## Appendix B - Broadland and South Norfolk Non- residential sites

Unallocated non-residential sites with reasons for rejection

## (Sites highlighted in grey were shortlisted through the site assessment process as reasonable alternatives and therefore subject to SA)

| Address   | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal                                    | Reason for not allocating  |
|---|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Urban Fringe  |                |           |   |  |
| Colney  |                |           |   |  |
| University of East<br>Anglia, Colney                      | GNLP0140-A     | 2.50      | Proposed clubhouse, pavilion and pitch site | This site is not allocated as consent has already been granted under planning application reference 2016/0233.   |
| University of East<br>Anglia, Colney                      | GNLP0140-B     | 0.80      | Proposed car park<br>extension              | This site is not allocated as consent has already been granted under planning application reference 2016/0233.   |
| South-east of Norfolk<br>& Norwich University<br>Hospital | GNLP0331AR     | 14.80     | Employment-led mixed<br>use                 | This site is not allocated due to townscape<br>and landscape constraints. It currently acts<br>as an area of open land between the hospital<br>and existing/proposed residential<br>development. There are also high voltage<br>power lines running across the site. |
| Land at Colney Lane,<br>Cringleford                       | GNLP0244       | 7.30      | University relate                           | This site is not allocated due to landscape<br>constraints and concern about the loss of<br>open space. Development in this location<br>would significantly change the character of<br>the area.   |
| Costessey   |                |           |   |  |
| Costessey Park and Ride, Bawburgh                         | GNLP0376       | 1.05      | Employment &<br>Commercial use              | This site is not allocated. To justify a local plan allocation in this location more evidence  |

| Address   | Site Reference       | Area (Ha) | Proposal   | Reason for not allocating<br>is needed of likely end-user businesses who<br>would bring forward development, as well as<br>evidence to show there is no conflict with the<br>overarching Transport for Norwich strategy.<br>Without this information the site is not<br>suitable for allocation at the current time.   |
|---|----------------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Cringleford (including<br>A140/Mulbarton Road,<br>Keswick                     | Keswick)<br>GNLP3047 | 16.10     | Employment | This site is not allocated. Evidence suggests<br>that currently committed land is more than<br>sufficient in quantity and quality to meet the<br>employment growth needs in Greater<br>Norwich. There is therefore no need to<br>allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan. This<br>area is outside the planning application |
| <b>Drayton</b><br>Land off Norwich<br>Northern Distributor<br>Road. Felthorpe | GNLP0465             | 5.04      | Commercial | boundary for the extended KES2 allocation in<br>the South Norfolk Local Plan.<br>This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in   |
| Easton and Honinghan<br>No unallocated non-res                                |                      |           |            | Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan.   |

| Address   | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal  | Reason for not allocating  |
|---|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Hellesdon   |                |           |   |  |
| Rear of Heath<br>Crescent, Prince<br>Andrews Road | GNLP1021       | 2.07      | Leisure   | This proposal was considered as a<br>reasonable alternative through the Regulation<br>18C consultation alongside alternative plans<br>for residential use put forward by the<br>landowner to allow for further consideration of<br>both proposals. It has been decided not to<br>allocate either site and leave the land as<br>'white land' within the settlement boundary to<br>be dealt with through the planning or<br>Neighbourhood Plan process. The need for<br>open space in Hellesdon presented by the<br>Parish Council is recognised but there does<br>not seem to be any agreement between the<br>Parish Council and the landowner about the<br>future use of the site so it was considered<br>that delivery for open space could not be<br>guaranteed. |
| West of Hellesdon<br>Park Industrial Estate       | GNLP2142       | 5.71      | Extension to industrial<br>estate, burial ground,<br>open space, car park | This site is not considered to be suitable for<br>allocation as evidence suggests that currently<br>committed land is more than sufficient in<br>quantity and quality to meet the employment<br>growth needs in Greater Norwich. There is<br>therefore no need to allocate any additional<br>large-scale employment sites in the new local<br>plan.  |
| Old Catton  | idential sites |           |   |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                           |                |           |   |  |
| Rackheath   |                |           |   |  |

| Address  | Site Reference                       | Area (Ha) | Proposal  | Reason for not allocating  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|  | No unallocated non-residential sites |           |   |  |  |  |
| Sprowston  |                                      |           |   |  |  |  |
| Sprowston Park and<br>Ride                             | GNLP0383                             | 5.19      | High school<br>(or housing if a school is<br>not required). | This site is currently in use as a park and ride<br>facility. The risk of surface water flood risk<br>across the site makes development<br>challenging both as a school and for new<br>housing. For reasons of the site's current use<br>and its constraints the site is not allocated for<br>residential or for education provision.  |  |  |
| White House Farm                                       | GNLP3024                             | 6.04      | Multi Use Community<br>Hub                                  | There is already a farm shop and commercial<br>activities at White House Farm and<br>residential development is located nearby,<br>with additional residential growth planned for<br>the future. However, it is not preferred for<br>allocation at the current time as more<br>evidence is required about the need for the<br>proposal and how the development will come<br>forward. |  |  |
| Taverham   | -                                    | -<br>-    |   |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-res                                 | idential sites                       |           |   |  |  |  |
| Thorpe St Andrew                                       |                                      |           |   |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-res                                 | idential sites                       |           |   |  |  |  |
| Trowse (including Bix                                  | ley and Whitlingha                   | im)       |   |  |  |  |
| Land at junction<br>Loddon Road/Bungay<br>Road, Bixley | GNLP3051                             | 7.91      | Park and Ride Site  | This proposal was considered as a reasonable alternative in the Regulation 18C consultation to allow for further consideration of the long term plan for the provision of park and ride facilities through the Transport for Norwich Strategy. There are no plans for a  |  |  |

| Address  | Site Reference | Area (Ha)  | Proposal   | Reason for not allocating  |
|--|----------------|------------|--|--|
|  |                |            |  | park and ride site in this location therefore<br>deliverability cannot be demonstrated and the<br>site is not allocated.   |
| Land at and adjacent<br>to Whitlingham<br>Country Park | GNLP3052       | 200.00     | Recreation and tourism<br>associated with the<br>existing Country Park | This proposal was considered as a<br>reasonable alternative in the Regulation 18C<br>consultation to allow for further consideration<br>regarding the exact nature of the plans. As<br>the majority of the site area is within the<br>Broads Authority it is not considered<br>appropriate for allocation in this plan. The<br>Broads Authority Plan contains policies<br>relating to Whitlingham Country Park |
| Main Towns   |                |            |  |  |
| Aylsham (Blickling, Bu                                 |                | nd Oulton) |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                                |                |            |  |  |
| Diss (including part of                                |                |            |  |  |
| Victoria Road, Diss                                    | GNLP2067       | 0.42       | Repair and retail<br>warehouse, business and<br>offices                | The site is not allocated as it is subject to<br>flood risk constraints and it is considered that<br>there is already sufficient employment land<br>allocated in Diss in the current South Norfolk<br>Local Plan still to be developed.  |
| Quaker Wood, Factory<br>Lane                           | GNLP4021       | 2.19       | Locally valued public<br>space   | The submission seeks Quaker Wood's<br>allocation as a public space, but this is more<br>appropriately addressed through other<br>planning solutions. These include: an audit of<br>important local open spaces followed by<br>review of the Development Management<br>Policies DPD; a specific Local Green Space<br>Designation; or, specifically in this case<br>allocation by the Diss and District          |

| Address   | Site Reference      | Area (Ha)     | Proposal                  | Reason for not allocating  |
|---|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|
|   |                     |               |                           | Neighbourhood Plan. Given the existing<br>planning permission and other solutions for<br>allocation GNLP4021 is not a reasonable<br>alternative for further consideration under the<br>GNLP.   |
| Land at Station<br>Road/Nelson Road                   | DIS8                | 2.89          | Employment use            | This site was included as a carried forward<br>allocation in the Regulation 18C consultation.<br>It is not allocated as decision over carried<br>forward and new allocations are deferred to<br>the neighbourhood plan process   |
| Land at Sandy<br>Lane(north of Diss<br>Business Park) | DIS9                | 4.22          | Employment uses B2 and B8 | This site was included as a carried forward<br>allocation in the Regulation 18C consultation.<br>It is not allocated as decision over carried<br>forward and new allocations are deferred to<br>the neighbourhood plan process   |
| <b>Redenhall with Harles</b>                          | ton (including well | related parts | s of Needham)             |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                               | idential sites      |               |                           |  |
| Hethel Strategic Emplo                                | oyment              |               |                           |  |
| East of Potash Lane                                   | GNLP2097            | 1.18          | Employment                | This site is proposed for employment to be<br>used by Tml Precision Engineering and other<br>local businesses. As of spring 2018, the<br>promoter reports that the industrial<br>development permitted is nearing completion<br>(planning reference: 2011/1041). The<br>development by Tml Precision Engineering<br>will create 3,000 sqm of employment space,<br>complementing the existing long-standing<br>advanced engineering activities in Hethel.<br>Given the existing planning permission and |

| Address  | Site Reference      | Area (Ha)    | Proposal   | Reason for not allocating   |
|--|---------------------|--------------|--|---|
|  |                     |              |  | current build out it is not necessary to consider the site further for allocation.  |
| North of Potash Lane,                          | GNLP4026            | 8.56         | Employment led mixed<br>use with up to 8 dwellings | Hethel remains a significant strategic<br>employment location, yet a substantial<br>amount of allocated land remains as yet<br>undeveloped. On this basis a new substantial<br>employment land is not appropriate.<br>Residential development here is also<br>inappropriate due to the disconnection from<br>local facilities by a safe pedestrian route.   |
| Long Stratton (includi                         | ng parts of Tharsto | on and Hapto | n)   |   |
| Tharston Industrial<br>Estate, Long Stratton   | GNLP0272            | 7.55         | Employment   | This site is promoted as an extension to the<br>Tharston Industrial Estate and would be in<br>addition to employment land already<br>allocated in the Long Stratton Area Action<br>Plan (policy LNGS2). This site is not<br>considered to be suitable for allocation as<br>evidence suggests that currently committed<br>land is more than sufficient in quantity and<br>quality to meet the employment growth needs<br>in Greater Norwich. There is therefore no<br>need to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan. |
| Wymondham                                      | _                   | _            |  |   |
| Land north of<br>Carpenters Barn,<br>Wymondham | GNLP0285            | 15.38        | Recreational Use                                   | This site is not preferred for allocation as<br>consent has already been granted under<br>planning application reference 2014/0799 for<br>a clubhouse with sports pitches.  |

| Address                      | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal  | Reason for not allocating  |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Stanfield Road,<br>Wymondham | GNLP0116       | 2.99      | Employment  | This proposal appears to be for the<br>expansion of operations at the Goff<br>Petroleum Fuel Depot as per expired<br>planning permission 2010/2232 for offices,<br>workshops, warehousing and distribution.<br>Due to the specific nature of these proposals<br>and the depot's location some distance from<br>the built-up area of Wymondham this site is<br>not considered to be suitable for allocation<br>and would be better dealt with through the<br>planning application process.  |
|                              | GNLP0116R      | 4.88      | Energy research centre<br>for low carbon innovation<br>built and managed by<br>Goff Petroleum and<br>attenuation/open space<br>on high ecology value<br>area to south | This site is owned by Goff Petroleum who are<br>seeking to diversify their existing operations<br>on the adjacent land. Development of this site<br>has good prospects to come forward given<br>that the end-user is already known. There are<br>clear commercial advantages to expanding<br>on the current site rather than relocating.<br>Uses involved on the site require consultation<br>with the Health & Safety Executive, and it is<br>unlikely that an alternative suitable site is<br>readily available. Positive discussions have<br>been held with the Development<br>Management team and continued<br>progression of a planning application is<br>encouraged, and so the site not to be<br>allocated. |

| Address  | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal   | Reason for not allocating  |
|--|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Key Service Centres  |                |           |  |  |
| Acle   |                |           |  |  |
| No unallocated non-res   | idential sites |           |  |  |
| Blofield   |                |           |  |  |
| No unallocated non-res   | idential sites |           |  |  |
| Brundall (including Po   | ostwick)       |           |  |  |
| Land south A1042<br>Yarmouth Road,<br>Postwick                 | GNLP0371       | 3.08      | Commercial                                       | This site is not allocated as consent has already been given under planning application reference 20180504.  |
| East of Brundall<br>Memorial Hall,<br>Brundall                 | GNLP2069       | 8.67      | Recreation and Leisure                           | This site is not allocated. The current BRU3<br>allocation is being carried forward. It is noted<br>however that a recent appeal is allowed. The<br>scheme permits 170 dwellings (ref:<br>20171386).   |
| Land adjacent<br>Postwick Interchange,<br>Postwick with Witton | GNLP3029       | 3.12      | Mixed use including<br>leisure, roadside, retail | This site is well located, being surrounded on<br>all sides by strategically important roads. To<br>the immediate west is the Postwick<br>Interchange and the site itself would be<br>accessed from the A1042. At the time of<br>writing a planning application (reference<br>20190300) has been submitted for a petrol<br>filling station and two drive through<br>restaurants. This site is not allocated as it is<br>recognised that a proposal of this nature is<br>better dealt with through the development<br>management process. |

| Address  | Site Reference             | Area (Ha) | Proposal  | Reason for not allocating  |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|---|--|
| Land North of<br>Yarmouth Road,<br>Brundall                    | GNLP3049                   | 1.71      | Employment  | This site has the potential to provide local<br>employment opportunities but is not allocated<br>at the current time. To justify a local plan<br>allocation in this location more evidence<br>would be required about the need for the<br>proposal and how it would be delivered. A<br>proposal of this scale would probably be<br>better dealt with through the planning<br>application process.  |
| Hethersett (including  |                            |           |   |  |
| Land around<br>Thickthorn<br>Roundabout. Either<br>side of A11 | GNLP0177-BR /<br>GNLP0358R | 134.00    | Outdoor leisure,<br>residential care assisted<br>living, renewable energy<br>generation | This site is not allocated. Although the A11 is<br>strategically important for growth there are<br>already significant allocations and<br>commitments in place nearby at Colney,<br>Cringleford and Hethersett and further land of<br>this scale is not needed at the current time.<br>This site includes heritage assets such<br>Thickthorn Hall set within historic parkland. It<br>is also within the strategic gap separating<br>Hethersett and Cringleford and the Norwich<br>Southern Bypass Landscape Protection<br>Zone. |
| Land south east and<br>west of Hethersett                      | GNLP0177BR                 | 95.69     | Open space, care,<br>business   | The previous proposal for GNLP0177<br>measured 134 ha, whereas this revised<br>proposal measures 95 ha and has a different<br>mix of uses. Three areas of development are<br>proposed: (a) Community Business Hub Site<br>A (1.4 ha); (b) Community Business Hub Site<br>B (2.2 ha); and (c) Limited Scale Care Use<br>(7.6 ha). The remainder is proposed as an   |

| Address                                   | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal   | Reason for not allocating   |
|---|----------------|-----------|------------|---|
|   |                |           |            | "area for controlled permissive pedestrian<br>and cycle routes with new outdoor<br>recreational and leisure facilities." Sites A, B,<br>and C within GNLP0177BR are located in<br>close proximity to the existing Thickthorn<br>Park & Ride facility, its proposed extension,<br>and the planned Highways England A11/A47<br>Thickthorn junction improvements. However,<br>to justify a local plan allocation in this location<br>more evidence is needed of likely end-user<br>businesses to bring forward Sites A and B,<br>and likewise evidence about the deliverability<br>of the care village on Site C. The suitability of<br>GNLP0177BR for development should also<br>become clearer once funding and scheme<br>layout decisions are made about the<br>Highways England Thickthorn junction<br>scheme (for which submission of the<br>development consent order to the Planning<br>Inspectorate is expected early 2021). Due to<br>the uncertainties described, GNLP0177BR is<br>not considered to be a reasonable alternative<br>at the current time. |
| Land north of Norwich<br>Road, Hethersett | GNLP0486       | 14.83     | Employment | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan.<br>Development in this location would impact on   |

| Address   | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal            | Reason for not allocating  |
|---|----------------|-----------|---------------------|--|
|   |                |           |                     | the Southern Bypass Landscape Protection<br>Zone and the strategic gap between<br>Hethersett and Cringleford.  |
| Little Melton Business<br>Park - Site A (land to<br>west) | GNLP1023-A     | 2.90      | Food-led industrial | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan   |
| Little Melton Business<br>Park - Site B (land to<br>east) | GNLP1023-B     | 10.70     | Food-led industrial | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan.  |
|   | GNLP1023BR     | 16.05     | Food Hub            | GNLP1023-B originally measured 10.70 ha<br>and this revised proposal includes an<br>additional 6 ha to the south. Despite the<br>change in site area the conclusion reached<br>about GNLP1023BR remains the same in<br>that evidence suggests current land<br>commitments are more than sufficient to<br>meet the employment growth needs of<br>Greater Norwich. On this basis GNLP1023BR<br>is not considered a reasonable alternative for<br>employment-related development. |

| Address                                   | Site Reference  | Area (Ha) | Proposal                        | Reason for not allocating  |
|---|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|
| Hingham                                   |                 |           |                                 |  |
| South of Watton Road,<br>rear of GNLP0335 | GNLP4007        | 4.24      | Community Woodland              | GNLP4007 is unreasonable to consider for<br>allocation in its own right and should instead<br>be assessed in conjunction with GNLP0335<br>(which is a site measuring 5.81 ha promoted<br>for 100-200 homes).   |
| Loddon and Chedgrav                       |                 |           | -                               |  |
| Land to the south of the A146, Loddon     | GNLP0347        | 3.41      | Storage and distribution<br>hub | This site is not allocated as it is disconnected<br>from the built edge of Loddon and there are<br>concerns that it is not possible to achieve a<br>suitable access. In addition, evidence<br>suggests that current committed land is more<br>than sufficient in quantity and quality to meet<br>the employment growth needs in Greater<br>Norwich meaning there is no need to allocate<br>any additional large scale employment sites<br>in the new local plan.   |
| Poringland (including                     | part of Bixley) |           |                                 |  |
| Park Farm, Bungay<br>Road,<br>Bixley      | GNLP0323        | 9.83      | Employment &<br>Commercial use  | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan.<br>Constraints on development include<br>highways access, surface water flood risk on<br>part of the site, and heritage issues to the<br>setting of the Church of St Wandregelius<br>(Grade II* listed). |

| Address  | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal                 | Reason for not allocating  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Reepham  | -              | -         | -                        |  |  |  |  |
| The Old Rectory<br>Meadow, Reepham                 | GNLP1007       | 1.69      | Infrastructure extension | This site is not allocated as no identified need<br>exists and this proposal could be dealt with<br>by a planning application if needed.   |  |  |  |
| South of the high<br>school, Whitwell Road         | GNLP4055       | 0.84      | School playing field     | GNLP4055 is undeliverable in its own right<br>and unreasonable to consider for allocation.<br>Instead, the inclusion of the additional playing<br>field needs to be considered in conjunction<br>with a planning application for developing<br>REP1. |  |  |  |
| Wroxham  |                | -         | -                        |  |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            | dential sites  |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Broadland Villages                                 |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Blofield Heath and He                              | mblington      |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            | dential sites  |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Buxton with Lamas an                               | d Brampton     |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            | dential sites  |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Cantley  |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Cawston, Brandiston a                              |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-residential sites               |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Coltishall, Horstead with Stanninghall and Belaugh |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-residential sites               |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Freethorpe, Halvergate and Wickhampton             |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-residential sites               |                |           |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Frettenham   | Frettenham     |           |                          |  |  |  |  |

| Address   | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal              | Reason for not allocating   |  |  |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Adjacent 10 Buxton<br>Road,<br>Frettenham   | GNLP2076       | 0.39      | Employment            | This site is not allocated. To justify a local<br>plan allocation in this location more evidence<br>would be required about the need for the<br>proposal and how it would be delivered. A<br>proposal of this scale would probably be<br>better dealt with through the planning<br>application process.           |  |  |
| Foulsham and Theme  |                |           |                       |   |  |  |
| No unallocated non-res  |                |           |                       |   |  |  |
| Great and Little Plums  | stead          |           |                       |   |  |  |
| North of Octagon<br>Business Park, Gt & Lt<br>Plumstead   | GNLP2107       | 1.62      | Office, storage       | This site is not allocated. To justify a local<br>plan allocation in this location more evidence<br>would be required about the need for the<br>proposal and how it would be delivered. A<br>proposal of this scale would probably be<br>better dealt with through the planning<br>application process.           |  |  |
| East of Brook Farm,<br>Gt & Lt Plumstead  | GNLP3034       | 36.84     | Employment B1, B2, B8 | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan. |  |  |
| Great Witchingham, Lenwade, Weston Longville, Alderford, Attlebridge, Little Witchingham and Morton on the Hill |                |           |                       |   |  |  |
| Land North of Council<br>field, Heath Lane<br>(west of Hall Walk),<br>Great<br>Witchingham/Lenwade              | GNLP0586       | 2.94      | Open space            | This site is not allocated as there is no<br>evidence of the need for additional open<br>space in Great Witchingham/Lenwade. In<br>addition, the adjacent site promoted for   |  |  |

| Address                                    | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal           | Reason for not allocating  |
|--|----------------|-----------|--------------------|--|
|  |                |           |                    | housing is considered to be unreasonable due to highway constraints.   |
| Adjoining Fakenham<br>Road,<br>Attlebridge | GNLP2144       | 1.23      | Industrial         | This site is proposed for industrial<br>development and would be accessed from<br>the nearby roundabout with the Broadland<br>Northway, however there are concerns about<br>the suitability of the access. The site could<br>potentially provide local opportunities but to<br>justify a local plan allocation in this location<br>more evidence would be needed about the<br>likely end user businesses who would bring<br>forward development. The site is not<br>allocated. |
| Hainford and Stratton                      |                |           |                    |  |
| No unallocated non-res                     | idential sites |           |                    |  |
| Hevingham<br>No unallocated non-res        | idential sites |           |                    |  |
| Horsford and Felthorp                      |                |           |                    |  |
| Glebe Farm North,<br>Horsford              | GNLP2133       | 26.23     | Employment/mixed   | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan.  |
| South of Drayton<br>Lane, Horsford         | GNLP2154       | 2.30      | Retail/car parking | This site is not allocated. It is promoted<br>specifically for a supermarket with associated<br>car parking. The site is not preferred for<br>allocation as it is not within an accessible   |

| Address  | Site Reference                              | Area (Ha)   | Proposal   | Reason for not allocating  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------|------------|--|--|--|
|  |   |             |            | walking distance of Horsford and there is no   |  |  |
|  |   |             |            | evidence of an end user being in place to  |  |  |
|  |   |             |            | assure delivery of the scheme.   |  |  |
| Lingwood and Burling                               |   | and Beighto | on         |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            | idential sites                              |             |            |  |  |  |
| Marsham  |   |             |            |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            | idential sites                              |             |            |  |  |  |
| Reedham  |   |             |            |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            |   |             |            |  |  |  |
| Salhouse, Woodbastw                                | 8   |             |            |  |  |  |
| Land to the north of<br>Salhouse Road,<br>Salhouse | GNLP0157                                    | 22.51       | Tourism    | Salhouse Broad is already a visitor attraction<br>for sailing, canoeing, walking and camping.<br>However, the site's allocation is not integral<br>to achieving the GNLP's strategic objectives.<br>Neither is sufficient evidence available to<br>define what exactly is planned for. Note: The<br>site is also partially within the Broads<br>Authority administrative area. |  |  |
| South Walsham and U                                | pton with Fishley                           |             |            |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            | idential sites                              |             |            |  |  |  |
| Spixworth and Crostw                               | vick  |             |            |  |  |  |
| No unallocated non-resi                            | No unallocated non-residential sites        |             |            |  |  |  |
| South Norfolk Village                              | South Norfolk Village non-residential sites |             |            |  |  |  |
| Bunwell  |   |             |            |  |  |  |
| Land at Little Green,<br>Bunwell                   | GNLP0224                                    | 2.50        | Employment | This is a freestanding site some distance<br>from the village core and not particularly well<br>related to the settlement. There are no  |  |  |

| Address   | Site Reference     | Area (Ha)    | Proposal  | Reason for not allocating   |
|---|--------------------|--------------|---|---|
|   |                    |              |   | known end-user businesses and therefore the site is not allocated.  |
| Gillingham (including   | Haddiscoe)         |              |   |   |
| Willow Farm.<br>Haddiscoe                                     | GNLP0455           | 0.48         | Employment  | This is a small remote site located in the<br>northern part of the parish towards Lower<br>Thurlton. It is not considered to be suitable<br>for allocation as it located within fluvial flood<br>zones 2 and 3 and is therefore heavily<br>constrained. It has been proposed for<br>employment uses connected to the adjacent<br>business and would be better to come<br>forward through the planning application<br>process. |
| Mulbarton (including I  | Bracon Ash, East C | Carleton and | Hethel)   |   |
| Land off Station Lane,<br>Ketteringham                        | GNLP0245           | 7.92         | Commercial  | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan.   |
| Wymondham Road,<br>East Carleton                              | GNLP2165           | 1.15         | Employment  | This site is not allocated as its remoteness to core services and the inadequacy of the road network are significant constraints.   |
| Newton Flotman (inclu   | uding Swainsthorp  | e)           |   |   |
| Land West of A140,<br>Adjacent Hickling<br>Lane, Swainsthorpe | GNLP0604R          | 10.99        | Workshops, stores,<br>offices, agricultural sales | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need  |

| Address                                   | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal   | Reason for not allocating  |
|---|----------------|-----------|------------|--|
|   |                |           |            | to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan. The<br>site is also subject to a planning application<br>by Ben Burgess agricultural machinery<br>(reference 2018/2631) and would be better<br>dealt with through the development<br>management process.   |
| West of A140                              | GNLP0604R      | 12.31     | Employment | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan. The<br>site is also subject to a planning application<br>by Ben Burgess agricultural machinery<br>(reference 2018/2631) and would be better<br>dealt with through the development<br>management process. |
| Seething (including M                     | undham)        |           |            |  |
| Land at Seething<br>Airfield,<br>Mundham  | GNLP0071R      | 4.91      | Employment | No change in land use proposed, allocation appears unnecessary. Site is not allocated.   |
| Stoke Holy Cross                          |                |           |            |  |
| East of Ipswich Road,<br>Stoke Holy Cross | GNLP2158       | 49.90     | Commercial | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to<br>meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need   |

| Address  | Site Reference                       | Area (Ha) | Proposal                             | Reason for not allocating   |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|  |                                      |           |                                      | to allocate any additional large-scale  |  |  |  |
|  |                                      |           |                                      | employment sites in the new local plan.   |  |  |  |
| Tacolneston  | L .                                  | 1         |                                      |   |  |  |  |
| Tacolneston<br>Conservation Area                     | GNLP0545                             | 19.68     | Preservation as local<br>green space | This site is not allocated. It is not considered<br>to be suitable for allocation as a local green<br>space because as submitted it is too large<br>and does not meet the requirements as<br>defined in the National Planning Policy<br>Framework. This proposal would be more<br>appropriate as part of a Conservation Area<br>Appraisal, Local Green Space Designation,<br>or Neighbourhood Plan. |  |  |  |
| Tacolneston Manor<br>House Area Local<br>Green Space | GNLP0546                             | 6.86      | Preservation as local<br>green space | This site is not allocated. It is not considered<br>to be suitable for allocation as a local green<br>space because as submitted it is too large<br>and does not meet the requirements as<br>defined in the National Planning Policy<br>Framework. This proposal would be more<br>appropriate as part of a Conservation Area<br>Appraisal, Local Green Space Designation,<br>or Neighbourhood Plan. |  |  |  |
| Tivetshall St Mary and St                            | St Margaret                          | -         |                                      |   |  |  |  |
| Former waste transfer<br>station,<br>Tivetshall      | GNLP2128                             | 1.80      | Retail/petrol station                | This site is not allocated. More evidence<br>would be required to demonstrate need and<br>the likely end-user businesses who would<br>bring forward development.  |  |  |  |
| Wreningham (includin                                 | Wreningham (including Ashwellthorpe) |           |                                      |   |  |  |  |
| Adjacent<br>Ashwellthorpe<br>Industrial Estate       | GNLP2182                             | 6.10      | Employment                           | This site is not allocated as evidence<br>suggests that currently committed land is<br>more than sufficient in quantity and quality to  |  |  |  |

| Address | Site Reference | Area (Ha) | Proposal | Reason for not allocating   |
|---------|----------------|-----------|----------|---|
|         |                |           |          | meet the employment growth needs in<br>Greater Norwich. There is therefore no need<br>to allocate any additional large-scale<br>employment sites in the new local plan. |
|         |                |           |          | Access to the site appears to be constrained.   |