REASONABLE SITES – NON-RESIDENTIAL (SOUTH NORFOLK AND BROADLAND) NORWICH URBAN AREA FRINGE PARISHES

Address	Site Reference	Area (Ha)	Proposal	Reason for not allocating		
Colney						
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES						
Costessey						
NO REASONABL	E ALTERNA		N-RESIDENTIAL SI	TES		
Cringleford (incl	uding Keswi	ck)				
NO REASONABL	E ALTERNA		N-RESIDENTIAL SI	TES		
Drayton						
NO REASONABL	E ALTERNA		N-RESIDENTIAL SI	TES		
Easton and Hon	ingham					
		TIVE NON	N-RESIDENTIAL SI	TES		
Hellesdon						
Rear of Heath Crescent, Prince Andrews Road, Hellesdon	GNLP1021	2.07	Leisure	This proposal is considered to be a reasonable alternative for further consideration but is not preferred for allocation at the current time as more information is needed about how the plans put forward by Hellesdon Parish Council sit alongside alternative plans for residential/recreational use put forward by the landowner as site reference GNLP2173, which is also considered to be a reasonable alternative.		
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES						
Rackheath						
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES						
Sprowston						

Sprowston Park and Ride	GNLP3024	5.19	High school (or housing if a school is not required).	The North East Growth Triangle Area Action Plan identifies the possibility of requiring this site for a new high school. Considerations to developing this site as a school include highway improvements and possibly identifying alternative Park & Ride facilities. Other considerations are managing surface water flood risk across the site as well as the overarching strategy for schools provision in this area of Greater Norwich. This site is of strategic importance and is considered to be a reasonable alternative for a new high school if required. If the new high school is not needed, then the site will be reconsidered for housing. This proposal is considered to be a reasonable alternative for further consideration if additional community-based facilities are needed in this area of Greater Norwich to support the planned residential development. There is already a farm shop and commercial activities at White House Farm and residential development is located nearby, with additional residential growth planned for the future. However, it is not preferred for allocation at the current time as more evidence is	
				time as more evidence is	
				required about the need for the proposal and how the	
				development will come forward.	
Taverham and Ringland					
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES					
Thorpe St Andrew					
Thorpe St Andrew					

NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES					
Trowse (including Bixley and Whitlingham)					
Land at junction Loddon Road/Bungay Road, Bixley	GNLP3051	7.91	Park and Ride Site	This proposal is considered to be a reasonable alternative for further consideration. The future need for this site depends on the overarching Transport for Norwich strategy and the long-term plan for the provision of park and ride facilities to serve the local area. The submission document hints at the possibility of retail, restaurant and petrol filling station uses, but no detail on such facilities is included as yet.	
Land at and adjacent to Whitlingham Country Park	GNLP3052	200.00	Recreation and tourism associated with the existing Country Park	This proposal is considered to be a reasonable alternative for further consideration but is not preferred for allocation at the current time as more details are required about the exact nature of the plans. The land promoted is also mostly within the Broads Authority administrative area, for which there are policies relating to Whitlingham Country Park.	

TOWNS

Address	Site Reference			Reason for not allocating		
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES IN ANY TOWNS.						

KEY SERVICE CENTRES

Address	Site Reference		Proposal	Reason for not allocating		
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES IN ANY KEY SERVICE CENTRES.						

VILLAGE CLUSTERS

Address	Site	Area	Proposal	Reason for not allocating			
	Reference	(Ha)					
SOUTH NORFOLK VILLAGES NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES IN SOUTH NORFOLK VILLAGES							
BROADLAND V	ILLAGES						
Blofield Heath a	and Hembling	ton					
NO REASONAB	LE ALTERNA	TIVE NO	N-RESIDENTIAI	_ SITES			
Buxton with La	mas and Brar	npton					
NO REASONAB	LE ALTERNA	TIVE NO	N-RESIDENTIAI	_ SITES			
Cantley							
NO REASONAB	LE ALTERNA	TIVE NO	N-RESIDENTIAI	_ SITES			
Cawston, Brand	diston and Sw	vanningt	on				
NO REASONAB	LE ALTERNA	TIVE NO	N-RESIDENTIAI	_ SITES			
Coltishall, Hors	tead with Sta	nningha	ll and Belaugh				
NO REASONAB	LE ALTERNA	TIVE NO	N-RESIDENTIAI	_ SITES			
Foulsham and	Themelthorpe	;					
NO REASONAB	LE ALTERNA	TIVE NO	N-RESIDENTIAI	_ SITES			
Freethorpe, Hal	vergate and V	Nickham	npton				
NO REASONAB	LE ALTERNA	TIVE NO	N-RESIDENTIAI	_ SITES			
Frettenham							
NO REASONAB	NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES						
Great and Little	Plumstead						
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES							
Great Witchingham, Lenwade, Weston Longville, Alderford, Attlebridge, Little Witchingham and Morton on the Hill							
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES							
Hainford and Stratton Strawless							
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES							
Hevingham							
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES							
Horsford, Felthorpe and Haveringland							
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES							

Horsham and Newton St Faith

NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES

Lingwood and Burlingham, Strumpshaw and Beighton NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES

Marsham

NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES

Reedham

NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES

Salhouse, Woodbastwick and Ranworth					
Land to the north of Salhouse Road, Salhouse	GNLP0157	22.51	Tourism	This site is considered to be a reasonable alternative as Salhouse Broad is already a visitor attraction for sailing, canoeing, walking and camping. It is not preferred for allocation at the current time as further information is required regarding the need for the proposal and exactly what is planned for the site. Note: The site is also partially within the Broads Authority administrative area.	
Reedham					
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES					
South Walsham and Upton with Fishley					
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES					
Spixworth and Croatwick					

Spixworth and Crostwick

NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NON-RESIDENTIAL SITES