| Settlement Name:         | Brundall (Postwick with Witton)  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Settlement<br>Hierarchy: | Brundall is identified as a Key Service Centre in the Greater<br>Norwich Local Plan. Services include a primary school,<br>library, doctors surgery, a food store, and public transport.<br>For the purposes of the plan Brundall is clustered with<br>Postwick with Witton. Postwick with Witton is a small village<br>with few facilities in its own right, although the southern<br>quarter of the Broadland Business Park and the Park & Ride<br>are within Postwick parish. |
|                          | The Brundall Neighbourhood Plan was 'made' in May 2016<br>and covers the period to 2026. The vision for the Brundall<br>Neighbourhood Plan is for Brundall to remain a high-quality<br>rural village surrounded by tranquil open countryside and the<br>Broads landscape where people want to live, visit, work and<br>engage with a vibrant and thriving community.   |
|                          | At the base date of the plan there are no carried forward allocations in Brundall or Postwick with Witton but there are 175 dwellings with planning permission.  |
|                          | Early work in the 'Towards a Strategy' document identifies<br>that 400-600 dwellings in total should be provided between<br>all the Key Service Centres over the lifetime of the plan.<br>The level of existing commitment in Brundall suggests very<br>little additional growth in the settlement, although this site<br>assessment booklet looks in detail at the sites promoted to<br>determine if any are suitable for allocation.   |

# PART 1 - ASSESSMENTS OF SITES INCLUDED IN THE DRAFT LOCAL PLAN REGULATION 18C CONSULTATION (JANUARY – MARCH 2020)

STAGE 1 – COMPLETE LIST OF SITES PROMOTED IN THE SETTLEMENT

# LIST OF SITES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RESIDENTIAL/MIXED USE ALLOCATION (0.5 HECTARES OR LARGER)

| Address                          | Site Reference | Area (ha) | Proposal   |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--|
|                                  | Brund          | all       |  |
| Land at Yarmouth Rd              | GNLP0254       | 6.62      | Residential (unspecified number)   |
| Land west of<br>Maurecourt Drive | GNLP0295       | 12.23     | Approx. 387 dwellings  |
| Yarmouth Road and<br>Berryfield  | GNLP0325       | 8.86      | Up to192 dwellings and<br>public open space.<br>Retail supermarket and<br>cark parking spaces. |

| Land north of<br>Brecklands Road  | GNLP0352     | 14.67    | Residential (unspecified<br>number) including self-<br>build and affordable<br>housing with parkland<br>and enhanced public<br>access to Run Dike |
|---|--------------|----------|---|
| Land north of Postwick<br>Lane/West of<br>Holmesdale Road                               | GNLP0375     | 8.91     | Approx. 200-250<br>dwellings, open space<br>and possible<br>community facilities  |
| Land north of Links<br>Avenue   | GNLP0436     | 17.17    | Up to 250 dwellings<br>plus open space,<br>recreation and leisure<br>uses   |
|   | Postwick wit | h Witton |   |
| Land west of Oaks<br>Lane, Postwick (Site<br>A1)  | GNLP0369     | 1.47     | 32-48 dwellings, land<br>for primary school and<br>associated facilities  |
| Land west of Oaks<br>Lane, Postwick (Site<br>A2)  | GNLP0370     | 6.28     | 75-115 dwellings, land<br>for primary school and<br>associated facilities   |
| Land north of the A47,<br>North and East of<br>Witton Hall and west of<br>Dawlings Wood | GNLP0571     | 65.48    | New village with access<br>off A47 and links to<br>Little Plumstead   |
| East of Witton Lane   | GNLP3009     | 0.77     | Residential<br>development, 4-5<br>dwellings  |
| Total area of land  |              | 142.46   |   |

# LIST OF SITES TO BE CONSIDERED AS SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY EXTENSIONS (SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY PROPOSALS AND SITES LESS THAN 0.5 HECTARES)

| Address             | Site Reference | Area (ha) | Proposal        |  |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|--|
|                     | Brund          | all       |                 |  |
| 38 Strumpshaw Road  | GNLP2177       | 0.28      | 6 dwellings     |  |
|                     | Postwick wit   | h Witton  |                 |  |
| East of Witton Road | GNLPSL3003     | 0.24      | Single dwelling |  |

(Sites of less than 0.5ha are not considered suitable for allocation and therefore have not been assessed in this booklet. These sites will be considered as part of a reappraisal of settlement boundaries to be published with the Regulation 19 Submission version of the plan).

# LIST OF SITES SUBMITTED FOR OTHER USES

| Address                                       | Site Reference | Area (ha) | Proposal   |
|---|----------------|-----------|--|
|   | Brunda         | · · · /   |  |
| East of Brundall<br>Memorial Hall             | GNLP2069       | 8.67      | Recreation and leisure   |
|   | Postwick wit   | h Witton  |  |
| Land south of A1042<br>Yarmouth Road (Site B) | GNLP0371       | 3.08      | Commercial<br>development<br>unspecified (possibly<br>ranging from<br>restaurant/café, public<br>house, takeaway and/or<br>non-residential<br>institutions such as<br>crèche or day nursery) |
| Land adj. Postwick<br>Interchange             | GNLP3029       | 3.12      | Mixed use including leisure, roadside, retail  |
| Land north of Yarmouth<br>Road                | GNLP3049       | 1.71      | Employment   |

(Sites submitted for other uses are considered in separate 'Non-Residential' Site Assessment booklets and therefore have not been assessed in this booklet).

# STAGE 2 – HELAA COMPARISON TABLE

# RESIDENTIAL/MIXED USE

|                   |                      |                    |                    |                             |                                    |            | Categ                    | ories                     |                         |                                |                         |                   |                   |   |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|
|                   | Site access          | Access to services | Utilities Capacity | Utilities<br>Infrastructure | Contamination/<br>ground stability | Flood Risk | Market<br>attractiveness | Significant<br>landscapes | Sensitive<br>townscapes | Biodiversity &<br>Geodiversity | Historic<br>environment | Open Space and Gl | Transport & Roads | Compatibility with<br>neighbouring uses |
| Site<br>Reference |                      |                    |                    |                             |                                    |            |                          |                           |                         |                                |                         |                   |                   |   |
|                   |                      |                    |                    |                             |                                    | Bru        | ndall                    |                           |                         |                                |                         |                   |                   |   |
| GNLP0254          | Amber                | Green              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Amber      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Green                   | Green             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
| GNLP0295          | Amber                | Amber              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Amber      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Green                   | Green             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
| GNLP0325          | Amber                | Green              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Green      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Green                   | Amber             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
| GNLP0352          | Amber                | Green              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Red        | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Amber                   | Green             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
| GNLP0375          | Amber                | Green              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Amber      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Green                   | Green             | Amber             | Green                                   |
| GNLP0436          | Amber                | Green              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Amber      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Green                   | Amber             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
|                   | Postwick with Witton |                    |                    |                             |                                    |            |                          |                           |                         |                                |                         |                   |                   |   |
| GNLP0369          | Amber                | Amber              | Amber              | Amber                       | Green                              | Green      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Amber                   | Green             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
| GNLP0370          | Amber                | Amber              | Amber              | Amber                       | Green                              | Green      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Green                          | Amber                   | Green             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
| GNLP0571          | Green                | Amber              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Green      | Green                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Amber                          | Amber                   | Green             | Amber             | Amber                                   |
| GNLP3009          | Amber                | Amber              | Amber              | Green                       | Green                              | Green      | Amber                    | Amber                     | Amber                   | Amber                          | Amber                   | Amber             | Amber             | Amber                                   |

# STAGE 3 – SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM THE REGULATION 18 STAGE A & B CONSULTATIONS

| Site      | Comments  |
|-----------|---|
| Reference |   |
|           | Brundall  |
| GNLP0254  | <b>General comments</b><br>Objections raised concerns regarding effect on recently built or<br>committed developments across Blofield and Brundall. Other<br>issues include infrastructure already struggling to cope, loss of<br>agricultural land, local economy concerns, traffic congestion and<br>concerns over Blofield and Brundall merging.   |
|           | <b>Brundall Parish Council comments</b><br>Brundall can't accommodate any further development up to 2036<br>based on existing dwellings and permissions already granted.<br>Already had 150 (The Pastures) and 44 (Mallards) and 6 infill<br>homes built during the current Local Plan which stated only 50<br>houses and the village has recently had a further 155 and 170<br>houses pending.   |
| GNLP0295  | <b>General comments</b><br>Two comments in support of site. Site is promoted as being<br>available and deliverable for residential development of<br>approximately 387 dwellings. Note: site plan GNLP0295 as shown<br>on the GNLP map is incorrect. Lanpro agree with the conclusion of<br>the HELAA in that the site is SUITABLE for allocation for<br>residential use. The site remains available and deliverable for<br>residential development within the early stages of the draft GNLP<br>plan period.   |
|           | Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development, lack<br>of infrastructure, loss of agricultural land, site does not improve for<br>develop local economy, overstretched services and location<br>bringing Brundall too close to Norwich.   |
|           | <b>Brundall Parish Council comments</b><br>Brundall can't accommodate any further development up to 2036<br>based on existing dwellings and permissions already granted. We<br>already have had 150 (The Pastures) and 44 (Mallards) and 6 infill<br>houses built during the current Local Plan which stated only 50<br>houses and the village has recently had approval for a further 155<br>houses (Brooms site). I.e. 355+ plus a pending application for 170<br>houses. We believe this is proportionate with what is the overall<br>requirement within the GNLP. |
| GNLP0325  | Postwick with Witton Parish Council comments<br>We object to any development on this site.<br>General comments  |
|           | Contra comments   |

|          | Objections raised concerns regarding loss of agricultural land and lack of services and infrastructure to support such as site.  |
|----------|--|
|          | The site has already been approved. 'What we now look to be<br>having instead of recreational space voted for in the<br>neighbourhood plan and allocated by Broadland in its land<br>allocation is 155 houses, supermarket, gym and a full size artificial<br>(with 3m high fence) football pitch that will be not only an eyesore<br>but under used. It was apparent that objections from residents<br>carried no weight whatsoever.'   |
|          | <b>Brundall Parish Council comments</b><br>Objection raised. Keep the status quo, i.e. as recreation as per our<br>neighbourhood plan policies.  |
| GNLP0352 | <b>General comments</b><br>Comments submitted in support of site. The concept plan<br>illustrates a high quality, landscape-led development that is<br>sensitive to its setting, with no constraints that would prevent its<br>delivery. In short, the scheme comprises sustainable development,<br>with a range of community benefits including a country park and<br>enhanced footpath links, as well as assisted living accommodation<br>and bungalows to help meet the needs of an ageing population,<br>which is wholly appropriate for allocation.   |
|          | As an area of land assuming it does not cause problem regarding<br>dampness and drainage it is a good place to build, it will have less<br>impact on the character of the village as it is set back from the<br>street, traffic can exit via Blofield Road and make a choice as to<br>where they will join the A47.  |
|          | Objections raised concerns regarding previously being told in 2013 the land would not be consulted on for further development.   |
|          | Objections raised concerns regarding removal of scenic land, area<br>is already over-subscribed, lack of services as well as being able<br>cope with the population density, removal of valuable agricultural<br>land, destruction wildlife habitats and contravenes the Brundall<br>Neighbourhood Plan.   |
|          | <b>Brundall Parish Council comments</b><br>Brundall can't accommodate any further development up to 2036<br>based on existing dwellings and permissions already granted. We<br>already have had 150 (The Pastures) and 44 (Mallards) and 6 infill<br>houses built during the current Local Plan which stated only 50<br>houses and the village has recently had approval for a further 155<br>houses (Brooms site). I.e. 355+ plus a pending application for 170<br>houses. We believe this is proportionate with what is the overall<br>requirement within the GNLP. We would also like to add that the |

|          | site if allocated for housing would conflict with our "Important Views" neighbourhood plan policy.   |
|----------|--|
| GNLP0375 | <b>General comments</b><br>Objections raised concerns regarding scale of development,<br>struggling infrastructure, road safety, loss of agricultural land and<br>traffic congestion.  |
|          | One comment in support of site. This parcel of land is ideal for<br>development if suitable roads into and out of the site can be<br>achieved and is within walking distance of shops, chemist etc<br>situated to the west of Cucumber Lane. I would suggest efforts<br>should be made to take the traffic onto Postwick Lane to alleviate<br>traffic going to the Cucumber Lane roundabout.   |
|          | <b>Brundall Parish Council comments</b><br>Brundall can't accommodate any further development up to 2036<br>based on existing dwellings and permissions already granted. We<br>already have had 150 (The Pastures) and 44 (Mallards) and 6 infill<br>houses built during the current Local Plan which stated only 50<br>houses and the village has recently had approval for a further 155<br>houses (Brooms site). I.e. 355+ plus a pending application for 170<br>houses. We believe this is proportionate with what is the overall<br>requirement within the GNLP.                          |
| GNLP0436 | <b>General comments</b><br>Comments made in support of site. Some of the issues that have<br>been highlighted in the settlement summary in respect of this site<br>have also been raised in relation to the planning application, these<br>matters have been and are being addressed. It is noted that the<br>GNLP HELAA undertaken for this site indicates that the site is<br>'suitable' for the purposes of land availability assessment.   |
|          | Comment submitted in support of site. The proposal submitted<br>gives Brundall much needed recreational space and in keeping<br>with the neighbourhood plan, most of the residents who signed the<br>petition against this development were against any form of new<br>building, but it has been shown by the recent approval of the<br>GNLP0325 site that the planning committee are powerless to stop<br>approval. This site is better thought out and will have less impact<br>on the traffic situation at the Cucumber Lane roundabout than that<br>already approved north of Berryfields. |
|          | Objections raised concerns regarding road safety, traffic<br>congestion, overstretched services, loss of scenic & historic routes<br>for walkers, site is adjacent to Witton Run (a significant<br>geomorphological feature), loss of agricultural land and it should<br>be kept for recreation as it is at the centre of the village.   |
|          | Brundall Parish Council comments   |

| We would like for the full site to be allocated for recreation only |
|---|
| due to the chronic shortage of land for recreational purposes       |

|          | Postwick with Witton   |
|----------|--|
| GNLP0369 | Postwick with Witton Parish Council comments   |
|          | 48 dwellings are inappropriate as there is already approval for 12   |
|          | on the site. Oaks Lane would suffer from traffic issues due to 50%   |
|          | increase in housing.   |
| GNLP0370 | Broads Authority comments  |
|          | Discussions on the proximity to the Broads are welcomed. Dark  |
|          | skies. Potential significant visual impact.  |
| GNLP0571 | General comments   |
|          | If Brundall gets less development because of this new village then   |
|          | it is good. Designers should achieve a better way for the traffic to   |
|          | join the A47 and provide services etc.   |
|          |  |
|          | The land should remain as good quality agricultural land. If   |
|          | approved, Brundall, Blofield, Great and Little Plumstead would   |
|          | become a dormitory town.   |
|          |  |
|          | Cucumber Lane is already at full capacity and causing issues.  |
|          | There is no infrastructure to support links to Little Plumstead. The   |
|          | allocation does not comply with Policy 1 of Plumstead  |
|          | Neighbourhood Plan. Roundabout at Witton on A47 is already bad   |
|          | at peak times. Designers should achieve a better way for traffic to  |
|          | join the A47. There is wildlife in the area that would need  |
|          | protection. Services would need to be provided.  |
|          |  |
|          | Norfolk Wildlife Trust comments  |
|          | Witton Run is a key GI corridor linking to Broads National Park and  |
|          | it is essential that it is recognised if the GI strategy is to have any  |
|          | value.   |
|          | Postwick and Witton Parish Council comments  |
|          |  |
|          | We object to this proposal due to Cucumber Lane roundabout   |
|          | already at full capacity and causing traffic flow issues. There is   |
|          | already much concern about road safety. Also, there is   |
|          | considerable development in Blofield and Brundall as well as   |
|          | suggested sites in the same area.  |
|          | Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council comments   |
|          | The parish council objects to this site allocation. In particular the  |
|          | mention of "links" to Little Plumstead, where there is no  |
|          | ,  |
|          | infrastructure to support such a link to a major development. The road access to Little Plumstead is not suitable for a high volume of |
|          | traffic. The allocation also does not comply with Policy 1 of our  |
|          | neighbourhood plan.  |
|          |  |
|          |  |

| GNLP3009 | No comments available as submitted as site submitted during |
|----------|---|
|          | stage B consultation.                                       |

# STAGE 4 – DISCUSSION OF SUBMITTED SITES

In this section sites are assessed in order to establish whether they are suitable for allocation. For the purposes of Sustainability Appraisal, suitable sites are those which are considered to be Reasonable Alternatives. Sites not considered suitable for allocation are not realistic options and therefore are not considered to be reasonable alternatives. The discussion below outlines the reasons why a site has been deemed suitable or unsuitable for allocation. By association this is also the outline of the reasons why a site was deemed to be a reasonable or unreasonable alternative.

A range of factors have been taken into account to establish whether a site should, or should not, be considered suitable for allocation. These factors include: impact on heritage and landscape; impact on the form and character of the settlement; relationship to services and facilities; environmental concerns, including flood risk; and, in particular, a safe walking route to a primary school. Sites which do not have a safe walking route to school, or where a safe walking route cannot be created will not be considered suitable for allocation.

Conclusions in regard to a sites performance against the relevant factors have also been informed by the outcomes of the HELAA, as set out under stage 2, consultation responses received, as summarised in stage 3, and other relevant evidence

Ten sites (six in Brundall and four in Postwick) have been promoted for residential development in Brundall and Postwick with Witton on sites 0.5ha or larger totalling 142.46 ha. The 'Towards a Strategy' document suggests little additional growth in Brundall given the existing commitment and recent level of house building but it is important to assess the sites put forward nonetheless to see if there may be any potential for allocation.

# <u>Brundall</u>

All six sites promoted in Brundall are considered to be reasonable alternatives for further consideration at this stage:

# GNLP0254 and 0325

At the north of the settlement and east of Cucumber Lane, GNLP0325 and GNLP0254 are overlapping and adjacent parcels of land north of Berryfields and south of the A47. Much of this land is within the boundary of a planning consent for 155 homes and a supermarket (ref: 20161483). The land outside the boundary of consent 20161483 is the eastern portion of GNLP0254. On the eastern side of GNLP0254 the constraints in terms of landscape are greater but nevertheless the land represents a reasonable alternative.

# <u>GNLP0295</u>

West of Cucumber Lane at the north of Brundall, GNLP0295 is a 12 ha site west of Maurecourt Drive. Access appears to rely upon the recently completed Persimmon Homes scheme (ref: 20121638) for 150 dwellings. Assuming access can be achieved to Cucumber Lane GNLP0295 is a reasonable alternative. Constraints are utilities capacity, surface water flood risk, landscape and townscape considerations, and to attenuate noise from the A47; but the constraints are deemed within the bounds of mitigation.

# GNLP0352

At the south-east of Brundall, GNLP0352 is a 14 ha site proposed for residential development and open space. GNLP0352 is adjacent to the Witton Run, presenting landscape and townscape constraints. There are constraints as well to do with access, as well heritage matters to do with impact on the setting of the Grade I St Andrew & St Peter Church and Grade I St Michael and All Angels Church. The periphery of the site is at flood risk but the site remains a reasonable alternative.

# GNLP0375

On the western edge of Brundall, GNLP0375 is an 8.91 ha site north of Postwick Lane and west of Holmesdale Road. Concerns exist over highways access, utilities capacity, surface water flood risk, landscape intrusion, and the suitability of the surrounding road network. It is noted too that Holmesdale Road is constrained in terms of being able to serve new development but it is conceivable that access could come from Postwick Lane. GNLP0375 is a reasonable alternative for further assessment.

# GNLP0436 (and overlapping GNLP2069)

On the eastern edge of Brundall, GNLP0436 consists of a parcel of land east of the Memorial Hall. GNLP0436 is a 17 ha proposal for up to 250 dwellings, open space, recreation and leisure uses. On a different boundary extent GNLP2069 is promoted for recreation and leisure, considered in the non-residential booklet. At the time of writing a planning application for 170 dwellings, sports pavilion, country park, and outdoor recreation is awaiting determination (20171386). Constraints exist, most notably over access, landscape intrusion into the Witton Run, and the potential for recreational open space. These matters could be resolved via the planning application process but nevertheless 0436 is a reasonable alternative.

# Postwick with Witton

None of the four sites promoted at Postwick with Witton are considered to be reasonable alternatives mainly because of the distance and lack of safe route to Brundall Primary School.

# GNLP0369 & 0370

On the edge of Postwick village, GNLP0369 is a 1.47 ha site promoted for 32-48 dwellings west of Oaks Lane. Adjacent land promoted as GNLP0370 for 75-115 dwellings measures 6.28 ha. Both sites are constrained by landscape, townscape,

and heritage matters but are unreasonable alternatives due to the absence of a safe walking route to the nearest primary school. Brundall Primary School is over 4 km away.

# <u>GNLP0571</u>

Towards the north of the parish, GNLP0571 is a 65 ha site north of the A47 (in the vicinity of Witton Hall) promoted as a new settlement. Constraints exist in respect to issues of access, impact on the road network landscape, townscape, ecological designations, and heritage assets. The submitted information gives no indication that the necessary infrastructure and mitigations can be overcome. On this basis the site is considered an unreasonable alternative. GNLP0571 is also out of step with the proposals in the *Towards a Strategy* document.

# <u>GNLP3009</u>

GNLP3009 is located to the east of Witton Lane. The location is remote and detached from any existing settlement boundary and is therefore deemed to be unreasonable for allocation.

# STAGE 5 – SHORTLIST OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT

Based on the assessment undertaken at stage 4 above the following sites are considered to be reasonable alternatives.

| Address   | Site Reference | Area (ha) | Proposal   |
|---|----------------|-----------|--|
|   | Brundal        | l         |  |
| Land at Yarmouth Rd                                       | GNLP0254       | 6.62      | Residential (unspecified number)   |
| Land west of Maurecourt<br>Drive                          | GNLP0295       | 12.23     | Approx. 387 dwellings  |
| Yarmouth Road and<br>Berryfield                           | GNLP0325       | 8.86      | Up to 192 dwellings<br>and public open<br>space. Retail<br>supermarket and cark<br>parking spaces.   |
| Land north of Brecklands<br>Road                          | GNLP0352       | 14.67     | Residential<br>(unspecified number)<br>including self- build<br>and affordable<br>housing with parkland<br>and enhanced public<br>access to Run Dike |
| Land north of Postwick<br>Lane/West of Holmesdale<br>Road | GNLP0375       | 8.91      | Approx. 200-250<br>dwellings, open space<br>and possible<br>community facilities   |
| Land north of Links<br>Avenue                             | GNLP0436       | 17.17     | Up to 250 dwellings<br>plus open space,<br>recreation and leisure<br>uses  |
| Total area of land  |                | 68.46     |  |

# STAGE 6 – DETAILED SITE ASSESSMENTS OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES

| Site Reference: | GNLP0254   |
|-----------------|--|
| Address:        | Land at Yarmouth Road  |
| Proposal:       | Residential development for an undetermined number of homes. |

| CURRENT USE OF SITE: | BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD: |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Agricultural         | Greenfield             |

# CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

# Amber Constraints in HELAA

Access, Utilities Capacity, Flood Risk, Significant Landscapes, Townscapes, Transport & Roads and Compatibility with Neighbouring Uses.

# **HELAA** Conclusion

This is a large greenfield site to the north of Brundall off Yarmouth Road therefore, well-related to services and character of the village as adjacent to open space allocation. Initial highway evidence has highlighted that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development. Also, that it is believed that, subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. Other constraints include sections to the south within low to med risk of surface flooding, associated with the Witton Run/ Run Dike nearby, noise, potential loss of high quality agricultural land grade 2, development here would reduce the best and most versatile agricultural land, impact on listed buildings and landscape. There are a number of constraints affecting this site but as these may be possible to mitigate the site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

# FURTHER COMMENTS

# Highways

Yes, subject to frontage development along with access at Yarmouth Road and carriageway narrowing/provision of cycle way/footway at frontage. Emergency vehicular access at Berryfields. Provision of footway at Berryfields frontage to tie in with existing facility and pedestrian/cycle route through development.

# **Development Management**

Western part of site is a commitment - the proposed eastern part has some landscape issues but not insurmountable through good design. Would need to be planned with existing commitment.

# Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources.

# Lead Local Flood Authority

Mitigation required for heavy constraints. Significant information required at a planning stage. RoFSW mapping indicates that there is a flow path through the site just north of the southern boundary in the 1% and 0.1% events. It is recommended that the site boundary is amended to be north of this flow path, as the remained of the site is free from mapped surface water risk. If the boundary is not amended, any planning application should be supported by robust information to demonstrate that flood risk downstream will not be increased. The site is with 100m of a watercourse but there are no mapped connections to it from the site. The location adjacent to an existing an urban area suggests that sewerage connections may also be available.

# **Children's Services**

Brundall has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

# PLANNING HISTORY:

# 20161483/20180935

No history - see adjacent site with pp under outline 20161483 for 155 dwellings, varied by 20180935 with RM submitted under 20190604.

# BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

No additional information submitted.

| Site Reference: | GNLP0295   |
|-----------------|--|
| Address:        | Land west of Maurecourt Drive                    |
| Proposal:       | Residential development of approx. 387 dwellings |

| CURRENT USE OF SITE: | BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD: |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Agricultural         | Greenfield             |
|                      |                        |

# CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

# Amber Constraints in HELAA

Access, Accessibility to Services, Utilities Capacity, Flood Risk, Significant Landscapes, Townscapes, Transport & Roads and Compatibility with Neighbouring Uses.

# **HELAA** Conclusion

This is large greenfield site adjacent to Yarmouth Road, A47 and the settlement therefore, sympathetic to character of the village, and well-related to services. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that the possibility of creating suitable access to the site is severely constrained. Also that, subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. There are some small sections affected by risk surface water flooding. Other impacts include proximity to the Broads National Park, landscape character, potential loss of high quality agricultural land grade 2, development here would reduce the best and most versatile agricultural land, noise. There are number of constraints but as these may be possible to mitigate the site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

# FURTHER COMMENTS

# Highways

No. Access not feasible (387 dwellings)

# **Development Management**

Site is poorly related to the school and has townscape/landscape issues. Access arrangements are also unclear. The site is not preferred relative to others in the parish.

# Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources.

# Lead Local Flood Authority

Few or no constraints. Standard information required at a planning stage. RoFSW mapping indicates that the site is at low risk of surface water flooding, with only small isolated areas of ponding occurring in the 1% and 0.1% events. The site is not near a watercourse. The location adjacent to an existing residential area

suggests that sewerage connections may be available, if not surface water discharge will be reliant on the results of infiltration testing.

# **Children's Services**

Brundall has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

# PLANNING HISTORY:

No known history

# BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

• Site Plan

| Site Reference: | GNLP0325   |
|-----------------|--|
| Address:        | Yarmouth Road Postwick with Witton & Yarmouth Road   |
| Proposal:       | Residential of up to 192 dwellings and 2.01 ha public<br>open space to the south of Yarmouth Road. Retail<br>supermarket of 2339m2 and 150 car parking spaces north<br>of Yarmouth Road. |

| CURRENT USE OF SITE:  | BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD: |
|---|------------------------|
| Mainly arable agricultural with horse grazing and vacant/unused land. | Greenfield             |

# CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

Amber Constraints in HELAA

Access, Utilities Capacity, Significant Landscape, Townscapes, Open Space & GI, Transport & Roads and Compatibility with Neighbouring Uses.

# HELAA Conclusion

This site is greenfield land off Berryfields and Yarmouth Road well-related to services and character of the village. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development. Also, that subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. Other impacts include proximity to the Broads National Park, landscape character, potential loss of recreational open space likely to require a replacement locally, potential loss of high quality agricultural land grade 2, development here would reduce the best and most versatile agricultural land, noise. There are number of constraints but as these may be possible to mitigate the site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

# FURTHER COMMENTS

# Highways

Yes, subject to frontage development along with two points of access at Yarmouth Road and carriageway narrowing/provision of cycle way/footway at frontage. Emergency vehicular access at Berryfields. Provision of footway at Berryfields frontage to tie in with existing facility and pedestrian/cycle route through development. (192 dwellings)

# **Development Management**

0325 is a commitment for 155 dwellings.

# Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources.

### Lead Local Flood Authority

Few or no constraints. Standard information required at a planning stage. RoFSW mapping indicates that the site is generally at low risk of surface water flooding, however a surface water flow path passes through the very south eastern corner of the site in the 1% and 0.1% event. It is recommended that the site boundary is amended to remove this area of risk. If the boundary is not amended then any planning application should be supported by robust information to demonstrate that flood risk downstream will not be increased. The site is within 200m of a watercourse but there are no mapped connections to it from the site. The location adjacent to an existing an urban area suggests that sewerage connections may also be available. The LLFA have been consulted on a previous planning application on this site. Our initial concerns were overcome and we were able to recommend conditions.

# **Children's Services**

Brundall has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

# **PLANNING HISTORY:**

Not known

# BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

• Site Masterplan

| Site Reference: | GNLP0352   |
|-----------------|--|
| Address:        | Land North of Brecklands Road  |
| Proposal:       | Residential development for an undetermined number of<br>dwellings but including self-build plots and affordable<br>housing with parkland and enhanced public access to Run<br>Dike and the wider public right of way network. |

| CURRENT USE OF SITE: | BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD: |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Agricultural         | Greenfield             |
|                      |                        |

# CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA Amber Constraints in HELAA Access, Utilities Capacity, Significant Landscapes, Townscapes, Historic

Environment, Transport & Roads and Compatibility with Neighbouring Uses.

### **Red Constraints in HELAA** Flood Risk

# HELAA Conclusion

The site is greenfield land to the west of the Witton Run, therefore there are some areas at flood risk to the periphery of the site, which may result a reduction of the developable area. Initial highway evidence has highlighted that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development. Also that subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. Other impacts include proximity to the Broads National Park, Special Area of Conservation, landscape character, impact on grade I listed building, proximity to sewage works, odour. There are number of constraints but as these may be possible to mitigate the site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

# FURTHER COMMENTS

# Highways

Yes. Access via Brecklands Road and The Coigncroft and provision of pedestrian links to footpath at eastern boundary of site

# **Development Management**

Potentially significant landscape impact so potentially consider smaller part of site (south-west corner).

# Minerals & Waste

The site is underlain by a defined Mineral Safeguarding Area for sand and gravel. Any future development on this site will need to address the requirements of Norfolk Minerals and Waste Core Strategy Policy CS16 - 'safeguarding' (or any successor policy) in relation to mineral resources, to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.

# Lead Local Flood Authority

Few or no constraints. Standard information required at a planning stage. RoSWF mapping indicates that the majority of this site is not at risk of surface water flooding. The site is bounded on the north and east by a watercourse, and mapping shows some limited flooding associated with this feature. This mirrors flood zones 2 and 3.

# Children's Services

Brundall has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

# PLANNING HISTORY:

Not known

# BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

Concept Plan

| Site Reference: | GNLP0375  |
|-----------------|---|
| Address:        | Land north of Postwick Lane/West of Holmesdale Road   |
| Proposal:       | Residential development for approx. 200-250 dwellings, associated open space and possible community facilities. |

| CURRENT USE OF SITE: | BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD: |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Agricultural         | Greenfield             |

# CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

# Amber Constraints in HELAA

Access, Utilities Capacity, Flood Risk, Significant Landscapes, Townscapes and Transport & Roads.

### **HELAA Conclusion**

The is a greenfield site off Postwick Lane. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development. Also, that subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. Other impacts include proximity to the Broads National Park, Special Area of Conservation landscape character, potential loss of high quality agricultural land grade 2, development here would reduce the best and most versatile agricultural land, some small sections within risk of surface water flooding. There are number of constraints but as these may be possible to mitigate the site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

# FURTHER COMMENTS

### Highways

Yes, subject to frontage footway and linking with existing facilities. Emergency exit with pedestrian & cycle link to Holmesdale Road. (200-250 dwellings)

# **Development Management**

Site raises landscape and townscape concerns and further advice from highway authority is suggested to confirm access and footpath arrangements are deliverable and acceptable.

# Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources.

# Lead Local Flood Authority

Mitigation required for heavy constraints. Significant information required at a planning stage. RoFSW mapping indicates that the majority of the site is not at risk of surface water flooding. There are two small areas of isolated ponding on the eastern side of the site which develop in the 3.3% event and increase in size in subsequent events. A surface water flow path develops in the south western

corner in the 0.1% event and the LLFA holds reports of flooding in the nearby community. Any planning application should be supported by robust information to demonstrate that flood risk downstream will not be increased. The site is not near a watercourse. The proximity to an existing urban area suggests that sewerage connections may be available, if not surface water discharge will be reliant on the results of infiltration testing.

### **Children's Services**

Brundall has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

# PLANNING HISTORY:

No history

# BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

No additional documents submitted to support this proposal.

| Site Reference: | GNLP0436   |
|-----------------|--|
| Address:        | Land north of Golf Links Avenue  |
| Proposal:       | Residential development of up to 250 homes, plus open space and recreation and leisure uses. |

| CURRENT USE OF SITE: | BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD: |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Agricultural         | Greenfield             |

# CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

Amber Constraints in HELAA

Access, Utilities Capacity, Flood Risk, Significant Landscapes, Townscapes, Open Space and GI, Transport & Roads and Compatibility with Neighbouring uses.

# **HELAA** Conclusion

This site is greenfield land partly off Golf Links Road which is a narrow lane that, subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development. Also, that subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. Other impacts include proximity to the Broads National Park, Special Area of Conservation, landscape character, potential loss of recreational open space and green infrastructure, likely to require a replacement locally, potential loss of high quality agricultural land grade 2, development here would reduce the best and most versatile agricultural land, impact on Grade I listed building. There are number of constraints but as these may be possible to mitigate the site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

# FURTHER COMMENTS

# Highways

No. Would result in too many dwellings served from an existing access (approx. 300 existing). There is also doubt that this can connect to the highway (250 dwellings)

# Development Management

Site is likely to go to committee in due course with a recommendation for approval, the outcome of which may make this a commitment.

# Minerals & Waste

The site is underlain by a defined Mineral Safeguarding Area for sand and gravel. Any future development on this site will need to address the requirements of Norfolk Minerals and Waste Core Strategy Policy CS16 - 'safeguarding' (or any successor policy) in relation to mineral resources, to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.

# Lead Local Flood Authority

Mitigation required for heavy constraints. Significant information required at a planning stage. RoFSW mapping indicates that the majority of the site is not at risk of surface water flooding. The site is bounded to the north by a watercourse and a watercourse passes through the site just south of this watercourse. Mapping shows surface water flooding associated with these two watercourses and a flow path to them from the west of the site. Ideally the site boundary would be amended to include only the land not at risk of fluvial flooding (as shown in the 0.1% event).

# **Children's Services**

Brundall has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

# PLANNING HISTORY:

# 20171386

Site subject to current application 20171386 for 170 dwellings and associated recreational space and GI.

# BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

Vision Document

# <u>STAGE 7 – SETTLEMENT BASED APPRAISAL OF REASONABLE</u> <u>ALTERNATIVE SITES AND IDENTIFICATION OF PREFERRED SITE/S (WHERE</u> <u>APPROPRIATE) FOR REGULATION 18C DRAFT PLAN CONSULTATION.</u>

Six reasonable alternative sites have been identified in Brundall at stage 5 of this booklet. These sites were considered to be worthy of further investigation to look at their potential for allocation as the initial assessment did not flag up any major constraints that would preclude development. These sites have been subject to further discussion with Development Management, Highways, Flood Authority and Children's Services in order to identify preferred sites for allocation and their comments are recorded under stage 6 above.

Brundall is a key service centre and the 'Towards a Strategy' document identifies a requirement for 400-600 dwellings across this sector of the hierarchy. For the purposes of this process, Brundall has been clustered with Postwick-with-Witton.

After further consideration, there are no sites preferred for allocation in the Brundall cluster. There remain high levels of existing commitments which are as yet undeveloped, and it has not been possible to find ways to overcome infrastructure constraints, including access to the A47. These constraints are considered to limit the potential for additional housing at this stage, meaning there are no reasonable alternative sites either.

There are currently no new or carried forward allocations proposed in Brundall but there are 175 dwellings with planning permission. This gives a total deliverable housing commitment for Brundall of 175 homes between 2018 – 2038.

There are no sites identified as preferred options in Postwick with Witton, no carried forward allocations and 16 dwellings with planning permission on smaller sites.

# **Preferred Sites:**

| Address    | Site<br>Reference                 |        | Proposal | Reason for allocating                                   |  |  |  |  |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Brundall a | Brundall and Postwick with Witton |        |          |   |  |  |  |  |
| INFRASTR   | UCTURE CO                         | NSTRAI |          | EXISTING COMMITMENTS AND<br>NG ACCESS TO THE A47, LIMIT |  |  |  |  |

# **Reasonable Alternative Sites:**

| Address    | Site<br>Reference |        | Promoted for    | Reason for not allocating  |
|------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Brundall a | nd Postwick       | with W | itton           |                            |
| NO REASC   | NABLE ALTE        | RNATI  | VE SITES – HIGI | HAMOUNTS OF EXISTING       |
| COMMITM    | ENTS AND IN       | FRAST  | RUCTURE CON     | STRAINTS, INCLUDING ACCESS |
| TO THE A4  | 7, LIMIT THE      | POTE   | NTIAL FOR ADDI  | TIONAL HOUSING             |
|            |                   |        |                 |                            |

# Unreasonable Sites:

| Address                                | Site<br>Reference          | Area<br>(ha) | Promoted for   | Reason considered to be unreasonable   |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Land at<br>Yarmouth<br>Road            | d Postwick wit<br>GNLP0254 | 6.62         | Residential<br>(unspecified<br>number)   | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation. Little<br>additional growth is proposed<br>in Brundall due to substantial<br>existing commitment and<br>concerns about capacity of the<br>A47 roundabout.  |
| Land west<br>of<br>Maurecourt<br>Drive | GNLP0295                   | 12.23        | Approx. 387<br>dwellings   | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation. Little<br>additional growth is proposed<br>in Brundall due to substantial<br>existing commitment and<br>concerns about capacity of the<br>A47 roundabout. This site is<br>poorly related to the school<br>and has townscape/landscape<br>issues. Access arrangements<br>are also unclear.  |
| Yarmouth<br>Road and<br>Berryfield     | GNLP0325                   | 8.86         | Up to 192<br>dwellings and<br>public open<br>space. Retail<br>supermarket<br>and car<br>parking<br>spaces  | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation as<br>the site is already committed<br>with an outline planning<br>permission for retail and<br>residential (reference<br>20161483). A subsequent<br>reserved matters application<br>has been submitted for the<br>residential element (reference<br>20190604). Little additional<br>growth is proposed in Brundall<br>due to substantial existing<br>commitment and concerns<br>about capacity of the A47<br>roundabout. |
| Land north<br>of<br>Brecklands<br>Road | GNLP0352                   | 14.67        | Residential<br>(unspecified<br>number)<br>including self-<br>build and<br>affordable<br>housing with<br>parkland and<br>enhanced<br>public access<br>to Run Dike | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation. Little<br>additional growth is proposed<br>in Brundall due to substantial<br>existing commitment and<br>concerns about capacity of the<br>A47 roundabout.<br>Development on this site<br>would have potentially<br>significant landscape impacts.  |

| Address   | Site<br>Reference | Area<br>(ha) | Promoted for  | Reason considered to be unreasonable   |
|---|-------------------|--------------|---|--|
| Land west<br>of Oaks<br>Lane,<br>Postwick<br>(Site A1)                                      | GNLP0369          | 1.47         | 32-48<br>dwellings,<br>land for<br>primary<br>school and<br>associated<br>facilities    | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation as it<br>is constrained by landscape<br>and heritage matters. In<br>addition, there is no safe<br>walking route to Brundall<br>Primary School over 4km<br>away.   |
| Land west<br>of Oaks<br>Lane,<br>Postwick<br>(Site A2)                                      | GNLP0370          | 6.28         | 75-115<br>dwellings,<br>land for<br>primary<br>school and<br>associated<br>facilities   | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation as it<br>is constrained by landscape,<br>townscape and heritage<br>matters. In addition, there is<br>no safe walking route to<br>Brundall Primary School which<br>is over 4km away.   |
| Land north<br>of Postwick<br>Lane/ West<br>of<br>Holmesdale<br>Road                         | GNLP0375          | 8.91         | Approx. 200-<br>250 dwellings,<br>open space<br>and possible<br>community<br>facilities | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation. Little<br>additional growth is proposed<br>in Brundall due to substantial<br>existing commitment and<br>concerns about capacity of the<br>A47 roundabout. The<br>development of this site would<br>raise landscape and<br>townscape concerns.  |
| Land north<br>of Links<br>Avenue  | GNLP0436          | 17.17        | Up to 250<br>dwellings plus<br>open space,<br>recreation<br>and leisure<br>uses         | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation. The<br>site is subject to a current<br>planning application (reference<br>20171386) for 170 dwellings<br>and associated recreational<br>space and green<br>infrastructure. Little additional<br>growth is proposed in Brundall<br>due to substantial existing<br>commitment and concerns<br>about capacity of the A47<br>roundabout. |
| Land north<br>of the A47,<br>North and<br>East if<br>Witton Hall<br>and west of<br>Dawlings | GNLP0571          | 65.48        | New village<br>with access<br>off A47 and<br>links to Little<br>Plumstead               | Constraints exist in respect to<br>issues of access, impact on<br>the road network, landscape,<br>townscape, ecological<br>designations, and heritage<br>assets. The submitted<br>information gives no indication  |

| Address                                | Site<br>Reference | Area<br>(ha) | Promoted for                                 | Reason considered to be unreasonable   |
|--|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Wood,<br>Postwick                      |                   |              |  | that the necessary<br>infrastructure and mitigations<br>can be overcome. On this<br>basis the site is not considered<br>to be suitable for allocation.<br>GNLP0571 is also out of step<br>with the strategic proposals in<br>the plan. |
| East of<br>Witton<br>Lane,<br>Postwick | GNLP3009          | 0.77         | Residential<br>development,<br>4-5 dwellings | This site is not considered to<br>be suitable for allocation as it<br>is remote with no safe walking<br>route to the local primary<br>school in Brundall.  |

# PART 2 - SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM THE REGULATION 18C DRAFT PLAN CONSULTATION

| STRATEGY QUESTION:<br>SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE: | Site GNLP0254<br>Land at Yarmouth Road, Brundall<br>(Unreasonable Residential Site) |
|---|---|
| TOTAL NUMBER OF<br>REPRESENTATIONS:               | 1   |
| SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT<br>BREAKDOWN:            | 1 Support, 0 Object, 0 Comment  |

| RESPONDENT<br>(OR GROUP OF<br>RESPONDENTS) |         | BRIEF SUMMARY OF<br>COMMENTS                | MAIN ISSUES<br>REQUIRING<br>INVESTIGATION | DRAFT GNLP<br>RESPONSE            | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE TO<br>PLAN |
|--|---------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Brundall Parish<br>Council                 | Support | Supports decision that site is unreasonable | None                                      | Noted. The site is not allocated. | None                          |

| STRATEGY QUESTION:<br>SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE: | Site GNLP0295<br>Land West of Maurecourt Drive, Brundall<br>(Unreasonable Residential Site) |
|---|---|
| TOTAL NUMBER OF<br>REPRESENTATIONS:               | 1   |
| SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT<br>BREAKDOWN:            | 1 Support, 0 Object, 0 Comment  |

| RESPONDENT<br>(OR GROUP OF<br>RESPONDENTS) |         | BRIEF SUMMARY OF<br>COMMENTS                | MAIN ISSUES<br>REQUIRING<br>INVESTIGATION | DRAFT GNLP<br>RESPONSE            | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE TO<br>PLAN |
|--|---------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Brundall Parish<br>Council                 | Support | Supports decision that site is unreasonable | None                                      | Noted. The site is not allocated. | None                          |

| STRATEGY QUESTION:<br>SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE: | Site GNLP0352<br>Land North of Brecklands Road, Brundall<br>(Unreasonable Residential Site) |
|---|---|
| TOTAL NUMBER OF<br>REPRESENTATIONS:               | 3   |
| SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT<br>BREAKDOWN:            | 3 Support, 0 Object, 0 Comment  |

| RESPONDENT<br>(OR GROUP OF<br>RESPONDENTS) | SUPPORT/<br>OBJECT/<br>COMMENT | BRIEF SUMMARY OF<br>COMMENTS  | MAIN ISSUES<br>REQUIRING<br>INVESTIGATION | DRAFT GNLP<br>RESPONSE            | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE TO<br>PLAN |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Brundall Parish<br>Council                 | Support                        | Supports decision that site is unreasonable   | None                                      | Noted. The site is not allocated. | None                          |
| Members of the public                      | Support                        | Support decision that site<br>is unreasonable due to<br>flooding, site access and<br>impact on infrastructure in<br>village | • None                                    | Noted. The site is not allocated. | None                          |

| STRATEGY QUESTION:<br>SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE: | Site GNLP0375<br>Land North of Postwick Lane/West of Holmesdale Road, Brundall<br>(Unreasonable Residential Site) |
|---|---|
| TOTAL NUMBER OF<br>REPRESENTATIONS:               | 1   |
| SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT<br>BREAKDOWN:            | 1 Support, 0 Object, 0 Comment  |

| RESPONDENT<br>(OR GROUP OF<br>RESPONDENTS) |         | BRIEF SUMMARY OF<br>COMMENTS                | MAIN ISSUES<br>REQUIRING<br>INVESTIGATION | DRAFT GNLP<br>RESPONSE            | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE TO<br>PLAN |
|--|---------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Brundall Parish<br>Council                 | Support | Supports decision that site is unreasonable | • None                                    | Noted. The site is not allocated. | None                          |

| STRATEGY QUESTION:<br>SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE: | Site GNLP0436<br>Land North of Links Avenue, Brundall<br>(Unreasonable Residential Site) |
|---|--|
| TOTAL NUMBER OF<br>REPRESENTATIONS:               | 7  |
| SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT<br>BREAKDOWN:            | 6 Support, 1 Object, 0 Comment   |

| RESPONDENT<br>(OR GROUP OF<br>RESPONDENTS) | SUPPORT/<br>OBJECT/<br>COMMENT | BRIEF SUMMARY OF<br>COMMENTS   | MAIN ISSUES REQUIRING<br>INVESTIGATION   | DRAFT GNLP<br>RESPONSE  | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE TO<br>PLAN   |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Brundall Parish<br>Council                 | Support                        | Supports decision that site is unreasonable  | None   | Noted. The site<br>is not allocated.  | None  |
| Members of the public                      | Support                        | Supports use as open<br>space<br>Concerns about local<br>road and service<br>capacity  | None   | Noted. The site is not allocated.   | None  |
| Barton<br>Willmore/Quantum<br>Land         | Object                         | Site is subject to<br>ongoing appeal. No<br>highway objections,<br>heritage or landscape<br>concerns on application.<br>Committee refused<br>against officer<br>recommendations. | <ul> <li>Decision on appeal<br/>against 20171386 due<br/>June 2020 delayed due to<br/>Covid-19. Appeal ref<br/>APP/K2610/W/19/3239986</li> <li>Consider highway issues<br/>in context of application<br/>evidence</li> </ul> | The appeal on<br>this site has<br>been allowed,<br>which includes<br>off-site highway<br>works. | None, to<br>protect the<br>provision of<br>open space,<br>should the<br>permission<br>lapse |

| STRATEGY QUESTION:<br>SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE: | Site GNLP0571<br>Land North of the A47, North and East of Witton Hall and West of Dawlings Wood,<br>Postwick<br>(Unreasonable Residential Site) |
|---|---|
| TOTAL NUMBER OF<br>REPRESENTATIONS:               | 2   |
| SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT<br>BREAKDOWN:            | 1 Support, 1 Object, 0 Comment  |

| RESPONDENT<br>(OR GROUP OF<br>RESPONDENTS) | SUPPORT/<br>OBJECT/<br>COMMENT | BRIEF SUMMARY OF<br>COMMENTS  | MAIN ISSU<br>REQUIRIN | IG   | DRAFT GNLP<br>RESPONSE  | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE TO<br>PLAN |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Gt & Lt<br>Plumstead Parish<br>Council     | Support                        | Supports decision that site<br>is unreasonable, Lt<br>Plumstead does not have<br>amenities to support or<br>road capacity to link to site | • None                |  | Noted. The site is not allocated.   | None                          |
| Lanpro/Site<br>promoter                    | Object                         | Site could provide new<br>road junction and relieve<br>pressure on A47.   | includi               | ay proposals<br>ng map at App<br>wing potential<br>bad | The Highway<br>Authority does not<br>consider the<br>highway scheme to<br>be appropriate<br>(adding a new<br>access to the A47).<br>Additionally, the<br>site is considered<br>remote from the<br>built-up areas of | None                          |

|  | both Plumstead   |  |
|--|------------------|--|
|  | and Brundall and |  |
|  | not suitable for |  |
|  | housing.         |  |
|  | 0                |  |

| STRATEGY QUESTION:<br>SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE: | Site GNLP2069<br>East of Brundall Memorial Hall, Brundall<br>(Unreasonable Non-Residential Site) |
|---|--|
| TOTAL NUMBER OF<br>REPRESENTATIONS:               | 3  |
| SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT<br>BREAKDOWN:            | 2 Support, 0 Object, 1 Comment   |

| RESPONDENT<br>(OR GROUP OF<br>RESPONDENTS) | SUPPORT/<br>OBJECT/<br>COMMENT | BRIEF SUMMARY OF<br>COMMENTS  | MAIN ISSUES<br>REQUIRING<br>INVESTIGATION | DRAFT GNLP<br>RESPONSE  | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE TO<br>PLAN |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Members of the public                      | Support                        | Concerned that appeal<br>application could be<br>permitted, pressure on<br>local services and spoil<br>residential amenity, loss of<br>recreation land. | • None                                    | The appeal<br>decision is outside<br>the local plan<br>process. | None                          |
| Members of the public                      | Comment                        | Concern for increase in traffic.  | None                                      | Noted. The site is not allocated.                               | None                          |

# PART 3 - ASSESSMENT OF NEW & REVISED SITES SUBMITTED DURING THE REGULATION 18C CONSULTATION

No new or revised sites submitted through the Regulation 18C consultation

# FINAL CONCLUSIONS FOR THE REGULATION 19 VERSION OF THE PLAN

# Site assessments prior to the Regulation 18C consultation

Up to the Regulation 18C consultation there were 10 sites promoted for residential/mixed use in Brundall totalling over 142 hectares of land. The outcome of initial site assessment work (which is detailed in part 1 of this booklet) was that no sites were preferred because of the high level of outstanding commitment of 175 dwellings, but the two allocations for open space should be carried forward from the last local plan. There is a shortage of recreational open space in Brundall, and these allocations are considered important to increase provision. This proposal was consulted on as part of the Regulation 18C draft plan consultation.

# Summary of comments from the Regulation 18C draft plan consultation

Through the Regulation 18C consultation a number of comments were received regarding sites in the Brundall cluster. The main issues raised were concerns over the protection of allocated open space, although site promoters questioned the continued allocation of open space on land which they felt had been overwritten by permissions and appeals (detailed in part 2 above). These comments have been considered but did not result in any changes to the decision not to allocate any housing sites in Brundall, but to carry forward the open space allocations.

# Assessment of new and revised sites submitted through the Regulation 18C consultation

No new or revised sites were submitted for Brundall cluster during the Regulation 18C consultation.

# **Sustainability Appraisal**

The sustainability performance of each reasonable alternative site has been considered in the site selection process. The Sustainability Appraisal includes a scoring and assessment narrative on the sustainability performance of each reasonable alternative and recommendations for mitigation measures which have been incorporated in policy requirements as appropriate. The Sustainability Appraisal (which can be found in the evidence base <u>here</u>) highlighted a number of negative and positive impacts for the sites in Brundall but showed how broadly all sites promoted scored similarly. The SA shows the best scoring site to be GNLP0325. This has not been allocated in order to protect an open space allocation on the site. However, the site does benefit from a permission for housing which provides for a significant quantity of open space.

# Final conclusion on sites for allocation in the Regulation 19 Plan

During the extended (post-Reg Reg18C) site assessment process, the appeal was allowed on a site which includes BRU3, one of the open space allocations. This decision, as well as the permission on a site which includes BRU2, includes a significant level of open space provision, in excess of what could reasonably be required by an allocation policy. Although both sites now benefit from a permission for housing (albeit with open space) the delivery of these sites and the open space within them is not guaranteed. Based on all the information contained within this booklet the final conclusion of the site assessment process for Brundall is not to allocate any additional housing over the existing commitment sites, but to carry forward the open space allocations to address the open space shortage in the village, as identified through the Regulation 18C consultation.

See tables of allocated and unallocated sites at appendices A and B for a full list of sites promoted with reasons for allocation or rejection.

