowston, | Local authorities/ Developers | 0.21 | Local authority/CIL /Developer | 2021 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |


|  |  | Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle |  |  | land contributions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | Norwich Northern Distributor Road | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> - Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle <br> - Broadland: Smaller sites in the NPA (2000 dwellings) depending on site specifics <br> - Norwich Area Transportation Strategy including delivery of BRT <br> - Airport employment allocation | Norfolk County Council | 13 <br> (spend after 2016) | Norfolk County Council | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \text { to } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | NATS |
| T2b | Postwick Park and Ride | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> - Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk County Council | 6 | Remaining Growth Point funding / CIL | 2021 <br> (earliest possible delivery 2015) | NATS |
| T11 | Bus improvements via Salhouse Road and Gurney Road | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 2.6 | Rackheath PoD / Norfolk County Council / CIL | 2021 | NATS |
| T12 | Bus Rapid Transit via Norwich airport A140 to City centre | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City Council | 2.5 | Norfolk County Council/ DfT /CIL | 2021 | NATS |
| T15 | Completion of local | Old Catton, Sprowston, | Developer | 2.5 | Developer | 2021 | NATS |


|  | Development Link Road | Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Lead |  | Funded |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infrastructure Framework: Priority 1 projects 2021-2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The table below lists the Priority 1 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The categories of infrastructure that are viewed as priority 1 are Transport, Green Infrastructure (relating to the Habitats Regulations Assessment) and Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SP1 | Sewerage upgrade - north east trunk sewer | Overall scale of growth in particular: <br> Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | AW/ Developer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { See } \\ & 2008- \\ & 16 \\ & \text { period } \end{aligned}$ | AW provision/ Developer | in phases up to 2026 | Water Cycle Study Stage 2 B |
| Electricity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U4 | New primary substation on new site (Sprowston / Rackheath) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrews growth triangle | UK Power Networks | 4.3 | UKPN / CIL | 2026 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| Green Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GI 16 | Retention and re-creation of Mousehold Heath to the surrounding countryside | Overall scale of growth in particular Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle |  | 0.033 | Local authority/ CIL/ On-site design | 2026 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| GI 17 | Broads Buffer Zone | Overall scale of growth in particular Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle |  | 0.21 | Local authority/CIL /Developer land contributions | 2026 | Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T11 | Bus improvements via Salhouse Road and Gurney Road | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath, and Thorpe St Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk County Council/ Norwich City | 0.6 | Rackheath <br> PoD / Norfolk <br> County <br> Council/ DfT | 2026 | NATS |


|  |  |  | Council |  | /CIL |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T12 | Bus Rapid Transit via Norwich <br> airport A140 to City centre | Old Catton, Sprowston, <br> Rackheath, and Thorpe St <br> Andrew Growth triangle | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council/ <br> Norwich City <br> Council | 7.5 | Norfolk County <br> Council/ DfT <br> /CIL | 2026 |
| Total |  |  |  | 256.9 |  |  |


| Infrastructure Framework: Priority 2 projects 2008-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The table below lists the Priority 2 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The three categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 2 are Education, Healthcare and Green Infrastructure The Health Authority will take a flexible approach to the provision of hospital beds. Locations will be determined by the Health Authority at a later date. It is presumed funding will come through the AMP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: All schools in the period 2008-16 are expected to be covered by S106 or are covered by Children's Services funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infrastructure Framework: Priority 2 projects 2016-2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED1 | 60 place pre-school | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| ED1 | 60 place pre-school (colocation with community space) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2021 | Infr Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| ED1 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2021 | Infr Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| ED1 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2021 | Infr Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| ED9 | 1400 secondary school with 280 sixth form places colocated with 4 x indoor sports courts Phase 1 | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 13 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2021 | Infr Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| HC4 | Primary Care Centre (5 GPs and 4 Dentists) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Health Authority | 3.35 | Health Authority | 2021 | Infr Needs and Funding Study 2009 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED2 | 60 place pre-school | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 0.54 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2026 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| ED2 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| ED2 | 2FE primary with integrated 60 place nursery | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 5.14 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| ED9 | 1400 secondary school with 280 sixth form places colocated with 4 x indoor sports courts phase 2 | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Norfolk County Council | 13 | Childrens Services/CIL | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| HC5 | Primary Care Centre (5 GPs and 4 Dentists) | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Health Authority | 3.35 | Health Authority | 2026 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |


| Infrastructure Framework: Priority 3 projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The table below lists the Priority 3 infrastructure requirements to deliver the Joint Core Strategy. The 2 categories of infrastructure that are viewed as Priority 3 are Community facilities and Community services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community facilities: 2013-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF1 | Community facilities <br> 300 sq metres community space | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Broadland District Council | 0.54 | Private companies / Developers / Local authorities/ CIL | 2016 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| Community facilities: 20016-2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF1 | Community facilities <br> 600 sq metres community space | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Broadland District Council | 1.44 | Private companies / Developers / Local authorities/ CIL | 2021 | Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study 2009 |
| Community facilities: 2021-2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF 1 | Community facilities 300sq metres of community space plus 4 indoor sports courts | Old Catton, Sprowston, Rackheath and Thorpe St Andrew Growth Triangle | Broadland District Council | 2.54 | Private companies / Developers / Local authorities/ CIL | 2026 | Infrastructure <br> Needs and Funding Study 2009 |

## MM8

## Appendix 8 - Monitoring framework

Spatial Planning Objective 1: To minimise the contributors to climate change and address its impact.

Policy: 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions per capita <br> (LAA indicator NI 186, SA Indicator ENV6 \& local indicator 4.1) | Householders, business and transport | LAA target for GNDP area | DEFRA |
| Renewable energy capacity installed by type (CLG Core Output Indicator E3) | LA Environmental Services | Year on year percentage increase | LPA AMRs |
| Decentralised and renewable or low carbon energy sources installed in developments (Local) | LA Environmental Services | Year on year percentage increase | LPA AMRs |
| Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on either flood defence grounds or water quality (CLG Core Output Indicator E1) | Environment Agency/LPAs | Zero | LPA AMRs |
| All new housing schemes to reach Code for Sustainable Homes level 4 for water on adoption and housing schemes of a minimum of 500 dwellings to reach level 6 for water by 2015 | Developers / LPAs | All new housing schemes to reach Code for Sustainable Homes level 4 for water on adoption and housing schemes of a minimum of 500 dwellings to reach level 6 for water by 2015 | LPAs / Building Control |
| Percentage of household waste that is reused recycled and composted (indicator NI 192 \& SA Indicator ENV9) | LAs | Year on year increase in total | LAs information published by DEFRA |
| Percentage of residents who travel to work: <br> a) by private motor vehicle; <br> b) by public transport; <br> c) by foot or cycle; and <br> d) work at or mainly at home. | Norfolk County Council <br> Developers / LPAs | Decrease in a) and increase in b), c) and d) over plan period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONS } \\ & \text { (Census) } \end{aligned}$ |

Spatial Planning Objective 2: To allocate enough land for housing, and affordable housing, in the most sustainable settlements.

Policy: $4,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,19,20,21$

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Housing supply <br> (CLG Core Output indicators <br> H.2 a-d) | LPAs \& Developers | Meet or exceed annual <br> trajectory requirements | LPAs |
| Affordable housing <br> completions <br> (CLG Core Output Indicator <br> H5) | LPAs, Housing <br> Authorities, RSLs, <br> Developers \& Homes <br> and Communities <br> Agency | $33 \%$ of all developments <br> on new allocations, or <br> above qualifying <br> threshold where <br> permission is first granted <br> after adoption of this <br> strategy | LPAs |
| House completions by <br> bedroom number, based on <br> the proportions set out in the <br> most recent Sub-regional <br> Housing Market Assessment | LPAs, Housing <br>  <br> Developers | Figures within 10\% <br> tolerance of the Housing <br> Market Assessment <br> requirements | LPAs |
| Housing to meet the needs <br> of older people, defined as a <br> key group in the housing <br> market assessment. <br> Assessed by satisfaction of <br> people over 65 With both <br> home and neighbourhood <br> (indicator N I 138) | Local authorities, <br> RSLs, private <br> developers | Increasing satisfaction <br> recorded at successive <br> biennial surveys | Audit <br> Commission |
| Provision of Gypsy and <br> Traveller pitches to meet the <br> RSS review requirements | LPAs, RSLs | 58 pitches between 2006 <br> -2011 and future <br> provision equivalent to a <br> $3 \%$ annual growth by <br> 2021 | LPAs |
| NI 175 Access to services <br> and facilities by public <br> transport, walking and <br> cycling similar to LTP1 <br> indicator | Norfolk County Council | Increase at each survey | Norfolk <br> County <br> Council |
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| Percentage of completions scoring good or very good in Building for Life criteria (CLG core output indicator H6) | LPAs, Developers, CABE | All approved after adoption to meet Code for Sustainable Homes level 4.All approved after 2015 to meet Code for Sustainable Homes level 6 | LPAs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delivery of sites for housing within Broadland part of the NPA | LPAs/Developers | No significant (sites for 1000 homes or more) shortfall in delivery of sufficient sites to enable delivery of trajectory set out in App 6a by 2026 | LPAs |

Spatial Planning Objective 3: To promote economic growth and diversity and provide a wide range of jobs To allocate enough land for housing, and affordable housing, in the most sustainable settlements.

Policy: 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amount of land developed <br> for employment by type <br> (CLG Core Output Indicator <br> BD1) | LPAs, Developers | 118 ha B1 \& 111ha B2 / <br> B8 2007 to 2026 (split <br> into five year tranches) | LPAs |
| Annual count of jobs by ABI <br> across the Plan area | Public \& private sector <br> employers, Shaping <br> Norfolk's Future, EEDA | Measure against the <br> annualised RSS figure <br> $(1,750 /$ year) | NOMIS |
| New business registration <br> rate <br> (Indicator N. I. 171 -local <br> indicator 1.4) | Public \& private sector <br> employers, Shaping <br> Norfolk's Future, EEDA | Meet LAA target | ONS |
| The percentage of small <br> businesses in the area <br> showing employment growth <br> (Indicator N I 172) | Public and private <br> sector employers, <br> Shaping Norfolk's <br> Future, EEDA | Meet Greater Norwich <br> Economic <br> Strategy target | ONS |
| Percentage of workforce <br> employed in higher <br> occupations ( Managers and <br> Senior Officials, Professional | Public and private <br> sector employers, <br> Shaping Norfolk's <br> Future, EEDA <br> LPcupations and Associate <br> LPofessional and Technical <br> Occupations) | Meet Greater Norwich Centre <br> Economic <br> Strategy target <br> Partnership | ONS |
| National retail ranking for <br> Norwich <br> (Local Indicator) | Shaping Norfolk's <br> Future, City Centre <br> Management <br> Partnership | Maintain national top 10 <br> ranking | Average of <br> current |
| published |  |  |  |
| indices |  |  |  |
| [Experian |  |  |  |
| Goad, CACI |  |  |  |
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| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of completed town centre uses in identified centres and strategic growth locations <br> (Based on CLG Core Output Indicator BD4) | LPAs \& Developers | 20,000 sq m comparison goods floorspace in the City Centre 2007 to 2016 (split into five year tranches) <br> Office space to be completed 2007 to 2026: <br> - 100,000 square metres in Norwich city centre <br> - 100,000 square metres Norwich Research Park <br> - 50,000 square metres Broadland Business Park <br> - 50,000 square metres elsewhere <br> [derived from Arup report section 25.3] | LPAs |
| Delivery of sites for employment within Broadland part of the NPA | LPAs/Developers | No significant (10ha of land or more) shortfall in delivery of sufficient sites to enable delivery of 25 ha of employment land at Rackheath by 2026 | LPAs |
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Spatial Planning Objective 4: To promote regeneration and reduce deprivation

Policy: 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of Super Output <br> Areas in national most <br> deprived 20\% | LPAs | Reduction by 50\% in plan <br> period (28 out of 242 in <br> 2007) | Index of <br> Multiple <br> deprivation |
| Percentage of previously <br> developed land vacant for <br> more than 5 years <br> (NI 170) | Developers/LAs | Year on year reduction | CLG using <br> information <br> from HCA |
| Unfit housing - <br> percentage of overall <br> housing stock not meeting | $\underline{\text { LAs }}$ | $\underline{\text { Decrease over plan }}$ | $\underline{\text { period }}$ |
| $\underline{\text { "Decent Homes Standard" }}$ |  |  |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- |


| Spatial Planning Objective 5: To allow people to develop to their full potential by providing educational facilities to meet the needs of existing and future populations, while reducing the need to travel. <br> Policy: 7, 10, 11, 12, 20 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| School leaver qualifications\% of school leavers with 5 or more GCSEs at $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ grades including Maths and English (indicator N. I. a 75, local indicator 2.7 SA Indicator SOC3) | NCC Children's Services | Year on year increase (on 2007 value of $53 \%$ in Greater Norwich) | Norfolk Insight |
| 16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, training or employment (NEET), (indicator NI 117 - local indicator 2.3) | Learning \& Skills Council, Norfolk Connexions | Year on year reduction compared with 2006 value of $6 \%$ | Norfolk Insight |
| Proportion of the population aged 19-64 (male) and 19 - 59 (female) qualified to NVQ level 2 or higher (indicator NI 163 local indicator 1.2) (Norfolk wide) | Learning and Skills Council | As defined in local indicator | LAA |
| Proportion of the population aged 19-64 (male) and 19 - 59 (female) qualified to NVQ level 4 or higher (indicator NI 165) (Norfolk wide) | Learning \& Skills Council | Annual increase | Department for Innovation University and Skills |
| Achievement of at least 78 points across in the Early Years Foundation Stage, with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal Social and Emotional Development and Communication, Language and Literacy (indicator N.I. 72, local indicator 2.4) (Norfolk Wide) | NCC Children's Services | As defined in local indicator | DCSF based on County Council Returns |
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Spatial Planning Objective 6: To make sure people have ready access to services.
Policy: 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Indicator (\& type) } & \text { Main Agencies } & \text { Targets } & \text { Source } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { New Retail } \\ \text { development to be } \\ \text { completed in line } \\ \text { with JCS City Centre } \\ \text { Policy }\end{array} & \text { LPAs \& Developers } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 20,000 sq m } \\ \text { comparison goods } \\ \text { floorspace in the City } \\ \text { Centre 2007 to 2016 } \\ \text { (split into five year } \\ \text { tranches) }\end{array} & \text { LPAs } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { National retail } \\ \text { ranking for Norwich } \\ \text { (Local Indicator) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { LPAs, City Centre } \\ \text { Management } \\ \text { Partnership }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Maintain national top } \\ 10 \text { ranking }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Average of current } \\ \text { published indices } \\ \text { [Experian Goad, }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Percentage of units } \\ \text { vacant in defined } \\ \text { primary shopping } \\ \text { areas. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { City Centre } \\ \text { Management } \\ \text { Partnership and local } \\ \text { planning authorities }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Not more than 5\% } \\ \text { vacant }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Average of current } \\ \text { published indices } \\ \text { [Experian Goad, }\end{array} \\ \text { CACI etc] } \\ \text { LPAs }\end{array}\right]$
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Spatial Planning Objective 7: To enhance transport provision to meet the needs of existing and future populations while reducing travel need and impact

Policy: 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access to local services and facilities by public transport, walking and cycling (Indicator NI 175 and local transport plan indicator LTP 1) | Norfolk County Council | Year on year increase | Norfolk County Council |
| East of England sustainability checklist 'Transport' questions: (www.eastofenglandchecklist. co.uk/checklist/category/4) | Developers | All developments of including more than 100 homes to demonstrate 'good' standards. | Developers <br> / LPAs |
| Percentage of residents who travel to work by foot or cycle in Norwich Policy Area (Part of SA Indicator SOC8) | Norfolk County Council | Year on year increase (new baseline to be established) | Norfolk County Council |
| Percentage of residents who travel to work: <br> a) by private motor vehicle; <br> b) by public transport; <br> c) by foot or cycle; and <br> d) work at or mainly at home. | ```Norfolk County Council l Developers / LPAs``` | Decrease in a) and increase in b), c) and d) over plan period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONS } \\ & \text { (Census) } \end{aligned}$ |
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Spatial Planning Objective 8: To positively protect and enhance the individual character and culture of the area.

Policy: 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of previously <br> developed land vacant for <br> more than 5 years <br> (NI 170) | Developers/LAs | Year on year reduction | CLG |
| Percentage of Conservation <br> areas with Appraisals | LPAs | Year on year reduction | LPAs |
| New residential developments <br> of 10 or more units achieving <br> silver standard against <br> Building for Life criteria | LPAs | $100 \%$ | LPAs |
| Heritage at risk - number and <br> percentage of: | LPAs | Year on year reduction | LPAs |
| a) Listed Buildings; and <br> b) Scheduled Ancient |  |  |  |
| Monuments <br> on Buildings at Risk Register |  |  |  |

Spatial Planning Objective 9: To protect, manage and enhance the natural, built and historic environment, including key landscapes, natural resources and areas of natural habitat or nature conservation value.

Policy: 1, 3, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change in areas of local <br> biodiversity importance <br> (CLG Core Output Indicator E2, <br> indicator NI 197) | Norfolk <br> Biodiversity <br> Partnership | Year on year <br> improvements | LPAs AMRs |
| Percentage of river lengths <br> assessed as <br> a) good biological quality <br> b) good chemical quality <br> (SA Indicator ENV2) | Environment <br> Agency | Above the national <br> standard of 95\% <br> 'good' | Environment <br> Agency |
| Number of designated Air Quality <br> Management Areas (AQMAs) <br> (SA Indicator ENV3) | LA <br> Environmental <br> Services | No AQMAs |  |
| Percentage of SSSIs in: <br> a) favourable condition <br> b) unfavourable recovering <br> c) unfavourable no change <br> d) unfavourable declining <br> e) destroyed/ part destroyed <br> (CLG Core Output Indicator E.2, <br> SA Indicator ENV4) | Natural England | $95 \%$ of SSSIs in <br> 'favourable' or <br> 'unfavourable <br> recovering' <br> condition | Natural England |
| Number of listed Buildings lost / <br> demolished | LPAs |  |  |
| Number of Tree Preservation <br> Orders (TPOs) where trees are lost <br> though development <br> (based on SA Indicator ENV5) | LPAs | zero |  |
| Percentage of new and converted <br> dwellings on Previously Developed <br> Land <br> (CLG Core Output Indicator H.3) |  <br> Developers | $25 \%$ |  |
| Heritage at risk - number and <br> percentage of: | LPAs | zero |  |
| a) Listed Buildings; and |  |  |  |
| b) Scheduled Ancient Monuments |  |  |  |
| on Buildings at Risk Register |  |  |  |\(~\left(\begin{array}{ll}Year on year \& LPAs <br>

\hline\end{array}\right.\)
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Spatial Planning Objective 10: To be a place where people feel safe in their communities
Policy: 2, 7, 10, 20

| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dealing with local concerns <br> about anti-social behaviour <br> and crime by the local council <br> and police <br> (NI 21, local indicator 6.3) | Local community safety <br> partnerships | Year on year increase in <br> satisfaction | Audit <br> Commission |
| Reduction in overall crime <br> (Local Indicator 6.11) | Local community safety <br> partnerships | Year on year decrease <br> target set by LAA | Local Area <br> Agreement |
| Road Safety: Number of <br> people killed or seriously <br> injured in road traffic | Norfolk County Council | GNDP-wide targets: <br> accidents <br> (NI 47, local indicator 6.6) | 2008-187 <br> $2010-178$ <br> (expressed as a three <br> year rolling average) |
| Improving community pride: <br> Civic participation in the local <br> area <br> (NI 3) | LAA (information to be <br> collected every 2 <br> years, by survey) | Increased percentage at <br> each survey | Audit <br> Commission |


| Spatial Planning Objective 11: To encourage the development of healthy and active lifestyles <br> Policy: 2, 6, 10, 20 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| Percentage of the population receiving Incapacity Benefit (SA Indicator SOC2) | Primary Care Trust | No increase on 2007 level of $6.6 \%$ in spite of predicted ageing population | Norfolk Insight |
| Healthy life expectancy at age 65 (NI 137) | Local authorities for the LAA | Increase at each survey | Audit Commission |
| Access to services and facilities by public transport, walking and cycling similar to LTP1 indicator (NI 175) | Norfolk County Council | Increase at each survey | Norfolk County Council |
| Accessibility of leisure and recreation facilities based on Sport England Active Places Power website (Local indicator) | Norfolk County Council <br> Sport England, Local Authorities \& Service Providers | Trajectory to reduce by half the percentage of wards with less than the East of England Average Personal share of access to Sports Halls (2009 base $=67 \%$ ) swimming pools (65\%) and indoor bowls (12\%) | Active Places Power website |
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Spatial Planning Objective 12: To involve as many people as possible in new planning policy
Policy: 10, 20

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Indicator (\& type) | Main Agencies | Targets | Source |
| Statement of Community <br> Involvement / Engagement | LPAs \& Developers | Statement of compliance <br> accepted | LPAs' <br> Annual <br> Monitoring <br> reports |
| Recognised participatory <br> design process for major <br> growth locations | LPAs \& Developers | Used for all major growth <br> locations - over 500 <br> dwellings | LPAs |

