

<b>Settlement Name:</b>	<b>Blofield Heath and Hemblington cluster</b>
<b>Settlement Hierarchy:</b>	<p>Blofield Heath and Hemblington form a village cluster in the emerging Greater Norwich Local Plan. The Towards a Strategy document identifies that around 2,000 dwellings in total should be provided between all the village clusters. Services in the wider Blofield parish include a primary school, village hall, food shop, public transport and a doctors surgery.</p> <p>The current capacity of Hemblington Primary School is rated as green, the school is not landlocked and has spare capacity. The Blofield Heath and Hemblington cluster could therefore potentially accommodate development in the region of 50-60 dwellings dependent on the quality of the sites and the range of other services and facilities in the vicinity. However, after further consideration it has been decided that due to the high level of existing commitment in Blofield parish as a whole only approximately 12-20 new homes are appropriate for the Blofield Heath cluster.</p> <p>Blofield Heath is covered by the made Blofield Neighbourhood Plan which covers the same area as that of the Blofield parish boundary. The Plan was made in July 2016 and covers the period to 2036. It contains a series of policies that look to shape development within the neighbourhood area. There are policies within the plan that will be of relevance to development and any applications that are submitted for development within the parish should have due regard to those policies.</p> <p>At the base date of the plan there is one carried forward allocation for 36 homes from the Broadland Local Plan (BLO5 north of Blofield Corner Road), which has outline permission for up to 36 dwellings (reference 20140968) and a total of 71 additional dwellings with planning permission.</p>

**PART 1 - ASSESSMENTS OF SITES INCLUDED IN THE DRAFT LOCAL PLAN REGULATION 18C CONSULTATION (JANUARY – MARCH 2020)**

**STAGE 1 – COMPLETE LIST OF SITES PROMOTED IN THE SETTLEMENT**

**LIST OF SITES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RESIDENTIAL/MIXED USE ALLOCATION (0.5 HECTARES OR LARGER)**

<b>Address</b>	<b>Site Reference</b>	<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>Proposal</b>
<b>Blofield Heath</b>			
Blofield Nurseries, Hall Road	GNLP0099	2.85	Up to 25 dwellings
Land to the west of Woodbastwick Road	GNLP0288	1.43	24 dwellings
Land east of Park Lane	GNLP0300	0.78	Residential (unspecified number)
Land to the East of Woodbastwick Road	GNLP1048	4.18	Residential (unspecified number)
Dawson's Lane	GNLP2080	2.65	42 dwellings
South of Blofield House	GNLP2172	3.90	85 dwellings
<b>Total area of land</b>		<b>15.79</b>	

**LIST OF SITES TO BE CONSIDERED AS SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY EXTENSIONS (SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY PROPOSALS AND SITES LESS THAN 0.5 HECTARES)**

<b>Address</b>	<b>Site Reference</b>	<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>Proposal</b>
<b>Blofield Heath</b>			
Tower House	GNLPSL2003	0.08	1-2 dwellings
Blofield Lodge	GNLP2020	0.39	Residential (unspecified Number)

(Sites of less than 0.5ha are not considered suitable for allocation and therefore have not been assessed in this booklet. These sites will be considered as part of a reappraisal of settlement boundaries to be published with the Regulation 19 Submission version of the Plan).

## LIST OF SITES SUBMITTED FOR OTHER USES

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Proposal
<b>Blofield Heath</b>			
None			

(Sites submitted for other uses are considered in separate 'Non-Residential' Site Assessment booklets and therefore have not been assessed in this booklet).

## STAGE 2 – HELAA COMPARISON TABLE

### RESIDENTIAL/MIXED USE

	Categories													
	Site access	Access to services	Utilities Capacity	Utilities Infrastructure	Contamination/ ground stability	Flood Risk	Market attractiveness	Significant landscapes	Sensitive townscapes	Biodiversity & Geodiversity	Historic environment	Open Space and GI	Transport & Roads	Compatibility with neighbouring uses
Site Reference	Blofield Heath													
GNLP0099	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Amber
GNLP0288	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
GNLP0300	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Amber
GNLP1048	Amber	Green	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
GNLP2080	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green
GNLP2172	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Amber

**STAGE 3 – SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM THE REGULATION 18 STAGE A & B CONSULTATIONS**

Site Reference	Comments
<b>Blofield Heath</b>	
GNLP0099	<p><b>General comments:</b>            Objections raised on the grounds the road is a dirt track to the farmland in the surrounding area. It frequently has flood problems and lacks footpaths. Highways Authority have stated it would be detrimental to highway safety. The infrastructure is inadequate with schools, doctors, dentists and access to the A47 full to capacity.</p>
GNLP0288	<p><b>General comments:</b>            Objections raised on the ground that the site has previously been the subject of several applications all withdrawn or unsuccessful. The site does not provide safe access, becoming more difficult with local large development resulting in increased traffic flow.</p> <p>Objections raised due to information provided is incorrect as no 'current' approval for any dwellings on the site. It has been proven no access can be achieved without third party land. The entrance to Treetops would be restricted. Large vehicles unable to use road, Fire engines etc.</p>
GNLP0300	<p><b>General comments:</b>            Objections raised on the grounds of problems caused to adjacent lanes, local facilities are already insufficient for current needs. Park Lane is a small single track which opens onto single track roads with blind corners at both end, causing dangerous situations with increased traffic.</p>
GNLP1048	<p><b>General comments:</b>            Objections raised on the grounds of capacity of facilities, generation of large traffic volumes on an already inadequate local road network, flooding, limited footpaths and wildlife would be forced out of their habitats (grass snakes, hares, badgers, rabbits harvest mice, munt-jac deer, enormous amount of brown pheasants and black pheasants.</p> <p>The site is outside the settlement boundary, exit of Woodbastwick Road / Hall Road prone to flooding, historic views should be protected.</p>
GNLP2080	<p><b>General comments:</b>            Objections raised on the grounds of traffic, safety to pedestrians, unlit and unsafe access (single lane road), surface water drainage provision and flood risk (inadequate drainage), mains water pressure, misrepresentation on the building application plan of</p>

	<p>road space/design and actual space and housing type. Concerns for the high grade agricultural land being lost forever to housing.</p> <p><b>Blofield Parish Council comments:</b>  Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare &amp; schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.</p>
GNLP2172	<p><b>General comments:</b>  Objections raised against this site on the grounds it would create a significant risk of road accidents, the exit is already on hazardous stretch at high speed, congestion, area frequently floods, no cycle or footpaths available. Facilities are already at capacity. This site takes valuable farm land destroying the ecosystem.</p> <p><b>Blofield Parish Council comments:</b>  Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare &amp; schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.</p>

## **STAGE 4 – DISCUSSION OF SUBMITTED SITES**

**In this section sites are assessed in order to establish whether they are suitable for allocation. For the purposes of Sustainability Appraisal, suitable sites are those which are considered to be Reasonable Alternatives. Sites not considered suitable for allocation are not realistic options and therefore are not considered to be reasonable alternatives. The discussion below outlines the reasons why a site has been deemed suitable or unsuitable for allocation. By association this is also the outline of the reasons why a site was deemed to be a reasonable or unreasonable alternative.**

**A range of factors have been taken into account to establish whether a site should, or should not, be considered suitable for allocation. These factors include: impact on heritage and landscape; impact on the form and character of the settlement; relationship to services and facilities; environmental concerns, including flood risk; and, in particular, a safe walking route to a primary school. Sites which do not have a safe walking route to school, or where a safe walking route cannot be created will not be considered suitable for allocation.**

**Conclusions in regard to a sites performance against the relevant factors have also been informed by the outcomes of the HELAA, as set out under stage 2, consultation responses received, as summarised in stage 3, and other relevant evidence**

Whilst in the same parish, the A47 separates Blofield Heath/Hemblington from Blofield. The majority of services are in Blofield, but Blofield Heath/Hemblington has its own primary school. For the purposes of this assessment all sites to the north of the A47 have been considered as part of this Blofield Heath/Hemblington assessment booklet. Several sites are beyond the built edge of the village and are not within an accessible walk of Hemblington Primary School. On this basis, sites GNLP0099 and GNLP2172 are not shortlisted as reasonable alternatives for further consideration.

In terms of the HELAA assessment the sites are generally comparable with frequent amber scores for site access, significant landscape, access to services and transport and roads. Consultation comments also reflect potential access and road network issues with a number of the sites. Safe access to school is a key consideration and on that basis, although they are adjacent to the built up edge of the village, sites GNLP2080 and GNLP0300 are not considered to be reasonable alternatives as there is not a continuous footpath link between these sites and the school. In addition the proposed access to GNLP2080 is currently a narrow track with an unmade surface which would need upgrading to be acceptable.

In total two sites are shortlisted in Blofield Heath/Hemblington as reasonable alternatives for more detailed assessment as they have good, safe access to the school and relate well to the form and character of the settlement. GNLP0288 is

adjacent to the village hall, although it may have some access issues and GNLP1048 is located to the southern edge of the village.

**STAGE 5 – SHORTLIST OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT**

Based on the assessment undertaken at stage 4 above the following sites are considered to be reasonable alternatives.

<b>Address</b>	<b>Site Reference</b>	<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>Proposal</b>
<b>Blofield Heath</b>			
Land to the west of Woodbastwick Road	GNLP0288	1.43	24 dwellings
Land to the East of Woodbastwick Road	GNLP1048	4.18	Residential (unspecified number)
<b>Total area of land</b>		<b>5.61 ha</b>	



**STAGE 6 – DETAILED SITE ASSESSMENTS OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES**

<b>Site Reference:</b>	GNLP0288
<b>Address:</b>	Land to the west of Woodbastwick Road
<b>Proposal:</b>	24 dwellings

<b>CURRENT USE OF SITE:</b>	<b>BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:</b>
Barns used for storage and Christmas Trees on site.	Greenfield

<b>CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA</b>
<b>Amber Constraints in HELAA</b> Site access, significant landscape
<b>HELAA Conclusion</b> The site is adjacent to settlement and well related to services, it has access off Woodbastwick Road narrow though achievable. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that the possibility of creating suitable access to the site is severely constrained. Also, subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. There are not concerns on impact on utilities, flood risk, ecology, heritage assets. Other constraints include potential loss of high quality agricultural land. There are a number of constraints affecting this site but based on the current evidence, these may be possible to mitigate. The site is subject to an existing planning permission for housing, consequently it will not contribute any additional development capacity for the purposes of the HELAA analysis.

<b>FURTHER COMMENTS</b>
<b>Highways</b> No, not clear whether safe access can be provided.
<b>Development Management</b> Further consideration of whether an access of the appropriate standard to serve development of the scale proposed is achievable without the need for third party land - planning history suggests that this is unlikely. May therefore only be suitable for small scale development (<9 dwellings).
<b>Minerals &amp; Waste</b> No Safeguarded mineral resources
<b>Lead Local Flood Authority</b> Few or no Constraints. Standard information required at a planning stage. There is no surface water risk identified on this site as shown in the Environment Agency's

Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW) maps. Watercourse not apparent (in relation to SuDS hierarchy if infiltration is not possible).

**PLANNING HISTORY:**

**20130292**

Demolition of Existing Buildings and Erection of 24 No. New Dwellings and Associated Works (Outline). Refused

**20131655**

Demolition of Existing Buildings and Erection of 24 No. New Dwellings and Associated Works (Outline) (Resubmission). Approved.

**20151213**

Variation of Conditions 3, 13 and 14 (means of access) of Planning Permission 20131655 - Demolition of Existing Buildings & Erection of 24 No Dwellings & Associated Works (Outline). Refused. Appeal dismissed.

**20161588**

Erection of 4 No Dwellings and Associated Works (outline). Approved.

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION**

No additional documents submitted to support this proposal.

<b>Site Reference:</b>	GNLP1048
<b>Address:</b>	Land to the east of Woodbastwick Road
<b>Proposal:</b>	Residential (unspecified number)

<b>CURRENT USE OF SITE:</b>	<b>BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:</b>
Agriculture	Greenfield

<b>CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA</b>
<p><b>Amber Constraints in HELAA</b> Site access, Utilities capacity, Utilities infrastructure, Significant Landscape</p>
<p><b>HELAA Conclusion</b> The site is a greenfield site off Woodbastwick Road and Orchard Close and well related to services. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development. Also, it is believed that, subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. No concerns over potential impacts on heritage assets, flood risk, utilities, contamination, ground stability or ecology. Other constraints include potential loss of high quality agricultural land (grade 2). The site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.</p>

<b>FURTHER COMMENTS</b>
<p><b>Highways</b> Yes, subject to access at Woodbastwick Road, 2.0m footway at frontage along with improvements to existing footway at Mill Road and provision of pedestrian crossing point at Mill Rd junction with Woodbastwick Rd.</p> <p><b>Development Management</b> Highway flooding to be considered and details of scale of development that would be acceptable (currently unknown). Site area proposed would likely exceed envisaged 50-60 dwellings so consider reduction in size.</p> <p><b>Minerals &amp; Waste</b> No Safeguarded mineral resources</p> <p><b>Lead Local Flood Authority</b> Few or no Constraints. Standard information required at a planning stage. RoFSW mapping indicates that the site is not at risk of surface water flooding. The site is not near a mapped watercourse. The location adjacent to an existing urban area suggests that sewerage connections may be available. IF not surface water disposal will be reliant on the results of infiltration testing.</p>

<b>PLANNING HISTORY:</b>
--------------------------

No relevant history
---------------------

<b>BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION</b>
--

No additional documents submitted to support this proposal.
---

**STAGE 7 – SETTLEMENT BASED APPRAISAL OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES AND IDENTIFICATION OF PREFERRED SITE/S (WHERE APPROPRIATE) FOR REGULATION 18C DRAFT PLAN CONSULTATION.**

Two reasonable alternative sites have been identified in the Blofield Heath and Hemblington cluster at stage five. These sites were considered to be worthy of further investigation to look at their potential for allocation as the initial assessment did not flag up any major constraints that would preclude allocation. These sites have been subject to further discussion with Development Management, Highways, Flood Authority and Children’s Services in order to identify preferred sites for allocation and their comments are recorded under stage six above. Following further discussion GNLP0288 was not considered suitable for allocation as the planning history suggests that a suitable standard of access cannot be achieved for development that requires more than a private drive so therefore the site would only be suitable for small scale development. It was agreed that site GNLP1048 was the most appropriate one for allocation since it has minimal constraints and the principal of development was generally supported by all parties.

Site 1048 could provide for between 70-80 dwellings but due to the high levels of existing commitment in Blofield parish as a whole it has been decided that only approximately 12-20 new homes are appropriate for the Blofield Heath/Hemblington cluster. Site GNLP1048 is therefore preferred for allocation for between 15-20 dwellings.

The figure of 353 quoted in the strategy refers to Blofield parish as a whole including Blofield Heath (but not Hemblington). In the settlement booklet, Blofield sites located south of the A47 have been counted in Blofield Key Service Centre; sites located north of the A47 have been counted as Blofield Heath and Hemblington village cluster. The distribution of figures between the two areas in the settlement booklets is shown in the tables below. For clarity, the figures highlighted in blue in ‘Blofield Heath and Hemblington’ have been counted in ‘Blofield’ in the strategy. This approach may be amended in the Regulation 19 version of the GNLP, comments are welcomed.

<b>Blofield Heath &amp; Hemblington</b>	<b>Village Cluster</b>
<b>New allocations</b>	<b>15-20</b>
<b>Carried forward allocations</b>	<b>36 (BLO5)</b>
<b>PPs on other sites</b>	<b>Blofield Heath: 9+8+4+1+1+1+3+1=28</b> <b>Hemblington = 7</b> <b>Total = 28+7=35</b>
<b>Total commitment (carried forward + pp)</b>	<b>35+36=71</b>
<b>Overall Total (New and commitment)</b>	<b>86-91</b>

<b>Blofield</b>	<b>Key Service Centre</b>
<b>New allocations</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Carried forward allocations</b>	<b>163 (BLO1)</b>
<b>PPs on other sites</b>	7+4+1+1+6+21+20+1+8+9+21+10+2=111
<b>Total commitment (carried forward + pp)</b>	163+111=274
<b>Overall Total (New and commitment)</b>	274+15=289
<b>STRATEGY</b>	289 + 28 + 36 = 353

In conclusion, in Blofield Heath and Hemblington, one site is identified as a preferred option, providing for between 15-20 new homes in the cluster. There is one carried forward allocation for 36 homes (BLO5) and a total of 35 additional dwellings with planning permission on small sites. This gives a total deliverable housing commitment for the cluster of between 86 – 91 homes between 2018 – 2038.

#### Preferred Sites:

Address	Site Reference	Area (Ha)	Proposal	Reason for allocating
<b>Blofield Heath and Hemblington</b>				
Land to the East of Woodbastwick Road	GNLP1048 (part)	0.95	15-20 dwellings	Part of this site is preferred for allocation as it is well related to the form and character of the settlement with safe pedestrian access to Hemblington Primary School and minimal other constraints. The site is allocated subject to vehicular access at Woodbastwick Road, provision of a frontage footway, improvement to existing footway at Mill Road and provision of a pedestrian crossing point at the Mill Road/Woodbastwick Road junction. The number of homes to be allocated in Blofield Heath has been restricted due to the high amount of existing commitments in the parish of Blofield overall.

**Reasonable Alternative Sites:**

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Promoted for	Comments
<b>Blofield Heath and Hemblington</b>				
NO REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES				

**Unreasonable Sites:**

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Promoted for	Reason considered to be unreasonable
<b>Blofield Heath</b>				
Blofield Nurseries, Hall Road	GNLP0099	2.85	Up to 25 dwellings	This site is considered to be unreasonable for allocation as it is located some way beyond the built edge of the village with no safe pedestrian route to Hemblington Primary School. Development of this site would not be well related to the form and character of the settlement.
Land to the west of Woodbastwick Road	GNLP0288	1.43	24 dwellings	This site is considered to be unreasonable for allocation as the planning history suggests there are access constraints which means that the site would only be suitable for small scale development off a private drive. It therefore would not be able to accommodate the minimum allocation size of 12-15 dwellings.
Land east of Park Lane	GNLP0300	0.78	Residential (unspecified number)	Although this site is adjacent to the existing settlement limit it is considered to be unreasonable for allocation as there is no continuous footway to

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Promoted for	Reason considered to be unreasonable
				Hemblington Primary School. There is a better located site to meet the capacity of the cluster.
Dawson's Lane	GNLP2080	2.65	42 dwellings	Although this site is adjacent to the existing settlement limit it is considered to be unreasonable for allocation as there is no continuous footway to Hemblington Primary School. There is a better located site to meet the capacity of the cluster. In addition, the proposed access to the site is currently a narrow track with an unmade surface which would need upgrading to be acceptable.
South of Blofield House	GNLP2172	3.90	85 dwellings	This site is located some way beyond the built edge of the village with no safe pedestrian route to Hemblington Primary School. Development of this site would not be well related to the form and character of the settlement.



## PART 2 - SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM THE REGULATION 18C DRAFT PLAN CONSULTATION

<b>STRATEGY QUESTION: SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE:</b>	Site GNLP1048 Land east of Woodbastwick Road, Blofield Heath (Preferred Site)
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF REPRESENTATIONS:</b>	4
<b>SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT BREAKDOWN:</b>	1 Support, 1 Object, 2 Comments

<b>RESPONDENT (OR GROUP OF RESPONDENTS)</b>	<b>SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT</b>	<b>BRIEF SUMMARY OF COMMENTS</b>	<b>MAIN ISSUES REQUIRING INVESTIGATION</b>	<b>DRAFT GNLP RESPONSE</b>	<b>PROPOSED CHANGE TO PLAN</b>
Member of the Public	Object	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No connectivity between Blofield Heath &amp; Hemblington, and Blofield – should be dealt with separately</li> <li>• Infrequent bus service</li> <li>• Woodbastwick Road is dangerous, in poor condition and floods regularly</li> <li>• Pavements are narrow and dark.</li> <li>• Children can't safely walk/cycle to school.</li> <li>• School building is poor, part is temporary construction and detached from main building.</li> </ul>		The issues and concerns raised are acknowledged but new housing needs to be provided through the GNLP and this site is considered to be suitable for residential development, subject to consideration of the boundary	None

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mill Road unable to cope with school traffic</li> <li>• Residents require car to travel</li> <li>• No doctors surgery, park needs improving</li> <li>• No play space planned</li> <li>• Maximum number of housing should be defined</li> <li>• Permissive footpaths should be considered from back of this development to Hemblington</li> </ul>		amendment put forward by the promoter through the Reg 18C consultation.	
Anglian Water	Comment	No reference to water efficiency forming part of design unlike other allocation policies. See also comments on Policy 2	Consistent policy approach to water efficiency needed	This matter is dealt with under Policy 2 that applies to all sites. It is not necessary to include it in the allocation policy	None
Hugh Crane Ltd via Savills	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fully support site identification for allocation</li> <li>• Strong support for draft policy GNLP 1048 for 15-20 dwellings but considered should plan for additional housing at site.</li> <li>• Submission supported by 'Highways Feasibility Assessment' by Create Consulting Engineers to address HELAA concerns about access</li> <li>• Landscape impact is amber on HELAA – Blofield Parish Neighbourhood Plan does not categorise area as important</li> </ul>	Consider whether a larger number of homes on the site could be appropriate	Agree to amend the site area from 0.95ha to 0.64ha to take account of the recent extension of Renenergy as suggested by the site promoter through their Reg 18C representation. Revised site considered appropriate to accommodate 20	Amend boundary of site as suggested by the promoter and allocate for 20 dwellings

		<p>view or vista and a planning application would adequately mitigate any impact.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 33% affordable housing needs justification with up to date, robust evidence.</li> <li>• Site boundary needs amending to reflect recent employment development.</li> </ul>		<p> dwellings, a larger site/increased number of dwellings is not considered appropriate due to the high levels of existing commitment in the Blofield parish as a whole.</p>	
Blofield Parish Council	Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Part of site has been taken by the extension of Renenergy – is there sufficient space now to deliver minimum number of dwellings?</li> <li>• 25-33% of site appears to now be lost</li> </ul>	<p>Look at the Renenergy scheme and implications for the size of the site</p>	<p>Agree to amend the site area from 0.95ha to 0.64ha to take account of the recent extension of Renenergy as suggested by the site promoter through their Reg 18C representation. Revised site considered appropriate to accommodate 20 dwellings</p>	<p>Amend boundary of site as suggested by the promoter and allocate for 20 dwellings</p>

### Blofield Heath and Hemblington Cluster – Unreasonable Site

<b>STRATEGY QUESTION: SETTLEMENT/ SITE REFERENCE:</b>	Site GNLP2080 Dawsons Lane, Blofield Heath (Unreasonable Residential Site)
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF REPRESENTATIONS:</b>	3
<b>SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT BREAKDOWN:</b>	0 Support, 1 Object, 2 Comments

<b>RESPONDENT (OR GROUP OF RESPONDENTS)</b>	<b>SUPPORT/ OBJECT/ COMMENT</b>	<b>BRIEF SUMMARY OF COMMENTS</b>	<b>MAIN ISSUES REQUIRING INVESTIGATION</b>	<b>DRAFT GNLP RESPONSE</b>	<b>PROPOSED CHANGE TO PLAN</b>
Member of the public	Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access is now provided to the site and 12 units under construction</li> <li>• Site is at risk of surface water flooding</li> </ul>	Look at details of planning permission	Part of site now has planning permission for 12 dwellings (20190844) granted after the base date of the GNLP. This will be counted in updated windfall figures. .	None
Blofield Parish Council	Comment	Access and drainage agreed for existing permission is inadequate for the	Look at details of planning permission	Part of site now has planning	None

		additional proposed scale of development.		permission for 12 dwellings (20190844) granted after the base date of the GNLP. This will be counted in updated windfall figures. Access and drainage issues should have been dealt with through the planning consent	
Agent for landowner	Object	Site has permission on appeal and subsequent permission. All issues raised have been dealt with through these processes.	Look at details of planning permission.	Part of site now has planning permission for 12 dwellings (20190844) granted after the base date of the GNLP. This will be counted in updated windfall figures.	None

**PART 3 - ASSESSMENT OF NEW & REVISED SITES SUBMITTED DURING THE REGULATION 18C CONSULTATION**

**STAGE 1 – LIST OF NEW &REVISED SITES PROMOTED IN THE SETTLEMENT**

**LIST OF SITES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RESIDENTIAL/MIXED USE ALLOCATION (0.5 HECTARES OR LARGER)**

<b>Address</b>	<b>Site Reference</b>	<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>Proposal</b>	<b>Status at Reg 18C</b>
<b>Blofield Heath and Hemblington</b>				
Land to the east of Woodbastwick Road, Blofield Heath	GNLP1048R	0.64	Residential development of an unspecified number	Preferred
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>0.64</b>		

**STAGE 2 – HELAA COMPARISON TABLE**

Site reference	Site access	Access to services	Utilities capacity	Utilities infrastructure	Contamination / ground stability	Flood risk	Market attractiveness	Significant landscapes	Sensitive townscapes	Biodiversity & Geodiversity	Historic environment	Open space & GI	Transport & roads	Compatibility with neighbouring
<b>Blofield Heath and Hemblington</b>														
GNLP1048R	Amber	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green

## **STAGE 3 – SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM THE REGULATION 18 STAGE C CONSULTATION**

(See Part 2 above)

## **STAGE 4 – DISCUSSION OF NEW & REVISED SITES**

**In this section sites are assessed in order to establish whether they are suitable for allocation. For the purposes of Sustainability Appraisal, suitable sites are those which are considered to be Reasonable Alternatives. Sites not considered suitable for allocation are not realistic options and therefore are not considered to be reasonable alternatives. The discussion below outlines the reasons why a site has been deemed suitable or unsuitable for allocation. By association this is also the outline of the reasons why a site was deemed to be a reasonable or unreasonable alternative.**

**A range of factors have been taken into account to establish whether a site should, or should not, be considered suitable for allocation. These factors include: impact on heritage and landscape; impact on the form and character of the settlement; relationship to services and facilities; environmental concerns, including flood risk; and, in particular, a safe walking route to a primary school. Sites which do not have a safe walking route to school, or where a safe walking route cannot be created will not be considered suitable for allocation.**

**Conclusions in regard to a sites performance against the relevant factors have also been informed by the outcomes of the HELAA, consultation responses received and other relevant evidence**

### **GNLP1048R, Land to the east of Woodbastwick Road, Blofield Heath, 0.64ha, residential development (unspecified number)**

This greenfield site just south of Blofield Heath was a preferred option at the Regulation 18C consultation. The preferred site is 0.95ha, significantly less than the original site submission of 4.18ha which was considered to be too large for the requirements of the Blofield Heath and Hemblington cluster. The site boundary has now been revised down to 0.64ha to reflect the extent of land available in light of recent employment development along Woodbastwick Road. Various comments relating to the preferred site were submitted through the Regulation 18C consultation by the site promoter, members of the public and the Parish Council. These comments have been considered further and the site revision is considered to be a reasonable alternative for further consideration as part of the site assessment process.



**STAGE 5 – SHORTLIST OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NEW & REVISED SITES FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT**

Based on the assessment undertaken at stage 4 above the following sites are considered to be reasonable alternatives.

<b>Address</b>	<b>Site Reference</b>	<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>Proposal</b>
<b>Blofield Heath and Hemblington</b>			
Land to the east of Woodbastwick Road, Blofield Heath	GNLP1048R	0.64	Residential development of an unspecified number
<b>Total</b>		<b>0.64</b>	

**STAGE 6 – DETAILED SITE ASSESSMENTS OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE NEW & REVISED SITES**

<b>Site Reference:</b>	GNLP1048R
<b>Address:</b>	Land to the east of Woodbastwick Road, Blofield Heath
<b>Proposal:</b>	Residential development of an unspecified number

<b>CURRENT USE OF SITE:</b>	<b>BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:</b>
Agriculture	Greenfield

<b>CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA</b>
<b>Amber Constraints in HELAA</b> Access, Accessibility to Services, Utilities Capacity, Significant landscapes, Transport and Roads
<b>HELAA Conclusion</b> This greenfield site just south of Blofield Heath has been reduced by 0.25ha. Initial Highways Authority comments that the site could be acceptable with access at Woodbastwick Road, provision/improvements to footway and provision of a pedestrian crossing. The site is in EA Groundwater Source Protection Zone and in Grade 2 agricultural land. The original site has already been counted towards the land availability assessment, and 0.25ha of the site should be deleted from the HELAA bank to avoid double counting.

<b>FURTHER COMMENTS</b>
<b>Highways</b> Subject to access at Woodbastwick Road, 2.0m footway at frontage along with improvements to existing footway at Mill Road and provision of pedestrian crossing point at Mill Rd junction with Woodbastwick Road.
<b>Development Management</b> No Development Management comments sought as the principle of allocation has already been agreed and revision relates to amendments to the site boundary to take account of recent planning consent.
<b>Lead Local Flood Authority</b> GREEN – No risk of surface water flood risk, few or no constraints, standard information required at a planning stage, No internal & external flooding on site but both within 500m of site, no watercourses on site or within 100m, no surface water sewer system on site or within 100m, Source Protection Zone 3. The site has superficial deposits of Diamicton, comments on infiltration are dependent on a complete geotechnical investigation. Where possible, surface water infiltration should be utilised.

**PLANNING HISTORY:**

20181524 – Land North of Renenergy Limited, Woodbastwick Road, Blofield –  
Two storey office building

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE  
SUBMISSION**

- Highway Feasibility Assessment
- (Site Submission form and boundary plan)

## STAGE 7 – INITIAL CONCLUSIONS ON THE SUITABILITY OF NEW AND REVISED SITES FOR ALLOCATION

The new and revised sites shortlisted at Stage 4 have been subject to further consideration with Development Management, the Local Highway Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority and their comments are recorded under Stage 6 above. Based on their views the following initial conclusions regarding the suitability of the sites for allocation have been drawn

### New and revised sites to be considered for allocation:

Address	Site Reference	Area (Ha)	Proposal	Reason for allocating
<b>Blofield Heath and Hemblington</b>				
Land to the East of Woodbastwick Road	GNLP1048 R	0.64	20 dwellings	<p>This site has been chosen for allocation over other sites put forward in the cluster as it is well related to the form and character of the settlement with safe pedestrian access to Hemblington Primary School and minimal other constraints. Most of the other sites promoted in the cluster have no safe route to school.</p> <p>The number of homes to be allocated in Blofield Heath has been restricted due to the high amount of existing commitments in the parish of Blofield overall. In the Regulation 18C draft plan a smaller site was chosen by the GNLP team for 15-20 dwellings to better reflect the capacity of the cluster. Through the consultation the promoter suggested a slightly smaller site size to reflect a recent commercial planning permission at the southern end of the site. Due to the requirement for a minimum 25 dwellings per hectare on allocated sites, 20 dwellings was still considered to be a reasonable number on the smaller site.</p>

### New and revised sites considered to be unreasonable for allocation:

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Promoted for	Reason for rejection
No sites				

## **FINAL CONCLUSIONS FOR THE REGULATION 19 VERSION OF THE PLAN**

### **Site assessments prior to the Regulation 18C consultation**

Up to the Reg 18C consultation there were 6 sites promoted for residential/mixed use in the Blofield Heath cluster totalling just under 16 hectares of land. The outcome of initial site assessment work (which is detailed in part 1 of this booklet) was to prefer site GNLP1048 for 15-20 dwellings on a much smaller boundary than promoted to take account of existing unbuilt commitment sites in the village and therefore ensure the scale of growth was appropriate for local services. This preferred site was favoured because it related well to the form and character of the village and could provide a safe walking route to school. This option was consulted on as part of the Regulation 18C draft plan consultation.

### **Summary of comments from the Regulation 18C draft plan consultation**

Through the Regulation 18C consultation a number of comments were received regarding sites in the Blofield Heath and Hemblington cluster. The main issues raised were concerns over the local road and footpath capacity, and that part of the site has since been permitted for extension to the adjacent site (detailed in part 2 above). These comments were considered but did not result in any changes to the selection of the site preferred for allocation.

### **Assessment of new and revised sites submitted through the Regulation 18C consultation**

The site promoter also submitted a revision to GNLP1048 to remove the area recently permitted. The revision was subject to the same process of assessment as the earlier sites (detailed in part 3 of this booklet). After consideration and engagement with Development Management colleagues, the Highway Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority, the reduction to preferred site GNLP1048R was considered to be appropriate because it acknowledges the recent permission, and the site is still considered to be the best solution to providing a small level of growth in the village. The number of dwellings has not been reduced so that the site reflects the indicative density applied throughout the GNLP.

### **Sustainability Appraisal**

The sustainability performance of each reasonable alternative site has been considered in the selection of sites. The Sustainability Appraisal includes a scoring and assessment narrative on the sustainability performance of each reasonable alternative and recommendations for mitigation measures which have been incorporated in policy requirements as appropriate. The Sustainability Appraisal

(which can be found in the evidence base [here](#)) highlighted a number of negative and positive impacts for the sites in Blofield Heath but showed how broadly both sites promoted scored similarly when adjusted for size differences.

The Sustainability Appraisal shows how site GNLP1048 has changed from the original large submission to the smaller site preferred at Reg 18C and further reduced revised site later submitted. It shows the double negative score for air quality and the double positive score for housing were weakened through reduction in site size, while a smaller development on GNLP1048R scored much the same through the SA, with there not being much difference between the scoring of the Reg 18C site and the revised site. Site GNLP1048R scores a double negative for health (due to the distance to primary health care) and a double positive for population and community. Other more minor issues flagged up for site GNLP1048R through the SA were related to biodiversity and landscape, which are both addressed through policy, and access to services as the rail station is considered beyond reach.


### **Final conclusion on sites for allocation in the Regulation 19 Plan**

Based on all the information contained within this booklet the final conclusion of the site assessment process for the Blofield Heath and Hemblington cluster is to allocate GNLP1048R for 20 dwellings on the revised boundary promoted through the Regulation 18C consultation (the range of dwellings in villages was dropped after the Regulation 18C consultation) alongside carried forward allocation BLO5.

See tables of allocated and unallocated sites at appendices A and B for a full list of sites promoted with reasons for allocation or rejection.

# BLOFIELD HEATH

## GREATER NORWICH LOCAL PLAN PROMOTED SITES BY SCHOOL CATCHMENT AREAS



The schools data is used by permission of Norfolk County Council

© Crown copyright and database right 2020 Ordnance Survey 100019340

- Primary School
- Housing Allocation
- Not Allocated
- Settlement Boundary
- Parish Boundary
- Primary School Catchment (2018-19)
- Mixed Use Allocation
- Broads Authority Area
- Commitment\*

N  
1:15,500  
at A3  
Date: 03/12/2020

\*new and extant permissions at 1st April 2020 (10 or more dwellings)